Bay District Schools

Patronis Elementary School



2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

| 3 |
|----|
| |
| 4 |
| |
| 7 |
| |
| 9 |
| |
| 14 |
| 16 |
| 10 |
| 17 |
| |

Patronis Elementary School

7400 PATRONIS DR, Panama City Beach, FL 32408

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Brooke Loyed

Start Date for this Principal: 8/16/2019

| 2019-20 Status (per MSID File) | Active |
|---|---|
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | Elementary School KG-5 |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education |
| 2018-19 Title I School | No |
| 2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 44% |
| 2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students |
| School Grades History | 2018-19: A (67%) 2017-18: A (71%) 2016-17: B (59%) 2015-16: A (64%) 2014-15: A (74%) |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info | rmation* |
| SI Region | Northwest |
| Regional Executive Director | Rachel Heide |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A |
| Year | |
| Support Tier | |

| ESSA Status | N/A |
|--|----------------------------------|
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. Fo | or more information, click here. |

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Bay County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| School Information | 7 |
| Needs Assessment | 9 |
| Planning for Improvement | 14 |
| Title I Requirements | 16 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 17 |

Patronis Elementary School

7400 PATRONIS DR, Panama City Beach, FL 32408

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

| School Type and Gi (per MSID | | 2018-19 Title I Schoo | l Disadvan | Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3) |
|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------------|------------|--|
| Elementary S KG-5 | School | No | | 46% |
| Primary Servio (per MSID I | • • | Charter School | (Reporte | Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2) |
| K-12 General E | ducation | No | | 21% |
| School Grades Histo | ory | | | |
| Year | 2018-19 | 2017-18 | 2016-17 | 2015-16 |
| Grade | Α | A | В | А |

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Bay County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Our mission statement is Patronis Elementary School teachers, administrators, parents, and the community share the responsibility to provide a variety of curriculum, instructional, and assessment opportunities with the high expectation for every student to become a competent self-directed lifelong learner.

Provide the school's vision statement.

We believe each student is important; Every student can learn; Some students need more time; All students can become responsible for their learning; Learning takes place in an orderly, caring environment. We also share in the district vision/mission of Every Child, Every Day.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

| Name | Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| Spivey, Ellie | Principal | |
| Loyed, Brooke | Assistant Principal | |
| Hull, Anna | Teacher, K-12 | |
| Senn, Carol | Instructional Media | |
| Vines, Cyrethia | Teacher, K-12 | |
| Mathis, Michele | Teacher, ESE | |
| Porter, Brenda | Teacher, K-12 | |
| Holbrook, Debra | Teacher, K-12 | |
| Good, Amy | Teacher, K-12 | |
| Pipkorn, Lorey | Teacher, K-12 | |
| Jackson, Katy | Teacher, K-12 | |
| West, Lori | Teacher, K-12 | |
| Liggin, Chip | Teacher, K-12 | |
| Kirkland, Kenneth | Teacher, K-12 | |
| Parsons, Kimberly | Teacher, K-12 | |
| Bray, Christina | Teacher, K-12 | |
| McKenzie, Katie | Teacher, K-12 | |

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator | | | | | Grad | e Lev | el | | | | | | | Total |
|---------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|-------|----|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled | 100 | 125 | 107 | 111 | 117 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 692 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 18 | 21 | 16 | 21 | 19 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|--|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total | |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | |

FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)

52

Date this data was collected or last updated

Friday 8/16/2019

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 29 | 26 | 24 | 26 | 17 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141 |
| One or more suspensions | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 18 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|--|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total | |
| Students with two or more indicators | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | |

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|--|
| mulcator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total | |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 29 | 26 | 24 | 26 | 17 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141 | |
| One or more suspensions | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 18 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | Total | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|-------|----|----|-------|
| Indicator | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| School Grade Component | | 2019 | | 2018 | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--|
| School Grade Component | School | District | State | School | District | State | |
| ELA Achievement | 76% | 55% | 57% | 71% | 49% | 55% | |
| ELA Learning Gains | 63% | 59% | 58% | 59% | 54% | 57% | |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile | 61% | 57% | 53% | 41% | 55% | 52% | |
| Math Achievement | 79% | 56% | 63% | 73% | 52% | 61% | |
| Math Learning Gains | 65% | 54% | 62% | 65% | 55% | 61% | |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | 65% | 42% | 51% | 41% | 48% | 51% | |
| Science Achievement | 60% | 53% | 53% | 61% | 44% | 51% | |

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

| Indicator | | Grade Level (prior year reported) | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| Indicator | K | 1 2 | | 3 | 4 | 5 | Total | | | | |
| Number of students enrolled | 100 (0) | 125 (0) | 107 (0) | 111 (0) | 117 (0) | 132 (0) | 692 (0) | | | | |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 18 (29) | 21 (26) | 16 (24) | 21 (26) | 19 (17) | 18 (19) | 113 (141) | | | | |
| One or more suspensions | 0 (5) | 6 (1) | 3 (4) | 3 (1) | 5 (8) | 2 (3) | 19 (22) | | | | |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 (0) | 1 (4) | 2 (5) | 1 (1) | 0 (6) | 3 (0) | 7 (16) | | | | |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 4 (6) | 5 (18) | 12 (20) | 21 (44) | | | | |

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

| | | | ELA | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|--------|------------------------------------|-----|-------------------|-----|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | School District School- Comparison | | School District D | | State | School- State Comparison |
| 03 | 2019 | 77% | 61% | 16% | 58% | 19% | | |
| | 2018 | 85% | 57% | 28% | 57% | 28% | | |
| Same Grade C | omparison | -8% | | | | | | |
| Cohort Com | parison | | | | | | | |
| 04 | 2019 | 77% | 58% | 19% | 58% | 19% | | |
| | 2018 | 67% | 51% | 16% | 56% | 11% | | |
| Same Grade C | omparison | 10% | | | | | | |
| Cohort Com | parison | -8% | | | | | | |
| 05 | 2019 | 68% | 56% | 12% | 56% | 12% | | |
| | 2018 | 71% | 50% | 21% | 55% | 16% | | |
| Same Grade C | omparison | -3% | | | | | | |
| Cohort Com | parison | 1% | | | | | | |

| | | | MATH | | | |
|--------------|-----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 03 | 2019 | 81% | 62% | 19% | 62% | 19% |
| | 2018 | 92% | 63% | 29% | 62% | 30% |
| Same Grade C | omparison | -11% | | | | |
| Cohort Com | parison | | | | | |
| 04 | 2019 | 84% | 59% | 25% | 64% | 20% |
| | 2018 | 79% | 59% | 20% | 62% | 17% |
| Same Grade C | omparison | 5% | | | | |
| Cohort Com | parison | -8% | | | | |
| 05 | 2019 | 65% | 54% | 11% | 60% | 5% |
| | 2018 | 79% | 57% | 22% | 61% | 18% |
| Same Grade C | omparison | -14% | | | | |
| Cohort Com | parison | -14% | | | | |

| | | | SCIENCE | | | |
|-----------------------|---------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 05 | 2019 | 59% | 54% | 5% | 53% | 6% |
| | 2018 | 70% | 54% | 16% | 55% | 15% |
| Same Grade Comparison | | -11% | | | | |
| Cohort Com | parison | | | | | |

Subgroup Data

| | 2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2017-18 | C & C Accel 2017-18 |
| SWD | 59 | 56 | 50 | 78 | 58 | 67 | 47 | | | | |

| | | 2019 | SCHOO | DL GRAD | E COMP | PONENT | S BY SI | JBGRO | UPS | | |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2017-18 | C & C Accel 2017-18 |
| HSP | 80 | 43 | | 75 | 31 | | | | | | |
| MUL | 72 | 71 | | 76 | 79 | | | | | | |
| WHT | 75 | 64 | 58 | 79 | 65 | 66 | 59 | | | | |
| FRL | 66 | 58 | 65 | 71 | 56 | 60 | 47 | | | | |
| | | 2018 | SCHO | DL GRAD | E COMF | ONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2016-17 | C & C Accel 2016-17 |
| SWD | 52 | 53 | 48 | 74 | 63 | 53 | 47 | | | | |
| BLK | 41 | | | 63 | | | | | | | |
| HSP | 64 | 93 | | 76 | 71 | | | | | | |
| MUL | 68 | 64 | | 72 | 90 | | | | | | |
| WHT | 77 | 65 | 48 | 87 | 80 | 68 | 77 | | | | |
| FRL | 62 | 58 | 43 | 76 | 78 | 70 | 64 | | | | |
| | | 2017 | SCHO | DL GRAD | E COMF | ONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2015-16 | C & C Accel 2015-16 |
| SWD | 42 | 51 | 36 | 49 | 43 | 35 | 32 | | | | |
| BLK | 38 | 50 | | 46 | 50 | | | | | | |
| HSP | 70 | 64 | | 74 | 50 | | | | | | |
| MUL | 58 | 60 | | 42 | 47 | | | | | | |
| WHT | 74 | 58 | 38 | 75 | 67 | 46 | 63 | | | | |
| FRL | 59 | 49 | 37 | 62 | 56 | 39 | 47 | | | | |

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

| This data has been updated for the 2016-19 school year as of 7/16/2019. | | | | | |
|---|-----|--|--|--|--|
| ESSA Federal Index | | | | | |
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I) | | | | | |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students | 67 | | | | |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students | NO | | | | |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 0 | | | | |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency | | | | | |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index | 469 | | | | |
| Total Components for the Federal Index | 7 | | | | |
| Percent Tested | 99% | | | | |
| Subgroup Data | | | | | |
| Students With Disabilities | | | | | |
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Students With Disabilities | |
|--|-----|
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% | |
| English Language Learners | |
| Federal Index - English Language Learners | |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Native American Students | |
| Federal Index - Native American Students | |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Asian Students | |
| Federal Index - Asian Students | |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Black/African American Students | |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students | |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Hispanic Students | |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students | 57 |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Multiracial Students | |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students | 75 |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Pacific Islander Students | |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students | |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| | |

| White Students | |
|--|----|
| Federal Index - White Students | 67 |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | |
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | 60 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32% | |

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Science Achievement (60%) and ELA Lowest 25th Percentile (61%) were our two lowest data components. Science was down 12 points. However, ELA Lowest 25th Percentile was actually up 10 points (from 51% last year). Due to Hurricane Michael, the lost weeks of instruction had a direct impact on our science curriculum and ELA curriculum.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Math Learning Gains (all students) had the greatest decline from the prior year (down from 79% to 65% 14points). Again, loss of instructional time due to Hurricane Michael had an impact since math is such a building curriculum.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Although we were higher than the state and district averages in all areas, we were closer to the state average in Math Learning Gains (all students). We were above the state average by 3pts.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

ELA Lowest 25th Percentile showed the most improvement with a ten point increase over last year. Even though it was our lowest area percentage wise, we are very proud of the improvements made due to an emphasis in last year's SIP to focus on this group. Our ESE and MTSS intervention students comprised the majority of the list. We dedicated man power, resources, creative schedulng, and other such things to help with these increases. We kept a current and fluid list of low quartile all year so teachers and staff would know who our low quartile students were at all times.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

Although our numbers look better when compared to 2018, our school would still like to focus on discipline referral numbers, especially students receiving multiple D.R.'s in a school year.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. ELA Learning Gains (emphasis on low quartile and emphasis on SWD and MTSS)
- 2. Science Achievement (through reading emphasis)
- 3. Discipline referral reduction

Part III: Planning for Improvement

| Areas of Focus: | |
|--|--|
| #1 | |
| Title | ELA Reading |
| Rationale | Reading achievement and learning gains continue to be our area of importance and improvement and have over the last several years. We will also add that we expect science achievement to increase as we improve reading scores due to the reading skills it involves. |
| State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve | Increase ELA High Standards by two percentage points (from 76% to 78%). Increase ELA Learning Gains by two points (from 63% to 65%). Increase ELA Lowest 25th Percentile by two points (from 61% to 63%). |
| Person responsible for monitoring outcome | Ellie Spivey (spivees@bay.k12.fl.us) |
| Evidence-based Strategy | Begin implementation of the new EL curriculum that has been purchased for all schools. PLC's will take an active role this year in the research, planning, teaching and assessment. |
| Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy | EL curriculum is being used this year. All teachers have been trained and we will continue to send anyone to training who needs additional help. |
| Action Step | |
| Description | Distribute low quartile ELA list to all stakeholders. Careful master schedule planning for SWD and for MTSS interventions to utilize time and manpower Administration to attend PLC meetings to help with any reading issues Attend EL trainings-Teachers, administrators, staff Admin will attend inservice or walk throughs related to EL (cord of three, school walkthroughs CWT) ELA liaison will attend district meetings and bring back info to grade level (K-5 reps) Utilize expertise of a reading coach (especially for teachers needing modeling or teachers who have questions about EL) |
| Person Responsible | Ellie Spivey (spivees@bay.k12.fl.us) |

| #2 | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Title | Behavior Goal | | |
| Rationale | In studying our behavior data, we have reduced the number of discipline referrals, and we would like to continue the trend. We will reduce disruptive behavior and build a positive school climate through continued implementaion of the school-wide Tier I Behavior Plan. | | |
| State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve | Decrease discipline referrals by 2 percent. In 2017-2018 we had 221 referrals and in 2018-2019 we had 155 (a decrease of 30%). The top discipline codes were 1. Inappropriate Behavior/Language (106) 2. Defiance/Disrespect (24) 3. Disruption Classroom (17). Top reporters were bus driver, 3rd grade and 2nd grade teacher, and Kindergarten teacher. We had 33 detentions, 29 OSS, and 23 ISS. Third grade had the highest number of referrals. We had a dramatic decrease in referrals in 2018-2019 even a year when the mental health issues in Bay county were on the rise post Hurricane Michael. | | |
| Person responsible for monitoring outcome | Michele Mathis (mathim@bay.k12.fl.us) | | |
| Evidence- based Strategy | *Promise Paraprofessional in place to return students to class after brief time with her to learn how to deal with issues they are having (can utilize Merrell's Strong Kids (SEL social skills curriculum) *Special Area will utilize character education/character traits monthly and recognition for K-5 monthly *School-wide expectations in place, posted, taught and reviewed (many utilizing morning meetings also) *MTSS Behavior data chats *Special Area Behavior Team meets weekly *Utilize mental health resources available-PanCare, Kiosks, Community of Care referral, guidance | | |
| Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy | We are using strategies that we have been provided and trained on through district and school staff. | | |
| Action Step | | | |
| Description | Promise para intervention Special area character ed for whole school Tier I implemented through entire school MTSS Behavior data chats Utilize Mental Health resources-Mentors from Elevate Bay, Telehealth, guidance | | |

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

Ellie Spivey (spivees@bay.k12.fl.us)

counselors, etc.

Person

Responsible

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

Part IV: Title I Requirements

Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Schoolwide Improvement Plan to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

Patronis is not a Title I school.

Our school hosts several different events in order to encourage parents and teachers to join our PTO. Our very active PTO is an excellent way for all parents to become involved as it provides a multitude of flexible opportunities to volunteer. Surveys are sent out in order to determine how parents would best like to become involved. Later, the PTO board contacts parents based on their responses.

The School Volunteer Program is another way in which parents and other family members are invited to become active and involved members of our school family.

Our Fall Open House and Spaghetti Dinner later in the year are also ways we encourage our families to see what is happening in our school.

School Orientation K-5 paves the way at the beginning of each school year as students and families are welcomed to our campus.

Our School Advisory Council is made up of teachers, administrators, parents, community leaders, and business partners. Team leaders, administrators, and guests share current events and other exciting information taking place in the life of our school.

Teachers at our school encourage all of our parents to join and utilize Parent Portal. Information regarding its access, purpose, and use are frequently included in weekly newsletters, emails, and website updates provided by teachers.

Our Media Specialist maintains the Patronis Elementary School website so that families may have access to a wide variety of resources. Links to teacher emails and class websites are readily available. The school Google Calendar is also posted on the homepage with events updated frequently.

Our PTO keeps a very active Facebook page along with our school Facebook page that is updated by staff.

Our Social Media school contact also keeps our Patronis pages up to date with useful information.

PFEP Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

Navy base employees mentoring and Elevate Bay

Navy base contracted counselor

ESE Nurse

Pan Care Nurse Tech and Pan Care Nurse

2 school counselors who conduct small group and individual counseling sessions

School Psychologist for evaluations

Backpack Blessings Services, school supplies, clothing through Woodlawn, Gulf Beach Baptist, Destiny Worship Center, Junior League

MTSS Problem Solving Process: Tier 2: social skills, ZooU, Check In/Check Out, Mentoring; Tier 3:

Individualized Functional Behavioral Assessments and Positive Behavioral Intervention Plans

Resources: District Social Workers, District Behavior Interventionists, Outside providers of counseling, Elevate Bay and Community partners

Promise Para Program

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

We no longer have Prek at our school. However, we two guidance counselors who handle transitions for K-2. Child Find is promoted to parents who have students younger than K. Classes are set up with equal ratio of male to female as much as possible. MTSS is started as soon as deficiencies in academics or behavior are demonstrated. Parent alerts and phone calls home help with discipline. Paraprofessionals provided in every Kindergarten classroom during reading block. Staggered start also done so that there is a lower student to teacher ratio on the first days of school. Readiness screeners are also conducted by K teachers to see how well PreK programs are working. ESE services provided for Kindergarten students who qualify--also speech and language/OT, PT. Gifted identification begins in Kindergarten.

Fifth grade students and teachers visit our zoned middle School--Surfside in the spring of each school year through an organized field trip. Students are provided information and are allowed to tour the school and ask about electives and core courses. Parents are invited to a family meeting and are provided forms to complete with student input. Teachers provide additional assessemnt information and complete recommendations for proper class placements.

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

MTSS team will meet monthly. The team may meet more often at beginning of the school year. The team functions to conduct review of MAP assessments, classroom assessments, common assessments, FSA data, and other Universal Screening data to match interventions to student needs and stakeholder accountability. The team ensures MTSS professional development is provided to staff. The team is responsible for school-wide implementation. The team provides training and coaching to school staff. School administrators will use individual student performance data to determine activities and the MTSS structures needed to best meet the needs of their students. The MTSS process will be integrated in the District Reading Plan, District Student Progression Plan, and School Improvement Plan.

Patronis does not receive Title I funding. Title II, etc. funds district personnel and initiatives that benefit our school. Social workers, OT/PT, ESE Resource teachers, School psychologists, behavior specialists, MTSS personnel, Reading specialists, etc. all help coordinate services at our school. SESIR training and anti-bully training are provided to the school and then to individual classes and groups of students. Patronis participates in the federal nutrition program and also has 44% either on free or reduced breakfast/lunch. High mobility rate lends itself to needing more resources from resources for Homeless.

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

NA

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

| 1 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: ELA Reading | \$0.00 |
|---|--------|-------------------------------|--------|
| 2 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Behavior Goal | \$0.00 |
| | | Total: | \$0.00 |