**Escambia County School District** 

# **Escambia High School**



2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

| School Demographics            | 3  |
|--------------------------------|----|
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4  |
| School Information             | 7  |
| Needs Assessment               | 9  |
| Planning for Improvement       | 15 |
| Title I Requirements           | 20 |
| Budget to Support Goals        | 0  |

# **Escambia High School**

1310 N 65TH AVE, Pensacola, FL 32506

www.escambiaschools.org

# **Demographics**

Principal: Dana Boddy S

Start Date for this Principal: 8/19/2019

| 2019-20 Status<br>(per MSID File)   | Active   |
|---|--|
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)   | High School<br>9-12  |
| Primary Service Type<br>(per MSID File)   | K-12 General Education   |
| 2018-19 Title I School  | Yes  |
| 2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)   | 100%   |
| 2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities* Asian Students Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students* |
| School Grades History   | 2018-19: C (45%)<br>2017-18: C (49%)<br>2016-17: C (41%)<br>2015-16: C (42%)<br>2014-15: C (47%)   |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info  | ormation*  |
| SI Region   | Northwest  |
| Regional Executive Director   | Rachel Heide   |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle   | N/A  |
| Year  |  |
| Support Tier  |  |

| ESSA Status   | TS&I                             |
|---|----------------------------------|
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For | or more information, click here. |

# **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Escambia County School Board.

# **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

## **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Table of Contents**

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4  |
|--------------------------------|----|
|                                |    |
| School Information             | 7  |
|                                |    |
| Needs Assessment               | 9  |
|                                |    |
| Planning for Improvement       | 15 |
|                                |    |
| Title I Requirements           | 20 |
|                                |    |
| Rudget to Support Goals        | 0  |

# **Escambia High School**

1310 N 65TH AVE, Pensacola, FL 32506

www.escambiaschools.org

2018-19 Economically

# **School Demographics**

| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | 2018-19 Title I School | Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)              |
|---|------------------------|---|
| High School<br>9-12                           | Yes                    | 87%   |
| Primary Service Type<br>(per MSID File)       | Charter School         | 2018-19 Minority Rate<br>(Reported as Non-white<br>on Survey 2) |
| K-12 General Education                        | No                     | 58%   |

# **School Grades History**

| Year  | 2018-19 | 2017-18 | 2016-17 | 2015-16 |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Grade | С       | С       | С       | С       |

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Escambia County School Board.

# **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

# **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Part I: School Information**

#### **School Mission and Vision**

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

Escambia High School will foster quality relationships with all stakeholders within our school community. We will provide rigorous and relevant instruction through academic, social, and athletic experiences as we prepare students for post-secondary opportunities to meet the needs of the 21st century.

### Provide the school's vision statement.

Escambia High School is a school of excellence where all stakeholders contribute to create a culture for learning in an environment that practices empathy, and provides highly-effective engagement strategies for ALL students in all aspects of the educational experience.

# School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

| Name               | Title                     | Job Duties and Responsibilities   |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Murphy, Frank      | Principal                 |                                   |
| Shannon, Esi       | Assistant Principal       |                                   |
| McElhaney, Melanie | Assistant Principal       |                                   |
| Brown, Keitha      | Teacher, K-12             |                                   |
| Danks, Linda       | Teacher, K-12             |                                   |
| Gifford, Alicia    | Teacher, K-12             |                                   |
| Haugan, Amanda     | Teacher, K-12             |                                   |
| Holsworth, Doug    | Teacher, K-12             |                                   |
| Hornick, Amy       | Teacher, K-12             |                                   |
| Hugus, Martha      | Teacher, K-12             |                                   |
| James, Ryan        | Teacher, K-12             |                                   |
| Knowlton, Cynthia  | Teacher, K-12             |                                   |
| Bookout, Zachary   | Teacher, Career/Technical |                                   |
| Roberts, LaTonya   | Teacher, K-12             |                                   |
| Thompson, Eddie    | Teacher, K-12             |                                   |
| Johnson, Janet     | Instructional Coach       | Professional Development Training |

# **Early Warning Systems**

# **Current Year**

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator                       | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |     |       |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| mulcator                        | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12  | Total |
| Number of students enrolled     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 576 | 442 | 416 | 348 | 1782  |
| Attendance below 90 percent     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 158 | 79  | 61  | 56  | 354   |
| One or more suspensions         | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 | 85  | 79  | 30  | 318   |
| Course failure in ELA or Math   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76  | 146 | 146 | 108 | 476   |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 271 | 176 | 155 | 135 | 737   |
|                                 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |       |
|                                 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |       |

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            |   |   | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |    |       |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|----|-------|--|--|
| inuicator                            | K | 1 | 2           | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12 | Total |  |  |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 187 | 152 | 136 | 97 | 572   |  |  |

## The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator                           |   | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |       |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator                           | K | 1           | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 59 | 40 | 3  | 160   |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 43 | 37 | 12 | 140   |

# FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)

108

# Date this data was collected or last updated

Thursday 8/15/2019

# **Prior Year - As Reported**

# The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator                       |   | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |     |     |     |       |  |
|---------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|--|
| indicator                       | K | 1           | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12  | Total |  |
| Attendance below 90 percent     | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21  | 16  | 9   | 9   | 55    |  |
| One or more suspensions         | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 75  | 57  | 33  | 306   |  |
| Course failure in ELA or Math   | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 202 | 170 | 126 | 619   |  |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 223 | 179 | 164 | 84  | 650   |  |
|                                 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   |       |  |

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator                            | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 42 | 43 | 3  | 132   |

# **Prior Year - Updated**

# The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| ludioetes                       | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     | Total |     |     |       |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-------|-----|-----|-------|
| Indicator                       | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9   | 10    | 11  | 12  | Total |
| Attendance below 90 percent     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21  | 16    | 9   | 9   | 55    |
| One or more suspensions         | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 75    | 57  | 33  | 306   |
| Course failure in ELA or Math   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 202   | 170 | 126 | 619   |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 223 | 179   | 164 | 84  | 650   |
|                                 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0   | 0     | 0   | 0   |       |

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | Total |    |    |    |       |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-------|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator                            | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9     | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOLAI |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44    | 42 | 43 | 3  | 132   |

# Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

## **School Data**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| Sahaal Crada Component      |        | 2019     |       |        | 2018     |       |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
| School Grade Component      | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| ELA Achievement             | 35%    | 49%      | 56%   | 36%    | 48%      | 53%   |
| ELA Learning Gains          | 41%    | 47%      | 51%   | 39%    | 45%      | 49%   |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile  | 34%    | 33%      | 42%   | 29%    | 33%      | 41%   |
| Math Achievement            | 35%    | 42%      | 51%   | 36%    | 43%      | 49%   |
| Math Learning Gains         | 48%    | 48%      | 48%   | 36%    | 41%      | 44%   |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | 38%    | 41%      | 45%   | 31%    | 33%      | 39%   |
| Science Achievement         | 47%    | 59%      | 68%   | 49%    | 60%      | 65%   |
| Social Studies Achievement  | 58%    | 62%      | 73%   | 45%    | 62%      | 70%   |

# EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

| Indicator                       | Grad      | de Level (pri | or year repo | rted)     | Total     |  |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| mulcator                        | 9         | 10            | 11           | 12        | Total     |  |
| Number of students enrolled     | 576 (0)   | 442 (0)       | 416 (0)      | 348 (0)   | 1782 (0)  |  |
| Attendance below 90 percent     | 158 (21)  | 79 (16)       | 61 (9)       | 56 (9)    | 354 (55)  |  |
| One or more suspensions         | 124 (141) | 85 (75)       | 79 (57)      | 30 (33)   | 318 (306) |  |
| Course failure in ELA or Math   | 76 (121)  | 146 (202)     | 146 (170)    | 108 (126) | 476 (619) |  |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 271 (223) | 176 (179)     | 155 (164)    | 135 (84)  | 737 (650) |  |
|                                 | 0 (0)     | 0 (0)         | 0 (0)        | 0 (0)     | 0 (0)     |  |
|                                 | 0 (0)     | 0 (0)         | 0 (0)        | 0 (0)     | 0 (0)     |  |

## **Grade Level Data**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

|              |           |        | ELA      |                                   |       |                                |
|--------------|-----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade        | Year      | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |
| 09           | 2019      | 37%    | 48%      | -11%                              | 55%   | -18%                           |
|              | 2018      | 40%    | 49%      | -9%                               | 53%   | -13%                           |
| Same Grade C | omparison | -3%    |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Com   | parison   |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 10           | 2019      | 35%    | 48%      | -13%                              | 53%   | -18%                           |
|              | 2018      | 42%    | 49%      | -7%                               | 53%   | -11%                           |
| Same Grade C | omparison | -7%    |          |                                   | •     |                                |
| Cohort Com   | parison   | -5%    |          |                                   |       |                                |

| MATH  |      |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |  |
|-------|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |  |  |  |  |

| SCIENCE |      |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Grade   | Year | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |

|      |        | BIOLO    | GY EOC                      |       |                          |
|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2019 | 44%    | 58%      | -14%                        | 67%   | -23%                     |
| 2018 | 48%    | 57%      | -9%                         | 65%   | -17%                     |
| Co   | ompare | -4%      |                             | •     |                          |
|      |        | CIVIC    | S EOC                       |       |                          |
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2019 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
| 2018 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
|      |        | HISTO    | RY EOC                      |       |                          |
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2019 | 57%    | 62%      | -5%                         | 70%   | -13%                     |
| 2018 | 54%    | 65%      | -11%                        | 68%   | -14%                     |
| Cc   | omnare | 3%       |                             | •     |                          |

|      |        | ALGE     | BRA EOC                     |       |                          |
|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2019 | 29%    | 52%      | -23%                        | 61%   | -32%                     |
| 2018 | 39%    | 51%      | -12%                        | 62%   | -23%                     |
| С    | ompare | -10%     |                             | •     |                          |
|      |        | GEOME    | TRY EOC                     |       |                          |
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2019 | 38%    | 47%      | -9%                         | 57%   | -19%                     |
| 2018 | 46%    | 48%      | -2%                         | 56%   | -10%                     |
| С    | ompare | -8%      |                             | •     |                          |

# Subgroup Data

|           |             | 2019      | SCHO              | DL GRAD      | E COMF     | PONENT             | S BY SI     | JBGRO      | UPS          |                         |                           |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA<br>Ach. | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2017-18 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2017-18 |
| SWD       | 16          | 34        | 29                | 22           | 25         | 21                 | 24          | 55         |              | 68                      | 4                         |
| ELL       | 14          | 33        |                   | 31           | 33         |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| ASN       | 69          | 50        |                   | 53           | 30         |                    | 58          | 77         |              | 92                      | 64                        |
| BLK       | 15          | 28        | 27                | 16           | 35         | 23                 | 29          | 30         |              | 77                      | 22                        |
| HSP       | 41          | 49        | 50                | 41           | 49         |                    | 55          | 61         |              | 83                      | 37                        |
| MUL       | 53          | 43        |                   | 45           | 53         |                    | 56          | 82         |              | 77                      | 43                        |
| WHT       | 46          | 48        | 45                | 47           | 55         | 53                 | 58          | 76         |              | 83                      | 46                        |
| FRL       | 27          | 35        | 32                | 29           | 45         | 38                 | 36          | 49         |              | 75                      | 32                        |
|           |             | 2018      | SCHO              | DL GRAD      | E COMF     | ONENT              | S BY SU     | JBGRO      | UPS          |                         |                           |
| Subgroups | ELA<br>Ach. | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2016-17 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2016-17 |
| SWD       | 24          | 29        | 15                | 39           | 49         |                    | 39          | 34         |              | 48                      | 10                        |
| AMI       | 50          | 50        |                   |              |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| ASN       | 54          | 48        |                   | 62           |            |                    | 50          | 50         |              | 80                      |                           |
| BLK       | 18          | 34        | 26                | 25           | 33         | 29                 | 27          | 31         |              | 73                      | 24                        |
| HSP       | 54          | 42        | 23                | 58           | 60         |                    | 64          | 76         |              | 67                      | 22                        |
| MUL       | 58          | 47        | 42                | 50           | 53         | 55                 | 52          | 50         |              | 85                      | 46                        |
| WHT       | 51          | 50        | 33                | 62           | 61         | 61                 | 66          | 71         |              | 80                      | 54                        |
| FRL       | 33          | 42        | 26                | 38           | 41         | 35                 | 46          | 42         |              | 72                      | 31                        |
|           |             | 2017      | SCHO              | DL GRAD      | E COMP     | ONENT              | S BY SI     | JBGRO      | UPS          |                         |                           |
| Subgroups | ELA<br>Ach. | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2015-16 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2015-16 |
| SWD       | 17          | 33        | 27                | 20           | 34         | 36                 | 20          | 21         |              | 40                      | 12                        |
| AMI       | 50          | 60        |                   |              |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| ASN       | 42          | 38        |                   | 52           | 43         |                    | 58          |            |              | 94                      | 53                        |
| BLK       | 18          | 31        | 28                | 17           | 28         | 27                 | 31          | 25         |              | 66                      | 21                        |
| HSP       | 45          | 44        | 17                | 40           | 25         | 27                 | 48          | 50         |              | 89                      | 25                        |
| MUL       | 44          | 33        | 17                | 41           | 40         | 38                 | 55          | 57         |              | 85                      | 25                        |

|           | 2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |           |                   |              |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |  |
|-----------|---|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Subgroups | ELA<br>Ach.                               | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2015-16 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2015-16 |  |
| WHT       | 47  | 46        | 34                | 48           | 43         | 38                 | 62          | 64         |              | 76                      | 41                        |  |
| FRL       | 28  | 35        | 29                | 30           | 34         | 31                 | 42          | 37         |              | 67                      | 22                        |  |

# **ESSA** Data

| This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.         |      |
|---|------|
| ESSA Federal Index  |      |
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)  | TS&I |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students  | 45   |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students                                    | NO   |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target                                    | 4    |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency |      |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index                                       | 454  |
| Total Components for the Federal Index  | 10   |
| Percent Tested  | 98%  |
| Subgroup Data   |      |
| Students With Disabilities  |      |
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities                                      | 30   |
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?              | YES  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%       |      |
| English Language Learners   |      |
| Federal Index - English Language Learners                                       | 28   |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?               | YES  |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%        |      |
| Native American Students  |      |
| Federal Index - Native American Students  |      |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                | N/A  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%         |      |
| Asian Students  |      |
| Federal Index - Asian Students  | 62   |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                          | NO   |
|   |      |

| Asian Students   |     |
|--|-----|
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%                      |     |
| Black/African American Students  |     |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students                                    | 30  |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?            | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%     |     |
| Hispanic Students  |     |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students  | 52  |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                          | NO  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%                   |     |
| Multiracial Students   |     |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students   | 57  |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                       | NO  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%                |     |
| Pacific Islander Students  |     |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students  |     |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                  | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%           |     |
| White Students   |     |
| Federal Index - White Students   | 56  |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                             | NO  |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%                      |     |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students  |     |
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students                                | 40  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?        | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32% |     |

# **Analysis**

# **Data Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Federal Index - English Language Learners 28%

School Grade Subgroup - English Language Learners - ELA Ach - 14%

During the 2018-19 assessment year the ELL students performed below all other subgroups in ELA Achievement. This is the 2nd year that ELL learners have fallen below the Federal Index of 31%. Factors that may be contributing to this area of concern are:

- 1. EHS feeder schools average 83.3% of ELL students at ELA achievement level 1 or 2.
- 2. EHS does not have the resources as that of an ESOL Center (with more directed assistance) housed at other schools in the district.
- 3. Students are assigned to teachers with ESOL certification, but these teachers may not be implementing ESOL strategies designed to meet the individual needs of the students.
- 4. Teachers may not be aware of the resources available to assist them in teaching ESOL students.
- 5. Students may be unable to access additional resources to assist them in learning ELA.
- 6. Some of these students may be experiencing a social-emotional disconnect to the curriculum and the school.

# Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Algebra EOC - 29%

During the 2018-19 assessment year, 29% of tested students passed the Algebra EOC. This was a 10% decline from the 2017-18 assessment year. Factors that may have contributed to this decline are:

- 1. EHS feeder schools average 68% of students with a math achievement level of 1 or 2.
- 2. Inconsistent attendance may contribute to gaps in learning.
- 3. EHS teachers may not be implementing targeted intervention strategies for students who need remediation.
- 4. 87% of EHS students are classified as economically disadvantaged. EHS teachers may be unaware of how stress factors can alter the brain's ability to learn, and/or not utilizing instructional strategies to help these students overcome this physical disadvantage.
- 5. Some of the lowest performing subgroups may be experiencing a social-emotional disconnect to the curriculum and the school.

# Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

ELA Achievement - EHS 35% - State 56%

Science Achievement - EHS 47% - State 68%

During the 2018-19 assessment year, both of these components were 21 percentage points below the state average. During the 2017-18 assessment year, both of these components were 15 percentage points below the state average.

- 1. EHS feeder schools average 66.23% of students with an ELA achievement level of 1 or 2.
- 2. Inconsistent attendance may contribute to gaps in learning.
- 3. EHS teachers may not be implementing targeted intervention strategies for students who need remediation.
- 4. 87% of EHS students are classified as economically disadvantaged. EHS teachers may be unaware of how stress factors can alter the brain's ability to learn, and/or not utilizing instructional strategies to help these students overcome this physical disadvantage.
- 5. Science teachers may not be utilizing enrichment strategies or more rigorous instruction with higher achieving students.
- 6. Some of the lowest performing subgroups may be experiencing a social-emotional disconnect to the curriculum and the school.

# Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

2019 US History Achievement - 58% 2018 US History Achievement - 55%

1. The US History teachers included literacy strategies in their instruction. This especially helped the SWD (19 pts) and Asian (27pts) subgroups make gains in their achievement scores.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

Attendance

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Environment Safety
- 2. Empathy Relationships
- 3. Engagement Relevant Instruction
- 4. Attendance

# Part III: Planning for Improvement

**Areas of Focus:** 

#### **Title**

**Environment - Safety** 

Escambia High School considers the safety of its students the most important factor contributing to the mission of the school. Teachers and students cannot focus on learning when they feel unsafe in the school environment. The events that occurred at Stoneman-Douglas High School in Florida has necessitated a change in the way all high schools approach safety and security measures and emergency response systems.

# State the measurable

Rationale

outcome the school plans to achieve

**outcome the** Escambia High School will provide a safe and secure environment for all educational stakeholders.

# Person responsible

for monitoring outcome

Frank Murphy (fmurphy@ecsdfl.us)

# Evidencebased Strategy

The EHS administrative team will educate all stakeholders, with regard to their role, in the safety measures and security protocols adopted by the State of Florida, Escambia County School District, and Escambia High School. EHS stakeholders will practice all safety and security protocols in order to effectively respond to concerns with confidence and insure the safety of our students.

# Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy

Educating our stakeholders about safety and security will make them aware of the necessary measures that must be practiced in order to prevent and respond to safety and security issues. All stakeholders should be confident that EHS is a safe place to work and learn.

# **Action Step**

- 1. The principal will attend district safety meetings.
- 2. The school will form and meet with a safety team once a month (or as needed) to discuss concerns and strategies.
- 3. The principal will educate the faculty and staff regarding all security measures and safety procedures.
- 4. EHS will conduct unannounced safety drills in order to ensure that all students and staff are aware of and can implement proper procedures necessary to ensure the safety of all in an actual emergency.
- 5. EHS will house two fulltime ECSO School Resource Officers.

### **Description**

- 5. EHS faculty and staff will wear ECSD badges at all times for proper identification.
- 6. EHS students will be issued an EHS ID badge to be worn while on school campus and/ or to gain entry at school-related events.
- 7. All school gates will remained locked at all times during the school day.
- 8. All school visitors must report to the front office for admittance approval before being allowed to enter the main building.
- 9. School personnel will use a secure app to communicate during security lockdowns.
- 10. School personnel and the ECSO will conduct random searches in order to protect all stakeholders from security risks.
- 11. Teachers will be trained to reconize student behaviors that may signal safety risk factors and how to begin the intervention process.

Person Responsible

Frank Murphy (fmurphy@ecsdfl.us)

#### **Title**

Empathy - Social/Emotional Learning

#### Rationale

87% of Escambia High School's diverse population is classified as economically disadvantaged. Children who live in poverty are at risk for being exposed to adverse conditions that have an impact on their ability to learn.

# State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve

Escambia High School will foster an environment of learning for all students that includes cognitive, social, and emotional aspects in order to improve attendance, reduce the number of suspensions and expulsions, and increase learning gains across the curriculum.

# Person responsible for monitoring

outcome

Frank Murphy (fmurphy@ecsdfl.us)

# Evidencebased Strategy

Teachers will participate in professional development through the Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development, increasing their ability to improve student identity and agency, and foster improved cognitive regulation, regulation of emotional processes, and social/interpersonal skills through intentional instruction and intervention. With the guidance of ASCD faculty, EHS faculty and staff will learn strategies for improving how students relate to their school, the curriculum, and each other in order to create a positive school climate.

# Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy

"Repeated exposures to adverse childhood experiences, or ACEs, remake the architecture of a child's developing brain, particularly in the prefrontal cortex, which is in charge of executive function and differentiating between good and bad, and the hippocampus, which handles memories and learning. However, research shows that given the proper support children can recover from toxic stress. Responsive and high-quality learning environments can act to buffer against adverse experiences. The brain is a very adaptable organ." (Unequal Stress: How Poverty Is Toxic for Children's Brains, Child and Adolescent Health May 10, 2016)

Administrators who focus on social emotional learning (SEL) observe students performing better academically with improved behavior, creating an overall positive school environment. (All Learning Is Social and Emotional, Frey, Fisher & Smith 2019)

# Action Step

- 1. Teachers will participate in a book study on social and emotional learning.
- 2. Teachers will participate in professional development activities facilitated by the Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- 3. EHS stakeholders will adopt the Escambia Pillars of Excellence, designed to enrich school culture and climate.

### **Description**

- 4. EHS faculty and staff will participate in Kognito training, designed to help teachers identify social/emotional risk factors and communicate with students who may require interventions.
- 5. EHS faculty and staff will be educated in implementing intervention strategies already in place in the school and district.
- 6. Teachers will incorporate SEL strategies in all aspects of instructional design and classroom managment.

# Person Responsible

Frank Murphy (fmurphy@ecsdfl.us)

#### **Title**

Engagement - Equitable Instruction

#### Rationale

The SWD, ELL, BLK, and FRL subgroups continue to perform well below all others in all areas of academic achievement. These subgroups may be experiencing a social-emotional disconnect to the curriculum and the school.

# State the measurable

school plans to achieve

**outcome the** The SWD, ELL, BLK, and FRL subgroups will build identity and agency in order to increase **school** academic achievement in all areas of the curriculum.

# Person responsible

for monitoring outcome

Frank Murphy (fmurphy@ecsdfl.us)

# Evidencebased Strategy

EHS teachers and staff will intentionally incorporate relevant cultural constructs in their subject area lesson plans, artifacts, and classroom environments. These constructs will promote identity and agency for all students and encourage our lowest performing subgroups to believe in their ability to engage in more rigorous tasks.

# Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy

The dual influence of early achievement and socioeconomic resources contribute much to what a child brings to school. (Visible Learning, Hattie 2009) Many of our economically disadvantaged students have not been exposed to environments where academic achievement is probable and higher education is an expectation. Self-concept has a .43 effect size on student achievement and is formed in part by these past experiences. Teachers must create environments where our lowest performing subgroups see themselves as having the tools and ability to be successful at high levels.

# Action Step

- 1. Teachers will use student identifiers to differentiate instruction and create opportunities for all students to be successful.
- 2. Teachers will engage all students in rigorous learning opportunities that allow students to communicate and build relationships across all ability levels.
- 3. Teachers will ensure representations of all subgroups in all educational resources used in instruction.

# Description

- 4. Teachers will create a classroom environment where all students feel safe to participate and failure is not an option.
- 5. Teachers will use data to determine what students need intervention in mastering standards and plan appropriate intervention strategies that will allow students a measure of success.

# Person Responsible

Frank Murphy (fmurphy@ecsdfl.us)

## Title Attendance

an adult.

19.8% of Escambia High School students are considered chronic absentees. A recent report from the U.S. Department of Education revealed chronically absent students are at a greater academic risk for missing early learning milestones, failing courses, and not graduating on time. Chronically absent students are also at a greater risk for a number of negative long-term consequences such as being more likely to experience poverty, diminished mental and physical health, and involvement in the criminal justice system as

# State the measurable

Rationale

outcome the school plans to achieve

**outcome the** Escambia High School will decrease the number of chronic absentees in all grade levels in **school** order to improve student capacity for academic achievement across the curriculum.

# Person responsible

for monitoring outcome

Frank Murphy (fmurphy@ecsdfl.us)

# Evidencebased Strategy

Escambia High School will educate and inform all stakeholders on the impact of chronic absenteeism. EHS will work with community stakeholders and families to promote school attendance.

# Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy

Research from Attendance Works indicates that not only can schools and districts impact student absenteeism rates, but that students can actually reverse their academic difficulties if attendance improves. These initiatives strive to make an impact on chronic absenteeism rates by educating and informing school communities on how everyone can take action and get involved. (Edmentum, "How Chronic Absenteeism Affects Student Achievement", Friday, June 23, 2017

### **Action Step**

- 1. Student attendance and tardies will be tracked each day by the EHS attendance clerk.
- 2. EHS will notify parents/guardians of absences and tardies each day.

## Description

- 3. EHS will practice SEL strategies to connect students with the school environment and improve school culture.
- 4. EHS will celebrate students with no absences.

# Person Responsible

Frank Murphy (fmurphy@ecsdfl.us)

### Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

# Part IV: Title I Requirements

## **Additional Title I Requirements**

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Schoolwide Improvement Plan to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

Escambia High School will foster quality relationships with all stakeholders within our school community. The school will assemble a School Advisory Committee that includes administrators, teachers, students, parents/guardians, and community stakeholders. This committee will meet each quarter to address current goals and events of the school, and any concerns brought forth by the members. The school sponsors several events throughout the year where parents/guardians are invited to attend

and learn about different aspects of their child's academic experience and how they can support that process. These include Freshmen and New Student Orientation, Open House, Parent Literacy Night, Senior Parent Night, ACT Night, ROTC Parent Night, Report Card Night, and EOC Night. Parents are encouraged to attend success celebrations such as Underclassmen Awards, Superlative Night, and Senior Honor's Night.

Parents, families, and community stakeholders can access school information through a variety of different formats including CANVAS, FOCUS, and social media (Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, Youtube), and the Escambia High School website. Mr. Murphy will post a weekly podcast available through the school website.

Parents/guardians are encouraged to contact their child's guidance counselor to discuss graduation requirements and academic progression. Parents may also be contacted about special events or concerns through the school district callout system and/or by mail. Parents are encourage to contact the Guidance Dept. to schedule teacher conferences and monitor their child's progress on a regular basis.

#### **PFEP Link**

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

Providing mental health counseling - EHS Faculty are trained in the procedure for notifying counselors of student social/emotional needs. The counselors meet with the students, ensuring that students are referred to the appropriate mental health services. Administration meets with students in an opening assembly and introduces the mental health counselor to each grade level, reminding students that the counselor is available for services.

Faculty Social/Emotional Environment Training - EHS Faculty receive scheduled training for potential symptoms of identifying students that need mental health support. Faculty have completed Kognito Training and Capturing Kids Hearts training to ensure social/emotional support is embedded into their Classroom Environments.

Faculty Social/Emotional Learning Training - EHS Administration has contracted with the Association of Curriculum and Supervision Development (ACSD) to provide quarterly training on implementation of Social/Emotional Learning. An ACSD consultant meets with the faculty and offers instructional strategies to promote Social Emotional Learning in all content curriculum areas.

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

Incoming

Leadership Camps - NJROTC, Military Grant

Freshmen Orientation
Freshman Assembly
Classroom Counselor visits

Outgoing
Classroom Visits-Colleges, Technical Centers
College Corner
FAFSA Parent workshops/application completion
College Decision Day

The Escambia High School counselors make classroom visits to see their assigned students each year. During that time, they inform students about graduation requirements, the process for college application, scholarship (Florida Bright Futures), and that they are available to meet one-on-one to give more personal attention with regard to students' goals. The counselors also meet one-on-one with each student assigned to them advise them about registration for next year. During this time the counselor and student review graduation requirements and future goals to help advise them on what classes they may want to take and/or need to take. Counselors have the state website for Choices available for students to use to help them with guide them when choosing a possible career, college, and scholarships and during the 10th grade year all students take the PLAN test which is a career interest inventory. The results of the PLAN are presented to each student. This report is personalized with their strengths and weakness along with suggested careers that may be of interest to the student. Counselors have access to, and utilize, the web site of Florida Virtual Campus (FLVS.org) which has a career and academic exploration and college or university profiles and degree offerings. It also provides students with access to their high school transcript and Bright Futures Scholarship eligibility. Cognizant of the superintendent's alignment with Vision 2020, our campus is focused upon all applicable strategies and resources we can bring to bear for our students.

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

#### Title I, Part A

Academic support is provided to ensure students requiring additional remediation are assisted through (ADD SCHOOL SPECIFIC DETAILS BASED ON HOW YOU ARE SPENDING TITLE I FUNDS).

#### Title I, Part C Migrant

All migrant students will be provided support services by the district Title I office. Our local student information system (FOCUS) is used to track student data and is used to indicate the specific Title I services each migrant student will be provided (attendance, guidance, psychology services, dental and health services, nutrition assistance, outreach, advocacy, social services, transportation, and/or needs assessment services). The district Migrant Coordinator will monitor services and student needs.

# Title I, Part D

Services to neglected and delinquent students are provided by various district-operated programs as needed. These services are overseen by the Alternative Education Department and focus on offering programs to students who are most at-risk of leaving school prior to graduation.

# Title II

Professional learning opportunities are offered both at the school level and the district level. Please see each individual goal area for specific professional learning opportunities (in-service education).

#### Title III-ELL

Services for English Language Learners (ELL) are provided as required by law. Several ESOL centers are provided at various key locations in the district. Students who do not attend centrally located school-based sites attend their zoned school where ESOL endorsed teachers provide services.

#### Title IX- Homeless

The school works with the district's Homeless Coordinator to provide transportation and resources (clothing, school supplies, and social services referrals) for students identified as Homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act to eliminate barriers for a free and appropriate education. This program is overseen by the Title I office.

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

- 1. Business partnerships with career academies (Navy Federal internships, Career Academy OJT positions
- 2. Talent Search/Trio (Trio comes to complete application process with students at all grade levels at EHS annually)
- 3. College/Career Fair

EHS will plan a College and Career Fair that will allow parents and students an opportunity to learn about different colleges and universities and admission requirements. This night will also include EHS alumni who students can learn from and make connections with regarding all aspects of their professional careers. This will be an opportunity for students to build identity and form relationships with others who share their interest and cultural values.