

2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	15
Title I Requirements	16
Budget to Support Goals	16

Escambia - 0501 - A. K. Suter Elementary School - 2019-20 SIP

# A. K. Suter Elementary School

501 PICKENS AVE, Pensacola, FL 32503

www.escambiaschools.org

Demographics

## **Principal: Alicia Mathis**

Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2010

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School KG-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2018-19 Title I School	No
2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	50%
<b>2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: A (66%) 2017-18: A (63%) 2016-17: B (59%) 2015-16: A (63%) 2014-15: A (67%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Northwest
Regional Executive Director	Rachel Heide
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	N/A

\* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Escambia County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	15
Title I Requirements	16
Budget to Support Goals	16

Escambia - 0501 - A. K. Suter Elementary School - 2019-20 SIP

## A. K. Suter Elementary School

501 PICKENS AVE, Pensacola, FL 32503

#### www.escambiaschools.org

**School Demographics** 

School Type and Gr (per MSID F		2018-19 Title I School	I Disadvant	Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)
Elementary S KG-5	chool	Yes		46%
Primary Servic (per MSID F	••	Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General E	ducation	No		35%
School Grades Histo	ry			
Year Grade	<b>2018-19</b> A	<b>2017-18</b> A	<b>2016-17</b> В	<b>2015-16</b> A
School Board Appro	val			

This plan is pending approval by the Escambia County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

### **Part I: School Information**

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

The faculty, staff, families, and community of A.K. Suter Elementary unite to instill in our students a high standard of academic excellence and responsible behavior that will prepare them to compete in a rapidly changing and culturally diverse society.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

A.K. Suter Elementary School strives to be a complete educational experience for all students, a place where all children are nurtured, educated, and loved.

#### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
		Russell Queen - Principal, Dr. Patrice Moody - Assistant Principal, Kelly Low - School Psychologist, Amy Proshek - Guidance Counselor, as well as the leadership team, share a common vision to make sound decisions for students based on site based assessment data. We ensure implementation of the Rtl process, professional learning opportunities to keep teachers current with best practices, and curriculum based instructional strategies. Additionally, we intentionally communicate with parents about our school based plans.
Loggins, Jesse	Teacher, K-12	
Low, Kelly	Psychologist	
Proshek, Amy	School Counselor	
Moody, Dr. Patrice	Assistant Principal	
McWethy,	Teacher,	

#### Early Warning Systems

K-12

K-12

Andrews, Teacher, Catherine ESE

Teacher,

Teacher,

Principal

K-12

#### **Current Year**

Heather Ryan,

Deirdre

Martin,

Darian

Queen,

Russell

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indiantar	Grade Level													
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	73	107	85	100	102	102	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	569
Attendance below 90 percent	6	11	9	7	8	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	51
One or more suspensions	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	2	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	1	9	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
			-	-	_									

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel	I				Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	1	1	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level													
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	2	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)

45

### Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 8/13/2019

#### **Prior Year - As Reported**

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator		Grade Level														
mulcator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level													
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

#### **Prior Year - Updated**

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Grade Level														
Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0       0       0         0       0       0       0         0       0       0       0         0       0       0       0	0       0       0       0         0       0       0       0       0         0       0       0       0       0         0       0       0       0       0	K         1         2         3         4         5           0         0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0         0	K         1         2         3         4         5         6           0         0         0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0	K         1         2         3         4         5         6         7           0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0	K         1         2         3         4         5         6         7         8           0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0	K         1         2         3         4         5         6         7         8         9           0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0	K         1         2         3         4         5         6         7         8         9         10           0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0	K         1         2         3         4         5         6         7         8         9         10         11           0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0	K         1         2         3         4         5         6         7         8         9         10         11         12           0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level													
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

### Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

#### School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019		2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement	75%	53%	57%	73%	50%	55%	
ELA Learning Gains	68%	55%	58%	65%	51%	57%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	53%	52%	53%	46%	43%	52%	
Math Achievement	75%	57%	63%	73%	53%	61%	
Math Learning Gains	72%	60%	62%	55%	53%	61%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	48%	52%	51%	34%	45%	51%	
Science Achievement	69%	54%	53%	70%	50%	51%	

<b>EWS Indicators as Input</b>	t Earlier in the Survey
--------------------------------	-------------------------

Indicator		Grade Level (prior year reported)								
		1	2	3	4	5	Total			
Number of students enrolled	73 (0)	107 (0)	85 (0)	100 (0)	102 (0)	102 (0)	569 (0)			
Attendance below 90 percent	6 (0)	11 (0)	9 (0)	7 (0)	8 (0)	10 (0)	51 (0)			
One or more suspensions	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0)			
Course failure in ELA or Math	0 (0)	2 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)	3 (0)	7 (0)			
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)	9 (0)	13 (0)	23 (0)			

#### Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	76%	56%	20%	58%	18%
	2018	80%	52%	28%	57%	23%
Same Grade C	omparison	-4%				
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2019	72%	52%	20%	58%	14%
	2018	71%	51%	20%	56%	15%

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	ool District School- Comparison		State	School- State Comparison
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison					
Cohort Com	nparison	-8%				
05	2019	76%	51%	25%	56%	20%
	2018	66%	44%	22%	55%	11%
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison					
Cohort Com	nparison	5%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	76%	55%	21%	62%	14%
	2018	77%	54%	23%	62%	15%
Same Grade C	omparison	-1%				
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2019	78%	58%	20%	64%	14%
	2018	70%	58%	12%	62%	8%
Same Grade C	omparison	8%				
Cohort Com	parison	1%				
05	2019	70%	55%	15%	60%	10%
	2018	80%	52%	28%	61%	19%
Same Grade C	omparison	-10%			•	
Cohort Com	parison	0%				

			SCIENCE			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2019	69%	55%	14%	53%	16%
	2018	80%	55%	25%	55%	25%
Same Grade Comparison		-11%			·	
Cohort Com	parison					

## Subgroup Data

	2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18	
SWD	55	55	40	48	60							
BLK	64	63	67	58	63	48	47					
HSP	62			77								
MUL	82	83		88	83							
WHT	79	68	44	80	72	48	74					
FRL	69	63	59	67	64	44	53					

		2018	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	43	42	55	49	44		36				
BLK	59	42	44	60	39	53	39				
HSP	63	33		75	33						
MUL	78	77		89	62						
WHT	78	58	55	79	70	61	87				
FRL	66	51	45	67	56	55	62				
		2017	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	46	60		38	30						
BLK	44	58	65	40	27	21	35				
HSP	89			83							
MUL	75			83							
WHT	81	69	33	82	64	53	81				
FRL	62	62	57	61	48	30	48				

## ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	N/A
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	66
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	460
Total Components for the Federal Index	7
Percent Tested	100%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	52
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A

, 	
English Language Learners	
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	59
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	70
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	84
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	66
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	
	··

Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	60
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

#### Analysis

#### **Data Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

# Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Our Math Lowest 25th Percentile learning gains declined from the previous year, to 48%. Last year, our Math Lowest 25th Percentile component yield 58% learning gains. Although, this area is a challenge, we will continue to monitor our lower quartile students and strive for improvement with this component.

# Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Overall, our Math Lowest 25th Percentile learning gains showed the greatest decline from the previous year. Last year, our Math Lowest 25th Percentile component yield 58% learning gains; however, recent 2019 data revealed this component showing 48% learning gains. Although, this area is a challenge, we will continue to monitor our lower quartile students and strive for improvement.

# Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Our school out performed and/or scored the same as the state average in all but one component, Math Lowest 25th Percentile. Our Math Lowest 25th Percentile learning gains declined from the previous year. Although, this area is a challenge, we will continue to monitor our lower quartile students and strive for improvement.

# Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Our ELA Learning Gains component showed the most improvement. We yield a 13% point increase with this component, as our students' performance grew from 55% points to 68%. During the previous year, ELA Learning Gains revealed the greatest decline; however, with intense instructional focus on Florida Standards, student performance improved.

# Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

In reflecting/reviewing our Early Warning System (EWS) data, the one potential area of concern is our number of students with attendance below 90%. Currently, we have 51 students, which is approximately 9% of our student body, demonstrating potential early warning attendance concerns.

# Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

1. After thoroughly reviewing our school data, in the Needs Assessment/Analysis section of our School Improvement Plan and our Florida Standard Assessment data results, our highest priority for school-wide improvement, in the upcoming school year, will be to focus attention on increasing our student performance in the component of Math Lowest 25th Percentile.

### Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:			
#1			
Title	Math Lowest 25th Percentile		
Rationale	Following an extensive review of our Needs Assessment/Analysis data and our Florida Standard Assessment data, our school observed an unexpected decline in the Math Lowest 25th Percentile component. Thus, we will continue to ensure intentional standard based planning, instruction, data review, and alignment to acknowledge we are meeting the needs of our students.		
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve	We would like 58% or more students to show learning gains in our Math Lowest 25th Percentile component.		
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	Russell Queen (rqueen@escambia.k12.fl.us)		
Evidence- based Strategy	With the implementation of a new math curriculum district-wide, Pearson enVision 2020, all classroom teachers will receive intense training on our updated Math Frameworks and Math Year-at-a Glance. We will focus on standards based planning and instruction as well as utilizing the district's pacing guides (color maps).		
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy	Selection of this strategy was based upon the needs of our teachers and students to ensure learning gains in our Math Lowest 25th Percentile component.		
Action Step			
Description	<ol> <li>All teachers will participate in district-wide training to review best practices for implementation of our newly adopted math curriculum, Pearson enVision 2020.</li> <li>Review of weekly assessments.</li> <li>Review quarterly district assessments.</li> <li>Daily classroom walk-through with focus on data observation</li> <li>FSA Assessment data</li> </ol>		
Person Responsible	Dr. Patrice Moody (pmoody@ecsdfl.us)		

#### Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

School safety is a critical component for all educational institutions. Thus, district-wide we have implement numerous safety protocol practices at all sites. Therefore, in addition to implementing monthly lock-down drills, we are also rolling out VOLO Touch, an emergency communication software tool to enhance our capabilities during critical situations. Downloading and utilizing VOLO Touch allow employees the ability to initiate a Code Red if they see something suspicious.

### Part IV: Title I Requirements

#### Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Schoolwide Improvement Plan to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

N/A - A. K. Suter is designated as a non-Title I school for the 2019 - 2020 school term.

#### PFEP Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

N/A - A. K. Suter is designated as a non-Title I school for the 2019 - 2020 school term.

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

N/A - A. K. Suter is designated as a non-Title I school for the 2019 - 2020 school term.

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

N/A - A. K. Suter is designated as a non-Title I school for the 2019 - 2020 school term.

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

N/A - A. K. Suter is designated as a non-Title I school for the 2019 - 2020 school term.

### Part V: Budget

#### The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Math Lowest 25th Percentile	\$0.00	
---	--------	---------------------------------------------	--------	--

Total:	\$0.00
--------	--------