Hillsborough County Public Schools

James Elementary School



2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Down and and Outline of the OID	4
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	13
Title I Requirements	16
Budget to Support Goals	18

James Elementary School

4302 E ELLICOTT ST, Tampa, FL 33610

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Louis Murphy

Start Date for this Principal: 6/19/2019

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2018-19 Title I School	Yes
2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students Economically Disadvantaged Students*
	2018-19: F (22%)
	2017-18: F (29%)
School Grades History	2016-17: D (39%)
	2015-16: D (32%)
	2014-15: D (33%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Central
Regional Executive Director	Lucinda Thompson
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I

* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	13
Title I Requirements	16
Budget to Support Goals	18

James Elementary School

4302 E ELLICOTT ST, Tampa, FL 33610

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

School Type and Gi (per MSID		2018-19 Title I Schoo	l Disadvan	Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)
Elementary S PK-5	School	Yes		99%
Primary Servio (per MSID I	• •	Charter School	(Reporte	O Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General E	ducation	No		97%
School Grades Histo	ory			
Year	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17	2015-16

F

D

D

School Board Approval

Grade

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

F

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Clemmie Ross James Academy will provide a safe nurturing environment that will instill social skills and academic excellence.

Provide the school's vision statement.

We support the District's vision of Preparing Students for Life, and are working to ensure that our students leave our school equipped with the tools they need to graduate on time. Our District's graduation rate goal is 90% by 2020. With that in mind, we have developed the following Vision for our school:

Clemmie Ross James Academy will empower today's students to become tomorrow's leaders.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Johnson Hewitt, Robin	Principal	The principal is responsible for the overall direction of the school, including academic excellence and overall positive school climate. This includes progress monitoring, supervising content coaches and the overall curriculum, and successful implementation of behavior systems.
McCluney, Kelly	Assistant Principal	
Roker, Vanity	Assistant Principal	

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	Le	evel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)

36

Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 7/22/2019

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Attendance below 90 percent	1	37	34	37	27	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	153	
One or more suspensions	0	7	9	22	16	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	70	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	30	48	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	138	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator					(Grad	le L	_ev	el					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	6	3	17	25	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	71

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Attendance below 90 percent	1	37	34	37	27	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	153	
One or more suspensions	0	7	9	22	16	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	70	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	30	48	60	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	138	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator					(Grad	le L	_ev	el					Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators	0	6	3	17	25	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	71

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019		2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement	17%	52%	57%	28%	52%	55%	
ELA Learning Gains	30%	55%	58%	39%	55%	57%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	41%	50%	53%	44%	51%	52%	
Math Achievement	14%	54%	63%	28%	53%	61%	
Math Learning Gains	14%	57%	62%	45%	54%	61%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	22%	46%	51%	70%	46%	51%	
Science Achievement	16%	50%	53%	18%	48%	51%	

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

Indicator		Grade Level (prior year reported)							
		1	2	3	4	5	Total		
Number of students enrolled	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)		
Attendance below 90 percent	0 (1)	0 (37)	0 (34)	0 (37)	0 (27)	0 (17)	0 (153)		
One or more suspensions	0 (0)	0 (7)	0 (9)	0 (22)	0 (16)	0 (16)	0 (70)		
Course failure in ELA or Math	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (30)	0 (48)	0 (60)	0 (138)		

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	9%	52%	-43%	58%	-49%
	2018	21%	53%	-32%	57%	-36%
Same Grade C	omparison	-12%				
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2019	13%	55%	-42%	58%	-45%
	2018	27%	55%	-28%	56%	-29%

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
Same Grade C	omparison	-14%				
Cohort Com	parison	-8%				
05	2019	20%	54%	-34%	56%	-36%
	2018	17%	51%	-34%	55%	-38%
Same Grade C	omparison	3%			•	
Cohort Com	parison	-7%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	14%	54%	-40%	62%	-48%
	2018	22%	55%	-33%	62%	-40%
Same Grade C	omparison	-8%				
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2019	11%	57%	-46%	64%	-53%
	2018	35%	57%	-22%	62%	-27%
Same Grade C	omparison	-24%				
Cohort Com	nparison	-11%				
05	2019	5%	54%	-49%	60%	-55%
	2018	18%	54%	-36%	61%	-43%
Same Grade C	omparison	-13%			· .	
Cohort Com	nparison	-30%				

			SCIENCE			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2019	11%	51%	-40%	53%	-42%
	2018	16%	52%	-36%	55%	-39%
Same Grade C	omparison	-5%				
Cohort Com	parison					

Subgroup Data

		2019	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMP	PONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	22	33	29	22	30	27	25				
ELL	6	23		10	14						
BLK	16	28	43	13	14	23	12				
HSP	23	30		18	13		30				
WHT	10			30							
FRL	17	30	41	14	14	22	16				

		2018	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	15	31	27	20	38	24	27				
ELL	28	36		12	53						
BLK	23	36	40	24	35	32	14				
HSP	33	25		39	46		33				
FRL	24	33	35	27	36	33	18				
		2017	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	7			11							
ELL	40	47		35	60						
BLK	24	36	45	26	43	67	17				
HSP	44	55		42	59		20				
FRL	28	39	44	28	46	72	18				

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CS&I
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	25
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	6
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	47
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	201
Total Components for the Federal Index	8
Percent Tested	98%

Subgroup Data

Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	27
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	

English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	20
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	

Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	23
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	28
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	N/A
	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	N/A 20
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students	
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students Federal Index - White Students	20
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students Federal Index - White Students White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	20
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students Federal Index - White Students White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	20
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students Federal Index - White Students White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% Economically Disadvantaged Students	20 YES

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Math proficiency and math gains were the lowest. We had many substitute teachers in math classrooms, and as a result our math scores were low.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Mathematics, again, for the reason stated above.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Mathematics, again, for the reason stated in a.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

ELA lower quartile growth showed the most improvement. We focused on this, targeting students for supplemental interventions.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

Poor behavior choices by students took a lot of time away from academic instruction. We are working on developing systems and policies to support student behavior.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- Math achievement and growth
- 2. ELA proficiency
- 3. Behavior supports
- 4.
- 5.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1 We will establish meaningful and collaborative relationships through the use of **Title** high expectations and high quality instruction, to ensure all students make at least a year of growth... Research indicates that student success both academically and behaviorally is directly linked to teacher high expectations. Teachers will consistently demonstrate high expectations for students and peers using Envision (Math) and a Literacy Curriculum Resource to provide Rationale quality instruction in (ELA) We will use "Leader in Me" as a resource to create a growth mindset for all students, teachers, staff and community. 1. At least a year of growth for each student assessed by (FSA, I-ready, DRA, Running Records, Formative and informal assessments. State the measurable outcome the school 2. Decrease overall student referrals and out of school suspensions and code plans to achieve yellow calls to the office by at least 20%. 3. Increase student attendance from 92% to district average 96%. Person responsible for [no one identified] monitoring outcome 1. Standards Based planning 2. Leader in Me Evidence-based Strategy 3. Professional Learning Communities 4. Use of Literacy Curriculum Resource to provide support for ELA PBIS Suppports/ Incentives Our population of students are 99% free and reduced lunch. On average our students are between 2 to 3 grade levels below. Rationale for Evidence-This year we had 15 vacancies from beginning to end, which included ongoing based Strategy subs. Stability of instruction has been an area of focus and consistant support with planning and scalfolding of the standards has been one of our priorities. **Action Step** 1. Use Literacy Curriculum Resource to plan for lessons focused on Standards in ELA. 2. Use Envision as a resource to plan for lessons focused on standards in Math. 3. Content focused planning using Literacy Curriculum Resource and Envision as a resource to plan for high quality instruction. 4. Standards Based Planning PLCs, supported by content coaches Description 5. Quarterly content focused 1/2 day planning sessions. 6. Pre-planning and throughout the year, focused Professional Development on the structure of the ELA and Math block using Literacy Curriculum Resource and Envision. * Teachers will use Planbook.com as a resource to assist with ensuring that lesson plans have a focus and aligned with standards and next steps. 7. Summer 2020 continued grade level and school wide data analysis

planning.

- 8. Next steps in Guided Reading Training for all ELA teachers.
- 9. Staff and teacher training in "Leader in Me" structure and systems.
- 10. Foundations professional development on systems and structures for common and classroom environment.
- 11. Monthly New Teacher and staff culture, climate and instructional PD.
- 12: Professional Development in Guided Reading, Running Records and DRA
- 13. Teacher Professional Development pt 3. Culture
- 14. Professional development on "Hot Talk Cool Moves" math strategy training.
- 15. Professional development with a focus on Poverty, Trauma and Restorative Practices.
- 16. Monthly PBIS event/incentives.
- 17. Quarterly Report Card Awards (Ribbons, pencils)
- 18. Building school-wide data room.
- 19. Incentives for student attendance and academic achievement including school wide, classroom, grade level and teacher attendance.
- 20. Teacher morale incentives.
- 21. Building culture and climate through ongoing communication through the use of student agendas.
- * Beginning of the year and mid year post cards of introductions and celebrations.
- 22. Parental communication through newsletters and signage.
- 23. Continued Summer Planning through data analysis and creating next steps.
- 24. Ongoing planning sessions with Student support services to review student data in behavior and academic and create next steps for progress monitoring through the MTSS system.
- 25. Purchase Accelerated Reading Program to increase student engagement in reading school wide K-5.
- 26. Purchase Reading certificates, badges, charm tags to recognize student achievement in Reading, I ready, and math.
- 27. Teachers will participate in planning, data analysis and professional development training during Summer Planning sessions and Master Teachers Academy prior to Pre-planning.
- 28. Teachers will participate in day time planning PLCs and Demonstration Classroom opportunities. Substitute teachers will be needed to support this work.
- 29. Utilize parent liaison to support building relationships between the school and families/community

Person Responsible

[no one identified]

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

- 1. Math achievement and growth
- 2. ELA proficiency
- 3. Behavior supports

Part IV: Title I Requirements

Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Schoolwide Improvement Plan to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

The school will adopt the "Leader in Me" SEL curriculum to increase culture and climate of students, teachers, parent and community involvement. The SEL Coordinator will create a monthly newsletter highlighting the work of students and the learning community. The school will also use student planners to foster ongoing communication with school and community stakeholders.

PFEP Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

The School Board of each Florida district is required by state law to establish a comprehensive program for student progression that is based on an evaluation of each student's performance including an assessment of how well the student masters the performance standards approved by the state board. The district's program for student progression is based on mastery of the English language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies standards. (F.S. 1008.25)

For complete information, see Student Progression Plan at: http://www.sdhc.k12.fl.us/docs/00/00/21/33/studentprogressionplan.pdf

HCPS utilizes a variety of strategies for assisting students as they transition from one school to another.

HCPS employs multiple strategies for preparing children for entry into kindergarten. Over 6,000 children participate in one of several preschool programs offered by the School District (Head Start, VPK and PreK-ESE). Developmental screenings are available for all families prior to entry into kindergarten through Child Find, a service within the Florida Diagnostic and Learning Resources System (FDLRS). Additionally, the district works closely with School Readiness providers to share information.

HCPS utilizes multiple strategies for preparing students for their next school, including transitioning from elementary to middle school, middle school to high school, or simply moving to a new school mid-year. Examples include:

Daily "Leader in Me" SEL structured lessons focused on one of the Seven Habits Student leadership opportunities throughout the school community to focus on student worth, belonging and sense of pride.

Guidance Counselors will provide weekly classroom guidance sessions to K-5 classes Guidance Counselors will provide Tier 2 and Tier 3 targeted group counseling sessions to groups using supplemental resources (Second Step) as a resource.

Site based Mental Health Counseling sessions for student and families for Tier 3 targeted students and families

Train a cadre of student ambassadors to help orient other students

Parent information and/or education opportunities

Service Learning Projects

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

To ensure efficient and systematic allocation and use of resources, the school's PSLT/ILT utilizes an Rtl/MTSS framework to improve learning for all. Resources allocated support a continuum of academic and behavioral supports, ensuring all students have fluid access to instruction (varying intensity levels matched to most appropriate available resources)

Analyze student outcomes and make data-driven decisions.

Assess the implementation of the SIP:
Does the data show positive student growth?
Are we making progress toward the SIPs intended outcomes?
What can we do to sustain what's working?
What barriers to implementation are we facing?
What should be our plan of action?

Annually, schools take inventory of resource materials, staff, and funds allocated to determine necessary resource materials and personnel available. Resource maps identify gaps, ensuring resources are available and allocated for use by all.

To ensure support systems, small group, and individual needs are met, the PSLT:

Reviews school-wide data on an ongoing basis in order to identify instructional needs across the school and all grade levels; Supports the implementation of high quality instructional practices during core and intervention blocks; Reviews progress monitoring data at the core to ensure fidelity of instruction and attainment of SIP goal(s) in curricular, behavioral, and attendance domains; Communicates school-wide data to PLCs and facilitate problem solving within the teams.

The PSLT meets regularly (bi-weekly/monthly). The PSLT meeting calendar is structured around the district's assessment calendar, ensuring opportunities to review assessment outcome data and engage in the problem solving process for appropriate data-driven decisions.

Parent Liasian onboards new students and families to the school communicating school wide expectations, needs, uniforms and provide school wide tours.

Para provides assessments for students and serves as a transition partner to assist teachers with valuable data on student and provides students with a familiar adult contact upon arrival to campus.

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

HCPS strategies to advance college and career awareness include: Career interest inventory offered to students through Florida Shines; District College Nights; District Financial Aid Nights; Postsecondary

representative visits at high schools; Fieldtrip opportunities for career awareness; Fieldtrip opportunities to technical colleges; and Opportunities for students to take courses within their area of interest at their high school, via virtual school, and through dual enrollment.

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

Great American Teach In (November) School wide opportunity for stakeholders to discuss hobbies and occupations, Partnership with neighboring middle school and High school providing student shadowing opportunities and mentoring.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: We will establish meaningful and collaborative relationships through the use of high expectations and high quality instruction, to ensure all students make at least a year of growth				\$312,408.36
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2019-20
	6300		4747 - James Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$0.00
			Notes: Purchasing the Literacy Curriculum Resource for grades K-5 expected budget \$90,000			
	6150		4747 - James Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$0.00
			Notes: Purchasing student planners for K-5 students to increase parent communication. Cost of planners \$1,645.			
	6400		4747 - James Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$0.00
			Notes: Professional development for teachers to analyze data and to plan to use Literacy Curriculum Resource to create standards based lesson plans. Pre planning, Monthly planning sessions and quarterly half day planning sessions \$23,800. Teachers will participate in Guided Reading, Running Records and DRA Training.			
	6400		4747 - James Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$0.00
			Notes: Teachers will use new math cu lesson plans using Envision curriculum \$23,800.	•		
	6300		4747 - James Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$0.00
	•		Notes: Students and Teachers will use "Leader in Me" Curriculum as a resource to engage in self management of emotions. Students will focus on character and leadership \$95,000.			
	6400		4747 - James Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$0.00
	Notes: Training for ELA teachers as asupplemental (ELA) resource for Leveled Literacy (Literacy and resource teachers.				eveled Literacy (LLI)	
	5900		4747 - James Elementary School			\$0.00
			Notes: On site field trip with a guest sp goal-oriented, will be used to reinforce	•		•

		empower our students to take on lead and personal endeavors. This will incl resources.			
3373		4747 - James Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$0.00
-		Notes: Increase student reading enga	agement through the use	e of Accelera	ated Reader (AR) .
3361		4747 - James Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$0.00
		Notes: Purchase student recognition student leadership and character opp		s and sashe	es to recognize
6150		4747 - James Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$0.00
		Notes: Parent Night Parents will be in and leadership focus. Parents will be host students while parents attend the	provided child care opp		
6150	120-Classroom Teachers	4747 - James Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$0.00
		Notes: Increase culture and climate the and celebration mail outs.	hrough beginning of the	year and m	id year introduction
3373		4747 - James Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$0.00
		Notes: Use Accelerated Reading (AR) reading and comprehension	reading program to inc	crease stude	ent engagement in
7710		4747 - James Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$0.00
-		Notes: Continue planning and data ar instructional coaches with K-5 teache		r Planning w	ith teachers and
6150		4747 - James Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$0.00
		Notes: Funding to support parents du	ring Curriculum Night b	y providing d	child care.
5000		4747 - James Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$0.00
		Notes: Poster maker to increase studilearning target.	ent engagement throug	h communic	ation and posting of
7000		4747 - James Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$0.00
		Notes: Curriculum resources to suppo boards, markers, high lighters, post it		group and sn	nall group (white
3336		4747 - James Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$0.00
		Notes: Students will use flash cards for proficiency in Reading and Math	or fast facts and sight w	ords to incre	ease student
		4747 - James Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$0.00
		Notes: Summer 2020 Continued plans and standards based planning.	ning as a faculty and sta	aff engaging	in data analysis
5100	120-Classroom Teachers	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG	2.0	\$108,768.00
		Notes: 2 Resource Teachers (Behavio	or and STEM)		

5100	210-Retirement	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG		\$9,212.65
	•	Notes: 8.47% of 2 Resource Teacher	s	•	
5100	220-Social Security	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG		\$6,743.62
- 1		Notes: 6.2% of 2 Resource Teachers	FICA	•	
5100	220-Social Security	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG		\$1,577.14
-	•	Notes: 1.45% of 2 Resource Teacher	s Medicare	•	
5100	230-Group Insurance	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG		\$19,251.94
-	•	Notes: 17.7% of 2 Resource Teacher	rs	•	
5100	240-Workers Compensation	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG		\$554.72
-	•	Notes: .51% of 2 Resource Teachers		•	
5100	120-Classroom Teachers	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG	1.0	\$60,003.78
.	_	Notes: Math Resource Teacher		•	
5100	210-Retirement	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG		\$5,082.32
-	•	Notes: 8.47% Math Resource Teacher			
5100	220-Social Security	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG		\$3,720.23
	•	Notes: 6.2% Math Resource Teacher	FICA	•	
5100	220-Social Security	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG		\$870.05
<u>.</u>		Notes: 1.45% Math Resource Teache	er Medicare		
5100	230-Group Insurance	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG		\$10,620.67
		Notes: 17.7% Math Resource Teache	er		
5100	240-Workers Compensation	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG		\$306.02
•		Notes: .51% Math Resource Teacher			
5100	120-Classroom Teachers	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG	1.0	\$34,682.22
	•	Notes: Rtl Specialist		•	
5100	210-Retirement	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG		\$2,937.58
		Notes: 8.47% Rtl Specialist		•	
5100	220-Social Security	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG		\$2,150.30
L	•	Notes: 6.2% Rtl Specialist FICA			

		department to reduce costs		r · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		Notes: Literacy Curriculum Materials utilized in planning CORE instruction		
5100	390-Other Purchased Services	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG	\$8,669.4
		Notes: .51% Parent Liaison		
5100	240-Workers Compensation	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG	\$115.5
		Notes: 17.7% Parent Liaison		
5100	230-Group Insurance	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG	\$4,010.8
		Notes: 1.45% Parent Liaison Medica	re	
5100	220-Social Security	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG	\$328.5
		Notes: 6.2% Parent Liaison FICA		
5100	220-Social Security	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG	\$1,404.9
		Notes: 8.47% Parent Liaison		
5100	210-Retirement	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG	\$1,919.3
		Notes: Parent Liaison		
5100	150-Aides	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG 1.0	\$22,660.0
		Notes: .51% of .88 Rtl Specialist		
5100	240-Workers Compensation	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG	\$176.8
•		Notes: 17.7% of .88 Rtl Specialist		
5100	230-Group Insurance	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG	\$6,138.7
•	-	Notes: 1.45% Rtl Specialist Medicare	e	
5100	220-Social Security	4747 - James Elementary School	UniSIG	\$502.8