Duval County Public Schools

Hyde Grove Elementary School



2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

| School Demographics | 3 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
| School Information | 7 |
| Needs Assessment | 11 |
| Planning for Improvement | 14 |
| Title I Requirements | 15 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 16 |

Hyde Grove Elementary School

2056 LANE AVE S, Jacksonville, FL 32210

http://www.duvalschools.org/hydegrove

Demographics

Principal: Erica Little Bartley

Start Date for this Principal: 8/2/2019

| 2019-20 Status (per MSID File) | Active |
|---|--|
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | Elementary School PK-2 |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education |
| 2018-19 Title I School | Yes |
| 2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 100% |
| 2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* White Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students* |
| School Grades History | 2018-19: No Grade 2017-18: No Grade 2016-17: No Grade 2015-16: D (36%) 2014-15: F (29%) |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info | ormation* |
| SI Region | Northeast |
| Regional Executive Director | Cassandra Brusca |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A |
| Year | |
| Support Tier | |
| ESSA Status | TS&I |

* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.

School Board Approval

This plan was approved by the Duval County School Board on 10/1/2019.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| | |
| School Information | 7 |
| | |
| Needs Assessment | 11 |
| | |
| Planning for Improvement | 14 |
| | |
| Title I Requirements | 15 |
| | |
| Budget to Support Goals | 16 |

Hyde Grove Elementary School

2056 LANE AVE S, Jacksonville, FL 32210

http://www.duvalschools.org/hydegrove

School Demographics

| School Type and Gi (per MSID | | 2018-19 Title I School | l Disadvant | Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3) |
|---------------------------------|----------|------------------------|-------------|--|
| Elementary S PK-2 | School | No | | % |
| Primary Servio (per MSID I | • • | Charter School | (Reporte | Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2) |
| K-12 General E | ducation | No | | % |
| School Grades Histo | ory | | | |
| Year | 2015-16 | 2014-15 | 2013-14 | 2012-13 |
| Grade | D | F* | F | F |

School Board Approval

This plan was approved by the Duval County School Board on 10/1/2019.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Our vision is that every student is inspired and prepared for success in college or a career, and life.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Our mission at Hyde Grove Early Learning Center is to provide educational excellence in our school, in every classroom, for every student, every day.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

| Name | Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---|
| Little-Bartley, Erica | Principal | Effective leadership begins with the development of a school wide vision of commitment to high standards and the success of all students. The principal's role is to spell out the vision and get all stakeholders on board. The principal will achieve this by: 1. Shaping a vision of academic success for all students 2. Creating a climate that fosters a positive, safe learning environment 3. Cultivating leadership in others so that all stakeholders assume their role in fulfilling the school vision; 4. Improving instruction though professional development opportunities 5. Conducting frequent data chats in order to create the growth mindset in all teachers. The principal will be present in classrooms to provide feedback and support and will participate in common planning and Professional Learning Communities in the areas of reading, mathematics, science, student data analysis and discipline. The Instructional Coach will provide support for both new teachers and teachers identified as needing tier 2 or tier 3 support. The coaches will provide support by: Promoting a knowledge base of effective strategies, assisting teachers by demonstration and modeling, practicing strategies and providing feedback through peer coaching, planning with teachers, facilitating on-site professional learning during early dismissal training that is content focused and by participating in on-going and extensive professional development via Instruction Intervention Team Cycles. The Reading Interventionists will provide additional support for students in the Lowest Quartile through data driven small group instruction. Interventionists will report progress with small groups during Leadership Team Meetings |
| Jackman, Katasha | Assistant Principal | Effective leadership begins with the development of a school wide vision of commitment to high standards and the success of all students. The principal's role is to spell out the vision and get all stakeholders on board. The principal will achieve this by: 1. Shaping a vision of academic success for all students 2. Creating a climate that fosters a positive, safe learning environment 3. Cultivating leadership in others so that all stakeholders assume |

| Name | Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|------|-------|--|
| | | their role in fulfilling the school |
| | | vision; 4. Improving instruction though professional development opportunities |
| | | 5. Conducting frequent data chats in order to create the growth mindset in all teachers. |
| | | The principal will be present in classrooms to provide feedback and support and will |
| | | participate in common planning and Professional Learning Communities in the areas of |
| | | reading, mathematics, science, student data analysis and discipline. The Instructional Coach will provide support for both new teachers and teachers |
| | | identified as needing tier 2 or tier 3 support. The coaches will provide support by: |
| | | Promoting a knowledge base of effective strategies, assisting teachers by demonstration |
| | | and modeling, practicing strategies and providing feedback through peer coaching, |
| | | planning with teachers, facilitating on-site professional learning during early dismissal |
| | | training that is content focused and by participating in on-going and extensive |
| | | professional development via Instruction Intervention Team Cycles. The Reading Interventionists will provide additional support for students in the Lowest |
| | | Quartile through data driven small group instruction. Interventionists will report progress |
| | | with small groups during Leadership Team Meetings |

Thompson, Instructional Lola Coach

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-----|-----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled | 123 | 138 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 391 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| mulcator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | rotai |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|--|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total | |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)

Date this data was collected or last updated

Friday 8/2/2019

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | Grade Level | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Attendance below 90 percent | | |
| One or more suspensions | | |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | | |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | | |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| ludiosto v | Crede Level | Tatal |
|------------|-------------|-------|
| Indicator | Grade Level | Total |
| | 0.000 =0.00 | |

Students with two or more indicators

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|--|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total | |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | | | | | Gr | ade | e Le | vel | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| School Grade Component | | 2019 | | 2018 | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--|
| School Grade Component | School | District | State | School | District | State | |
| ELA Achievement | 0% | 50% | 57% | 0% | 49% | 55% | |
| ELA Learning Gains | 0% | 56% | 58% | 0% | 56% | 57% | |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile | 0% | 50% | 53% | 0% | 54% | 52% | |
| Math Achievement | 0% | 62% | 63% | 0% | 62% | 61% | |
| Math Learning Gains | 0% | 63% | 62% | 0% | 63% | 61% | |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | 0% | 52% | 51% | 0% | 54% | 51% | |
| Science Achievement | 0% | 48% | 53% | 0% | 50% | 51% | |

| EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey | | | | | | |
|---|---------|-----------|---------|---------|--|--|
| lu di acta u | Grade L | reported) | Total | | | |
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | Total | | |
| Number of students enrolled | 123 (0) | 138 (0) | 130 (0) | 391 (0) | | |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 () | 0 () | 0 () | 0 (0) | | |
| One or more suspensions | 0 () | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | | |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 () | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | | |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 () | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | | |

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

| | | | | ELA | | |
|-------|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| | | | | MATH | | |
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| | | | | SCIENCE | | - |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | Cohool |
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |

Subgroup Data

| | 2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2017-18 | C & C Accel 2017-18 |
| SWD | 3 | 42 | 50 | 11 | 48 | 71 | 7 | | | | |
| BLK | 22 | 46 | 65 | 31 | 48 | 63 | 7 | | | | |
| HSP | 14 | 53 | | 20 | 47 | | | | | | |
| MUL | 25 | | | 25 | | | | | | | |
| WHT | 33 | 42 | | 68 | 58 | | | | | | |
| FRL | 22 | 45 | 68 | 34 | 47 | 61 | 7 | | | | |
| | | 2018 | SCHOO | OL GRAD | E COMF | ONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2016-17 | C & C Accel 2016-17 |
| | 2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2015-16 | C & C Accel 2015-16 |

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

| ESSA Federal Index | |
|---|------|
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I) | TS&I |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students | 42 |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students | NO |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 4 |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency | |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index | 295 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index | 7 |
| Percent Tested | 99% |

Students With Disabilities Federal Index - Students With Disabilities Students With Disabilities 33 Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%

| English Language Learners | | | |
|---|-----|--|--|
| Federal Index - English Language Learners | | | |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A | | |

| English Language Learners | |
|--|-----|
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Native American Students | |
| Federal Index - Native American Students | |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Asian Students | |
| Federal Index - Asian Students | |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Black/African American Students | |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students | 40 |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Hispanic Students | |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students | 34 |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Multiracial Students | |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students | 25 |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Pacific Islander Students | |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students | |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| White Students | |
| Federal Index - White Students | 50 |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% | |

| Economically Disadvantaged Students | |
|--|----|
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | 41 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32% | |

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Our students do not take the FSA

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Our students do not take the FSA

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Our students do not take the FSA

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Our students do not take the FSA

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

Our students do not take the FSA

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Our students do not take the FSA
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

| #1 | |
|--|----------------------------|
| Title | |
| Rationale | |
| State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve | |
| Person responsible for monitoring outcome | [no one identified] |
| Evidence-based Strategy | |
| Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy | |
| Action Step | |
| Description | 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. |
| Person Responsible | [no one identified] |

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

Part IV: Title I Requirements

Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Schoolwide Improvement Plan to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

Our overarching goal is to increase family engagement through increased visibility in the community. By partnering with a growing number of community organizations, families will become more aware of the events hosted by Hyde Grove and will feel more comfortable attending.

PFEP Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

Our school will implement Calm Classroom, Sanford Harmony, and our Full Service partnership to address the social and emotional needs of our students. Our teachers will also implement Wellness Wednesday lessons on each Early Release Day.

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

We will implement a continuous monitoring plan to address student transition.

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

Parent input is vital to the formulation of the school's Parent and Family Engagement Involvement Plan. Workshops will include Literacy Night, Math Night, Science Night, and more experiences that will enhance learning at home. Minutes from all Title 1 meetings will be taken to document parent involvement and input. Evaluations and surveys will be used to determine the effectiveness of the programs offered by the school and of our school-wide family engagement. Then the notes and evaluations will be submitted to the Title I office.

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

We will utilize AVID strategies as well as teaching to the Florida Standards to prepare students for college and career readiness.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

| 1 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: | \$0.00 |
|---|--------|-----------------|--------|
| | | Total: | \$0.00 |