

Miami-Dade County Public Schools

Lindsey Hopkins Technical College



2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	11
Title I Requirements	13
Budget to Support Goals	15

Lindsey Hopkins Technical College

750 NW 20TH ST, Miami, FL 33127

<http://lindsey.dadeschools.net/>

Demographics

Principal: Nyce Daniel

Start Date for this Principal: 9/10/2019

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 9-Adult
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Career and Technical Education
2018-19 Title I School	No
2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	0%
2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
School Grades History	2018-19: No Grade 2017-18: No Grade 2016-17: No Grade 2015-16: No Grade 2014-15: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here .	

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

1. have a school grade of D or F
2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a “living document” by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the “Date Modified” listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	11
Title I Requirements	13
Budget to Support Goals	15

Lindsey Hopkins Technical College

750 NW 20TH ST, Miami, FL 33127

<http://lindsey.dadeschools.net/>

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2018-19 Title I School	2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
High School 9-Adult	No	%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
Career and Technical Education	No	%

School Grades History

Year

Grade

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at

<https://www.floridacims.org>.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

The mission of Lindsey Hopkins Technical College is to empower students to achieve their career goals and to develop their ultimate potential.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Lindsey Hopkins Technical College will be a showcase institution. Our administration, faculty and staff, united in purpose, will provide our student body with the most advanced career/technical and academic education

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Daniel, Nyce	Principal	Through our monthly School Leadership Team meetings, members are encouraged to participate, share new ideas and practice shared decision making. Each team member brings their own expertise to the table. The principal is at the helm and she shares all pertinent information with the team in order to better serve our students. The vice principal and assistant principals share ideas and concerns from their assigned duties. The teachers share information from their respective programs. The business manager shares budgetary updates and concerns. The administrative assistant informs us about maintenance and safety related concerns. The media specialist keeps us abreast of all the latest technologies. The department chairs speak about specific concerns in their departments. Our EESAC chairperson and activities director share their ideas on reaching out to our community and offering different school-based events to our students. Together we work as a team to better serve our students, the school and the community.
Caldwell, Dennis	Administrative Support	
Algaze, Stuart	Instructional Media	
Blackmon, Sheria	Teacher, Career/ Technical	
Brownlow, Christina	Teacher, Career/ Technical	
Bruce, Roshan	Administrative Support	
DePablo, Jose	Teacher, Career/ Technical	
Francois, Mariette	Teacher, Career/ Technical	
montenegro, benigna	Assistant Principal	
Rodriguez, Judy	Assistant Principal	

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)
Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 9/10/2019

Prior Year - As Reported
The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Prior Year - Updated
The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2019			2018		
	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	0%	59%	56%	0%	56%	53%
ELA Learning Gains	0%	54%	51%	0%	51%	49%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	48%	42%	0%	45%	41%
Math Achievement	0%	54%	51%	0%	47%	49%
Math Learning Gains	0%	52%	48%	0%	47%	44%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	51%	45%	0%	45%	39%
Science Achievement	0%	68%	68%	0%	63%	65%
Social Studies Achievement	0%	76%	73%	0%	71%	70%

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

Indicator	Grade Level (prior year reported)				Total
	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Attendance below 90 percent	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
One or more suspensions	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Course failure in ELA or Math	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
09	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Comparison						
10	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Comparison		0%				

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison

BIOLOGY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					

CIVICS EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					

HISTORY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					

ALGEBRA EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					

GEOMETRY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					

GEOMETRY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2018					

Subgroup Data

2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	N/A
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	
Total Components for the Federal Index	
Percent Tested	
Subgroup Data	

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1	
Title	Career Technology Education
Rationale	2019-2020 is a accreditation renewal year, therefore to maintain in good standing with Council on Occupational Education (COE), our accreditation body, we must meet required percentage rate.
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve	By December 2019, the CTE student completion rate will meet or exceed the required 60% as evidenced by the technical college's 2019 Council on Occupational Education (COE) Annual Report.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	Sheria Blackmon (sblackmon@dadeschools.net)
Evidence-based Strategy	Differentiated Instruction Cooperative Learning Experiential Project Based Learning
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy	The principles and practices of experiential learning have been widely adopted to create curricula and conduct educational courses and programs. Many of the non-traditional educational innovations that have flowered during this period, such as competency-based undergraduate education (Mentkowski 2000), professional education (Boyatzis, Cowan & Kolb 1995), college programs for adult learners, and prior learning assessment (Keeton & Tate 1978; Simosko 1988) have used experiential learning as their educational platform. As experiential, learner centered education has gained widespread acceptance in the twenty-first century (Prince & Felder 2006; Slavich & Zimbardo 2012), more educators are experimenting with experiential learning practices (Bielefeldt et al. 2011; Brower 2011), problem based learning (Gurpinar, Bati & Tetik 2011; Bethell & Morgan 2011), action learning (Revans 1980; Keys 1994; Foy 1977), adventure education (Fuller 2012; Timken & McNamee 2012), and simulation and gaming (Taylor, Backlund & Niklasson 2012; Shields, Zawadzki & Johnson 2011; Schaefer et al. 2011).
Action Step	
Description	1. Data driven Instruction 2. Progress monitoring 3. Academic counseling for OCP and licensure completion 4. Assigned Lab and Clinical Setting (Internships and Externships) 5. Advisory Board Meetings
Person Responsible	benigna montenegro (bmontenegro@dadeschools.net)

#2	
Title	Adult General Education (AGE)
Rationale	Our AGE program students transition into our Career Technical Education programs which support school enrollment and facilitate gainful employment for the citizens of our community.
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve	By April 2020, the ESOL student aggregated completion rate, at the technical college, will meet or exceed the FLDOE target of 40% as evidenced by the District's 2019 - 2020 NRS AGE Target and Completion Rates Report.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	Mariette Francois (marfrancois@dadeschools.net)
Evidence-based Strategy	Differentiated Instruction Data Driven Instruction Professional Development
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy	Tomlinson (2005), a leading expert in this field, defines differentiated instruction as a philosophy of teaching that is based on the premise that students learn best when their teachers accommodate the differences in their readiness levels, interests and learning profiles which supports increase in student achievement.
Action Step	
Description	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Department Meetings and Common Planning 2. Professional Development 3. Implementation of Common Board Configuration, and Scope & Sequence with Pacing Guides 4. Explicit Instruction with on going progress monitoring 5. Increased Lab time availability 6. Competency specific Tutoring Plan
Person Responsible	Judy Rodriguez (judy_rodriguez@dadeschools.net)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

Part IV: Title I Requirements

Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Schoolwide Improvement Plan to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, Â§ 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

Lindsey Hopkins Technical College offers the dual enrollment program. We always have high enrollment of high school students in our programs due to the diverse activities that we participate in and hold on a regular basis:

our teachers, counselors, and administrators attend open houses, career fairs, PTA meetings, and several other community activities in order to inform the parents of our programs, to keep them abreast of their children's progress, and to make recommendations for the success of their children.

PFEP Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

Lindsey Hopkins Technical College offers a comprehensive Student Services program to all students through individual counseling and small group counseling to address personal/social, educational and career needs of all students. Counselors are available Monday through Thursday from 7:50 AM to 8:30 p.m. and on Friday from 7:50 AM to 3:10 p.m. in Room C-109.

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

N/A

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

Adult Education

Financial aid is available for eligible students who qualify for Skills for Academic, Vocational and English Studies (SAVES). Upon registration, students are referred to a counselor in the SAVES program.

Career and Technical Education

Financial aid is available for eligible students who qualify for Pell, Vocational Rehabilitation, District Funds, Fee Waivers and SAVES programs. Lindsey Hopkins Technical College is approved by the Florida Department of Veterans' Affairs. Upon registration, students are referred to a counselor and the financial aid officer for assistance with tuition.

Grants

Adult General Education (AGE) Grant is given to schools to enhance instruction by providing funding for instructional staff, case managers, supplemental materials and related activities.

Job Training

The career technical education programs provide job training for all students as part of their curriculum content and through clinicals in the health science programs such as practical nursing, patient care assistant, nutrition and dietetic services, Dietetic Management and Supervision and dental assisting. Through advisory committee meetings, industry/community members will make recommendations to the various technical programs to maintain these programs current with industry demands.

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

The Dual Enrollment Coordinator works with the participating high school counselors and program specialists to identify potential students. She interviews and meets with the students and parents to discuss the program. Upon enrollment, the Dual Enrollment Coordinator works with the career technical teachers and counselors at the school to provide appropriate assistance such as financial aid, career counseling and registration in order to to prepare the students to transition to post-secondary education. Furthermore, the school establishes partnership with Miami-Dade Community College so that our Nursing, Computer Technology, Automotive students can articulate to advance their career and knowledge at the college level.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Career Technology Education	\$0.00
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Adult General Education (AGE)	\$0.00
Total:			\$0.00