The School District of Lee County

Price Halfway House



2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	8
Planning for Improvement	11
Title I Requirements	14
Budget to Support Goals	15

Price Halfway House

2515 ORTIZ AVE, Fort Myers, FL 33905

http://www.leeschools.net/juvenilejustice

Demographics

Principal: James Buchanon

Start Date for this Principal: 7/31/2019

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Closed: 2019-12-31
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 6-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2018-19 Title I School	No
2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	0%
2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
	2018-19: No Grade
	2017-18: No Grade
School Grades History	2016-17: No Grade
·	2015-16: No Grade
	2014-15: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	
SI Region	Southwest
Regional Executive Director	
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more inform	nation, <u>click here</u> .

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Lee County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	8
Planning for Improvement	11
Title I Requirements	14
Budget to Support Goals	15

Last Modified: 4/20/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 4 of 15

Price Halfway House

2515 ORTIZ AVE, Fort Myers, FL 33905

http://www.leeschools.net/juvenilejustice

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served		2018-19 Economically
-	2018-19 Title I School	Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate
(per MSID File)		(as reported on Survey 3)

High School 6-12

No

%

Primary Service Type
(per MSID File)

Charter School

Charter School

Charter School

Alternative Education

No

2018-19 Minority Rate
(Reported as Non-white
on Survey 2)

School Grades History

Year

Grade

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Lee County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Price Halfway House is committed to providing an education that allows each student to reach his/her highest potential.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Price Halfway House's vision is to provide students a quality and comprehensive education that will assist them in their quest to become productive members of our society.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Buchanon, James	Principal	Monitor school's programs and progress.
Baskins, Joyce	Instructional Coach	Monitoring students progress
Thompson, Mary	Teacher, K-12	Monitor students progress
Henderson, Harriett	School Counselor	Supports teachers and students to ensure academic progress.
Portier, Zaida	Administrative Support	Support administration.

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator			Grade Level														
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total			
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	3	4	3	15			
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	6	7	5	21			

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel	l				Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3	4	3	13

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)

Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 7/29/2019

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator		Grade Level														
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	3	4	3	15		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	6	7	5	21		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	Le	eve	l				Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3	4	3	13

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator		Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	3	4	3	15		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	6	7	5	21		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3	4	3	13

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sahaal Crada Component		2019		2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement	0%	55%	56%	0%	53%	53%	
ELA Learning Gains	0%	49%	51%	0%	45%	49%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	37%	42%	0%	37%	41%	
Math Achievement	0%	50%	51%	0%	41%	49%	
Math Learning Gains	0%	45%	48%	0%	34%	44%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	43%	45%	0%	33%	39%	
Science Achievement	0%	62%	68%	0%	62%	65%	
Social Studies Achievement	0%	67%	73%	0%	63%	70%	

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

Indicator		Grade	Level	prior y	ear rep	orted)		Total
Indicator	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Attendance below 90 percent	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
One or more suspensions	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Course failure in ELA or Math	2 (2)	1 (1)	2 (2)	0 (0)	3 (3)	4 (4)	3 (3)	15 (15)
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0 (0)	1 (1)	2 (2)	0 (0)	6 (6)	7 (7)	5 (5)	21 (21)

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

			ELA	1		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison					
07	2019					
	2018					

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
Cohort Com	nparison	0%				
08	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
09	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Com	nparison	0%				
10	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Com	nparison	0%				

			MATH	1		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Com	parison					
07	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Com	parison	0%			•	
80	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Com	nparison	0%				

			SCIEN	CE		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
80	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Com	nparison					

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					

		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		ALGE	BRA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
<u> </u>		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					

Subgroup Data

		2019	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
		2018	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
		2017	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	N/A
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	
Total Components for the Federal Index	
Percent Tested	

Subgroup Data

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

EOC/FSA Reading and Math

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

n/a

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

n/a

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

n/a

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

n/a

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Moving level ones to level two in ELA
- 2. Moving level ones to level two in Math
- 3. Increasing number of students scoring level 3 in FSA/EOC to 40%
- 4.
- 5.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1						
Title	Reading Levels					
Rationale	The majority of s	students entering the facility are Level 1 or Level 2.				
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve		ents participating in STAR assessment will improve from their ment to end of year assessment by 1 level				
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	James Buchano	n (jameslb@leeschools.net)				
Evidence-based Strategy	Provide construction Implement 3 of the second seco	ach reading and writing strategies across all content areas. ctive feedback in coaching/progress monitoring. he Hatties's effect high yield strategies. erest level books to increasing reading for pleasure.				
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy	student goal pro	hrough teaching reading and writing across the content area, conducting tudent goal progress monitoring and encouraging reading for pleasure, tudents reading levels will increase.				
Action Step						
Description	 Review the da Identify the st Design an ind 	ructional coaches ata udents needing assistance ividual plan for the students development through collaboration in PLCs				
Person Responsible	James Buchano	n (jameslb@leeschools.net)				
#2						
Title		Students behavior				
Rationale		Some students are purposely removing them self from class at least 3 times per week.				
State the measurable out	tcome the	During SY '19-'20, students will remain in class 4 of 5 days.				
Person responsible for n outcome	nonitoring	James Buchanon (jameslb@leeschools.net)				
Evidence-based Strategy	,	Work in conjunction with the instructors & staff to ensure classroom engagement. Coaching instructional staff and conducting classroom walk throughs.				
Rationale for Evidence-b	ased Strategy	Provide training to True Core and Education staff for Social and Emotional Learning				
Action Step						
Description		 Establish guidelines for all staff Revise the current point system Establish a early warning committee 5. 				
Person Responsible		James Buchanon (jameslb@leeschools.net)				

#3	
Title	Science Credits
Rationale	Most students attending Price Halfway House are minimally or substantially below proficiency in Science.
State the measurable outcome the school plan to achieve	During SY '19-'20, increase the number of students scoring proficient in Science from 10 percent to 50 percent as evidenced by the State Biology assessment.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	James Buchanon (jameslb@leeschools.net)
Evidence-based Strategy	Use Science strategies to presence Science concepts in a concrete manner. Provide small group re-teaching of targeted skills. Use strategies to connect Science to real life examples.
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy	Monitor formative assessment every 30 days. Summative assessment every 45 days.
Action Step	
Description	 Professional Development training for 3 of Hattie's high yielding strategies. PLC discussion on what works and how to continue growth. Review data from formative and summative assessments Classroom walk throughs and observations .
Person Responsible	James Buchanon (jameslb@leeschools.net)
#4	
Title	Certified Instructors Attendance
Rationale	Instructional staff were absent an average of 43 days of the school year excluding in-service, inclement weather and professional duty.
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve	During the SY '19-'20, instructional staff will decrease absenteeism by 50% in order to provide instruction to students enrolled at Price Halfway House.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	James Buchanon (jameslb@leeschools.net)
	James Buchanon (jameslb@leeschools.net) Negotiate with Teacher Association of Lee County and provide instructors with documented research on the importance of certified instructors leading the academic advancement of students. Provide incentive for instructors for improved attendance
monitoring outcome Evidence-based	Negotiate with Teacher Association of Lee County and provide instructors with documented research on the importance of certified instructors leading the academic advancement of students.
monitoring outcome Evidence-based Strategy Rationale for Evidence-	Negotiate with Teacher Association of Lee County and provide instructors with documented research on the importance of certified instructors leading the academic advancement of students. Provide incentive for instructors for improved attendance
monitoring outcome Evidence-based Strategy Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy	Negotiate with Teacher Association of Lee County and provide instructors with documented research on the importance of certified instructors leading the academic advancement of students. Provide incentive for instructors for improved attendance

#5			
Title	Math Levels		
Rationale	Majority of students enrolled in Price Halfway House are minimally of substantially below Math proficiency levels.		
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve	40% of the students participating in STAR assessment will improve from their baseline assessment to end of year assessment by 1 level		
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	[no one identified]		
Evidence-based Strategy	All instructors teach math strategies across all content areas. Provide constructive feedback in coaching/progress monitoring. Implement 3 of the Hatties's effect high yield strategies.		
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy	Provide opportunity for differentiated instruction. Provide rigorous materials at various levels. Provide small group or possibly individual one on one tutoring.		
Action Step			
Description	 Provide professional development on differentiated instructional techniques Monitor instruction and provide coaching Provide opportunity for instructors collaboration via PLCs Introduce the 3 Hattie's high yielding strategies Regularly monitor data 		
Person Responsible	[no one identified]		

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

Address students behavior in reference to academic time by working with instructors to implement different strategies for behavior challenged students

Part IV: Title I Requirements

Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Schoolwide Improvement Plan to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

N/A

PFEP Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

N/A

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

N/A

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

N/A

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

N/A

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Reading Lev	\$400.00			
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2019-20
	5100	500-Materials and Supplies	0666 - Price Halfway House	School Improvement Funds	0.01	\$400.00
Notes: Supplies for small group instruction						
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Students be	\$0.00			
3	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Science Cre	\$0.00			
4	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Certified Instructors Attendance				\$0.00
5	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Math Levels	\$0.00			
Total:						\$400.00