

Bay District Schools

# Hiland Park Elementary School



## 2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

---

## Table of Contents

---

<b>School Demographics</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Purpose and Outline of the SIP</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>School Information</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Needs Assessment</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Planning for Improvement</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Title I Requirements</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Budget to Support Goals</b>	<b>21</b>

# Hiland Park Elementary School

2507 E BALDWIN RD, Panama City, FL 32405

[ no web address on file ]

## Demographics

**Principal: Fa IR Cloth Ilea**

Start Date for this Principal: 6/30/2019

<b>2019-20 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
<b>School Type and Grades Served</b> (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
<b>Primary Service Type</b> (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
<b>2018-19 Title I School</b>	Yes
<b>2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate</b> (as reported on Survey 3)	91%
<b>2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
<b>School Grades History</b>	2018-19: C (46%) 2017-18: C (45%) 2016-17: C (43%) 2015-16: D (38%) 2014-15: D (40%)
<b>2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*</b>	
<b>SI Region</b>	Northwest
<b>Regional Executive Director</b>	<a href="#">Rachel Heide</a>
<b>Turnaround Option/Cycle</b>	N/A
<b>Year</b>	
<b>Support Tier</b>	

ESSA Status	TS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, <a href="#">click here</a> .	

## School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Bay County School Board.

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

1. have a school grade of D or F
2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at [www.floridacims.org](http://www.floridacims.org).

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

---

## Table of Contents

---

<b>Purpose and Outline of the SIP</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>School Information</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Needs Assessment</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>Planning for Improvement</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Title I Requirements</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>Budget to Support Goals</b>	<b>21</b>

## Hiland Park Elementary School

2507 E BALDWIN RD, Panama City, FL 32405

[ no web address on file ]

### School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2018-19 Title I School	2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Elementary School PK-5	Yes	81%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	No	50%

### School Grades History

Year	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17	2015-16
Grade	C	C	C	D

### School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Bay County School Board.

### SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <https://www.floridacims.org>.

### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## Part I: School Information

### School Mission and Vision

#### **Provide the school's mission statement.**

Every Child, Every Day!

The mission of Hiland Park Elementary is to develop the whole child by empowering leaders and creating an atmosphere of excellence and happiness.

Each day, our students recite our LEAD Pledge. At Hiland Park Elementary we are:

Learning Together

Empowering Others

Achieving Goals

Discovering Our Potential

Updated Summer 2019

#### **Provide the school's vision statement.**

Our vision is to prepare lifelong learners to be productive members of society and to own their future.  
#HPELeads

Updated Summer 2019

### School Leadership Team

#### **Membership**

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Faircloth, Ilea	Principal	<p>As principal, it is vital we begin with the end in mind and monitor the implementation and progress of academic, behavior, and emotional learning goals for all students.</p> <p>As administrators, it is our responsibility to guide conversations about data and curriculum content, engage all stakeholders, and provide shared leadership opportunities.</p>
Frowert, Lora	Assistant Principal	<p>As assistant administrator, it is vital we begin with the end in mind and monitor the implementation and progress of academic, behavior, and emotional learning goals for all students.</p> <p>As administrators, it is our responsibility to guide conversations about data and curriculum content, engage all stakeholders, and provide shared leadership opportunities.</p>
Pitts, Angela	Other	<p>As a SLP/teacher representative on SBLT, it is vital we begin with the end in mind and monitor the implementation and progress of academic, behavior, and emotional learning goals for all students.</p> <p>As teachers, it is our responsibility to participate in conversations about data and curriculum content, engage all stakeholders, and participate in shared leadership opportunities.</p>
McNeal, Jaclyn	Teacher, K-12	<p>As a teacher representative on SBLT, it is vital we begin with the end in mind and monitor the implementation and progress of academic, behavior, and emotional learning goals for all students.</p> <p>As teachers, it is our responsibility to participate in conversations about data and curriculum content, engage all stakeholders, and participate in shared leadership opportunities.</p>
Pontiff, Kelly	Teacher, K-12	<p>As a teacher representative on SBLT, it is vital we begin with the end in mind and monitor the implementation and progress of academic, behavior, and emotional learning goals for all students.</p> <p>As teachers, it is our responsibility to participate in conversations about data and curriculum content, engage all stakeholders, and participate in shared leadership opportunities.</p>
Musser, Teresa	Teacher, K-12	<p>As a teacher representative on SBLT, it is vital we begin with the end in mind and monitor the implementation and progress of academic, behavior, and emotional learning goals for all students.</p> <p>As teachers, it is our responsibility to participate in conversations about data and curriculum content, engage all stakeholders, and participate in shared leadership opportunities.</p>



Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Davis, Kristal	Teacher, ESE	<p>As a teacher representative on SBLT, it is vital we begin with the end in mind and monitor the implementation and progress of academic, behavior, and emotional learning goals for all students.</p> <p>As teachers, it is our responsibility to participate in conversations about data and curriculum content, engage all stakeholders, and participate in shared leadership opportunities.</p>
Scola, Gigi	Teacher, K-12	<p>As a teacher representative on SBLT, it is vital we begin with the end in mind and monitor the implementation and progress of academic, behavior, and emotional learning goals for all students.</p> <p>As teachers, it is our responsibility to participate in conversations about data and curriculum content, engage all stakeholders, and participate in shared leadership opportunities.</p>
Breland, Steve	Teacher, K-12	<p>As a teacher representative on SBLT, it is vital we begin with the end in mind and monitor the implementation and progress of academic, behavior, and emotional learning goals for all students.</p> <p>As teachers, it is our responsibility to participate in conversations about data and curriculum content, engage all stakeholders, and participate in shared leadership opportunities.</p>
Baggett, Melanie	Teacher, K-12	<p>As a teacher representative on SBLT, it is vital we begin with the end in mind and monitor the implementation and progress of academic, behavior, and emotional learning goals for all students.</p> <p>As teachers, it is our responsibility to participate in conversations about data and curriculum content, engage all stakeholders, and participate in shared leadership opportunities.</p>
faircim@bay.k12.fl.us, Jennifer	Teacher, K-12	<p>As a teacher representative on SBLT, it is vital we begin with the end in mind and monitor the implementation and progress of academic, behavior, and emotional learning goals for all students.</p> <p>As teachers, it is our responsibility to participate in conversations about data and curriculum content, engage all stakeholders, and participate in shared leadership opportunities.</p>

## Early Warning Systems

### Current Year

**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	86	71	77	84	71	81	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	470
Attendance below 90 percent	21	14	16	18	12	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	95
One or more suspensions	1	7	2	1	9	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	1	4	4	3	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	9	19	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	56

**The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	1	4	4	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23

**The number of students identified as retainees:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	8	2	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	6	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16

**FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)**

45

**Date this data was collected or last updated**

Friday 9/13/2019

**Prior Year - As Reported**

**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Attendance below 90 percent	57	35	22	27	35	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	203
One or more suspensions	16	6	5	7	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	56
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	16	8	17	8	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	55
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	47	54	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	135

**The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	12	8	5	27	31	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	107

**Prior Year - Updated**

**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Attendance below 90 percent	57	35	22	27	35	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	203
One or more suspensions	16	6	5	7	11	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	56
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	16	8	17	8	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	55
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	47	54	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	135

**The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	12	8	5	27	31	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	107

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

### School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2019			2018		
	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	43%	55%	57%	49%	49%	55%
ELA Learning Gains	52%	59%	58%	52%	54%	57%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	62%	57%	53%	49%	55%	52%
Math Achievement	44%	56%	63%	42%	52%	61%
Math Learning Gains	46%	54%	62%	42%	55%	61%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	32%	42%	51%	28%	48%	51%
Science Achievement	41%	53%	53%	38%	44%	51%

### EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

Indicator	Grade Level (prior year reported)						Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	
Number of students enrolled	86 (0)	71 (0)	77 (0)	84 (0)	71 (0)	81 (0)	470 (0)
Attendance below 90 percent	21 (57)	14 (35)	16 (22)	18 (27)	12 (35)	14 (27)	95 (203)
One or more suspensions	1 (16)	7 (6)	2 (5)	1 (7)	9 (11)	8 (11)	28 (56)
Course failure in ELA or Math	0 (0)	1 (16)	4 (8)	4 (17)	3 (8)	7 (6)	19 (55)
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	9 (47)	19 (54)	28 (34)	56 (135)

### Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
03	2019	47%	61%	-14%	58%	-11%
	2018	45%	57%	-12%	57%	-12%
Same Grade Comparison		2%				
Cohort Comparison						
04	2019	43%	58%	-15%	58%	-15%
	2018	34%	51%	-17%	56%	-22%
Same Grade Comparison		9%				
Cohort Comparison		-2%				
05	2019	39%	56%	-17%	56%	-17%
	2018	49%	50%	-1%	55%	-6%
Same Grade Comparison		-10%				
Cohort Comparison		5%				

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
03	2019	48%	62%	-14%	62%	-14%
	2018	53%	63%	-10%	62%	-9%
Same Grade Comparison		-5%				
Cohort Comparison						
04	2019	48%	59%	-11%	64%	-16%
	2018	43%	59%	-16%	62%	-19%
Same Grade Comparison		5%				
Cohort Comparison		-5%				
05	2019	30%	54%	-24%	60%	-30%
	2018	57%	57%	0%	61%	-4%
Same Grade Comparison		-27%				
Cohort Comparison		-13%				

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
05	2019	35%	54%	-19%	53%	-18%
	2018	47%	54%	-7%	55%	-8%
Same Grade Comparison		-12%				
Cohort Comparison						

### Subgroup Data

2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	24	39		15	32	23	29				

2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
ELL	40			40							
BLK	20	39	67	20	28	38	15				
HSP	50	75		43	58						
MUL	44	36		39	29						
WHT	51	56	53	54	54	27	51				
FRL	41	50	58	42	45	38	36				
2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	18	23	22	24	30	19	6				
ELL	9			36							
ASN	60			90							
BLK	27	39	38	35	47	32	21				
HSP	46	24		43	44						
MUL	40	41		48	63						
WHT	51	55	53	59	60	18	60				
FRL	38	43	42	48	51	22	48				
2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	15	42	40	13	31	25	5				
BLK	27	42	43	26	40	23	17				
HSP	65	50		62	53						
MUL	59	79		39	33						
WHT	56	53	52	48	43	38	48				
FRL	42	49	47	37	41	28	30				

## ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	TS&I
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	47
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	3
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	53
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	373
Total Components for the Federal Index	8
Percent Tested	100%

Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	27
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	44
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	32
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	58
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	37
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	

Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	49
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	45
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

## Analysis

### Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

**Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.**

In looking at our data, students with disabilities performed at 27%. There are several contributing factors such as Hurricane Michael, enrollment decrease resulting in staff changes, and the emotional state of the students and staff.

**Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.**

In looking at our data, the largest decrease occurred in math specifically 5th grade down 27% for the grade level and 13% decrease in the cohort. There are several contributing factors such as Hurricane Michael, enrollment decrease resulting in staff changes, and the emotional state of the students and staff.

**Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.**

In looking at our data, the greatest gap compared to the state average was Math Achievement and Math Lowest 25% both at -19 points. There are several contributing factors such as Hurricane Michael, enrollment decrease resulting in staff changes, and the emotional state of the students and staff.

**Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?**

ELA Lowest 25% showed the most improvement to 62%. We attribute this to our focus on MTSS by having a strong team of individuals to implement interventions and monitor the data regularly. Our team did a great job of identifying those students.

**Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)**

EWS data indicates a need to focus on our students with disabilities and a continued focus on the lowest 25%. If we stretch our students with disabilities to grade-level standards exposing them to rigorous curriculum then our subgroups and school grade will increase proficiency.

**Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.**

1. Identifying and providing grade-level standard instruction to all students (specifically SWDs and lowest 25%) while knowing our students by name and need.
2. Inclusion
3. CWTs. Bi-monthly CWT walks monitoring academics and monthly feedback for behavior.
4. Admin participation in PLCs weekly.
5. Data chats monthly for MTSS/quarterly data chats with progress monitoring data/WIG Wednesday with students

## **Part III: Planning for Improvement**

### **Areas of Focus:**



#1	
<b>Title</b>	ELA/Math Lowest Quartile/Subgroups (SWD, Black, Multiracial) Students
<b>Rationale</b>	As data indicates, HPE is working to identify students by name and need in order to increase school grade categories for lowest quartile and also address the needs of the following subgroups: SWD, Black, Multiracial.
<b>State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve</b>	Hiland Park Elementary will increase math lowest quartile from 46 to 62 (16 percentage points) and maintain ELA lowest quartile gains at 62%. Subgroups (SWD, Black, Multiracial) identified within that category will also increase.
<b>Person responsible for monitoring outcome</b>	Ilea Faircloth (faircim@bay.k12.fl.us)
<b>Evidence-based Strategy</b>	Students will continue to track their own goals using our WIGs (Wildly Important Goals) at the school, grade, and student levels. Teachers will keep track all students using the MTSS Universal Spreadsheet where students will be discussed regularly in PLC meetings and monthly MTSS Leadership meetings. Additionally, teachers will complete Data Chat forms to drive trimester data chats.
<b>Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy</b>	John Hattie identified self-reported grades had an effect of 1.33 and feedback had an effect size of .70.
Action Step	
<b>Description</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. WIGs (School, grade, student) ELA and Math</li> <li>2. Teacher tracking students using MTSS Universal Spreadsheet</li> <li>3. Data Chats each tri-mester after MAP administration with admin and students.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>5.</li> </ol>
<b>Person Responsible</b>	Ilea Faircloth (faircim@bay.k12.fl.us)

#2	
<b>Title</b>	Rigorous Instruction (PLCs, Intervention, Feedback, Support)- Learning gains for all
<b>Rationale</b>	As data indicates, HPE is a C with many components being under the desired 62% of points. We will focus on rigorous instruction to increase every category relating to proficiency and learning gains.
<b>State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve</b>	Hiland Park Elementary will increase all components to at least 62% of points possible in order to become an A school.
<b>Person responsible for monitoring outcome</b>	Ilea Faircloth (faircim@bay.k12.fl.us)
<b>Evidence-based Strategy</b>	PLCs will focus on planning and preparation of Eureka and EL curriculum while administration focuses on providing regular feedback and support through strategic coaching and CWTs.
<b>Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy</b>	John Hattie identified feedback had an effect size of .70, teacher clarity .75, formative evaluation .45, and collective teacher efficacy at 1.57.
<b>Action Step</b>	
<b>Description</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. PLCs meeting regularly for planning and preparation of Eureka/EL curriculum (Admin joining weekly on Thursday)</li> <li>2. Strategic intervention for ELA and Math</li> <li>3. CWT Feedback regularly by admin</li> <li>4. Support of strategic coaching when identified</li> <li>5. Consistent support of students with disabilities within the inclusive classroom setting.</li> </ol>
<b>Person Responsible</b>	Ilea Faircloth (faircim@bay.k12.fl.us)

#3	
<b>Title</b>	Behavior (Leader in Me/House System/PBIS Revitalization)
<b>Rationale</b>	As data indicates, the past 3 years Hiland Park has had 1019 referrals (2016), 1026 (2017), and 426 (2019).
<b>State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve</b>	Hiland Park Elementary will continue to decrease the number of discipline referrals in order to maintain instructional momentum.
<b>Person responsible for monitoring outcome</b>	Lora Frowert (frowelr@bay.k12.fl.us)
<b>Evidence-based Strategy</b>	Leader in Me Core Behavior Program, implementation of Ron Clark House System, and discussing behavior data regularly.
<b>Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy</b>	Marzano identified rules and procedures had an effect size of .76, disciplinary interventions had an effect size of .91, and teacher-student relationships had an effect of .87.
Action Step	
<b>Description</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Behavior Interventionist to monitor and assist with behavior interventions</li> <li>2. Leader in Me as core program</li> <li>3. House System and celebrations</li> <li>4. Use of morning meeting to support Leader in Me</li> <li>5. Use of Social Worker to support students within the classroom setting and small group where appropriate.</li> <li>6. Integration of "Proactive Place" in every classroom (Calm Down Bucket)</li> <li>7. Implementation of EL program which addresses core values.</li> <li>8. Monthly meeting of Threat Assessment Team and MTSS Leadership to discuss students with behavioral concerns.</li> </ol>
<b>Person Responsible</b>	Lora Frowert (frowelr@bay.k12.fl.us)

#### Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

**After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).**

See above.

## Part IV: Title I Requirements

### Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Schoolwide Improvement Plan to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, Â§ 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

**Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.**

See the attached Title I Parent and Family Engagement Plan.

### PFEP Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

**Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.**

Services provided by social workers, school counselors, mental health counselors, Telehealth counselors, behavior interventionists, PROMISE paras, and mentors; and the implementation of check-in/out programs, character education programs, and PBIS.

Additionally, Hiland Park is a Leader in Me LightHouse school in conjunction with the house system. We strive to teach our students the 7Habits of Highly Effective People.

- 1 - Be proactive.
- 2 - Begin with the end in mind.
- 3 - Put first things first.
- 4 - Think win-win.
- 5 - Seek first to understand, then to be understood.
- 6 - Synergize!
- 7 - Sharpen the Saw
- 8- Find your voice

**Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.**

Regular education teachers work with preschool teachers to ensure a smooth transition into kindergarten. Onsite preschool teachers are invited to attend all faculty meetings and participate in school-wide learning opportunities to ensure that they understand the rigor of Florida Standards and expectations of regular education. Additionally, Hiland Park participated in "Kinder Academy" to invite our students to come to school for basic assessments for us to see what they know. We provided clothing and school supplies upon request to meet the needs of our students and assist with the transition. Kindergarten students are administered the FLKRS (WSS) assessment and Number Sense Screener to evaluate student readiness. Kindergarten teachers relay information gathered from the assessments to preschool teachers for future improvements in the curriculum. At the end of each year, Pre-K students rotate through the kindergarten classrooms in order to familiarize themselves with the new surroundings, expectations, and teachers.

Fifth-grade students are given the opportunity to participate in middle school visits to become familiar with the campus and expectations. Transition meetings are held at the end of each school year to ensure that student needs will be met in their new school setting.

**Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.**

Bay District Schools ensures principals, school counselors, and social workers are made aware of available resources from various funding sources during district provided meetings throughout the school year. The needs of students and their families identified during parent-teacher conferences, MTSS meetings, IEP meetings, social worker visits, etc. are addressed by the school counselor and/or social worker by coordinating services and programs provided by federal, state, and local funds within the school system and the community. Students in MTSS tiers II and III are monitored frequently to determine the impact of services and adjustments are made accordingly.

Hiland Park strives to extend learning beyond the classroom through educational field trips and extra

curricular activities.

The MTSS problem-solving process: plan, act, do is recursive. Data will be used to drive decisions and determine goals for the SIP. Data used will consist of FSA ELA/Math, Science, MAP, DAR, John's, etc. We meet weekly with our grade level PLCs to discuss curriculum needs. Monthly, we meet to discuss with our teachers using the Universal Spreadsheet which houses data academic and behavior data for each student and where every student is monitored for EWS indicators.

Title II: Bay District Schools Office of Staff Development provides the school with staff development opportunities, materials, and resources related to increasing student achievement as requested. Bay District Schools Office of Staff Development also provides Staff Training Specialists to deliver staff development for instructional staff and administrators.

Title III: District funds are used to provide supplemental materials and computer software to support English Language Learners (ELL).

Title X: Bay District provides resources for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education.

**Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.**

N/A

## Part V: Budget

**The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.**

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: ELA/Math Lowest Quartile/Subgroups (SWD, Black, Multiracial) Students	\$0.00
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Rigorous Instruction (PLCs, Intervention, Feedback, Support)- Learning gains for all	\$0.00
3	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Behavior (Leader in Me/House System/PBIS Revitalization)	\$0.00
Total:			\$0.00