Hillsborough County Public Schools

Mango Elementary School



2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

3
4
7
9
14
16
18

Mango Elementary School

4220 HWY 579, Seffner, FL 33584

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Sabrina Ruiz

Start Date for this Principal: 6/10/2018

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2018-19 Title I School	Yes
2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* Multiracial Students* White Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2018-19: D (35%) 2017-18: C (41%) 2016-17: D (38%) 2015-16: D (39%) 2014-15: D (35%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Central
Regional Executive Director	Lucinda Thompson
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	

ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F	or more information, click here.

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
Purpose and Oddine of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	14
Title I Requirements	16
Budget to Support Goals	18

Mango Elementary School

4220 HWY 579, Seffner, FL 33584

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2018-19 Title I School	2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Elementary School PK-5	Yes	95%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	No	74%
School Grades History		

2017-18

C

2016-17

D

2015-16

D

School Board Approval

Year

Grade

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

2018-19

D

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Every student will believe, achieve, and succeed.

Provide the school's vision statement.

We support the District's vision of Preparing Students for Life, and are working to ensure that our students leave our school equipped with the tools they need to graduate on time. Our District's graduation rate goal is 90% by 2020. With that in mind, we have developed the following Vision for our school:

Every student will reach their highest potential.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Davis, Felicia	Principal	My leadership team and I drive school improvement efforts. My team includes myself, my AP, Guidance Counselor, Social Worker, Psychologist, content coaches, and team representatives. We meet regularly to address academic achievement and a focus on learning as well as progress monitoring.

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indiantar	Grade Level														
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel	l				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)

40

Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 6/24/2019

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	1	0	1	12	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	36	45	53	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	134
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	Le	eve	l				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
One or more suspensions	1	0	1	12	5	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	36	45	53	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	134	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level										Total		
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019		2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement	38%	52%	57%	37%	52%	55%	
ELA Learning Gains	50%	55%	58%	39%	55%	57%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	40%	50%	53%	43%	51%	52%	
Math Achievement	28%	54%	63%	39%	53%	61%	
Math Learning Gains	27%	57%	62%	42%	54%	61%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	19%	46%	51%	31%	46%	51%	
Science Achievement	43%	50%	53%	38%	48%	51%	

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

Indicator		Grade Level (prior year reported)								
illuicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	Total			
Number of students enrolled	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
Attendance below 90 percent	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
One or more suspensions	0 (1)	0 (0)	0 (1)	0 (12)	0 (5)	0 (6)	0 (25)			
Course failure in ELA or Math	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (36)	0 (45)	0 (53)	0 (134)			

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District State Comparison		School- State Comparison
03	2019	35%	52%	-17%	58%	-23%
	2018	46%	53%	-7%	57%	-11%
Same Grade C	-11%					
Cohort Com	Cohort Comparison					
04	2019	32%	55%	-23%	58%	-26%
	2018	45%	55%	-10%	56%	-11%
Same Grade C	omparison	-13%				
Cohort Com	parison	-14%				
05	2019	45%	54%	-9%	56%	-11%
	2018	32%	51%	-19%	55%	-23%
Same Grade C	omparison	13%				

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
Cohort Com	0%					

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	30%	54%	-24%	62%	-32%
	2018	38%	55%	-17%	62%	-24%
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison					
Cohort Com	Cohort Comparison					
04	2019	21%	57%	-36%	64%	-43%
	2018	35%	57%	-22%	62%	-27%
Same Grade C	omparison	-14%				
Cohort Com	parison	-17%				
05	2019	29%	54%	-25%	60%	-31%
	2018	30%	54%	-24%	61%	-31%
Same Grade C	omparison	-1%				
Cohort Com	parison	-6%			·	

	SCIENCE									
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison				
05	2019	43%	51%	-8%	53%	-10%				
	2018	35%	52%	-17%	55%	-20%				
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison									
Cohort Com	parison									

Subgroup Data

		2019	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	21	39	32	16	29	19	40				
ELL	24	38	42	19	16	7	35				
BLK	28	45	35	16	26	33	28				
HSP	36	46	44	32	26	6	46				
MUL	33			25							
WHT	52	59	36	32	27	13	46				
FRL	36	49	40	26	25	19	40				
		2018	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	18	35	21	23	34	31	23				

		2018	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
ELL	32	47	36	26	36	35	15				
BLK	33	49	47	19	38	43	19				
HSP	46	47	32	40	41	50	35				
MUL	50										
WHT	45	46	54	47	42	15	58				
FRL	42	47	44	35	40	37	35				
2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD						,				2013-10	
3000	14	36	33	14	24	26				2015-16	20.0.0
ELL	14 19	36 32	33 33	14 32	24 41		9			2015-10	
+						26	9 29			2015-16	
ELL	19	32	33	32	41	26 37	_			2019-10	
ELL BLK	19 31	32 32	33 46	32 26	41 31	26 37 38	29			2015-10	
ELL BLK HSP	19 31 36	32 32	33 46	32 26 43	41 31	26 37 38	29			2015-10	

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index					
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CS&I				
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	38				
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES				
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	7				
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency					
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	302				
Total Components for the Federal Index	8				
Percent Tested	100%				

Subgroup Data

Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	28
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	
Trained of Conscious Four Clausing That Bloading Cabyroup Bolow 0270	

English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	30

English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	30
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	36
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	29
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Fadaral laday White Otyslants	38
Federal Index - White Students	
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES

Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	36
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Math Bottom Quartile (19%). There were challenges ensuring consistent quality instruction for students in grade 4. There were many transitions as there were 3 vacancies for most of the year. 2 teachers were not performing satisfactorily and resigned in the first and second quarters of the school year. Suitable replacements were never found. Most students were taught by substitutes or math resource personnel when available.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Math Bottom Quartile (18%). There were challenges ensuring consistent quality instruction for students in grade 4. There were many transitions as there were 3 vacancies for most of the year. 2 teachers were not performing satisfactorily and resigned in the first and second quarters of the school year. Suitable replacements were never found. Most students were taught by substitutes or math resource personnel when available.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Math Achievement and Overall gains (both at 35%). There were challenges ensuring consistent quality instruction for students in grade 4. There were many transitions as there were 3 vacancies for most of the year. 2 teachers were not performing satisfactorily and resigned in the first and second quarters of the school year. Suitable replacements were never found. Most students were taught by substitutes or math resource personnel when available.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Grade 5 ELA (8% proficiency increase and almost 50% overall gains). Teachers in this grade level planned and delivered standards based lessons with fidelity; assisted by the use of Thinking Core materials and the national consultant who authored them; Dr. Diana Carry.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

SWD is the subgroup with the lowest federal Index score (28%). Multiracial students were also low; with a Federal Index score of 29%.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Mathematics Instruction
- 2. Mathematics Learning Gains
- 3. Bottom Quartile Learning Gains
- 4. ELA Instrcution
- 5. Standards Based Instruction

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1

Title

High expectations and opportunities for students to engage in rigorous tasks

At Mango, many students are engaged in lessons that do not reach the cognitive complexity level required for student mastery of grade level standards. When teachers have high expectations for students and provide tasks that are engaging and of high interest, students build self-esteem, increase confidence and improve academic

Rationale

State the measurable Stude outcome the reach school plans FSA. to achieve

Student proficiency will reach 50%, in reading, math, and science and Learning Gains will reach 60% in reading and math for the 2019-2020 school year, as evidenced by the 2020 FSA

Person responsible

for

Felicia Davis (felicia.davis@sdhc.k12.fl.us)

monitoring outcome

Evidence-

1. Standards based planning

based Strategy 2. AVID Elementary

performance.

- 3. The Leader In Me
- 1. Standards based instructional planning will provide teachers with the skills and tools necessary to challenge students and unlock their potential.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy

- 2. Through AVID Elementary (AE), students develop the academic habits they will need to be successful. By teaching and reinforcing academic behaviors and higher-level thinking AE ensures that students gain the academic foundation needed for success throughout their educational careers.
- 3. The Leader In Me unites students, staff, and family around a common goal to prepare students academically as well as meeting their social and emotional needs.

Action Step

1. Standards Based Planning

*Teachers will participate in grade level/subject area planning sessions (with reading, math, and Rtl coaches on a weekly basis, team planning weekly in PLCs). Completed planning templates will be uploaded and monitored with feedback through Office 365 shared drive.

*VE/ESE, ESOL Resource Teacher will participate in grade level planning sessions weekly to ensure SWD and ELL students are engaged in grade level content. Planning templates will be made available for all VE/ESE teachers as well as ELL paras in order to align their work with these subgroups.

Description

*Through planning, Math Coach will lead teachers in strategies to engage and support African American students in order to increase achievement (small group instruction in class, Lunch Bunch sessions, parent resource packets, etc.)

*Rtl Resource teachers will use results of planning activities to track and monitor all ESSA subgroups, providing feedback and data related to each group. Use of a data wall or room will help facilitate this action step.

2. AVID Elementary (AE)

*Implement AVID Elementary in grades 3, 4, and 5.

*The AVID Site Team (comprised of all grade 4 and 5 teachers, teacher representatives from grade 3 and admin) will review strategies monthly and make adjustment to ensure alignment with instruction to positively impact student achievement.

- *Records of meeting results, action items, and notes will be housed in Office 365 and shared with intermediate grade level teachers and the school leadership team.
- 3. The Leader In Me (TLIM)
- *Fully implement The Leader In Me program to improve student and staff expectations as well as school culture.
- *The school Lighthouse Committee, (comprised of teacher leaders, support services personnel, resource teachers, and admin) will review and monitor progress for TLIM initiative monthly, providing feedback where necessary. *Records of meeting results, action items, and notes will be housed in Office 365 and shared with all grade level teachers and the school leadership team.

Person Responsible

Felicia Davis (felicia.davis@sdhc.k12.fl.us)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

High expectations and opportunities for students to engage in rigorous tasks addresses our priorities.

Part IV: Title I Requirements

Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Schoolwide Improvement Plan to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

School staff, students, parents, and the community will work together to develop skills and habits for personal and academic success. We work hard at building positive relationships with our families and community partners. We encourage parents to participate in all of our events by sending home flyers, making parent link phone calls and posting everything on our website and social media. We make every effort to communicate every child's progress to the parents by sending home quarterly progress alerts and having parent teacher conferences.

PFEP Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

Social and Emotional Learning (SEL) Program

Students are engaged in SEL programs based on their needs and within the HCPS "Building Strong School Culture" framework. This framework includes: Mission and Vision; Procedures & Routines; Promoting & Modeling Great Character; Service Learning; Student Leadership; Conflict Resolution; Mentoring; and Behavior Management Plan. In this way, SEL becomes a

part of the fabric of a school's culture.

Behavior Management Plan

Included in the HCPS "Building Strong School Culture" framework is the need for a behavior management plan. A comprehensive behavior management plan is an important part of the social/emotional framework. At Mango, all settings are structured for success, expectations for student behavior are explicitly taught through PBS, monthly character skill building and daily through the Leader in Me program. Students are consistently supervised. Through Morning Meetings, teachers build positive relationships with students, and student behaviors are corrected fluently, calmly, consistently, respectfully, briefly, and immediately. The behavior plan designed for Mango students is comprehensive and includes a behavior support team, faculty/stakeholder commitment, school-wide expectations with a plan for teaching those expectations, effective processes for tracking and documenting behavior incidents and interventions, plan progress monitoring, location-based rules, effective reward/recognition program that includes restorative practices, and a focus on data-based decision making. This plan also includes the 10 Critical Elements for Effective School Wide Management Plans, Restorative Practices, the use of Behavior Tracker to track minor incidences (in classroom), and a separate tool to track ALL interventions (admin/ student services).

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

The School Board of each Florida district is required by state law to establish a comprehensive program for student progression that is based on an evaluation of each student's performance including an assessment of how well the student masters the performance standards approved by the state board. The district's program for student progression is based on mastery of the English language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies standards. (F.S. 1008.25)

The HCPS Student Progression Plan includes information on initial placement, reporting student progress, reading remediation, academic acceleration, grade promotion and retention, graduation requirements, transfer credits, student recognition, accommodations, dual enrollment, and extended learning opportunities.

For complete information, please visit our Student Progression Plan at: http://www.sdhc.k12.fl.us/docs/00/00/21/33/studentprogressionplan.pdf HCPS utilizes a variety of strategies for assisting students as they transition from one school to another.

HCPS employs multiple strategies for preparing children for entry into kindergarten. Over 6,000 children participate in one of several preschool programs offered by the School District (Head Start, VPK and PreK-ESE). Developmental screenings are available for all families prior to entry into kindergarten through Child Find, a service within the Florida Diagnostic and Learning Resources System (FDLRS). Additionally, the district works closely with School Readiness providers to share information.

HCPS utilizes multiple strategies for preparing students for their next school, including transitioning from elementary to middle school, middle school to high school, or simply moving to a new school mid-year.

Examples include:

Bring 6th/9th graders back early for orientation Train a cadre of student ambassadors to help orient other students Parent information and/or education opportunities Hold articulation meetings between 5th and 6th grade teachers Campus visits
Shadow days
Middle school students visit, tutor and or perform at elementary schools
High school students visit, tutor, or perform at middle schools.

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

To ensure efficient/systematic allocation and use of resources, the PSLT/ILT utilizes an RtI/MTSS framework to improve learning for all. Resources allocated support a continuum of academic and behavioral supports, ensuring all students have fluid access to instruction (varying intensity levels matched to most appropriate available resources). An annual inventory of resource materials, staff, and funds allocated determines necessary resource materials and personnel available to meet student needs through a resource map. To ensure support systems, small group, and individual needs are met, the PSLT: Reviews school-wide data on an ongoing basis, identifying instructional needs across the school; Supports the implementation of high quality instructional practices during core and intervention blocks; Reviews progress monitoring data of core to ensure fidelity of instruction and attainment of SIP goal(s) in curricular, behavioral, and attendance domains; Communicates school-wide data to PLCs, facilitating problem solving within the content/ grade level teams.

The PSLT meets regularly (bi-weekly/monthly). The PSLT meeting calendar is structured around the district's assessment calendar, ensuring opportunities to review assessments, outcome data, and engage in the problem solving process for appropriate data-driven decisions. Team members include administrator(s), guidance counselor(s), school psychologist, ESE specialist, content area coaches/specialists, PLC teacher liaisons, others as needed

Title I:

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

At Mango, strategies to advance college and career awareness include: Weekly College Days, where staff wear college attire and encourage discussions about college with students, teacher's college backgrounds are posted in each teacher's classroom, and field trip opportunities for career awareness such as JA BizTown.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: High expectations and opportunities for students to engage in rigorous tasks				\$1,058,590.74
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2019-20
	5300	369-Technology-Related Rentals	2721 - Mango Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$6,801.00

		Notes: BrainPOP and Renaissance F in planning for instruction.	Place online subscriptions	and license renewal will assist
6200	610-Library Books	2721 - Mango Elementary School	Title, I Part A	\$10,000.00
1	1	Notes: Additional reading books will a complex texts.	allow for teachers to plan	for lessons that include
5100	120-Classroom Teachers	2721 - Mango Elementary School	Title, I Part A	\$2,000.00
		Notes: Through professional duties a program.	ndded, AVID contact will h	nelp implement and monitor the
5100	120-Classroom Teachers	2721 - Mango Elementary School	Title, I Part A	\$2,000.00
		Notes: Through professional duties a activities including, but not limited to;		
6400	730-Dues and Fees	2721 - Mango Elementary School	Title, I Part A	\$12,864.00
		Notes: Conference registration for AV development	/ID Summer Institute and	Leader In Me professional
6400	390-Other Purchased Services	2721 - Mango Elementary School	Title, I Part A	\$1,000.00
		Notes: Substitutes for professional de 1-3.	evelopment for planning a	and training for action steps
6400	319-Technology-Related Professional and Technical Services	2721 - Mango Elementary School	Title, I Part A	\$19,600.00
		Notes: Professional Development (Ig AVID Elementary programs.	nite Your S.H.I.N.E.) as a	a part of Leader In Me and
6400	319-Technology-Related Professional and Technical Services	2721 - Mango Elementary School	Title, I Part A	\$22,452.00
-		Notes: Professional Development (Si planning.	tandards Connection Stud	dy) for standards based
5100	644-Computer Hardware Non-Capitalized	2721 - Mango Elementary School	Title, I Part A	\$10,000.00
•	•	Notes: Technology will be utilized to	engage students in rigoro	ous work.
5100	120-Classroom Teachers	2721 - Mango Elementary School	Other Federal	\$225,000.00
		Notes: TSSA: RTI (2), and Math Res based planning, and Leader In Me in		ort and monitor standards
5100	120-Classroom Teachers	2721 - Mango Elementary School	Title, I Part A	\$75,000.00
•		Notes: Reading resource teacher will Leader In Me initiatives.	I support and monitor star	ndards based planning, and
5100	150-Aides	2721 - Mango Elementary School	Other Federal	\$50,000.00
<u> </u>		Notes: TSSA: Paras (2) will work with classrooms for all content and also u		
6150	510-Supplies	2721 - Mango Elementary School	Title, I Part A	\$7,744.00
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	•		

		School Notes: 6.2% Reading Resource Teal			•
5100	220-Social Security	2721 - Mango Elementary	UniSIG		\$2,596.30
		Notes: 8.47% Reading Resource Tea	 acher		
5100	210-Retirement	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$3,546.89
		Notes: Reading Resource Teacher		<u> </u>	
5100	120-Classroom Teachers	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG	1.0	\$41,875.87
I		Notes: .51% Math Resource Teache	r	I	
5100	240-Workers Compensation	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$287.5
I	1	Notes: 17.7% Math Resource Teach	er	I	
5100	230-Group Insurance	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$9,978.9
l	•	Notes: 1.45% Math Resource Teach	er Medicare		
5100	220-Social Security	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$817.4
		Notes: 6.2% Math Resource Teache	r FICA		
5100	220-Social Security	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$3,495.4
		Notes: 8.47% Math Resource Teach	er		
5100	210-Retirement	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$4,775.2
		Notes: Math Resource Teacher			
5100	120-Classroom Teachers	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG	1.0	\$56,378.2
		Notes: Through professional duties a lessons that will result in mastery of		to review an	na pian for rigorous
5100	120-Classroom Teachers	2721 - Mango Elementary School	Title, I Part A	, .	\$50,000.0
T	1	Notes: TSSA: Reading Coach, will st Leader In Me initiatives.	upport and monitor stand	dards based p	olanning, and
6400	130-Other Certified Instructional Personnel	2721 - Mango Elementary School	Other Federal		\$75,000.0
<u> </u>	400 045 0 - 4:5 - 4	Notes: Classroom materials and sup and student supplies, poster paper, i	plies such as: teacher pr ink cartridges, paper, aw	rofessional te ard ribbons, o	xts, AVID teacher certificates,etc.
5100	510-Supplies	2721 - Mango Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$66,100.0
		Notes: Printing of parent newsletters communication packets	, Family Engagement Co	ompacts, Firs	t Day
6150	390-Other Purchased Services	2721 - Mango Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$7,639.0
		Notes: Parent resource room supplie Program books, student planners	es, parent pick up tags, F	Parent Partne	rs In Reading

5100	220-Social Security	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$607.20
<u> </u>		Notes: 1.45% Reading Resource Teach	cher		
5100	230-Group Insurance	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$7,412.03
1		Notes: 17.7% Reading Resource Teach	cher		
5100	240-Workers Compensation	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$213.57
1		Notes: .51% Reading Resource Teach	her		
6400	510-Supplies	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$17,100.00
		Notes: Teacher supplies for the year to mastery of standards 57 teachers X \$.		gorous less	sons to yield student
5100	520-Textbooks	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$4,000.00
		Notes: Time for Kids (Educational pub TIME for Kids engages students with discourse on current topics, and provi classroom. Quote available.	authentic journalism, in	spires then	n to join the national
5100	520-Textbooks	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$3,100.00
'		Notes: StoryWorks (Educational public and empower our students with fascin teaching kits delivered to classrooms Quote included	ating, authentic stories	across the	genres. These ELA
5100	520-Textbooks	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$4,000.00
		Notes: Scholastic Reads (guided read encourage families to read aloud to th pages or chapters; or they reads, but their children with challenging words). levels! Quote included	eir children, or read tog families will sit alongsid	ether (perh le to answe	naps alternating er questions or help
5100	510-Supplies	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$5,000.00
		Notes: Data Display Boards to display purposes 50 classrooms= 50 X \$100 0		for tracking	g educational growth
5100	520-Textbooks	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$4,000.00
·		Notes: Learning A to Z (Science online science) Learning A-Z provides literac practice. subscription for students in g	cy-focused PreK-6 reso	urces for in	
5100	520-Textbooks	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$1,000.00
		Notes: Legends of Learning (Interactive helps teachers make classrooms fund driven curriculum-based games. Uses filled with an epic range of curriculum-classroom engagement. online subscr	and productive learning congoing original resea based games for stron	environme rch to crea ger subject	ents through research te a marketplace mastery and
5100	360-Rentals	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$2,000.00
		Notes: Social Express (social-emotion foundational social skills. From Pre-Social skills.			

		lessons allow users to interactively we programs help drive learning and suc- for students in grades K-5. Quote incl	cess in and out of the c		
5100	648-Technology-Related Capitalized Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$32,334.50
		Notes: iPad bundle for 10 at \$2939.50 students to make notes on PDF docui iPad allows for improved record keepi quickly, providing students with almos increased students' performance in re	ments, organize work, a ing of students' progres st immediate feedback.	and work at ss. Assessn When put t	their own pace. The nents can be scored o use, the iPad will
6400	330-Travel	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$7,450.00
		Notes: AVID National Conference (ac a forum to learn best practices on how engaging learning environments, acce and deliver positive results school wid fees \$650 pp@ 5 = \$3250; hotel-\$20 stipend 3 days @ 5 = \$450	v we can systematically elerate the performance le. travel-flight \$350 pp	increase a of underre @ 5 each=	cademic rigor, create epresented students, \$1750; registration
6400	330-Travel	2721 - Mango Elementary School			\$40,000.00
		Notes: Ron Clark Academy help teach travel to Atlanta, hotel, registration co. at \$1539/person			
6400	330-Travel	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$7,599.00
		Notes: Leader In Me Symposium PD comprehensive-school improvement r life skills they need to thrive in the 21s \$1,999; Hotel @250 pp= \$2,500; Fligh \$600.	model that empowers s st century. A team of 10	tudents witl people. Re	h the leadership and egistration \$199 pp=
6400	120-Classroom Teachers	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$2,160.00
		Notes: Stipends for PBIS Tier I Team expectations. 8 x 18hrs x \$15/hr = \$2		to 8 teachei	rs to help with high
6400	330-Travel	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$3,154.00
		Notes: ASCD Conference help leader people at Registration \$659 pp x 2=15 \$350.00x 2= \$700; 30/per day for food	318; Hotel-\$239 pp x 2	days x 2 ro	
5100	330-Travel	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$13,000.00
		Notes: Student Educational Field Trip students will: Define energy, work, an Law of Conservation of Energy Demo medium Describe binaural hearing Arcolor Demonstrate how light is refract events chronologically Realize that so experiences for 130 students x \$100/8	d waves Describe differnstrate the properties of ticulate the relationship ed in glass Discuss difficience is a powerful too.	rent forms of of a compre between lig ferent histor	of energy and the ssion wave in a ght's wavelength and ical figures and order
5100	330-Travel	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$3,900.00
	•	Notes: Student Educational Field Trip Students will experience hands on fol- Curriculum.			
5100	330-Travel	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$12,000.00

		Notes: Student Educational Field Trip Students will observe animals and fee studies.		
5100	510-Supplies	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG	\$1,013.22
		Notes: Per 5% office supply cap. Classticks, etc to ensure all students have		
5100	644-Computer Hardware Non-Capitalized	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG	\$3,850.00
•		Notes: ipad cases bundle of 10 at \$35	50.00 11 bundles X \$350	0.00 = 3850.00 (110 ipads total)
5100	644-Computer Hardware Non-Capitalized	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG	\$8,250.00
•		Notes: 110 Microsoft license at \$75 1	10 X \$75 = \$8250	
7800	360-Rentals	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG	\$5,000.00
•		Notes: Buses to Epcot for 130 peopl.	Quote included	
7800	360-Rentals	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG	\$5,000.00
•		Notes: Buses to St. Augustine for 130	people. Quote included	1
7800	390-Other Purchased Services	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG	\$1,600.00
•		Notes: Buses to the zoo for 400 peop	le 8 buses X \$200/bus.	Quote included
5100	644-Computer Hardware Non-Capitalized	2721 - Mango Elementary School		\$0.00
•		Notes: 110 Microsoft license at \$75 1	10 X \$75 = \$8250. Quot	te included
6300	120-Classroom Teachers	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG	\$43,680.00
		Notes: Instructional Duties Added for weeks @ \$35/hr = \$42,000 Planning		
5100	310-Professional and Technical Services	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG	\$45,775.00
		Notes: Catapult Learning Intervention Teachers- 4 days a week Targeted st		veeks- October - March 4
6300	210-Retirement	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG	\$3,699.70
		Notes: Instructional Duties Added for weeks @ \$35/hr = \$42,000 Planning	•	
6300	220-Social Security	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG	\$2,708.16
		Notes: FICA - Instructional Duties Add four weeks @ \$35/hr = \$42,000 Plant		
6300	220-Social Security	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG	\$633.36
		Notes: Medicare - Instructional Duties for four weeks @ \$35/hr = \$42,000 Pt		
6300	240-Workers Compensation	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG	\$222.77

Hillsborough - 2721 - Mango Elementary School - 2019-20 SIP

					Total:	\$1,067,890.63
Notes: Stipends for PBIS Tier I Team training (18hrs) for up to 8 teachers to help with high expectations. 8 \times 18hrs \times \$15/hr = \$2160				s to help with high		
	6400	240-Workers Compensation	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$11.02
			Notes: (Medicare) Stipends for PBIS with high expectations. 8 x 18hrs x \$1		hrs) for up t	o 8 teachers to help
	6400	220-Social Security	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$31.32
Notes: (FICA) Stipends for PBIS Tier I Team training (18hrs) for up to 8 teachers to help with high expectations. 8 x 18hrs x \$15/hr = \$2160				teachers to help with		
	6400	220-Social Security	2721 - Mango Elementary School	UniSIG		\$133.92
			Notes: Instructional Duties Added for weeks @ \$35/hr = \$42,000 Planning to			