

Bay District Schools

# Northside Elementary School



2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

---

## Table of Contents

---

<b>School Demographics</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Purpose and Outline of the SIP</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>School Information</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Needs Assessment</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Planning for Improvement</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Title I Requirements</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Budget to Support Goals</b>	<b>18</b>

# Northside Elementary School

2001 NORTHSIDE DR, Panama City, FL 32405

[ no web address on file ]

## Demographics

**Principal: Lora Frowert**

Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2013

<b>2019-20 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
<b>School Type and Grades Served</b> (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
<b>Primary Service Type</b> (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
<b>2018-19 Title I School</b>	Yes
<b>2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate</b> (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
<b>2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
<b>School Grades History</b>	2018-19: B (58%) 2017-18: C (46%) 2016-17: C (45%) 2015-16: C (43%) 2014-15: C (52%)
<b>2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*</b>	
<b>SI Region</b>	Northwest
<b>Regional Executive Director</b>	<a href="#">Rachel Heide</a>
<b>Turnaround Option/Cycle</b>	N/A
<b>Year</b>	
<b>Support Tier</b>	

<b>ESSA Status</b>	TS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, <a href="#">click here</a> .	

**School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Bay County School Board.

**SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

1. have a school grade of D or F
2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at [www.floridacims.org](http://www.floridacims.org).

**Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a “living document” by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the “Date Modified” listed in the footer.

---

## Table of Contents

---

<b>Purpose and Outline of the SIP</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>School Information</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Needs Assessment</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Planning for Improvement</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Title I Requirements</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>Budget to Support Goals</b>	<b>18</b>

# Northside Elementary School

2001 NORTHSIDE DR, Panama City, FL 32405

[ no web address on file ]

## School Demographics

<b>School Type and Grades Served</b> (per MSID File)	<b>2018-19 Title I School</b>	<b>2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate</b> (as reported on Survey 3)
Elementary School PK-5	Yes	100%
<b>Primary Service Type</b> (per MSID File)	<b>Charter School</b>	<b>2018-19 Minority Rate</b> (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	No	42%

## School Grades History

Year	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17	2015-16
Grade	B	C	C	C

## School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Bay County School Board.

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at

<https://www.floridacims.org>.

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## Part I: School Information

### School Mission and Vision

**Provide the school's mission statement.**

The students, parents and community of Northside Elementary School will provide an environment where students feel secure, valued and confident to learn in diverse ways while mastering skills to become life-long learners and contributors to the community.

**Provide the school's vision statement.**

A collaborative focus on every student every day.

### School Leadership Team

**Membership**

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Harvey, Amy	Principal	
Allen, Alison	Teacher, K-12	
Lewis, Kelly	Teacher, K-12	
Ensminger, Katrina	Teacher, K-12	
Hornkohl, Jennifer	Teacher, K-12	
Nield, Marcy	Teacher, K-12	
Peters, Megan	Teacher, ESE	
Rollins, Jennifer	Instructional Media	
Ciccarelli, Jessica	Teacher, K-12	
Gall, Chad	Assistant Principal	
Campbell, Jamie	School Counselor	
Cox, Angel	Teacher, K-12	

### Early Warning Systems

**Current Year**

**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:**

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		12
Number of students enrolled	93	74	75	83	89	97	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	511
Attendance below 90 percent	18	14	15	25	17	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	111
One or more suspensions	1	6	5	7	10	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	4	7	8	10	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	4	15	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	54

**The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	3	2	7	12	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40

**The number of students identified as retainees:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	9	6	1	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

**FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)**

38

**Date this data was collected or last updated**

Monday 8/26/2019

**Prior Year - As Reported**

**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Attendance below 90 percent	25	18	27	18	19	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	116
One or more suspensions	8	8	9	9	10	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	55
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	16	24	23	12	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	78
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	31	32	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	99

**The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	4	10	17	22	19	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	80

**Prior Year - Updated**

**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Attendance below 90 percent	25	18	27	18	19	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	116
One or more suspensions	8	8	9	9	10	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	55
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	16	24	23	12	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	78
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	31	32	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	99

**The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:**



Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11		12
Students with two or more indicators	4	10	17	22	19	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	80

**Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis**

**School Data**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2019			2018		
	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	62%	55%	57%	47%	49%	55%
ELA Learning Gains	65%	59%	58%	50%	54%	57%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	56%	57%	53%	52%	55%	52%
Math Achievement	57%	56%	63%	47%	52%	61%
Math Learning Gains	61%	54%	62%	42%	55%	61%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	51%	42%	51%	45%	48%	51%
Science Achievement	52%	53%	53%	33%	44%	51%

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey							
Indicator	Grade Level (prior year reported)						Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	
Number of students enrolled	93 (0)	74 (0)	75 (0)	83 (0)	89 (0)	97 (0)	511 (0)
Attendance below 90 percent	18 (25)	14 (18)	15 (27)	25 (18)	17 (19)	22 (9)	111 (116)
One or more suspensions	1 (8)	6 (8)	5 (9)	7 (9)	10 (10)	4 (11)	33 (55)
Course failure in ELA or Math	0 (0)	4 (16)	7 (24)	8 (23)	10 (12)	11 (3)	40 (78)
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	4 (31)	15 (32)	35 (36)	54 (99)

**Grade Level Data**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
03	2019	59%	61%	-2%	58%	1%
	2018	61%	57%	4%	57%	4%
Same Grade Comparison		-2%				
Cohort Comparison						
04	2019	63%	58%	5%	58%	5%
	2018	55%	51%	4%	56%	-1%

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
Same Grade Comparison		8%				
Cohort Comparison		2%				
05	2019	57%	56%	1%	56%	1%
	2018	51%	50%	1%	55%	-4%
Same Grade Comparison		6%				
Cohort Comparison		2%				

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
03	2019	56%	62%	-6%	62%	-6%
	2018	56%	63%	-7%	62%	-6%
Same Grade Comparison		0%				
Cohort Comparison						
04	2019	52%	59%	-7%	64%	-12%
	2018	52%	59%	-7%	62%	-10%
Same Grade Comparison		0%				
Cohort Comparison		-4%				
05	2019	50%	54%	-4%	60%	-10%
	2018	45%	57%	-12%	61%	-16%
Same Grade Comparison		5%				
Cohort Comparison		-2%				

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
05	2019	52%	54%	-2%	53%	-1%
	2018	43%	54%	-11%	55%	-12%
Same Grade Comparison		9%				
Cohort Comparison						

**Subgroup Data**

2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	57	66	53	45	57	53	45				
ELL	42	64		47	64						
BLK	28	50	50	25	38	45	17				
HSP	39	59	70	48	64						
MUL	53	45		47	55						
WHT	76	72		68	66	58	71				
FRL	59	64	61	54	56	53	47				

2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	34	34	43	32	33	33	11				
ELL	29	20		24							
BLK	30	38	23	18	34	43	10				
HSP	44	39	17	47	43		29				
MUL	50	36		75	55						
WHT	65	58	61	58	54	22	52				
FRL	52	49	32	46	46	33	43				

  

2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	25	45	50	29	33	39	16				
ELL	23			46							
BLK	22	37	41	18	30	36	8				
HSP	40	50		43	31		38				
MUL	36	67		45	56		30				
WHT	57	51	63	56	45	55	42				
FRL	42	46	47	41	40	48	29				

**ESSA Data**

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	TS&I
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	60
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	1
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	73
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	477
Total Components for the Federal Index	8
Percent Tested	100%

  

Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	54
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	

English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	58
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	36
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	60
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	50
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	69
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	58
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

## Analysis

### Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

#### Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

The school-wide data component that performed the lowest is Math Lowest 25th Percentile, 51%. This was also the lowest performing area from 2018; however there was a 16% increase from 2018 (35%) to 2019.

#### Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Northside had an increase of at least 5% in all data components.

#### Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

The school-wide data component with the biggest gap when compared to the state average is Math Achievement with a difference of 6%. It should be noted that the gap was closed by 4% from last school year. (2018: State 62%, Northside 52%, 2019: State 63%, Northside 57%)

#### Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The school-wide data component that showed the most improvement was ELA Lowest 25th Percentile with an increase of 21% (35%-56%). Lowest quartile students were provided individualized targeted daily interventions and supports throughout the school year based on monthly data analysis.

#### Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

Northside has one subgroup area that did not meet the target of 41%. The Black/African American subgroup score was 36%.

#### Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

1. Black/African American Subgroup
2. ELA Achievement
3. Behavior/Discipline

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

**Areas of Focus:**

<b>#1</b>	
<b>Title</b>	Northside Elementary will increase the number of students making learning gains and demonstrating proficiency in ELA by planning, preparing and implementing targeted differentiated instruction that meets the intent and rigor of the standards.
<b>Rationale</b>	English Language Arts (ELA) remains our area of focus. While Northside's overall proficiency level in ELA increased 6% from 56% to 62% and our overall learning gains increased 14% from 51% to 65%, both areas remain below our target of 70% proficiency.

<b>State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve</b>	<p>ELA proficiency will increase by 3% from 62% to 65%.</p> <p>ELA learning gains will increase by 5% from 65% to 70%.</p>
<b>Person responsible for monitoring outcome</b>	Amy Harvey (harveam@bay.k12.fl.us)
<b>Evidence-based Strategy</b>	Standards aligned targeted Tier I instruction utilizing the new EL Curriculum and providing additional individualized supports at Tier II and III.
<b>Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy</b>	Implementing the EL Curriculum will ensure a guaranteed and viable curriculum, using high quality text and encompassing all standards.

**Action Step**

<b>Description</b>	<p>Continue to build and strengthen Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) at each grade level. This will provide opportunity for collaborative teaching which will enhance the level of instruction provided and ensure that assessments are aligned to the rigor and intent of the standards. Teachers will participate in weekly PLCs to plan and prepare for effective standards-aligned instructional delivery and assessment. ELA Liaisons will attend quarterly liaison meetings and bring information back to the PLC. The instructional coach will provide support with the newly-implemented EL Curriculum, including planning and modeling effective standards-aligned instructional delivery and assessment.</p> <p>Implementation of the Simplified MTSS/RTI at Work process will continue, allowing us to strengthen and support the school's academic program through strategic focus. A universal spreadsheet will be used to track data on students that need extra intervention. The universal spreadsheet will be utilized during monthly MTSS Leadership Team meeting and Grade Level Data Chats, where all teachers in grade level PLCs, instructional coaches, support team members (to include MTSS Interventionist- School and District Level) and administration discuss the needs of the students and develop a plan to address the needs. Having the sheet available to teachers and staff allows them to review and update information in real time for us to problem solve the MTSS process for academics as well as behavior.</p> <p>Timely flexible interventions are implemented with fidelity and student progress is monitored regularly. Tier II</p>
--------------------	---

interventions are provided by the classroom teacher and Tier III interventions provided by the MTSS Intervention Team.

**Person Responsible** Amy Harvey (harveam@bay.k12.fl.us)

**#2**

**Title** Northside Elementary School will implement 15 minutes of daily Character Education in order to decrease student discipline referrals by 5%.

**Rationale** Implementing character education daily will teach behavior expectations and how to appropriately respond to tough situations.

**State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve** Effective instruction in character education will lead to a decrease of discipline incidents by 5%.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome** Chad Gall (gallch@bay.k12.fl.us)

**Evidence-based Strategy** Character Education and Social Emotional Learning

**Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy** When teachers embed character education into their instruction, the classroom becomes a more caring, respectful, and inclusive community.

**Action Step**

**Description** Core Essentials is a character development curriculum that will be used school-wide to provide a common language for behavior expectations. This curriculum will define and teach students the expected behavior for school. The Core Essential Big Three Behavior Expectations will be posted in classrooms, hallways, cafeteria, and common areas.

Positive behavior will be celebrated at our monthly pep rally. Each class will nominate the student that best exemplifies the core behavior trait of the month. Each student will receive a certificate, a Viking t-shirt and attend a special breakfast with their family.

**Person Responsible** Jennifer Rollins (rollija@bay.k12.fl.us)

**Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)**

**After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).**

**Part IV: Title I Requirements**



**Additional Title I Requirements**

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Schoolwide Improvement Plan to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, Â§ 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

**Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.**

See the attached Title I Parent and Family Engagement Plan

**PFEP Link**

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

**Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.**

Northside Elementary School provides support for the physical, social, and emotional needs of our students. Northside partners with several local churches and community programs to provide school supplies, food (weekend backpack program), and clothing to our families in need. The Guidance counselor provides lists of community resources to our families and regularly meets with parents to discuss individual needs. Character education and bullying prevention are integrated into the school curriculum. PanCare employees coordinate the health needs of our students, as well as coordinating annual health screenings and dental care. School staff coordinate with resource teachers, school psychologist, behavior interventionist and other district staff to administer various screenings as needed for individual students. Telehealth programs are in place to provide physical and mental healthcare; including a paraprofessional assigned to coordinate care.

Northside provides services that support the counseling, assessment, referral and educational needs of our students. Using the MTSS process, staff identify student needs and design differentiated instruction and/or interventions to support the learning of all students. Students receive support through specialized programs such as Tier II and Tier III support through specialized programs such as a social skills group, Zoo U individualized software program, Check & Connect with a staff member, and mentoring. The school staff including the Promise Program paraprofessional collaborates with outside resources (social workers, behavior interventionist, Florida Therapy counselors, Anchorage Children's Home, Elevate Bay and other community partners) to discuss coordination, implementation, and efficiency of services and works to identify new strategies or resources to benefit our students.

**Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.**

At Northside Elementary School, support is provided to the preschooler for transition from Pre-K to kindergarten. Beginning early in the year, the preschool teachers stress the importance of learning Pre-K skills to ensure learning success in kindergarten. The students are exposed to kindergarten through books and activities which are similar to what will occur the following year. Mid-year, the preschool teachers structure centers and workstations similar to the kindergarten classrooms. At the end of the school year, the Pre-K students will tour the kindergarten classrooms and meet the teachers.

Prior to the first day of the new school year, a kindergarten orientation is held for the parents and students. During the orientation, parents are informed of policies and procedures while the students are given a tour of the school familiarizing themselves with the playground/gym, cafeteria and library. The key components, parent involvement and open communication, are stressed to ensure a successful

kindergarten year.

Contact is made with the district's middle schools and outgoing fifth graders are given flyers for parental involvement and communication regarding transition programs to middle school. In the spring our fifth graders are transported to a middle school to assist for a transitional consultation. The purpose is to assist our outgoing students in acclimating to the next level. Students have an opportunity to tour the campus, visit classrooms, and meet the administration. Even though they may attend another middle school in the district they gain a working knowledge of skills needed at the next level. Middle schools provide an official orientation meeting for incoming students before the official start of school. Other information about transition is provided in the community through information in school newsletters and posters/flyers in the community.

**Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.**

Principals, school counselors, and social workers are made aware of available resources from various funding sources during district provided meetings throughout the school year. The needs of students and their families, identified during parent-teacher conferences, MTSS meetings, IEP meetings, social worker visits, etc., are addressed by the school counselor and/or social worker by coordinating services and programs provided by federal, state, and local funds within the school system and the community. Students in MTSS Tiers II and III are monitored frequently to determine the impact of services and adjustments are made accordingly.

**Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.**

N/A

**Part V: Budget**

**The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.**

1	III.A.	<b>Areas of Focus: Northside Elementary will increase the number of students making learning gains and demonstrating proficiency in ELA by planning, preparing and implementing targeted differentiated instruction that meets the intent and rigor of the standards.</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>
2	III.A.	<b>Areas of Focus: Northside Elementary School will implement 15 minutes of daily Character Education in order to decrease student discipline referrals by 5%.</b>	<b>\$0.00</b>
<b>Total:</b>			<b>\$0.00</b>