

2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	8
Planning for Improvement	14
Title I Requirements	16
Budget to Support Goals	17

Hernando - 7004 - Hernando Eschool Virtual Franchise - 2019-20 SIP

Hernando Eschool Virtual Franchise

1070 VARSITY DR, Brooksville, FL 34601

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Zana Wiseman

Start Date for this Principal: 8/29/2019

2019-20 Status	
(per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served	High School
(per MSID File)	6-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2018-19 Title I School	No
2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	4%
2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented	Students With Disabilities
(subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an	White Students Economically Disadvantaged
asterisk)	Students
	2018-19: A (70%)
	2017-18: A (70%)
School Grades History	2016-17: No Grade
	2015-16: No Grade
	2014-15: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Inf	formation*
SI Region	Central
Regional Executive Director	Lucinda Thompson
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	N/A
As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F	or more information, <u>click here</u> .

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hernando County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	8
Planning for Improvement	14
Title I Requirements	16
Budget to Support Goals	17

Hernando - 7004	- Hernando Eschool Virtual Franchis	se - 2019-20 SIP
Hernand	do Eschool Virtual Fra	nchise
1070	VARSITY DR, Brooksville, FL 34	601
	[no web address on file]	
School Demographics		
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2018-19 Title I School	2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
High School 6-12	No	0%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	No	22%
School Grades History		
Year Grade	2018-19 A	2017-18 A
School Board Approval		

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hernando County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

To expand access to education, meeting the needs of our learners and investing in the leaders of tomorrow.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Hernando eSchool's instructional program offers a variety of assignment and assessment techniques that address various learning styles. Online learning enables students to assume responsibility for their own learning.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Coniglio, Elizabeth	Administrative Support	She coordinates our assessments with all K-12 students.
Wiseman, Zana	Principal	Leads and oversees the day to day school activities.
Gregory, Kara	Teacher, K-12	Teaches our Elementary students.

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	ve	l				Total
indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	ve	I				Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)

Date this data was collected or last updated Thursday 8/29/2019

Thursday 8/29/2019

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level											Total		
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indiantar						Gr	ade	e Le	ve	l				Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
mulcator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019		2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement	93%	49%	56%	0%	42%	53%	
ELA Learning Gains	73%	45%	51%	0%	43%	49%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	36%	42%	0%	39%	41%	
Math Achievement	80%	51%	51%	0%	49%	49%	
Math Learning Gains	47%	45%	48%	0%	40%	44%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	38%	45%	0%	32%	39%	
Science Achievement	0%	68%	68%	0%	67%	65%	
Social Studies Achievement	0%	71%	73%	0%	69%	70%	

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

Indiaator	Grade Level (prior year reported)							
Indicator		7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Attendance below 90 percent	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
One or more suspensions	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Course failure in ELA or Math	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019	0%	52%	-52%	54%	-54%
	2018	0%	53%	-53%	52%	-52%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison					
07	2019	0%	53%	-53%	52%	-52%
	2018	0%	51%	-51%	51%	-51%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%			· · ·	
Cohort Com	parison	0%				
08	2019	0%	53%	-53%	56%	-56%
	2018	0%	54%	-54%	58%	-58%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%			· · ·	
Cohort Com	parison	0%				
09	2019	0%	51%	-51%	55%	-55%

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
	2018	0%	50%	-50%	53%	-53%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison	0%				
10	2019	0%	49%	-49%	53%	-53%
	2018	0%	48%	-48%	53%	-53%
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison					
Cohort Com	parison	0%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019	0%	53%	-53%	55%	-55%
	2018	0%	53%	-53%	52%	-52%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison					
07	2019	0%	62%	-62%	54%	-54%
	2018	0%	63%	-63%	54%	-54%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison	0%				
08	2019	0%	50%	-50%	46%	-46%
	2018	0%	53%	-53%	45%	-45%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%			•	
Cohort Com	parison	0%				

			SCIENCE			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
08	2019	0%	54%	-54%	48%	-48%
	2018	0%	56%	-56%	50%	-50%
Same Grade Comparison		0%				
Cohort Com	parison					

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	0%	67%	-67%	67%	-67%
2018	0%	58%	-58%	65%	-65%
Co	ompare	0%		· ·	
		CIVIC	SEOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	0%	75%	-75%	71%	-71%

		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2018	0%	74%	-74%	71%	-71%
Co	ompare	0%		· · ·	
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	0%	70%	-70%	70%	-70%
2018	0%	68%	-68%	68%	-68%
Co	ompare	0%		• •	
		ALGEB	RA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	0%	59%	-59%	61%	-61%
2018	0%	62%	-62%	62%	-62%
Co	ompare	0%			
	•	GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	0%	55%	-55%	57%	-57%
2018	0%	45%	-45%	56%	-56%
Co	ompare	0%		· · · ·	

Subgroup Data

		2019	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
WHT										100	36
FRL										100	22
		2018	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
WHT	88	56		64	50					100	36
		2017	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	N/A
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	70
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	422
Total Components for the Federal Index	6
Percent Tested	100%
Subaroun Data	

Subgroup Data

Students With Disabilities					
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities					
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A				
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%					
English Language Learners					
Federal Index - English Language Learners					
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A				
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%					
Native American Students					
Federal Index - Native American Students					
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A				
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%					
Asian Students					
Federal Index - Asian Students					
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A				
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%					
Black/African American Students					
Federal Index - Black/African American Students					
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A				
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%					
Hispanic Students					

Federal Index - Hispanic Students

Hispanic Students	
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	68
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	61
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Math, we drilled down to pace. Students that were off-pace in their math coures scored lower than their peers that mintained pace.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Math, we drilled down to pace. Students that were off-pace in their math coures scored lower than their peers that mintained pace.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

We did not have any big gaps, our data outscored the state.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Our Biology and History courses that we created increased our scores from last year.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

NA

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Math gains
- 2. Attendance
- 3. Pace
- 4.
- 5.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1	
Title	
Rationale	
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve	
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	[no one identified]
Evidence-based Strategy	
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy	
Action Step	
Description	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.
Person Responsible	[no one identified]

#2				
Title				
Rationale				
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve				
Person responsible for monitoring outcome [no one identified]				
Evidence-based Strateg	У			
Rationale for Evidence-	based Strategy			
Action Step				
Description		1. 2. 3. 4. 5.		
Person Responsible		[no one identified]		
#3				
Title	Math			
Rationale	Our school grade data indicates that we are lower than we should be in math gains.			
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve	We will monitor our math courses differently this year. Based on data we have determined that when our students stay on pace with the course then they score better on their EOCs and FSAs.			
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	Zana Wiseman (wiseman_z@hcsb.k12.fl.us)			
Evidence-based Strategy	We will use standards mastery and on-pace activity to acheive our goal.			
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy	The rational is if students are maintaining an 80% or higher on mastering material AND mainting the 16 week pace of the course then the students will perform better on thier state assessments.			
Action Step				
Description	 Teachers will monitor grades and pace weekly. Principal will pull a bi-weekly report to monitor all math students. Students and parents will be contacted when they fall behind OR have a grade that is below 80%. Learning strategies or re-pacing options will be implimented if students fall behind. Students and parents will be implimented if students fall behind. 			
Person Responsible	Zana Wiseman (wiseman_z@hcsb.k12.fl.us)			

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

We will continue to monitor attendance to maintain our 94%.

Part IV: Title I Requirements

Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Schoolwide Improvement Plan to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

The parents of full-time and home education students that are enrolled in Hernando eSchool courses usually accompany their students during face-to-face assessments and skill checks. While the parents are visiting the school and waiting for their children to finish the assessments, administration and teachers discuss involvement in the SAC and discuss curriculum needs and requirements with the parents. Parent contact is also made during the Welcome Call and during Monthly Calls to parents. Parents must provide an email address during the enrollment process and parents receive frequent emails regarding student progress and the school's mission. Parents are also provided a parental account that allows them to log-in and view student progress.

PFEP Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

The Monthly Calls, Discussion-Based Assessments (DBAs), and emails help to monitor the well being and emotional success of our students. Students are referred to the resources that are provided by the school that the student is zoned to attend. Resources include guidance counselors, social workers, and ESE personnel and tracking teachers, and ESOL support.

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

At the elementary level, all students are required to attend weekly meetings with their teacher to discuss, curriculum, proficiency, and pacing in order to assure success. At the secondary level, periodic phone conversations help keep the students on pace and completing course work in a manner that will assure leveling goals are met.

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

The school leadership team meets monthly to identify areas of need. Resources are provided to help maximize student outcomes and success and to provide support for programs that impact student growth. Funding is allocated to serve the needs of the school and its stakeholders as identified and discussed by the leadership team.

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

Hernando eSchool provides the following activities to support career readiness: Faculty and students attend career nights; virtual guidance and resources such as Next Magazine are provided to students and parents; lab managers promote college and career readiness activities; course content includes college and career readiness activities; and students have access to zoned school guidance counselors and career counselors.

We offer the following ITT courses: Career and Research Decision Making; Keyboarding; Personal and Family Finance; Computing for College and Careers; and Web Design. 3 students completed Computing for College and Careers earned Industry Certification during the school year.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus:	\$0.00
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus:	\$0.00
3	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Math	\$0.00
		Total:	\$0.00