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## Brookside Middle School

3636 S SHADE AVE, Sarasota, FL 34239
www.sarasotacountyschools.net/brookside

## Principal: Nathaniel Francis

| 2019-20 Status (per MSID File) | Active |
| :---: | :---: |
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | Middle School 6-8 |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education |
| 2018-19 Title I School | No |
| 2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 71\% |
| 2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented <br> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities* <br> English Language Learners <br> Asian Students <br> Black/African American Students <br> Hispanic Students <br> Multiracial Students <br> White Students <br> Economically Disadvantaged Students |
| School Grades History | 2018-19: A (69\%) <br> 2017-18: A (64\%) <br> 2016-17: B (55\%) <br> 2015-16: B (55\%) <br> 2014-15: B (56\%) |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information* |  |
| SI Region | Central |
| Regional Executive Director | Lucinda Thompson |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A |
| Year |  |
| Support Tier |  |


| ESSA Status | N/A |
| :---: | :---: |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here. |  |

## School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Sarasota County School Board.

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F . This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS\&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS\&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS\&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below $41 \%$. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS\&l:

1. have a school grade of $D$ or $F$
2. have a graduation rate of $67 \%$ or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41\%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.
The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all noncharter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate $67 \%$ or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP ..... 4
School Information ..... 7
Needs Assessment ..... 9
Planning for Improvement ..... 15
Title I Requirements ..... 0
Budget to Support Goals ..... 23

## Brookside Middle School

3636 S SHADE AVE, Sarasota, FL 34239
www.sarasotacountyschools.net/brookside

## School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)<br>Middle School<br>Primary Service Type (per MSID File)<br>K-12 General Education<br>2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)<br>64\%

School Grades History

| Year | $2018-19$ | $2017-18$ | $2016-17$ | 2015-16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | A | A | B | B |

## School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Sarasota County School Board.

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of $D$ or F .

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all noncharter schools with a current grade of $D$ or $F$ (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of $A, B$, or $C$, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## Part I: School Information

## School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

## OUR MISSION

The mission of Brookside Middle School is to develop knowledgeable and caring young people to create a better and more peaceful world through intercultural understanding and respect.

At Brookside, our students are held accountable for following the Cuda Code.

- Cudas are committed.
- Cudas are prepared.
- Cudas are respectful.
- Cudas are appropriate.
- Cudas are prompt.


## Provide the school's vision statement.

## OUR VISION

Brookside Middle School places the child at the center of its learning activities to enable all learners to lead productive, responsible and healthful lives. Brookside Middle School prepares students to achieve the highest learning standards by engaging a high quality staff, involved parents and a supportive community.

School Leadership Team

## Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

| Name | Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gruhl, Matthew | Principal |  |
| Sims, Nicole | School Counselor |  |
| Serino, Paul | Administrative Support |  |
| Fuesy, Jessica | Assistant Principal |  |
| Mims, Ginger | Administrative Support |  |
| Rojas, Amanda | Assistant Principal |  |
| Varlas, Melissa | Teacher, K-12 |  |
| Davis-Cokley, Pamela | School Counselor |  |

Early Warning Systems

## Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of students enrolled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 275 | 247 | 244 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 766 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 63 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 174 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 17 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 55 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 169 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |

FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units) 54

## Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 9/3/2019

## Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 65 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 174 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 48 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 29 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 |

## Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 65 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 174 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 48 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 29 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 |

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

## School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| School Grade Component |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | District | State | School | District | State |  |
| ELA Achievement | $63 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $52 \%$ |  |
| ELA Learning Gains | $57 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $54 \%$ |  |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile | $54 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $44 \%$ |  |
| Math Achievement | $77 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $56 \%$ |  |
| Math Learning Gains | $69 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $57 \%$ |  |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | $68 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| Science Achievement | $71 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| Social Studies Achievement | $87 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $70 \%$ |  |

## EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

| Indicator | Grade Level (prior year reported) |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |  |
| Number of students enrolled | $275(0)$ | $247(0)$ | $244(0)$ | $766(0)$ |
| Attendance below 90 percent | $45(49)$ | $63(65)$ | $66(60)$ | $174(174)$ |
| One or more suspensions | $9(25)$ | $17(25)$ | $12(39)$ | $38(89)$ |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | $1(0)$ | $1(0)$ | $2(1)$ | $4(1)$ |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | $59(65)$ | $55(48)$ | $55(62)$ | $169(175)$ |

## Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

| ELA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 06 | 2019 | 54\% | 63\% | -9\% | 54\% | 0\% |
|  | 2018 | 54\% | 63\% | -9\% | 52\% | 2\% |
| Same Grade Comparison |  | 0\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07 | 2019 | 56\% | 64\% | -8\% | 52\% | 4\% |
|  | 2018 | 58\% | 62\% | -4\% | 51\% | 7\% |
| Same Grade Comparison |  | -2\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  | 2\% |  |  |  |  |
| 08 | 2019 | 67\% | 66\% | 1\% | 56\% | 11\% |
|  | 2018 | 59\% | 70\% | -11\% | 58\% | 1\% |
| Same Grade Comparison |  | 8\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  | 9\% |  |  |  |  |



| SCIENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 08 | 2019 | 67\% | 62\% | 5\% | 48\% | 19\% |
|  | 2018 | 57\% | 62\% | -5\% | 50\% | 7\% |
| Same Grade Comparison |  | 10\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| BIOLOGY EOC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | School | District | School <br> Minus <br> District | State | School <br> Minus <br> State |  |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| CIVICS EOC |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | 84\% | 85\% | -1\% | 71\% | 13\% |
| 2018 | 65\% | 80\% | -15\% | 71\% | -6\% |
| Compare |  | 19\% |  |  |  |
| HISTORY EOC |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALGEBRA EOC |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | School | District | $\begin{aligned} & \text { School } \\ & \text { Minus } \\ & \text { District } \end{aligned}$ | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | 95\% | 73\% | 22\% | 61\% | 34\% |
| 2018 | 97\% | 77\% | 20\% | 62\% | 35\% |
| Compare |  | -2\% |  |  |  |
| GEOMETRY EOC |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | 100\% | 69\% | 31\% | 57\% | 43\% |
| 2018 | 100\% | 71\% | 29\% | 56\% | 44\% |
| Compare |  | 0\% |  |  |  |

## Subgroup Data

| 2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroups | ELA <br> Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { LG } \\ & \text { L25\% } \end{aligned}$ | Math Ach. | Math LG | $\begin{gathered} \text { Math } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Sci Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { SS } \\ \text { Ach. } \end{gathered}$ | MS <br> Accel. | Grad <br> Rate <br> $2017-18$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C \& C } \\ \text { Accel } \\ 2017-18 \end{gathered}$ |
| SWD | 30 | 50 | 51 | 47 | 61 | 62 | 48 | 51 | 21 |  |  |
| ELL | 28 | 55 | 48 | 44 | 59 | 57 | 45 | 50 | 30 |  |  |
| ASN | 81 | 71 |  | 95 | 88 |  | 91 | 90 | 100 |  |  |
| BLK | 49 | 55 | 73 | 56 | 56 | 61 | 57 | 90 | 62 |  |  |
| HSP | 54 | 53 | 46 | 69 | 70 | 70 | 56 | 76 | 65 |  |  |
| MUL | 63 | 46 |  | 77 | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WHT | 69 | 61 | 55 | 85 | 69 | 66 | 79 | 91 | 77 |  |  |
| FRL | 57 | 56 | 56 | 72 | 66 | 66 | 67 | 83 | 66 |  |  |
| 2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subgroups | ELA <br> Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{array}$ | Math Ach. | Math LG | $\begin{gathered} \text { Math } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS <br> Accel. | Grad <br> Rate <br> 2016-17 | C \& C <br> Accel <br> $2016-17$ |
| SWD | 25 | 35 | 29 | 37 | 55 | 51 | 19 | 41 |  |  |  |
| ELL | 27 | 44 | 48 | 54 | 74 | 63 | 14 | 38 |  |  |  |
| ASN | 86 | 81 |  | 95 | 90 |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| BLK | 39 | 43 | 30 | 50 | 56 | 32 | 45 | 55 |  |  |  |
| HSP | 50 | 51 | 45 | 67 | 71 | 66 | 42 | 61 | 84 |  |  |


| 2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroups | ELA <br> Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Math Ach. | Math LG | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Math } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Sci Ach. | SS <br> Ach. | MS Accel. |  | C \& C <br> Accel <br> 2016-17 |
| MUL | 54 | 56 |  | 75 | 74 |  | 62 | 70 | 73 |  |  |
| WHT | 66 | 60 | 51 | 79 | 76 | 70 | 68 | 69 | 77 |  |  |
| FRL | 52 | 51 | 45 | 68 | 70 | 59 | 46 | 60 | 76 |  |  |
| 2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subgroups | ELA <br> Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Math Ach. | Math LG | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Math } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Sci Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { SS } \\ \text { Ach. } \end{gathered}$ | MS Accel. |  | C \& C <br> Accel <br> 2015-16 |
| SWD | 18 | 39 | 36 | 21 | 43 | 37 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| ELL | 20 | 46 | 50 | 33 | 46 | 35 | 33 |  |  |  |  |
| ASN | 91 | 71 |  | 91 | 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BLK | 32 | 40 | 34 | 49 | 62 | 51 | 19 |  | 36 |  |  |
| HSP | 48 | 51 | 45 | 54 | 56 | 41 | 45 |  | 49 |  |  |
| MUL | 56 | 54 | 50 | 75 | 75 | 60 | 40 |  | 42 |  |  |
| WHT | 66 | 60 | 49 | 72 | 66 | 51 | 64 |  | 62 |  |  |
| FRL | 49 | 51 | 41 | 57 | 59 | 46 | 46 |  | 47 |  |  |

## ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

| ESSA Federal Index | N/A |
| :--- | :---: |
| ESSA Category (TS\&I or CS\&I) | 70 |
| OVERALL Federal Index - All Students | NO |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41\% All Students | 0 |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 82 |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency | 702 |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index | 10 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index | $99 \%$ |
| Percent Tested |  |
|  | Subgroup Data |
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities | NO |
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? |  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32\% |  |
|  | English Language Learners |
| Federal Index - English Language Learners | 50 |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | NO |

## English Language Learners

Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32\%

## Native American Students

| Federal Index - Native American Students |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |

Asian Students

| Federal Index - Asian Students | 88 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |

## Black/African American Students

| Federal Index - Black/African American Students | 62 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |

## Hispanic Students

| Federal Index - Hispanic Students | 62 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |

## Multiracial Students

| Federal Index - Multiracial Students | 64 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |

## Pacific Islander Students

| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |

## White Students

| Federal Index - White Students | 72 |
| :--- | :---: |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |

Economically Disadvantaged Students

| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | 65 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |

## Analysis

## Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

## Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Brookside's lowest performing area based on the 2019 FSA data was the percent of students in the lowest quartile demonstrating learning gains in reading. Although this is the lowest point, Brookside improved in this category by seven percentage points compared to the prior year. Historically, when comparing this category to other category's it has been the lowest performing area for Brookside.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Brookside's greatest decline was in the area of Acceleration. Brookside declined five points in math acceleration. Brookside only had approximately 79\% of eligible students enroll in Algebra/Geometry and take the Algebra/Geometry EOC. Due to unforeseen circumstances, a math certified sub was teaching Algebra for a significant period of time and many students were taught by a new teacher for approximately half a school year. The pass rate from the Algebra 1 EOC dropped four percentage points impacting the overall Acceleration score.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Brookside has exceeded the state average in every category. Brookside's smallest gap between the school and state is the percent of students making learning gains in reading. In this category, we only outperformed the state by three percentage points. In this category, Brookside was one percentage point below the district average. The two prior years, Brookside had earned $56 \%$ of the points. In 2019, Brookside earned $57 \%$ of the points for a $1 \%$ increase. This category is second lowest performing and has historically been a lower performing category for Brookside.

## Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Brookside showed the most improvement in Civics. Brookside increased by twenty-one points. Last year, 2019, was the first year Brookside participated in the district wide Civics benchmark assessments. This allowed teachers and administration to track student data and compare our performance with that of other teachers and schools across the district. Civics teachers met quarterly with administration to review data and discuss plans for students to master standards, track student performance and scaffold for students when necessary. Brookside teachers worked in collaboration with Sarastoa's Middle School Social Studies Curriculum Specialist for classroom and planning support. Not only did she assist teachers with planning, but observed lessons, provided feedback and worked in classrooms in collaboration with teachers. In 2018, Brookside's advanced students did not
participate in the civics assessment (advanced students had already taken the assessment), but were able to participate in 2019. This positively impacted Brookside's overall Civics performance.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

Attendance is our top area of concern. In 2017-18, we had 174 students attending less than 90\% of school. In 2018-19, that number remained the same at 174, but our enrollment decreased by approximately 10 students.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

1. Increase the percent of students proficient in reading.
2. Increase the percent of students in the bottom quartile of reading making learning gains.
3. Increase Brookside's Acceleration percentage.
4. Increase the percentage of SWD students making learning gains in ELA.
5. Increase the percent of students making a learning gain math.

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:
Title
Rationale

## Reading Proficiency

Brookside Middle School plans to increase our reading proficiency. Our reading proficiency is currently $63 \%$ which is $1 \%$ below our district performance. One focus within this category is on our SWD. SWD showed $30 \%$ proficiency rate on the 2019 FSA reading assessment.

State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve

## Person responsible for monitoring outcome

Rationale for
Evidencebased Strategy

In the 2019-20 school year, Brookside Middle School students will increase students' reading proficiency rate (Level 3 or above) by $2 \%$ to $65 \%$ proficient in reading.

Amanda Rojas (amanda.rojas@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Review i-Ready Diagnostic Data
Review Standards Mastery Assessment Data
Write Score Lessons \& Assessment
IXL - Online program used by students to complete practice problems related to standards.
Springboard advanced textbook for Advanced students
Assess students scores at the K-3 level on i-Ready with the DAR assessment to determine needs
School Wide Writing Expectations
Evidence- Disciplinary Literacy Training for Teachers
based Strategy

Action Step

1. i-Ready Diagnostic \#1 with Data Chat \& DAR assessment for students score in the K-3 Category on the i-Ready Diagnostic
2. Learning Intention and Success Criteria Training for teachers to focus their instruction and clearly communicate the intention and standard to students.
Description 3. ELA \& ILA Support from district Middle School Language Arts Specialist
3. Data Chats with teachers and administration to discuss gaps, strategies and plan for remediation.
4. i-Ready Diagnostic \#2 with Data Chat including district support and i-ready support
5. Write Score Lessons and Assessment with data chats
[^0]
## \#2

Title
Rationale Lowest Quartile Reading
Brookside Middle School plans to increase our lowest quartile reading gains. This has transitionally been our lowest performing area.

State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve
Person
responsible
for
monitoring
outcome

Evidencebased Strategy

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy

In the 2019-20 school year, Brookside Middle School students in the bottom $25 \%$ in reading will
demonstrate learning gains by an increase of two percentage point from $54 \%$ to $56 \%$.

Amanda Rojas (amanda.rojas@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Review i-Ready Diagnostic Data
Review Standards Mastery Assessment Data
Write Score Lessons \& Assessment
IXL - Online program used by students to complete practice problems related to standards.
Assess students scores at the K-3 level on i-Ready with the DAR assessment to determine needs
School Wide Writing Expectations
IB Lesson Support from IB Coordinator
Disciplinary Literacy Training for Teachers
Quarterly Data Chats between teachers and administration to discuss progress on standards and a plan to remediate gaps
Reading intervention classes for students in quartile 1
Rewards program for students performing at the 3-5 level
Collaborative Planning time for reading teachers \& ELA teachers
Strategic placement of students in i-Ready groups for CCR
Rev it Up instruction in reading classes
Thursday Night School for students to practice skills and complete homework with Brookside teachers
Specific instruction of Approaches to Learning - communication skills, etc.
Students have a variety of needs and gaps. The combination of programs and books allows teachers to chose the best resources for their students specific to the learning their learning style. Using data to intentionally direct instruction, place students in learning groups and implement instruction allows Brookside teachers to meet the needs of students with specific needs.

1. i-Ready Diagnostic \#1 with Data Chat \& DAR assessment for students score in the K-3 Category on the i-Ready Diagnostic
2. Learning Intention and Success Criteria Training for teachers to focus their instruction and clearly communicate the intention and standard to students.
Description 3. ELA \& ILA Support from district Middle School Language Arts Specialist
3. Data Chats with teachers and administration to discuss gaps, strategies and plan for remediation.
4. i-Ready Diagnostic \#2 with Data Chat including district support and i-ready support
5. Write Score Lessons and Assessment with data chats

## Person <br> Responsible Amanda Rojas (amanda.rojas@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Title
Rationale

Math Gains
Brookside Middle School plans to increase the percent of students earning a year's worth of progress. In 2019, BrMS decreased by four points from the 2018 school year.

State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve
Person responsible for outcome

## Evidencebased Strategy

Jessica Fuesy (jessica.fuesy@sarasotacountyschools.net)
In the 2019-20 school year, Brookside Middle School students will increase the percent of student making a year's worth of growth in math by two from $69 \%$ to $71 \%$.


Review i-Ready \& Math Nation Diagnostic Data Review Standards Mastery Assessment Data
Formative - Form A Flipcharts from Standard Mastery Assessments to expose students to high level questioning
IXL Online program used by students to complete practice problems related to standards.
Big Ideas Math Textbook
IB Lesson Support from IB Coordinator
Critical thinking support class to support students in algebra 1
Day time support for Algebra 1 students and students in quartile 1
Maximizing Math Training for Algebra Teachers
Thursday Night School for students to practice skills and complete homework with
Brookside teachers
Thursday morning math help/tutoring with math certified teachers
Quarterly Data Chats between teachers and administration to discuss progress on
standards and a plan to remediate gaps
Strategic Placement of students in regular and advanced courses
Weekly collaborative Planning time for math teachers
Strategic placement of students in i-Ready groups for CCR
Rationale
for
Evidence-
based
Strategy
Action Step
As Brookside works to increase our overall proficiency in math, a large number of students are qualifying for Algebra 1 in grade 8 . The supports in place for all students are to provide a deeper understanding of the skills they are learning in the Math $1 \&$ Math 2 courses to better prepare them for the rigors of Algebra 1. Opportunities are available for students during the school day and outside of regular school hours for support.

1. i-Ready Diagnostic \#1 with Data Chat or District Benchmark assessments
2. Learning Intention and Success Criteria Training for teachers to focus their instruction and clearly communicate the intention and standard to students.

Description
3. Provide a support plan for students with a math certified teacher utilizing pull outs.
4. Quarterly Data Chats with teachers and administration to discuss gaps, strategies and plan for remediation.
5. i-Ready Diagnostic \#2 or District Benchmark Assessments with Data Chat including district support and i-ready support
Person
Responsible

## \#4

Title Math Acceleration
Rationale Brookside Middle School plans to increase the number of students accelerated. In 2019, Brookside dropped five points in this category.

State the measurable
outcome the In the 2019-20 school year, Brookside will increase the middle school acceleration score by school $6 \%$ for a total of $80 \%$.
plans to
achieve
Person
responsible
for Jessica Fuesy (jessica.fuesy@sarasotacountyschools.net)
monitoring outcome

|  | Review Algebra Nation Diagnostic Data |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | District Benchmark Assessments as a progress monitoring tool |
|  | IXL Online program used by students to complete practice problems related to standards. |
|  | Algebra/Geometry Nation with video instruction, practice books and materials |
|  | Big Ideas Math Textbook |
|  | IB Lesson Support from IB Coordinator |
|  | Mr. D's Math An online math program with video instruction and practice materials |
|  | Critical thinking support class for students needing additional instruction in algebra 1 - class meets every other day |
|  | Day time support for Algebra 1 students with a certified instructor |
|  | Maximizing Math Training for Algebra Teachers |
|  | Monitoring system for support - weekly spreadsheet of students below a $70 \%$ in algebra 1 |
|  | Tuesday morning algebra support/turoting with Algebra 1 teacher. |
|  | Wednesday afternoon algebra support/tutoring with Algebra 1 teacher. |
|  | Thursday Night School for students to practice skills and complete homework with teachers |
|  | Thursday morning math help/tutoring for students with math teacher |
|  | Quarterly Data Chats between teachers and administration to discuss progress on standards and a plan to remediate gaps |
|  | Strategic placement of students in regular and advanced courses |
|  | Weekly collaborative planning time for math teachers |
|  | Strategic placement of students in i-Ready groups for CCR |
|  | CTE Program |
|  |  |
| Rationale for | weaknesses. In the prior year, some students enrolled in Math 2 and others in Math 2 Advanced. Student taking algebra from Math 2 were not exposed to the pre-algebra |
| Evidencebased | standards and may need some remediation on those standards. Providing support outside the school day as well as during the school day can meet the needs of students and |
| Strategy | families. Two of the programs purchased for student use have videos with instruction for students to preview and review concepts learned in the classroom. |

Action Step

1. Strategically placing students in algebra, advanced math classes, geometry \& CCR classes
Description 2. Review Diagnostic Data
2. Monitor academic progress and provide additional supports as needed to individuals through a weekly spreadsheet share with the math support teacher and administration
3. Review Midterm Benchmark data and conduct a data chat with teachers and administration
4. Adjust schedules to provide additional supports for students
Person
Responsible

Jessica Fuesy (jessica.fuesy@sarasotacountyschools.net)
\#5

Title

Rationale

Social Emotional Learning
Teachers will be educated on how to guide students to solve problems and reflect on their actions. Teacher will learn to be mindful of students' mental state and the steps to take to provide additional support to students. Students will be educated on empathy, how to manage emotions, set goals, establish and maintain positive relationships.

## State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve

## Person

 responsible for monitoring outcome
## Evidence-

 based StrategyRationale for
Evidence-
based
Strategy
$100 \%$ of teachers will complete the Kognito Training. 100\% of students will participate in IB Learning Profile Trait lessons to address social and emotional learning.

Jessica Fuesy (jessica.fuesy@sarasotacountyschools.net)

Kognito Certificates from all teachers.
IB Lessons e-mailed out to teachers.
Classroom walk-throughs during IB lessons.
The PE department will complete mental health lessons provided by the district in all of their classes
PBIS rewards tied to the IB Learner Profile Trait of the month

Action Step
Teachers will be equipped with strategies for helping students work through emotions. Supporting students' social and emotional state and focusing on the whole child will assist students in being ready to learn thus increase achievement.

1. Kognito Training for teachers
2. Quarterly IB Lessons on Learner Profile Traits

Description 3. Monthly recognition of students for exemplifying IB Learner Profile Trait of the Month 4. Rewards cards for students exemplifying IB Learner Profile Traits.
5. Recognition at Cuda Quarterly Rewards for students

Person
Responsible

## Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

## After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

Although all areas of the middle school school grade are not listed in this plan, all areas will be monitored throughout the school year. Quarterly data chats between teachers and administrators will be conducted in ELA, math, science and social studies. Progress monitoring will be conducted in all subject areas through district benchmark assessments or i-Ready diagnostic data. IXL will be utilized in all content
areas to allow students to practice skills and fill in gaps. Each subject also has specific tools that will be used in the classroom to support student learning. Teachers will be provided weekly time to plan with their grade level content area as well as to plan as a grade level team and work on collaborative IB plans \& discuss MTSS data.

## Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

| 1 | III. A. | Areas of Focus: Reading Proficiency |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Lowest Quartile Reading |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 3 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Math Gains |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 4 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Math Acceleration |  |  |  | \$21,290.78 |
|  | Function | Object | Budget Focus | Funding Source | FTE | 2019-20 |
|  | 1382 | 529-Technology-Related Textbooks | 0111 - Brookside Middle School | General Fund |  | \$2,000.00 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Mr. D's Online Math program for Algebra 1 and Geometry. |  |  |  |
|  | 2110 | 140-Substitute Teachers | 0111 - Brookside Middle School | General Fund |  | \$19,290.78 |
|  |  |  | Notes: \$3818.16-Saturday School \$2545.54-Thursday Night School \$754.24-Homework help-Thursday AM \$941.72-Homework help-Wednesday AM \$9722.64 Daytime Support \$754.24-Tuesday AM \$754.24-Wednesday evening |  |  |  |
| 5 | III. A. | Areas of Focus: Social Emotional Learning |  |  |  | \$17,854.64 |
|  | Function | Object | Budget Focus | Funding Source | FTE | 2019-20 |
|  | 3376 | 239-Other | 0111 - Brookside Middle School | Other |  | \$17,854.64 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Out of county travel (4-8 Basic Instr)- $\$ 735.65$ Dues and Fees- $\$ 10,050.00$ (on hold for annual fee) Subs- $\$ 587.46$ Medicare- $\$ 7.42$ Workers comp- $\$ 18.09$ Out of county travel (Inst. Staff Training)- \$2,063.44 Dues and fees- \$4,400.00 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total: | \$39,145.42 |


[^0]:    Person
    Responsible
    Amanda Rojas (amanda.rojas@sarasotacountyschools.net)

