

2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	8
Planning for Improvement	14
Title I Requirements	15
Budget to Support Goals	18

Carver Exceptional Center

2934 E HILLSBOROUGH AVE, Tampa, FL 33610

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Artis Gambrell

Start Date for this Principal: 7/9/2019

Active
High School
6-12
Special Education
Yes
100%
Students With Disabilities* Economically Disadvantaged Students*
2018-19: No Grade
2017-18: No Grade
2016-17: No Grade
2015-16: No Grade
2014-15: No Grade
formation*
Central
Lucinda Thompson
N/A
CS&I

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	8
Planning for Improvement	14
Title I Requirements	15
Budget to Support Goals	18

Hillsborough - 0063 - Carver Exceptional Center - 2019-20 SIP

Carver Exceptional Center

2934 E HILLSBOROUGH AVE, Tampa, FL 33610

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2018-19 Title I School	2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
High School 6-12	No	%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
Special Education	No	%
School Grades History		
	Year	
	Grade	

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

To inspire and challenge each student to grow and accomplish academic, behavioral, social, and vocational successes on a daily basis.

Provide the school's vision statement.

To help each student develop academically and behaviorally to become productive, responsible, and successful members of society.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Wood, Earnest	Principal	
Greenwald-Head, Linda	Instructional Coach	

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel	I				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	ve	I				Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)

Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 7/9/2019

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator		Grade Level														
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	11	12	11	3	2	0	44		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	10	14	9	3	3	0	44		
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	6	3	1	1	0	18		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	11	15	11	3	3	0	48		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indiactor						G	irac	le Le	vel					Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	10	12	9	3	2	0	41

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	11	12	11	3	2	0	44	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	10	14	9	3	3	0	44	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	6	3	1	1	0	18	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	11	15	11	3	3	0	48	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	10	12	9	3	2	0	41

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019		2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement	0%	56%	56%	0%	52%	53%	
ELA Learning Gains	0%	54%	51%	0%	50%	49%	

School Grade Component		2019		2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	41%	42%	0%	39%	41%	
Math Achievement	0%	49%	51%	0%	51%	49%	
Math Learning Gains	0%	48%	48%	0%	47%	44%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	45%	45%	0%	38%	39%	
Science Achievement	0%	69%	68%	0%	62%	65%	
Social Studies Achievement	0%	75%	73%	0%	74%	70%	

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

ladiester	Grade Level (prior year reported)							
Indicator	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Attendance below 90 percent	0 (5)	0 (11)	0 (12)	0 (11)	0 (3)	0 (2)	0 (0)	0 (44)
One or more suspensions	0 (5)	0 (10)	0 (14)	0 (9)	0 (3)	0 (3)	0 (0)	0 (44)
Course failure in ELA or Math	0 (1)	0 (6)	0 (6)	0 (3)	0 (1)	0 (1)	0 (0)	0 (18)
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0 (5)	0 (11)	0 (15)	0 (11)	0 (3)	0 (3)	0 (0)	0 (48)

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019	0%	53%	-53%	54%	-54%
	2018	0%	52%	-52%	52%	-52%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison					
07	2019	0%	54%	-54%	52%	-52%
	2018	0%	52%	-52%	51%	-51%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%			•	
Cohort Com	parison	0%				
08	2019	0%	53%	-53%	56%	-56%
	2018	0%	54%	-54%	58%	-58%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison	0%				
09	2019	0%	55%	-55%	55%	-55%
	2018	0%	53%	-53%	53%	-53%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison	0%				
10	2019	0%	53%	-53%	53%	-53%
	2018	0%	52%	-52%	53%	-53%

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison					
Cohort Com	Cohort Comparison					

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019	0%	49%	-49%	55%	-55%
	2018	0%	48%	-48%	52%	-52%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%			• • •	
Cohort Com	parison					
07	2019	0%	62%	-62%	54%	-54%
	2018	0%	61%	-61%	54%	-54%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison	0%				
08	2019	0%	31%	-31%	46%	-46%
	2018	0%	29%	-29%	45%	-45%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%			•	
Cohort Com	parison	0%				

	SCIENCE								
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison			
08	2019	0%	47%	-47%	48%	-48%			
	2018	0%	48%	-48%	50%	-50%			
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison				·				
Cohort Com	parison								

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	0%	66%	-66%	67%	-67%
2018					
		CIVIC	SEOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	0%	67%	-67%	71%	-71%
2018	0%	65%	-65%	71%	-71%
Co	ompare	0%		·	

		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	0%	73%	-73%	70%	-70%
2018	0%	70%	-70%	68%	-68%
Co	ompare	0%			
		ALGEB	RA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	0%	63%	-63%	61%	-61%
2018	0%	63%	-63%	62%	-62%
Co	ompare	0%			
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	0%	57%	-57%	57%	-57%
2018	0%	56%	-56%	56%	-56%
Co	ompare	0%			

Subgroup Data

		2019	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	5	21		13	36		20	8			
BLK	7			17	30						
FRL	5	21		7	33			8			
		2018	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
		2017	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index					
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CS&I				
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	19				
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students					
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	3				

ESSA Federal Index	
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	134
Total Components for the Federal Index	7
Percent Tested	94%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	17
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	18
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Multiracial Students				
Federal Index - Multiracial Students				
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Pacific Islander Students				
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students				
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%				
White Students				
Federal Index - White Students				
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Economically Disadvantaged Students				
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	15			
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%				

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Each data component shows low performance.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

The data shows a constantly low level of performance.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

All areas reflect roughly the same achievement gap.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

All components showed no incline or decline of improvement.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

The largest area of concern is course failure. A secondary area of concern is attendance rate.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Course performance more particularly in the area of ELA.
- 2. Attendance Rate
- 3. School Discipline
- 4.
- 5.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1			
Title	Student Discipline		
Rationale	The number of negative student behavioral incidents remains at a high level.		
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve	e school 2019/ 2020 school year.		
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	onitoring Earnest Wood (earnest.wood@hcps.net)		
Evidence-based Strategy			
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy	CHAMPS/ Point-Level PBIS are district-wide implemented programs proven to decrease behavioral incidents in the ESE (EBD) setting. A computer based system manages and charts incidents mainstreaming and pinpointing the focus.		
Action Step			
Description	 Receive input from the entire faculty and district support personnel to develop effective procedures for all daily activities. Receive input from the entire faculty and district support to develop an effective point level system that coincides with procedural expectations. Train all staff on proper computer input to maintain the Point-Level PBIS System Thoroughly review with students procedural expectations and the point level system. Required students to carry point sheets. Require staff members to adhere to the prescribed procedures and uplift the point level system 		
Person Responsible	Linda Greenwald-Head (linda.greenwald-head@hcps.net)		

#2				
Title	Student Engagement			
Rationale	Student Engagement is a much needed focus as evidenced by student achievement data.			
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve	udents will show one level of improved academic achievement within all core courses uring the 2020 school year.			
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	Earnest Wood (earnest.wood@hcps.net)			
Evidence- based Strategy	Differentiated Instruction with a strong focus on classroom stations			
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy	Within the ESE realm of education and its varied levels of learning, differentiation is a proven strategy that continues to yield high academic achievement within area of education. We are experiencing a lack of up-to-date technology and find it difficult to run computer programs designed to support core instruction. Our ESE (EBD) students benefit from technological resources and support, which has been vital to the increased academic achievement in our school. Many students entering our program are behind in credits. A credit recovery lab is needed.			
Action Step				
Description	 Complete an inquiry cycle among teachers and staff. (Which will include the creation of walk-through/ look-for forms.) Train staff and implement computer based programs to support core instruction within all classes. Train staff and implement stations (which will include a computer station) within all classes. Assist teachers with individualized lesson plans. Provide concise feedback to teachers focusing on the observed effectiveness of differentiated instruction and computer-based lessons . Create a credit recovery lab. Monitor achievement and adjust accordingly. 			
Person Responsible	Earnest Wood (earnest.wood@hcps.net)			

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

Part IV: Title I Requirements

Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Schoolwide Improvement Plan to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

We encourage parents to participate in all of our events by sending home flyers, making parent link phone calls and posting information on our website and social media. We focus on communicating every child's progress to families by engaging parents in parent/ teacher conferences and sending home quarterly progress notes. School staff, students, parents and the community will work together to develop skills and habits for personal and academic success. We strive to build positive relationships with families.

PFEP Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

The School Board of each Florida district is required by state law to establish a comprehensive program for student progression that is based on an evaluation of each student's performance including an assessment of how well the student masters the performance standards approved by the state board. The district's program for student progression is based on mastery of the English language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies standards. (F.S. 1008.25)

The HCPS Student Progression Plan includes information on initial placement, reporting student progress, reading remediation, academic acceleration, grade promotion and retention, graduation requirements, transfer credits, student recognition, accommodations, dual enrollment, and extended learning opportunities.

For complete information, please visit our Student Progression Plan at: http://www.sdhc.k12.fl.us/docs/ 00/00/21/33/studentprogressionplan.pdf

HCPS utilizes a variety of strategies for assisting students as they transition from one school to another.

HCPS employs multiple strategies for preparing children for entry into kindergarten. Over 6,000 children participate in one of several preschool programs offered by the School District (Head Start, VPK and PreK-ESE). Developmental screenings are available for all families prior to entry into kindergarten through Child Find, a service within the Florida Diagnostic and Learning Resources System (FDLRS). Additionally, the district works closely with School Readiness providers to share information.

HCPS utilizes multiple strategies for preparing students for their next school, including transitioning from elementary to middle school, middle school to high school, or simply moving to a new school mid-year. Examples include:

Bring 6th/9th graders back early for orientation Train a cadre of student ambassadors to help orient other students Parent information and/or education opportunities Hold articulation meetings between 5th and 6th grade teachers Campus visits Shadow days Middle school students visit, tutor and or perform at elementary schools High school students visit, tutor, or perform at middle schools.

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

To ensure efficient/systematic allocation and use of resources, the PSLT/ILT utilizes an RtI/MTSS framework to improve learning for all. Resources allocated support a continuum of academic and behavioral supports, ensuring all students have fluid access to instruction (varying intensity levels matched to most appropriate available resources).

An annual inventory of resource materials, staff, and funds allocated determines necessary resource materials and personnel available to meet student needs through a resource map.

To ensure support systems, small group, and individual needs are met, the PSLT: Reviews school-wide data on an ongoing basis, identifying instructional needs across the school; Supports the implementation of high quality instructional practices during core and intervention blocks; Reviews progress monitoring data of core to ensure fidelity of instruction and attainment of SIP goal(s) in curricular, behavioral, and attendance domains; Communicates school-wide data to PLCs, facilitating problem solving within content/grade level teams.

The PSLT meets regularly (bi-weekly/monthly). The PSLT meeting calendar is structured around the district's assessment calendar, ensuring opportunities to review assessments, outcome data, and engage in the problem solving process for appropriate data-driven decisions. Team members include administrator(s), guidance counselor(s), school psychologist, ESE specialist, content area coaches/ specialists, PLC teacher liaisons, others as needed

Title I:

PartA

Funding enriches eligible schools with additional instructional staff, PD, ELP, and supplemental resources for raising student achievement in high-poverty schools.

PartD

Funds support the Alternative Education Program, providing transition services from alternative education to school of choice, and includes mentoring, intervention services and educational support using transition specialists, teachers, paras and tutors.

Titlell

Funds for PD to provide/promote high quality professional learning that supports improved job performance for all resulting in increased student achievement. PD includes alternative certification, instructional support training and teacher induction program.

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

HCPS strategies to advance college and career awareness include: Career interest inventory offered to students through Florida Shines; District College Nights; District Financial Aid Nights; Postsecondary representative visits at high schools; Fieldtrip opportunities for career awareness; Fieldtrip opportunities

to technical colleges; and Opportunities for students to take courses within their area of interest at their high school, via virtual school, and through dual enrollment.

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

Carver Exceptional Center as well as HCPS uses a variety of strategies to advance college and career awareness including the following: Career Interests Inventory offered to students through Florida Shines; District College Nights; District Financial Aid Nights; Postsecondary representative visits as high schools; Field trip opportunities for career awareness; Field trip opportunities to technical colleges, and opportunities for students to take courses within their areas of interest at their high school , via course work, virtual school and dual enrollment.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Student Discipline				\$0.00	
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2019-20	
			0063 - Carver Exceptional Center			\$0.00	
Notes: Notes							
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Student Engagement				\$30,705.73	
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2019-20	
			0063 - Carver Exceptional Center	Title, I Part A		\$30,705.73	
Notes: Notes 25- Computers at \$867.55/computer = \$21,688.75 25- CA CASA= \$1,875 9 - Laptop Computers at \$663/ computer = \$5967.00 9 = \$675 2- Shredders at 249.99/ shredder= \$499.98 Total \$30,705.73							
Total:							