Broward County Public Schools

Pace Center For Girls, Inc.



2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	8
Planning for Improvement	13
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Pace Center For Girls, Inc.

2225 N ANDREWS AVE, Wilton Manors, FL 33311

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: David Watkins

Start Date for this Principal: 8/2/2014

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 6-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2018-19 Title I School	No
2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	86%
2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Black/African American Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students*
	2018-19: No Grade
	2017-18: No Grade
School Grades History	2016-17: No Grade
·	2015-16: No Grade
	2014-15: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Infe	ormation*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F	or more information, <u>click here</u> .

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Broward County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	8
Planning for Improvement	13
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Pace Center For Girls, Inc.

2225 N ANDREWS AVE, Wilton Manors, FL 33311

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served		2018-19 Economically
(per MSID File)	2018-19 Title I School	Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate
(per MSID File)		(as reported on Survey 3)
Liberta Oata a al		

High School 6-12

No

%

Primary Service Type
(per MSID File)

Charter School

Charter School

Charter School

Alternative Education

No

2018-19 Minority Rate
(Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)

%

School Grades History

Year

Grade

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Broward County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Pace provides girls and young women an opportunity for a better future through education, counseling, training and advocacy.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Pace values all girls and young women, believing each one deserves an opportunity to find her voice, achieve her potential and celebrate a life defined by responsibility, dignity, serenity and grace.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Merchant, Charisse	Principal	Oversee delivery of education services.

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	11	11	13	36	17	15	106
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	10	10	32	15	15	94
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	1	1	7	2	1	24
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	1	0	6
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	9	8	23	15	11	77
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	7	7	19	8	8	56

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator			Total											
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	7	7	18	9	8	53
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	4	9

FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)

6

Date this data was collected or last updated

Friday 8/2/2019

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Attendance below 90 percent		
One or more suspensions		
Course failure in ELA or Math		
Level 1 on statewide assessment		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
-----------	-------------	-------

Students with two or more indicators

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	21	17	27	13	21	107	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	13	14	20	9	17	78	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	5	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	21	12	24	11	21	94	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	8	11	16	4	4	48	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019		2018		
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	0%	57%	56%	0%	56%	53%
ELA Learning Gains	0%	52%	51%	0%	51%	49%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	45%	42%	0%	43%	41%
Math Achievement	0%	51%	51%	0%	50%	49%
Math Learning Gains	0%	44%	48%	0%	43%	44%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	43%	45%	0%	38%	39%
Science Achievement	0%	66%	68%	0%	62%	65%
Social Studies Achievement	0%	71%	73%	0%	68%	70%

Indicator	Grade Level (prior year reported)							Total
indicator	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Number of students enrolled	3 (0)	11 (0)	11 (0)	13 (0)	36 (0)	17 (0)	15 (0)	106 (0)
Attendance below 90 percent	3 ()	9 ()	10 ()	10 ()	32 ()	15 ()	15 ()	94 (0)
One or more suspensions	2 (0)	10 (0)	1 (0)	1 (0)	7 (0)	2 (0)	1 (0)	24 (0)
Course failure in ELA or Math	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (0)	2 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	6 (0)
Level 1 on statewide assessment	3 (0)	8 (0)	9 (0)	8 (0)	23 (0)	15 (0)	11 (0)	77 (0)
	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison					
07	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
08	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Com	nparison	0%				
09	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Com	nparison	0%			<u> </u>	
10	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%			•	

			MATH	1		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison					
07	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%			•	
08	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%			•	

	SCIENCE									
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison				
08	2019									
	2018									
Cohort Com	parison									

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		HISTO	RY EOC	•	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		ALGEE	RA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					

	GEOMETRY EOC								
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State				
2019									
2018									

Subgroup Data

	2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
BLK	27	33		10			9	8			
FRL	37	29		15	18		15	18			
	2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CS&I
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	15
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	2
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	88
Total Components for the Federal Index	6
Percent Tested	55%

Subgroup Data

Students With Disabilities			
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities			
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?			
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%			

English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	17
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	
Federal Index - White Students White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A

Economically Disadvantaged Students				
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	22			
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES			
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%				

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Black / African-American and Economically Disadvantaged students showed the lowest performance. Contributing factors include low attendance rates and inconsistent transitions of students. Some students do not start or end the academic year in the same setting, and may not receive the same instruction across school sites.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

n/a

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

n/a

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

n/a

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

Two potential ares of concern from the EWA data is the % of students with attendance below 90% and % of students with level 1 achievement in ELA or Math.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Increase attendance rates for Black / African-American and Economically Disadvantaged students.
- 2. Maintain high-quality instruction in all academic areas in regards to increasing outcomes for Black / African-American and Economically Disadvantaged students.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1						
Title	Increase attendance rates for Black/African American and Economically Disadvantaged Students					
Rationale	Improved attendance is not the only factor impacting student achievement. Student learning improves with consistent attendance, high-quality teaching, engaging curriculum, feeling safe in the learning environment, and a positive relationship between the home and school.					
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve	Currently, student attendance is at or below 70%. Student attendance rates will increase by at least 5% for the academic year.					
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	Charisse Merchant (charisse.merchant@browardschools.com)					
Evidence-based Strategy	bell schedule restructure social and emotional learning groups with counselors					
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy	Pace will restructure the bell schedule to reflect a later start time for students. Research shows that adolescents require a longer amount of sleep and because students take public transportation, this requires them to begin their day very early which is a deterrent from on-time school arrival.					
Action Step						
Description	 Offering before and after school tutoring for Black/African American and Economically Disadvantaged Students Black/African American and Economically Disadvantaged Student participate in social and emotional learning groups within counselor careloads every two weeks to learn to self manage and develop coping skills. 					
Person Responsible	Charisse Merchant (charisse.merchant@browardschools.com)					

	r.	ı	ò	٠
ŀ	٠	ľ	٦.	,

Title

Maintain high-quality standards-based instruction in all academic areas for Black/African

American and Economically Disadvantaged Students

Rationale

Learning includes mastering academic standards, undergoing social-emotional development, and applying knowledge and skills in new situations.

State the measurable outcome the

Pace will provide standards-based instruction in 100% of classrooms.

school plans to achieve

Person responsible

for monitoring outcome

Charisse Merchant (charisse.merchant@browardschools.com)

Evidencebased Strategy

Instructional personnel will be provided with the instructional resources that will assist them in the alternative school model. They will receive instruction and development on high yield, ESE and ESOL strategies. The instructional staff is also are trained on effective instructional strategies to meet the academic and social-emotional needs of low performing students as well as students needed trauma-responsive instruction of Black/African American and Economically Disadvantaged Students.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy

100% of students enroll into Pace with 3 or more risk factors or ACEs. This greatly impacts the way students learn and the level of instruction needed for academic success of Black/ African American and Economically Disadvantaged Students.

Action Step

- 1. Provide On-Going Professional Development and Continuing Education Opportunities to meet the needs of Black/African American and Economically Disadvantaged Students.
- 2. Regular supervision and feedback (formal and informal supervision and classroom observations).

Description

3. Common Planning Period, Academic and Care Review/Treatment Team Meetings - collaborative meetings.

held on a weekly and monthly basis, to discuss students, the instructional best practices, and teacher concerns.

4. Provide access to digital and print teacher materials (school and district) to meet the needs of Black/African American and Economically Disadvantaged Students.

Person Responsible

Charisse Merchant (charisse.merchant@browardschools.com)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

n/a