

Clay County Schools

# Amikids Clay County



2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

---

## Table of Contents

---

|                                       |           |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>School Demographics</b>            | <b>3</b>  |
| <b>Purpose and Outline of the SIP</b> | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>School Information</b>             | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>Needs Assessment</b>               | <b>8</b>  |
| <b>Planning for Improvement</b>       | <b>14</b> |
| <b>Title I Requirements</b>           | <b>0</b>  |
| <b>Budget to Support Goals</b>        | <b>14</b> |

## Amikids Clay County

501 LEMON ST, Green Cove Springs, FL 32043

[ no web address on file ]

### Demographics

**Principal: Maria Przybylski**

Start Date for this Principal: 8/21/2019

|  |   |
|--|---|
| <b>2019-20 Status</b><br>(per MSID File)   | Active  |
| <b>School Type and Grades Served</b><br>(per MSID File)  | High School<br>6-12   |
| <b>Primary Service Type</b><br>(per MSID File)   | Alternative Education   |
| <b>2018-19 Title I School</b>  | Yes   |
| <b>2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate</b><br>(as reported on Survey 3)  | 64%   |
| <b>2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b><br>(subgroups with 10 or more students)<br>(subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | White Students*<br>Economically Disadvantaged Students*   |
| <b>School Grades History</b>   | 2018-19: No Grade<br>2017-18: No Grade<br>2016-17: No Grade<br>2015-16: No Grade<br>2014-15: No Grade |
| <b>2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*</b>  |   |
| <b>SI Region</b>   | Northeast   |
| <b>Regional Executive Director</b>   | <a href="#">Cassandra Brusca</a>  |
| <b>Turnaround Option/Cycle</b>   | N/A   |
| <b>Year</b>  |   |
| <b>Support Tier</b>  |   |
| <b>ESSA Status</b>   | CS&I  |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, <a href="#">click here</a> .   |   |

## School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Clay County School Board.

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

1. have a school grade of D or F
2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at [www.floridacims.org](http://www.floridacims.org).

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

---

## Table of Contents

---

|                                       |           |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>Purpose and Outline of the SIP</b> | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>School Information</b>             | <b>7</b>  |
| <b>Needs Assessment</b>               | <b>8</b>  |
| <b>Planning for Improvement</b>       | <b>14</b> |
| <b>Title I Requirements</b>           | <b>0</b>  |
| <b>Budget to Support Goals</b>        | <b>14</b> |

# Amikids Clay County

501 LEMON ST, Green Cove Springs, FL 32043

[ no web address on file ]

## School Demographics

|   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <p><b>School Type and Grades Served</b><br/>(per MSID File)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">High School<br/>6-12</p> | <p><b>2018-19 Title I School</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">No</p> | <p><b>2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate</b><br/>(as reported on Survey 3)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">%</p> |
| <p><b>Primary Service Type</b><br/>(per MSID File)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Alternative Education</p>         | <p><b>Charter School</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;">No</p>         | <p><b>2018-19 Minority Rate</b><br/>(Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">%</p>               |

## School Grades History

Year  
Grade

## School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Clay County School Board.

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE’s school improvement planning web application located at <https://www.floridaCIMS.org>.

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a “living document” by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the “Date Modified” listed in the footer.

## Part I: School Information

### School Mission and Vision

**Provide the school's mission statement.**

AMIkids Clay County's mission is to protect public safety and positively impact as many youth as possible through the efforts of a diverse and innovative staff. AMIkids works in partnership with youth agencies, local communities, and families.

**Provide the school's vision statement.**

AMIkids Clay County's vision - Separating a troubled past from a bright future.

### School Leadership Team

**Membership**

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

| Name              | Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities  |
|-------------------|-------|--|
| Przybylski, Maria | Other | Executive Director - Responsible for hiring all support staff as specified within the budget of the school. Responsible for implementing the AMIkids behavior modification and treatment plan. |
| Carter, Martin    | Other | Director of Education  |

### Early Warning Systems

**Current Year**

**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:**

| Indicator                       | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|-------|
|                                 | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 |       |
| Number of students enrolled     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 9  | 2  | 0  | 34    |
| Attendance below 90 percent     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |
| One or more suspensions         | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 1     |
| Course failure in ELA or Math   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 3     |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 7  | 6  | 1  | 0  | 23    |

**The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:**

| Indicator                            | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
|                                      | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |       |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1  | 0  | 0  | 3     |

**The number of students identified as retainees:**

| Indicator                           | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
|                                     | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |       |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 2     |
| Students retained two or more times | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1     |

**FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)**

3

**Date this data was collected or last updated**

Wednesday 8/21/2019

**Prior Year - As Reported**

**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

| Indicator                       | Grade Level | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Attendance below 90 percent     |             |       |
| One or more suspensions         |             |       |
| Course failure in ELA or Math   |             |       |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment |             |       |

**The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:**

| Indicator                            | Grade Level | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Students with two or more indicators |             |       |

**Prior Year - Updated**

**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

| Indicator                       | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
|                                 | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |       |
| Attendance below 90 percent     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0     |
| One or more suspensions         | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0     |
| Course failure in ELA or Math   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0     |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0     |

**The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:**

| Indicator                            | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
|                                      | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |       |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0     |

**Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis**

**School Data**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).



| School Grade Component      | 2019   |          |       | 2018   |          |       |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
|                             | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| ELA Achievement             | 0%     | 60%      | 56%   | 0%     | 54%      | 53%   |
| ELA Learning Gains          | 0%     | 52%      | 51%   | 0%     | 50%      | 49%   |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile  | 0%     | 39%      | 42%   | 0%     | 40%      | 41%   |
| Math Achievement            | 0%     | 55%      | 51%   | 0%     | 60%      | 49%   |
| Math Learning Gains         | 0%     | 46%      | 48%   | 0%     | 51%      | 44%   |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | 0%     | 38%      | 45%   | 0%     | 37%      | 39%   |
| Science Achievement         | 0%     | 73%      | 68%   | 0%     | 63%      | 65%   |
| Social Studies Achievement  | 0%     | 81%      | 73%   | 0%     | 78%      | 70%   |

| EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey |                                   |       |       |        |       |       |       |        |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Indicator                                     | Grade Level (prior year reported) |       |       |        |       |       |       | Total  |
|   | 6                                 | 7     | 8     | 9      | 10    | 11    | 12    |        |
| Number of students enrolled                   | 1 (0)                             | 4 (0) | 7 (0) | 11 (0) | 9 (0) | 2 (0) | 0 (0) | 34 (0) |
| Attendance below 90 percent                   | 0 ( )                             | 0 ( ) | 0 ( ) | 0 ( )  | 0 ( ) | 0 ( ) | 0 ( ) | 0 (0)  |
| One or more suspensions                       | 0 ( )                             | 0 ( ) | 0 ( ) | 0 ( )  | 1 ( ) | 0 ( ) | 0 ( ) | 1 (0)  |
| Course failure in ELA or Math                 | 0 ( )                             | 1 ( ) | 1 ( ) | 0 ( )  | 1 ( ) | 0 ( ) | 0 ( ) | 3 (0)  |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment               | 1 ( )                             | 2 ( ) | 6 ( ) | 7 ( )  | 6 ( ) | 1 ( ) | 0 ( ) | 23 (0) |

**Grade Level Data**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

| ELA               |      |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|-------------------|------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade             | Year | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| 06                | 2019 |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|                   | 2018 |        |          |                            |       |                         |
| Cohort Comparison |      |        |          |                            |       |                         |
| 07                | 2019 |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|                   | 2018 |        |          |                            |       |                         |
| Cohort Comparison |      | 0%     |          |                            |       |                         |
| 08                | 2019 |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|                   | 2018 |        |          |                            |       |                         |
| Cohort Comparison |      | 0%     |          |                            |       |                         |
| 09                | 2019 |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|                   | 2018 |        |          |                            |       |                         |
| Cohort Comparison |      | 0%     |          |                            |       |                         |
| 10                | 2019 |        |          |                            |       |                         |
|                   | 2018 |        |          |                            |       |                         |
| Cohort Comparison |      | 0%     |          |                            |       |                         |

| <b>MATH</b>       |             |               |                 |                                   |              |                                |
|-------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| <b>Grade</b>      | <b>Year</b> | <b>School</b> | <b>District</b> | <b>School-District Comparison</b> | <b>State</b> | <b>School-State Comparison</b> |
| 06                | 2019        |               |                 |                                   |              |                                |
|                   | 2018        |               |                 |                                   |              |                                |
| Cohort Comparison |             |               |                 |                                   |              |                                |
| 07                | 2019        |               |                 |                                   |              |                                |
|                   | 2018        |               |                 |                                   |              |                                |
| Cohort Comparison |             | 0%            |                 |                                   |              |                                |
| 08                | 2019        |               |                 |                                   |              |                                |
|                   | 2018        |               |                 |                                   |              |                                |
| Cohort Comparison |             | 0%            |                 |                                   |              |                                |

| <b>SCIENCE</b>    |             |               |                 |                                   |              |                                |
|-------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|
| <b>Grade</b>      | <b>Year</b> | <b>School</b> | <b>District</b> | <b>School-District Comparison</b> | <b>State</b> | <b>School-State Comparison</b> |
| 08                | 2019        |               |                 |                                   |              |                                |
|                   | 2018        |               |                 |                                   |              |                                |
| Cohort Comparison |             |               |                 |                                   |              |                                |

| <b>BIOLOGY EOC</b> |               |                 |                              |              |                           |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| <b>Year</b>        | <b>School</b> | <b>District</b> | <b>School Minus District</b> | <b>State</b> | <b>School Minus State</b> |
| 2019               |               |                 |                              |              |                           |
| 2018               |               |                 |                              |              |                           |

| <b>CIVICS EOC</b> |               |                 |                              |              |                           |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| <b>Year</b>       | <b>School</b> | <b>District</b> | <b>School Minus District</b> | <b>State</b> | <b>School Minus State</b> |
| 2019              |               |                 |                              |              |                           |
| 2018              |               |                 |                              |              |                           |

| <b>HISTORY EOC</b> |               |                 |                              |              |                           |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| <b>Year</b>        | <b>School</b> | <b>District</b> | <b>School Minus District</b> | <b>State</b> | <b>School Minus State</b> |
| 2019               |               |                 |                              |              |                           |
| 2018               |               |                 |                              |              |                           |

| <b>ALGEBRA EOC</b> |               |                 |                              |              |                           |
|--------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| <b>Year</b>        | <b>School</b> | <b>District</b> | <b>School Minus District</b> | <b>State</b> | <b>School Minus State</b> |
| 2019               |               |                 |                              |              |                           |
| 2018               |               |                 |                              |              |                           |

| GEOMETRY EOC |        |          |                       |       |                    |
|--------------|--------|----------|-----------------------|-------|--------------------|
| Year         | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019         |        |          |                       |       |                    |
| 2018         |        |          |                       |       |                    |

**Subgroup Data**

| 2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |
|---|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Subgroups                                 | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2017-18 | C & C Accel 2017-18 |
| WHT                                       |          | 23     |             |           | 9       |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |
| FRL                                       | 16       | 29     |             | 6         | 17      |              | 6        | 15      |           |                   |                     |

  

| 2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |
|---|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Subgroups                                 | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2016-17 | C & C Accel 2016-17 |
|   |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |

  

| 2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |
|---|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Subgroups                                 | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2015-16 | C & C Accel 2015-16 |
|   |          |        |             |           |         |              |          |         |           |                   |                     |

**ESSA Data**

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

| ESSA Federal Index  |      |
|---|------|
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)  | CS&I |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students  | 13   |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students                                    | YES  |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target                                    | 2    |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency |      |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index                                       | 78   |
| Total Components for the Federal Index  | 6    |
| Percent Tested  | 94%  |

**Subgroup Data**

| Students With Disabilities  |     |
|---|-----|
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities                                |     |
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?        | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% |     |

| English Language Learners  |     |
|--|-----|
| Federal Index - English Language Learners                                      |     |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?              | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%       |     |
| Native American Students   |     |
| Federal Index - Native American Students                                       |     |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?               | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%        |     |
| Asian Students   |     |
| Federal Index - Asian Students   |     |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                         | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%                  |     |
| Black/African American Students  |     |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students                                |     |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?        | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% |     |
| Hispanic Students  |     |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students  |     |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                      | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%               |     |
| Multiracial Students   |     |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students   |     |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                   | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%            |     |
| Pacific Islander Students  |     |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students                                      |     |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?              | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%       |     |
| White Students   |     |
| Federal Index - White Students   | 6   |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?                         | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%                  |     |

| Economically Disadvantaged Students  |     |
|--|-----|
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students                                | 15  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?        | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32% |     |

## Analysis

### Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

#### Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

According to the 2019 FSA ELA results, 18 of 23 (69%) students who tested last year are level 1. Four of the students did not test at AMIkids. The low performance is due to students reading below grade level and unable to perform to the level of rigor required to meet grade-level expectations.

#### Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

FSA Math and Algebra I EOC showed the greatest decline. Students enrolled show a lack of understanding of basic algebraic concepts and mathematical vocabulary. With this lack of understanding, students are unable to perform to the level of rigor required on the FSA/EOC exams.

#### Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

FSA ELA had the greatest gap. Students enrolled at AMIkids traditionally are reading 1 or more years below grade level. Approximately 90% of our students are enrolled in an intensive reading course.

#### Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The number of students scoring a 2,3, or 4 increase in Social studies related courses. The teacher focused on civics and US History in all classes. Students were exposed to historic events in reading, articles, and video presentations.

#### Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

The number of students performing below grade level in reading and math.

#### Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

1. Increase the number of students with a 2 or higher on the FSA Reading.
2. Increase the number of students with a 2 or higher on the FSA Math and Algebra EOC
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

**Areas of Focus:**

**#1**

|                  |   |
|------------------|---|
| <b>Title</b>     | FSA Reading   |
| <b>Rationale</b> | 69% of the current student population is performed at a level 1 on the FSA. |

**State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve**

Of the current students who remain in our program during the FSA test, at least 50% of the students will score a 2 or higher on the 2020 FSA Reading test.

**Person responsible for monitoring outcome**

Martin Carter (martin.carter@myoneclay.net)

**Evidence-based Strategy**

Small group and/or one-on-one instruction during ELA instruction for all students who are currently level one. STAR Reading tests will be administered once a month to track reading progress. The teacher will analyze monthly test data and identify specific next steps for instruction.

**Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy**

The above strategy is selected as a means to ensure the student is receiving individualized instruction based on his current level. This also includes monthly monitoring via STAR testing and Achieve 3000 level set assessments.

**Action Step**

**Description**

1. Daily small-group instruction
2. STAR Reading assessment analysis.
3. Achieve 3000 level set assessment analysis.
- 4.
- 5.

**Person Responsible**

Martin Carter (martin.carter@myoneclay.net)

**Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)**

**After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).**

The other school-wide priorities will be addressed during the weekly Small Learning Community meetings. Teachers and the leadership team will address math performance specifically regarding students who are currently level 1 or students who have not passed the Algebra EOC. Students will take monthly STAR Math Assessment for monitoring their current performance level. Instruction will address student needs.

## Part V: Budget

**The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.**

|   |        |                             |        |
|---|--------|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: FSA Reading | \$0.00 |
|---|--------|-----------------------------|--------|

|  |                             |
|--|-----------------------------|
|  | <b>Total:</b> <b>\$0.00</b> |
|--|-----------------------------|