Hillsborough County Public Schools

Caminiti Exceptional Center



2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	8
Planning for Improvement	14
Title I Requirements	16
Budget to Support Goals	18

Caminiti Exceptional Center

2600 W HUMPHREY ST, Tampa, FL 33614

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Joseph Hilbush

Start Date for this Principal: 6/25/2013

	•
2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School PK-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Special Education
2018-19 Title I School	Yes
2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	94%
2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* Hispanic Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students*
	2018-19: No Grade
	2017-18: No Grade
School Grades History	2016-17: No Grade
	2015-16: No Grade
	2014-15: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Central
Regional Executive Director	<u>Lucinda Thompson</u>
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F	or more information, <u>click here</u> .

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
<u> </u>	
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	8
Planning for Improvement	14
Title I Requirements	16
Budget to Support Goals	18

Caminiti Exceptional Center

2600 W HUMPHREY ST, Tampa, FL 33614

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2018-19 Title I School	2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Combination School PK-12	Yes	81%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
Special Education	No	69%
School Grades History		
Year	2012-13	2011-12
Grade	D	F

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

To expand opportunities for our students to fully participate in the community.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Preparing students for independence.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Jakubowski, Roberta	Principal	Educational Leader
Duffy, Cynthia	Attendance/Social Work	school social worker, Title I coordinator, Parent and Family Engagement coordinator
Meehan, Laura	Teacher, ESE	SAC chair,
Rivera, Chelsea	Other	ESE Specialist

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator			Grade Level														
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total			
Number of students enrolled	2	1	3	3	5	4	5	6	5	12	6	12	40	104			
Attendance below 90 percent	2	1	0	1	2	1	1	4	2	7	1	4	20	46			
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	1	1	1	2	0	9			
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel					Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	6

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)

15

Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 6/25/2019

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator		Grade Level														
illuicatoi	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Attendance below 90 percent	1	0	2	2	0	2	2	1	5	0	3	5	7	30		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2		
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel					Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Attendance below 90 percent	1	0	2	2	0	2	2	1	5	0	3	5	7	30	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	eve	l				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sahaal Crada Companant		2019	2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	0%	57%	61%	0%	60%	57%
ELA Learning Gains	0%	56%	59%	0%	60%	57%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	52%	54%	0%	53%	51%
Math Achievement	0%	55%	62%	0%	60%	58%
Math Learning Gains	0%	57%	59%	0%	60%	56%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	49%	52%	0%	54%	50%
Science Achievement	0%	50%	56%	0%	54%	53%
Social Studies Achievement	0%	77%	78%	0%	78%	75%

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey																				
Indicator Grade Level (prior year reported)						Total														
illuicator	K		1	2	2	3		4	Ę	9	6	;	7	8	9	10)	11	12	TOtal
Number of students enrolled	2 (0)	1	(0)	3 ((0)	3 ((0)	5 (0))4 ((0)	5 (0)	6 (0)	5 (0	12 (0)6 ((D) 1	2 (0)	40 (0)	104 (0)
Attendance below 90 percent	2 (1)	1	(0)	0 ((2)	1 (2	2)2	2 (0)) 1 ((2)	1 (2)	4 (1)	2 (5	7 (0)) 1 (;	3) 4	4 (5)	20 (7)	46 (30)
One or more suspensions	0 (0)	0	(0)	0 ((0)	0 ((0)	1 (0)	0 ((0)	2 (0)	1 (0)	1 (1	1 (0)) 1 (1) 2	2 (0)	0 (0)	9 (2)
Course failure in ELA or Math	0 (0)	0	(0)	0 ((0)	0 ((0)(0	0 (0)	0 ((0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0	0 (0)	0 ((O) (0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0 (0)	0	(0)	0 ((0)	0 ((0)(0 (0)	0 ((0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0	0 (0)	0 ((O) (0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	mparison					
04	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	mparison	0%				
05	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	mparison	0%				
06	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	mparison	0%			•	
07	2019					

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
	2018					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
08	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
09	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
10	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				

			MATH	I		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison					
04	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
05	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
06	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
07	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	Cohort Comparison					
80	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				

	SCIENCE											
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison						
05	2019											
	2018											
Cohort Com	nparison											
08	2019											
	2018											
Cohort Com	parison	0%										

		BIOLO	GY EOC							
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State					
2019										
2018										
		CIVIC	S EOC							
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State					
2019										
2018										
HISTORY EOC										
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State					
2019										
2018										
•		ALGEE	RA EOC							
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State					
2019										
2018										
		GEOME	TRY EOC							
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State					
2019										
2018										

Subgroup Data

	2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18	
SWD	3	11		8	33			13				
BLK				7								
HSP	8			8								
WHT				9								
FRL	4	14		8	38			9				
		2018	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS			
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17	
		2017	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS			
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16	

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.	
ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CS&I
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	11
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	5
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	68
Total Components for the Federal Index	6
Percent Tested	97%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	11
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	·
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	7
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Hispanic Students							
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	8						
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES						
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%							
Multiracial Students							
Federal Index - Multiracial Students							
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A						
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%							
Pacific Islander Students							
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students							
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A						
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%							
White Students							
Federal Index - White Students	9						
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES						
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%							
Economically Disadvantaged Students							
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	12						
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES						
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%							

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Attendance

Nature of students:

Medically fragile students too sick to attend school. ASD students with irregular and disturbed sleep patterns.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Suspensions

Students were placed at our school already having received suspensions for violent behavior and thus were included in our end of year data.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

N/A

Data not generated

All students take an alternate assessment as indicated on their IEP's.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

ELA

Reading across the curriculum.

Writing across the curriculum.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

Attendance and Behavior

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Behavior
- 2. Attendance
- 3. Parent and Family Engagement
- 4. College and Career Readiness
- 5. Technology

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1								
Title	Create a culture of stakeholder collaboration to support	ort student learning.						
Rationale	Teachers and paraeducators, with the support of pare to keep the students engaged in the classroom to avoinstruction due to disruptive behavior.							
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve	Keeping students academically engaged will reduce t restraints, seclusions and suspensions.	he number of removals,						
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	Roberta Jakubowski (roberta.jakubowski@hcps.net)							
Evidence-based Strategy	(Applied Behavior Analysis) and NCI (Nonviolent Crisis Intervention) to							
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy Student attendance will increase and disruptive behavior will decrease.								
Action Step								
Description	 Incorporate mindfulness activities to reduce stress at 2. Obtain supplemental curriculum (task boxes and contechnology (hardware and software) supports to incred 3. Provide Professional Development focusing on Post transition and calendar planning. Use newsletters, planners and IEP's to promote stand communication. Weekly behavioral meetings to review FBA's and P meetings to review behavioral and attendance data. A review goals and objectives. 	onsumables) and lase engagement. Sitive Behavioral Support, akeholder collaboration PBIP's. Monthly Triage						
Person Responsible	Roberta Jakubowski (roberta.jakubowski@hcps.net)							
#2								
Title								
Rationale								
State the measurable or	utcome the school plans to achieve							
Person responsible for	monitoring outcome	[no one identified]						
Evidence-based Strateg	у							
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy								
Action Step								
Description		1. 2. 3. 4. 5.						
Person Responsible		no one identified]						

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

na

Part IV: Title I Requirements

Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Schoolwide Improvement Plan to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

Our school will build relationships with stakeholders by increased communication via planners, newsletters, and social media(Twitter). We will support increased parent engagement through volunteer opportunities, meet and greet activities as well as parent participation in student learning/development (IEPs, PBIP, Caminiti University, after school and extracurricular activities).

PFEP Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

The School Board of each Florida district is required by state law to establish a comprehensive program for student progression that is based on an evaluation of each student's performance including an assessment of how well the student masters the performance standards approved by the state board. The district's program for student progression is based on mastery of the English language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies standards. (F.S. 1008.25)

The HCPS Student Progression Plan includes information on initial placement, reporting student progress, reading remediation, academic acceleration, grade promotion and retention, graduation requirements, transfer credits, student recognition, accommodations, dual enrollment, and extended learning opportunities.

For complete information, please visit our Student Progression Plan at: http://www.sdhc.k12.fl.us/docs/00/00/21/33/studentprogressionplan.pdf

HCPS utilizes a variety of strategies for assisting students as they transition from one school to another.

HCPS employs multiple strategies for preparing children for entry into kindergarten. Over 6,000 children participate in one of several preschool programs offered by the School District (Head Start, VPK and PreK-ESE). Developmental screenings are available for all families prior to entry into kindergarten through Child Find, a service within the Florida Diagnostic and Learning Resources System (FDLRS). Additionally, the district works closely with School Readiness providers to share information.

HCPS utilizes multiple strategies for preparing students for their next school, including transitioning from elementary to middle school, middle school to high school, or simply moving to a new school mid-year. Examples include:

Last Modified: 5/19/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 16 of 18

Bring 6th/9th graders back early for orientation
Train a cadre of student ambassadors to help orient other students
Parent information and/or education opportunities
Hold articulation meetings between 5th and 6th grade teachers
Campus visits
Shadow days
Middle school students visit, tutor and or perform at elementary schools
High school students visit, tutor, or perform at middle schools.

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

To ensure efficient/systematic allocation and use of resources, the PSLT/ILT utilizes an RtI/MTSS framework to improve learning for all. Resources allocated support a continuum of academic and behavioral supports, ensuring all students have fluid access to instruction (varying intensity levels matched to most appropriate available resources).

An annual inventory of resource materials, staff, and funds allocated determines necessary resource materials and personnel available to meet student needs through a resource map.

To ensure support systems, small group, and individual needs are met, the PSLT: Reviews school-wide data on an ongoing basis, identifying instructional needs across the school; Supports the implementation of high quality instructional practices during core and intervention blocks; Reviews progress monitoring data of core to ensure fidelity of instruction and attainment of SIP goal(s) in curricular, behavioral, and attendance domains; Communicates school-wide data to PLCs, facilitating problem solving within the content/grade level teams.

The PSLT meets regularly (bi-weekly/monthly). The PSLT meeting calendar is structured around the district's assessment calendar, ensuring opportunities to review assessments, outcome data, and engage in the problem solving process for appropriate data-driven decisions. Team members include administrator(s), guidance counselor(s), school psychologist, ESE specialist, content area coaches/specialists, PLC teacher liaisons, others as needed

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

HCPS strategies to advance college and career awareness include: Career interest inventory offered to students through Florida Shines; District College Nights; District Financial Aid Nights; Postsecondary representative visits at high schools; Fieldtrip opportunities for career awareness; Fieldtrip opportunities to technical colleges; and Opportunities for students to take courses within their area of interest at their high school, via virtual school, and through dual enrollment.

On an annual basis, the school will review new course offerings at the state and district level to continue to offer rigorous and relevant coursework and to meet the State Standards.

Once students enter the Transition Program (12+) the educational focus shifts from academics to functional life skills and job training skills including:

pre-vocational work skills

on-site job training life skills training functional literacy community job shadowing community based instruction

The Transition Specialist meets with students and families to determine appropriate post graduation options.

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

Caminiti University is held once a year on conference night. This includes input and support regarding transitions and post graduation from the school's transition specialist, parent liaison, and coordination with VR counselor. Teachers are given eight hours to plan for this event (age of majority, graduation deferment, guardianship)

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Create a cullearning.	lture of stakeholder collabora	tion to support st	tudent	\$44,059.00			
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2019-20			
	5100	520-Textbooks	4562 - Caminiti Exceptional Center	\$37,353.37					
	iction 6 set) \$2999 = \$	s @ \$2999 = \$17,994							
	5100	510-Supplies	4562 - Caminiti Exceptional Center	UniSIG		\$2,188.50			
			Notes: Per the 5% office supply cap: Classroom Supplies (25 teachers @ \$87.50 each)						
	5100	644-Computer Hardware Non-Capitalized	4562 - Caminiti Exceptional Center	UniSIG		\$4,517.13			
	Notes: Per 10% technology cap: HP Elite705 Desk (\$531.38 x 7) + CAS \$4517.13								
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus:				\$0.00			
					Total:	\$45,170.13			