**Washington County School District** 

# Washington Institute For Specialized Education



2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	7
Planning for Improvement	13
Title I Requirements	14
Budget to Support Goals	15

# **Washington Institute For Specialized Education**

680 2ND ST, Chipley, FL 32428

http://www.wcsdschools.com

## **Demographics**

**Principal: Becky Dickson** 

Start Date for this Principal: 8/1/2012

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active				
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 6-12				
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education				
2018-19 Title I School	No				
2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	0%				
2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)					
	2018-19: No Grade				
	2017-18: No Grade				
School Grades History	2016-17: No Grade				
	2015-16: No Grade				
	2014-15: No Grade				
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*					
SI Region	Northwest				
Regional Executive Director	Rachel Heide				
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A				
Year					
Support Tier					
ESSA Status	CS&I				
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more inform	nation, <u>click here</u> .				

## **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Washington County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

#### **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Table of Contents**

4
6
7
13
14
15

Last Modified: 5/5/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 4 of 15

## **Washington Institute For Specialized Education**

680 2ND ST, Chipley, FL 32428

http://www.wcsdschools.com

#### **School Demographics**

School Type and Grades Served		2018-19 Economically
	2018-19 Title I School	Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate
(per MSID File)		(as reported on Survey 3)

High School 6-12

No

%

Primary Service Type
(per MSID File)

Charter School

Charter School

Charter School

Alternative Education

No

2018-19 Minority Rate
(Reported as Non-white
on Survey 2)

%

#### **School Grades History**

Year

Grade

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Washington County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

#### **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

#### **Part I: School Information**

#### **School Mission and Vision**

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

Mission: The mission of the Washington County School District is to empower all students to become well educated, productive citizens by providing appropriate, high quality, and rigorous educational programs in a safe learning environment.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

One hundred percent of Washington County students will graduate and be prepared to complete postsecondary educational opportunities or enter the workforce as successful citizens in our society.

#### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Cox, Sam	Principal	oversee all school activities
Hodge, Rachel	School Counselor	guidance counselor
Brock, Cheryl	Administrative Support	secretary/data entry

#### **Early Warning Systems**

#### **Current Year**

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator			Total											
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	4	4	6	6	2	28
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4	4	6	6	2	27
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	1	0	5	12

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator			Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI		
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	4	4	6	6	2	28		

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	2	3	3	2	15
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	4	4	2	14

#### FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)

4

#### Date this data was collected or last updated

Friday 9/20/2019

#### **Prior Year - As Reported**

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Attendance below 90 percent		
One or more suspensions		
Course failure in ELA or Math		
Level 1 on statewide assessment		

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
-----------	-------------	-------

Students with two or more indicators

#### **Prior Year - Updated**

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator		Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	13	19	21	13	11	24	106		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	47	4	3	2	4	61		
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	1	2	0	0	0	8		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	11	10	17	13	6	17	77		

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indiantor	Grade Level														
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	14	19	22	13	12	25	111	

### Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

#### **School Data**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019		2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement	0%	48%	56%	0%	46%	53%	
ELA Learning Gains	0%	46%	51%	0%	46%	49%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	32%	42%	0%	37%	41%	
Math Achievement	0%	41%	51%	0%	51%	49%	
Math Learning Gains	0%	45%	48%	0%	51%	44%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	38%	45%	0%	50%	39%	
Science Achievement	0%	70%	68%	0%	58%	65%	
Social Studies Achievement	0%	67%	73%	0%	66%	70%	

## **EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey**

Indicator		Grade Level (prior year reported)						
		7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	3 (0)	3 (0)	4 (0)	4 (0)	6 (0)	6 (0)	2 (0)	28 (0)
Attendance below 90 percent	2 ()	3 ()	4 ()	4 ()	6 ()	6 ()	2 ()	27 (0)
One or more suspensions	1 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0)
Course failure in ELA or Math	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Level 1 on statewide assessment	2 (0)	2 (0)	2 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)	0 (0)	5 (0)	12 (0)

#### **Grade Level Data**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019	0%	52%	-52%	54%	-54%
	2018	0%	51%	-51%	52%	-52%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison					
07	2019	0%	47%	-47%	52%	-52%
	2018	0%	47%	-47%	51%	-51%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison	0%				
08	2019	0%	55%	-55%	56%	-56%
	2018	0%	52%	-52%	58%	-58%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison	0%				
09	2019	9%	47%	-38%	55%	-46%
	2018	0%	44%	-44%	53%	-53%
Same Grade C	omparison	9%			•	
Cohort Com	parison	9%				

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
10	2019	0%	47%	-47%	53%	-53%
	2018	0%	51%	-51%	53%	-53%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Comparison		0%			•	_

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019					
	2018	0%	56%	-56%	52%	-52%
Cohort Com	parison					
07	2019	0%	57%	-57%	54%	-54%
	2018	0%	55%	-55%	54%	-54%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison	0%				
08	2019	0%	39%	-39%	46%	-46%
	2018	0%	39%	-39%	45%	-45%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%			•	
Cohort Com	parison	0%				

			SCIENCE			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
08	2019	0%	46%	-46%	48%	-48%
	2018	18%	45%	-27%	50%	-32%
Same Grade C	omparison	-18%				
Cohort Com	parison					

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	0%	67%	-67%	67%	-67%
2018	0%	60%	-60%	65%	-65%
Co	mpare	0%			
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	0%	72%	-72%	71%	-71%
2018	0%	67%	-67%	71%	-71%
Co	ompare	0%			

		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	9%	65%	-56%	70%	-61%
2018	0%	58%	-58%	68%	-68%
C	ompare	9%		·	
		ALGEE	BRA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	0%	49%	-49%	61%	-61%
2018	14%	56%	-42%	62%	-48%
C	ompare	-14%			
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	0%	49%	-49%	57%	-57%
2018	0%	41%	-41%	56%	-56%
C	ompare	0%			

## **Subgroup Data**

		2019	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
BLK											
WHT										29	
FRL	20									13	
		2018	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
	·	2017	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMP	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		·
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16

## **ESSA** Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CS&I
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	14
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	3

ESSA Federal Index	
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	57
Total Components for the Federal Index	4
Percent Tested	75%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	0
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	29
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Trained of Goldcodaire Teals write Gladenie Gabgroup Bolow 0279	
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
	17
Economically Disadvantaged Students	17 YES

#### **Analysis**

#### **Data Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

The lowest reported performance data is the 14% decrease in Algebra 1 EOC. It is difficult to identify the reason, but one contributing factor is having students enrolled for only a portion of the school year due to the nature of alternative school.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Algebra 1 EOC

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

The biggest gap is in Civics EOC. It is -71% from state average. There were none reported in 2018 or 2019, so the comparison is skewed.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The only recorded improvement is an increase from zero to 9% in History.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

areas of concern are subgroups - economically disadvantaged, and white students also percent tested

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Test at least 95%
- 2. improve learning gains in subgroup economically disadvantaged
- 3. improve learning gains in subgroup white students

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

Title Subgroups - Black/African American, White and Economically Disadvantaged Students  Rationale A critical need identified in the state assessment data. These subgroups missed the target federal index of 41% and are not meeting expectations.  State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve Person responsible for monitoring outcome  Evidence-based Strategy All Level 1 and 2 ELA students will be enrolled in Intensive Reading/Empower 180 Comprehension. Edgenuity will be utilized for remediation and credit recovery.  MTSS research shows that improvement and growth in ELA and reading is shown when struggling reading students are identified and provided specific interventions.  Strategy School grade results, FSA ELA/Math scores and ESSA data were used to determine the areas of focus.  Action Step  1. Hiring highly qualified, reading endorsed teachers for ELA and intensive reading courses 2. All Level 1 and 2 ELA students will be identified and enrolled in Intensive Reading/Empower 180 Comprehension 3. Review progress monitoring and classroom assessments to monitor student growth 4. Ensure differentiated instruction through classroom observation and data assessment 5. Provide instructional resources and supports when needed  Person Responsible Sam Cox (sam.cox@wcsdschools.com)	Areas of Focus:	
Rationale  Rationale  A critical need identified in the state assessment data. These subgroups missed the target federal index of 41% and are not meeting expectations.  State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve  Person responsible for monitoring outcome  Evidence-based Strategy  All Level 1 and 2 ELA students will be enrolled in Intensive Reading/Empower 180 Comprehension.  Edgenuity will be utilized for remediation and credit recovery.  MTSS research shows that improvement and growth in ELA and reading is shown when struggling reading students are identified and provided specific interventions.  School grade results, FSA ELA/Math scores and ESSA data were used to determine the areas of focus.  Action Step  1. Hiring highly qualified, reading endorsed teachers for ELA and intensive reading/Empower 180 Comprehension  3. Review progress monitoring and classroom assessments to monitor student growth  4. Ensure differentiated instruction through classroom observation and data assessment  5. Provide instructional resources and supports when needed	#1	
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve Person responsible for monitoring outcome  Evidence-based Strategy All Level 1 and 2 ELA students will be enrolled in Intensive Reading/Empower 180 Comprehension.  Edgenuity will be utilized for remediation and credit recovery.  MTSS research shows that improvement and growth in ELA and reading is shown when struggling reading students are identified and provided specific interventions.  School grade results, FSA ELA/Math scores and ESSA data were used to determine the areas of focus.  Action Step  1. Hiring highly qualified, reading endorsed teachers for ELA and intensive reading/Empower 180 Comprehension.  School grade results, FSA ELA/Math scores and ESSA data were used to determine the areas of focus.  Action Step  1. Hiring highly qualified, reading endorsed teachers for ELA and intensive reading courses  2. All Level 1 and 2 ELA students will be identified and enrolled in Intensive Reading/Empower 180 Comprehension  3. Review progress monitoring and classroom assessments to monitor student growth  4. Ensure differentiated instruction through classroom observation and data assessment  5. Provide instructional resources and supports when needed	Title	
In 2019-2020, the Black/African American student subgroup, the White student subgroup and Economically Disadvantaged subgroup data will increase to at least 41% meeting expectations as determined by the ESSA data.  Person responsible for monitoring outcome  Evidence-based Strategy  All Level 1 and 2 ELA students will be enrolled in Intensive Reading/Empower 180 Comprehension. Edgenuity will be utilized for remediation and credit recovery.  MTSS research shows that improvement and growth in ELA and reading is shown when struggling reading students are identified and provided specific interventions.  School grade results, FSA ELA/Math scores and ESSA data were used to determine the areas of focus.  Action Step  1. Hiring highly qualified, reading endorsed teachers for ELA and intensive reading courses 2. All Level 1 and 2 ELA students will be identified and enrolled in Intensive Reading/Empower 180 Comprehension 3. Review progress monitoring and classroom assessments to monitor student growth 4. Ensure differentiated instruction through classroom observation and data assessment 5. Provide instructional resources and supports when needed	Rationale	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
For monitoring outcome  Evidence-based Strategy  All Level 1 and 2 ELA students will be enrolled in Intensive Reading/Empower 180 Comprehension. Edgenuity will be utilized for remediation and credit recovery.  MTSS research shows that improvement and growth in ELA and reading is shown when struggling reading students are identified and provided specific interventions.  Strategy  School grade results, FSA ELA/Math scores and ESSA data were used to determine the areas of focus.  Action Step  1. Hiring highly qualified, reading endorsed teachers for ELA and intensive reading courses 2. All Level 1 and 2 ELA students will be identified and enrolled in Intensive Reading/Empower 180 Comprehension 3. Review progress monitoring and classroom assessments to monitor student growth 4. Ensure differentiated instruction through classroom observation and data assessment 5. Provide instructional resources and supports when needed	measurable outcome the school	subgroup and Economically Disadvantaged subgroup data will increase to at least
Comprehension. Edgenuity will be utilized for remediation and credit recovery.  MTSS research shows that improvement and growth in ELA and reading is shown when struggling reading students are identified and provided specific interventions.  School grade results, FSA ELA/Math scores and ESSA data were used to determine the areas of focus.  Action Step  1. Hiring highly qualified, reading endorsed teachers for ELA and intensive reading courses 2. All Level 1 and 2 ELA students will be identified and enrolled in Intensive Reading/Empower 180 Comprehension 3. Review progress monitoring and classroom assessments to monitor student growth 4. Ensure differentiated instruction through classroom observation and data assessment 5. Provide instructional resources and supports when needed	for monitoring	Sam Cox (sam.cox@wcsdschools.com)
Rationale for Evidence-based interventions.  Strategy School grade results, FSA ELA/Math scores and ESSA data were used to determine the areas of focus.  Action Step  1. Hiring highly qualified, reading endorsed teachers for ELA and intensive reading courses 2. All Level 1 and 2 ELA students will be identified and enrolled in Intensive Reading/Empower 180 Comprehension 3. Review progress monitoring and classroom assessments to monitor student growth 4. Ensure differentiated instruction through classroom observation and data assessment 5. Provide instructional resources and supports when needed		Comprehension.
1. Hiring highly qualified, reading endorsed teachers for ELA and intensive reading courses 2. All Level 1 and 2 ELA students will be identified and enrolled in Intensive Reading/Empower 180 Comprehension 3. Review progress monitoring and classroom assessments to monitor student growth 4. Ensure differentiated instruction through classroom observation and data assessment 5. Provide instructional resources and supports when needed	Evidence-based	when struggling reading students are identified and provided specific interventions. School grade results, FSA ELA/Math scores and ESSA data were used to
reading courses  2. All Level 1 and 2 ELA students will be identified and enrolled in Intensive Reading/Empower 180 Comprehension  3. Review progress monitoring and classroom assessments to monitor student growth  4. Ensure differentiated instruction through classroom observation and data assessment  5. Provide instructional resources and supports when needed	Action Step	
Person Responsible Sam Cox (sam.cox@wcsdschools.com)	Description	reading courses  2. All Level 1 and 2 ELA students will be identified and enrolled in Intensive Reading/Empower 180 Comprehension  3. Review progress monitoring and classroom assessments to monitor student growth  4. Ensure differentiated instruction through classroom observation and data assessment
	Person Responsible	• •

#### Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

We will test at least 95% of students.

## Part IV: Title I Requirements

#### **Additional Title I Requirements**

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Schoolwide Improvement Plan to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

WISE will build positive relationships through working with volunteers and mentors, parent conferences, transition staffings, graduation ceremony, and other community events.

#### **PFEP Link**

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

Students are offered and provided mental health services through the district LMHC, Anchorage, Florida Therapy, and Life Management.

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

The school uses staffing meetings with students and parents with the home zoned school before any movement to or from another school. The district is also utilizing the behavior analyst as a transition assistant for students.

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

Our number one desired student outcome is graduation with a standard high school diploma. Our second most important student outcome is for our graduates to be successful contributing members of society. Everything we do, from behavior modification to credit recovery, is to this purpose and outcome.

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

We try to dual enroll as many of our students as possible in our local technical school, the Florida Panhandle Technical College.

## Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1 1	II.A.	Areas of Focus: Subgroups - Black/African American, White and Economically Disadvantaged Students	\$0.00	
		Total:	\$0.00	