

2018-19 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	3
School Information	4
Needs Assessment	7
Planning for Improvement	9
Title I Requirements	10
Budget to Support Goals	13

Hillsborough - 3951 - Shaw Elementary School - 2018-19 SIP

	missorougn -	Shaw Elementary School	51 - 2010-13 SIF	
	Sh	aw Elementary Sch	ool	
	113	11 N 15TH ST, Tampa, FL 33	612	
		[no web address on file]		
School Demographics	;			
School Type and Gra (per MSID Fi		2017-18 Title I School	Disadvan	B Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)
Elementary Sc PK-5	hool	Yes		99%
Primary Service (per MSID Fi		Charter School	(Reporte	9 Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General Edu	ucation	No		93%
School Grades Histor	y			
Year Grade	2017-18 F	2016-17 С	2015-16 F	2014-15 F*
School Board Approv	al			

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all noncharter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Shaw Elementary will provide quality instruction that empowers students to be successful and responsible for their learning both in and out of school.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Shaw Elementary will be a learning community dedicated to the success of every student.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	

Principal

Mathurin, Renel

Duties

Describe the roles and responsibilities of the members, including how they serve as instructional leaders and practice shared decision making.

Leadership team meetings can include the following:

Principal

Assistant Principal / ELP Coordinator

Guidance Counselor

SAC Chairs

School Psychologist/ Behavior team Representative

School Social Worker/ Attendance Committee Representative

Academic Coaches (Reading, Math, etc. and other specialists on an ad hoc basis) ESE teachers

PLC Liaisons for each grade level and/or content area

District support (including Area Superintendents, Support Specialist, District Coaches)

The Leadership team meets regularly (e.g., bi-weekly/monthly). The purpose of the core Leadership Team is to:

 Collaborate and problem solve to ensure the implementation of high quality instructional practices utilizing the RtI/MTSS process: at the core (Tier 1) and intervention/enrichment (Tiers 2/3) levels.
Support the implementation of high quality instructional practices at the core (Tier 1) and intervention/enrichment (Tiers 2/3) levels.

3. Review ongoing progress monitoring data at the core to ensure fidelity of instruction and attainment of SIP goal(s) in curricular, behavioral, and attendance domains.

4. Communicate school-wide data to PLCs and facilitate problem solving within the content/grade level teams.

A collaborative culture of shared responsibility is established through Leadership Team Meetings and PLCs.

Research consistently bears out that the school leader is the most important element in teachers

choosing to go to, and then remain at, a school site. To that end, HCPS works to ensure that principals are selected and placed with great care. HCPS works to develop strong leaders through the Hillsborough Principal Pipeline. As stated above, The Hillsborough Principal Pipeline offers unique and valuable opportunities for teachers to experience and prepare for a school leadership position by helping them gain the skills, experience and confidence that are crucial to becoming a high-performing leader. Pursuing school leadership provides the opportunity to make a direct impact on school culture and positively influence instructional quality, which will result in improved outcomes and higher long-term success rates for students in Hillsborough County.

HCPS' vision for instructional improvement is to have a highly effective teacher in every classroom and a highly effective principal in every school. This vision is founded in the research-based tenet that teacher quality has a larger impact on student achievement than any other schooling factor. Further research demonstrates the impact of a principal's leadership on outcomes for students and teachers. Over the past decade, HCPS has developed a Human Capital Management System (HCMS) to further the district's vision of instructional improvement.

Several Teacher Interview Days and Recruitment Fairs occur throughout the summer months, under the oversight of Human Resources. All applicants must be pre-approved by the District to attend these events. Certified teachers with an Effective or Highly Effective performance evaluation, teaching in field, at our highest needs schools are eligible for salary differential. This program was established with the purpose of helping to create stability and equity in harder to staff schools, recruiting and retaining highly qualified instructional staff, increasing student achievement, and promoting a culture of ongoing professional development.

Compensation is grounded in a performance-based salary structure that explicitly ties salary increases to sustained high-level performance, while career ladder positions, such as Instructional Mentors, are available to effective educators. The base teacher salary schedule is designed to provide substantial increases in compensation to teachers who have demonstrated positive student impact.

Once hired, teacher induction and teacher retention are supported through fully-released instructional mentors assigned to every new educator for up to two years to increase effectiveness and decrease recidivism. Educator effectiveness ratings that differentiate educator quality are used to assist principals in determining teachers' transfer options and promotion into leadership positions. HCPS has linked PD opportunities to HR functions so that school-level and district-level trainings are developed and deployed in response to areas of need identified by educator evaluations. Training course completions can also be tracked by HR Partners to inform human capital decisions.

Early Warning Systems

Year 2017-18

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Attendance below 90 percent	0	2	1	13	21	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	66
One or more suspensions	0	4	3	9	7	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	22	45	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	129

The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator					(Grad	le L	.ev	el					Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students exhibiting two or more indicators	0	2	1	13	21	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	66

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Retained Students: Previous Year(s)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Date this data was collected

Monday 8/13/2018

Year 2016-17 - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Attendance below 90 percent	15	35	30	31	19	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	148
One or more suspensions	0	1	16	16	10	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	56
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	22	43	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	127

The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator					C	Grad	le L	.ev	el					Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students exhibiting two or more indicators	0	6	5	14	18	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	67

Year 2016-17 - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Attendance below 90 percent	15	35	30	31	19	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	148
One or more suspensions	0	1	16	16	10	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	56
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	22	43	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	127

The number of students identified by the system as exhibiting two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator					C	Grad	le L	.ev	el					Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Students exhibiting two or more indicators	0	6	5	14	18	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	67

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

Assessment & Analysis

Consider the following reflection prompts as you examine any/all relevant school data sources, including those in CIMS in the pages that follow.

Which data component performed the lowest? Is this a trend?

The data component that Shaw Elem. performed the lowest in is ELA achievement.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from prior year?

The data component that showed the greatest decline is ELA Lowest 25 percent quartile.

Which data component had the biggest gap when compared to the state average?

The data component with the biggest gap when compared tot eh state average is Math Achievement component. There is a 47 points gap in this component.

Which data component showed the most improvement? Is this a trend?

Science achievement is the data component that showed the most improvement with an increase of 3 percentage points.

Describe the actions or changes that led to the improvement in this area.

Some of the actions that lead to the improvement in the are of science were as followed:

1. Teachers used the 5 E's when delivering science lesson- Engaged, Explore, Explain, Elaborate and Evaluate

2. Students had the opportunity to engaged in hands on activity.

3. Professional learning communities were focused on looking at students data and sharing strategies.

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2018			2017						
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State					
ELA Achievement	19%	52%	56%	18%	48%	52%					
ELA Learning Gains	29%	52%	55%	20%	49%	52%					
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	38%	46%	48%	28%	44%	46%					
Math Achievement	21%	55%	62%	25%	52%	58%					
Math Learning Gains	31%	57%	59%	38%	53%	58%					
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	22%	44%	47%	40%	43%	46%					
Science Achievement	23%	51%	55%	19%	51%	51%					

EWS Indicato	rs as Ir	nput Ea	arlier in	the Sur	vey								
Indicator Grade Level (prior year reported)													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	Total						
Attendance below 90 percent	0 (15)	2 (35)	1 (30)	13 (31)	21 (19)	29 (18)	66 (148)						
One or more suspensions	0 (0)	4 (1)	3 (16)	9 (16)	7 (10)	15 (13)	38 (56)						
Course failure in ELA or Math	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)						
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	22 (22)	45 (43)	62 (62)	129 (127)						

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

ELA							
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison	
03	03 2018		53%	-35%	57%	-39%	
	2017	23%	56%	-33%	58%	-35%	
Same Grade Comparison		-5%					
Cohort Comparison							
04	2018	16%	55%	-39%	56%	-40%	
	2017	27%	54%	-27%	56%	-29%	
Same Grade Comparison		-11%					
Cohort Comparison		-7%					
05	05 2018		51%	-34%	55%	-38%	
	2017		52%	-32%	53%	-33%	
Same Grade Comparison		-3%			•		
Cohort Comparison		-10%					

MATH							
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District State Comparison		School- State Comparison	
03	2018	15%	55%	% -40% 62%		-47%	
	2017	25%	54%	-29%	62%	-37%	
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison						
Cohort Com	Cohort Comparison						
04	2018	19%	57%	-38% 62%		-43%	
	2017	31%	56%	-25%	64%	-33%	
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison						
Cohort Com	Cohort Comparison						
05	2018	21%	54%	-33%	61%	-40%	
	2017	25%	53%	-28%	57%	-32%	
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison				<u> </u>		
Cohort Com	Cohort Comparison						

Hillsborough - 3951 - Shaw Elementary School - 2018-19 SIP Shaw Elementary School

			SCIEN	CE			
Grade	Year	School District District		School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison	
05	2018	17%	52%	-35%	55%	-38%	
	2017						
Cohort Corr	iparison						

Subgroup Data

2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	18	38	24	17	17	10	37				
ELL	23	39	60	26	38						
BLK	11	18	32	16	26	24	10				
HSP	27	44	47	25	36	10	35				
WHT	29	25		26	29						
FRL	19	29	38	21	30	22	22				
		2017	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	7	48	67	11	43	58	25				
ELL	28	40	50	34	43		15				
BLK	20	53	78	23	42	48	14				
HSP	29	48	54	39	49	54	29				
MUL	29			14							
WHT	18	40		35	50						
FRL	24	51	74	29	46	54	20				

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Develop specific plans for addressing the school's highest-priority needs by identifying the most important areas of focus based on any/all relevant school data sources, including the data from Section II (Needs Assessment/Analysis).

Areas of Focus:

Activity #1						
Title	Standards based planning					
Rationale	In order to monitor students mastery of the standards, teachers need to engage in standards based planning to ensure that learning targets are aligned to the students.					
Intended Outcome	Learning targets will be aligned with standards.					
Point Person	Renel Mathurin (renel.mathurin@hcps.net)					
Action Step						
Description	Create a structure for standards based planning sessions (common planning time, clear expectations, definition of roles ,protocols Offer on going book studies to promote the understanding of the standards Academic Coaches will meet with grade level teams to help support teachers in planning Schedule PLC meeting dates with Team Leads and Academic Coaches. Computer-assisted instruction will be implemented to differentiate instruction. Develop a common language around assessments and performance					
Person Responsible	Renel Mathurin (renel.mathurin@hcps.net)					
Plan to Monito	or Effectiveness					
Description	Develop look fors standards based lesson implementation and alignment Conduct regular check for effective transfer of planning instructional practice Review student work as evidence of implementation of standards based planning Regularly meet with content coaches to discuss trends in school wide data and plan intervention or enrichment Conduct quarterly meetings with teachers to discuss student growth					
Person Responsible	Renel Mathurin (renel.mathurin@hcps.net)					

Part IV: Title I Requirements

Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Pilot SIP to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

We encourage parents to participate in all of our events by sending home flyers, making parent link phone calls and posting everything on our website and social media. We focus on communicating every child's progress to families by engaging parents in parent/teacher conferences and sending home quarterly progress note. School staff, students, parents, and the community will work together to develop skills and habits for personal and academic success. We persist at building positive relationships with families.

We continue to work with the Children's Home Network SEEDS program, an intervention program that works collaboratively with schools, families and social service agencies to support and empower

families. These services are invaluable to our participating families. We are working to grow our relationship with the University Area Community Development Corporation, and the University Area Community Center, to provide additional services for our families. We would like our parents to benefit from the many programs they offer. Among the various programs offered, they sponsor numerous parent learning opportunities, participate in the Feeding Tampa Bay Mobile Pantry to bring nutritious foods to the community, utilize community volunteers to manage the Harvest Hope Community Garden (where volunteers invest their time and energy while receiving a percentage of what they grow), and invite residents to engage in the University Area Leaders Collective.

PFEP Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

Social and Emotional Learning (SEL) Program

Students are engaged in SEL programs based on their needs and within the HCPS "Building Strong School Culture" framework. This framework includes: Mission and Vision; Procedures & Routines; Promoting & Modeling Great Character; Service Learning; Student Leadership; Conflict Resolution; Mentoring; and Behavior Management Plan. In this way, SEL becomes a part of the fabric of a school's culture.

Hillsborough County Public Schools has partnered with Frameworks of Tampa Bay to integrate SEL into all middle schools. Students will be engaged with LifeSkills training, an evidence-based program that is designed to improve social, emotional, and academic skills and strengthen relationships between students and teachers..

Behavior Management Plan

Included in the HCPS "Building Strong School Culture" framework is the need for a behavior management plan. A comprehensive behavior management plan is an important part of the social/ emotional framework. It is expected that all settings will be structured for success, expectations for student behavior will be explicitly taught, students will be consistently supervised, teachers will build positive relationships with students, and that students will be corrected fluently, calmly, consistently, respectfully, briefly, and immediately.

Comprehensive behaviors plans should address a behavior support team, faculty/stakeholder commitment, school-wide expectations with a plan for teaching those expectations, effective processes for tracking and documenting behavior incidents and interventions, plan progress monitoring, location-based rules, effective reward/recognition program that includes restorative practices, and a focus on data-based decision making.

It is an expectation that behavior management plans for all DA and Achievement Schools include the 10 Critical Elements for Effective School Wide Management Plans, Restorative Practices, the use of Behavior Tracker to track minor incidences (in classroom), and a separate tool to track ALL interventions (admin/ student services). There may additionally be a need for a Behavior Intervention Team (may choose to use PSLT).

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

The School Board of each Florida district is required by state law to establish a comprehensive program for student progression that is based on an evaluation of each student's performance including an

assessment of how well the student masters the performance standards approved by the state board. The district's program for student progression is based on mastery of the English language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies standards. (F.S. 1008.25)

The HCPS Student Progression Plan includes information on initial placement, reporting student progress, reading remediation, academic acceleration, grade promotion and retention, graduation requirements, transfer credits, student recognition, accommodations, dual enrollment, and extended learning opportunities.

For complete information, please visit our Student Progression Plan at: http://www.sdhc.k12.fl.us/docs/ 00/00/21/33/studentprogressionplan.pdf

HCPS utilizes a variety of strategies for assisting students as they transition from one school to another.

HCPS employs multiple strategies for preparing children for entry into kindergarten. Over 6,000 children participate in one of several preschool programs offered by the School District (Head Start, VPK and PreK-ESE). Developmental screenings are available for all families prior to entry into kindergarten through Child Find, a service within the Florida Diagnostic and Learning Resources System (FDLRS). Additionally, the district works closely with School Readiness providers to share information.

HCPS utilizes multiple strategies for preparing students for their next school, including transitioning from elementary to middle school, middle school to high school, or simply moving to a new school mid-year. Examples include:

Bring 6th/9th graders back early for orientation Train a cadre of student ambassadors to help orient other students Parent information and/or education opportunities Hold articulation meetings between 5th and 6th grade teachers Campus visits Shadow days Middle school students visit, tutor and or perform at elementary schools High school students visit, tutor, or perform at middle schools.

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

To ensure efficient and systematic allocation and use of resources, the school's PSLT/ILT utilizes an Rtl/ MTSS framework to improve learning for all. Resources allocated support a continuum of academic and behavioral supports, ensuring all students have fluid access to instruction (varying intensity levels matched to most appropriate available resources)

Analyze student outcomes and make data-driven decisions: What is the problem? Why is it occurring? What are we going to do about it? Is it working?

Assess the implementation of the SIP: Does the data show positive student growth? Are we making progress toward the SIPs intended outcomes? What can we do to sustain what's working? What barriers to implementation are we facing? What should be our plan of action?

Annually, schools take inventory of resource materials, staff, and funds allocated to determine necessary resource materials and personnel available to meet the needs of students. Resource maps identify gaps, ensuring resources are available and allocated for use by all.

To ensure support systems, small group, and individual needs are met, the PSLT: Reviews school-wide assessment data on an ongoing basis in order to identify instructional needs across the school and all grade levels; Supports the implementation of high quality instructional practices during core and intervention blocks; Reviews progress monitoring data at the core to ensure fidelity of instruction and attainment of SIP goal(s) in curricular, behavioral, and attendance domains; Communicates school-wide data to PLCs and facilitate problem solving within the content/grade level teams.

The PSLT meets regularly (bi-weekly/monthly). The PSLT meeting calendar is structured around the district's assessment calendar, ensuring opportunities to review assessment outcome data and engage in the problem solving process for appropriate data-driven decisions. Team members include administrator(s), guidance counselor(s), school psychologist, ESE specialist, content area coaches/ specialists, PLC liaisons, others as needed

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

HCPS strategies to advance college and career awareness include: Career interest inventory offered to students through Florida Shines; District College Nights; District Financial Aid Nights; Postsecondary representative visits at high schools; Fieldtrip opportunities for career awareness; Fieldtrip opportunities to technical colleges; and Opportunities for students to take courses within their area of interest at their high school, via virtual school, and through dual enrollment.

Part V: Budget

Total:

\$375,250.00