Broward County Public Schools

Walker Elementary School



2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	15
Title I Requirements	21
Budget to Support Goals	23

Walker Elementary School

1001 NW 4TH ST, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33311

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Jocelyn Reid Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2019

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active						
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5						
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education						
2018-19 Title I School	Yes						
2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%						
2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* Black/African American Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students*						
	2018-19: D (32%)						
	2017-18: C (44%)						
School Grades History	2016-17: D (37%)						
	2015-16: F (19%)						
	2014-15: F (16%)						
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*						
SI Region	Southeast						
Regional Executive Director	<u>LaShawn Russ-Porterfield</u>						
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A						
Year	YEAR 1						
Support Tier	IMPLEMENTING						
ESSA Status	CS&I						
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F	or more information, click here.						

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Broward County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	15
Title I Requirements	21
Budget to Support Goals	23

Walker Elementary School

1001 NW 4TH ST, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33311

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

School Type and Gr (per MSID F		2018-19 Title I School	Disadvan	Economically taged (FRL) Rate rted on Survey 3)
Elementary S PK-5	chool		98%	
Primary Servio (per MSID F	• •	Charter School	(Reporte	9 Minority Rate ed as Non-white I Survey 2)
K-12 General Ed	ducation	No		97%
School Grades Histo	ry			
Year	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17	2015-16
Grade	D	С	D	F

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Broward County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Our mission is to provide a rich, challenging Pre K - 5 program that cultivates the intellectual, social, and emotional development of each child while challenging all students to become productive citizens.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Walker Elementary will advocate for student success by providing a safe, supportive, and collaborative learning environment that empowers, enlightens, and inspires our students to reach or exceed their highest potential.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Whitfield, Sharon	Instructional Coach	James Frye, Instructional Coach, is responsible for coordinating and facilitating the iReady Implementation Plan. He also provides direct support to students with disabilities. He also facilitates the necessary Professional Development and PLCs for 3rd Grade teachers. These sessions align with student data and teacher needs. Mr. Frye also provides the support services for the lowest 30% of 3rd-grade students to ensure that the right remediation is given.
Eligon, Tauri	Principal	Mr. Tauri Eligon, Principal, oversees the daily operations of the school. He monitors the curriculum and instructional practices of teachers. He also analyzes assessment data and provides support to teachers and staff.
Kerkerian, Gina	Instructional Coach	Gina Kerkerian, Math Coach, oversees the school-wide math program, working specifically with the Intermediate Teachers. She facilitates data chat meetings with teachers based on student performance data. Ms. Kerkerian also monitors the performance of the lowest 25% of students in Math. She coordinates and facilitates the necessary Professional Development and PLCs aligned with student data and teacher needs. Ms. Kerkerian aligns the support services for the lowest 25% to ensure that the right remediation is given. She is the school's point person for the Implementation of the Acaletics program.
Martin , Jodianne	Instructional Coach	Jodianne Martin, Reading Coach oversees the school-wide reading program. She facilitates data chat meetings with teachers based on student performance data. She also monitors the performance of the lowest 25% of students in Reading. Ms. Martin coordinates and facilitates the necessary Professional Development and PLCs based on student data and teacher needs. She aligns the support services for the Lowest 25% to ensure that the right remediation is given. Ms. Martin is the facilitator of the school-wide (Power Hour) Intensive Hour. She is the point person for reading community partnerships with Reading Pals and TutorMate.
McNabb, Alicia	Instructional Coach	Alicia McNabb, Science Coach oversees the school-wide science program. She facilitates data chat meetings with teachers around the student science performance data. She coordinates and facilitates the necessary Professional Development and PLCs based on student data and teacher needs. She aligns the support services for the lowest 25% students in the fourth grade to ensure that the right remediation is given. She also facilitates and monitors the use of the school-wide science lab.
Favata, Anthony	Assistant Principal	Anthony Favata, Assistant Principal, assists the school principal in the overall administration of instructional programs and school operations. He coordinates all school-wide schedules for teachers and students. He also helps create school-wide goals including those related to student learning and student behavior. Mr. Favata manages student behavioral issues and positive behavior programs. He also oversees the school safety plan, safety drills, and Threat Assessments.

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	127	124	136	120	126	142	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	775
Attendance below 90 percent	33	25	23	21	27	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	149
One or more suspensions	2	1	2	9	7	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	61	71	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	188

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)

38

Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 7/16/2019

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Attendance below 90 percent		
One or more suspensions		
Course failure in ELA or Math		
Level 1 on statewide assessment		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

the state of the s		
Indicator	Grade Level	Total

Students with two or more indicators

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Attendance below 90 percent	26	25	26	23	21	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	141
One or more suspensions	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	50	58	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	164

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Students with two or more indicators	1	3	5	23	16	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	63

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019		2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement	25%	59%	57%	19%	55%	55%	
ELA Learning Gains	38%	60%	58%	42%	58%	57%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	51%	54%	53%	56%	53%	52%	
Math Achievement	25%	65%	63%	25%	61%	61%	
Math Learning Gains	39%	66%	62%	52%	63%	61%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	28%	53%	51%	56%	52%	51%	
Science Achievement	18%	46%	53%	7%	45%	51%	

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

Indicator		Grade Level (prior year reported)								
maicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	Total			
Number of students enrolled	127 (0)	124 (0)	136 (0)	120 (0)	126 (0)	142 (0)	775 (0)			
Attendance below 90 percent	33 ()	25 ()	23 ()	21 ()	27 ()	20 ()	149 (0)			
One or more suspensions	2 ()	1 (0)	2 (0)	9 (0)	7 (0)	8 (0)	29 (0)			
Course failure in ELA or Math	0 ()	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)			
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0 ()	0 (0)	0 (0)	61 (0)	71 (0)	56 (0)	188 (0)			

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	26%	60%	-34%	58%	-32%
	2018	29%	59%	-30%	57%	-28%
Same Grade C	omparison	-3%				
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2019	16%	62%	-46%	58%	-42%
	2018	29%	58%	-29%	56%	-27%
Same Grade C	omparison	-13%				
Cohort Com	parison	-13%				
05	2019	27%	59%	-32%	56%	-29%
	2018	24%	56%	-32%	55%	-31%
Same Grade C	omparison	3%				
Cohort Com	parison	-2%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	18%	65%	-47%	62%	-44%
	2018	35%	63%	-28%	62%	-27%
Same Grade C	omparison	-17%				
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2019	27%	67%	-40%	64%	-37%
	2018	25%	63%	-38%	62%	-37%
Same Grade C	omparison	2%				
Cohort Com	parison	-8%				
05	2019	26%	64%	-38%	60%	-34%
	2018	30%	62%	-32%	61%	-31%
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison					
Cohort Com	parison	1%				

	SCIENCE											
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison						
05	2019	17%	49%	-32%	53%	-36%						
	2018	21%	51%	-30%	55%	-34%						
Same Grade C	-4%			•								
Cohort Com	parison											

Subgroup Data

	2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18		
SWD	14	30	52	16	37	36	9						

2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
ELL	25	36		19	45						
BLK	24	39	51	25	40	30	18				
FRL	25	38	51	25	39	29	18				
		2018	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	28	52	70	23	59	64	25				
ELL	37	57		28	69						
BLK	27	44	68	32	48	61	22				
FRL	28	45	66	32	48	62	23				
		2017	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMP	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	7	44	57	9	34	43					
ELL	22	33		11	38						
BLK	18	43	56	24	52	56	5				
FRL	19	42	56	25	52	55	7				

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CS&I
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	32
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	4
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	33
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	257
Total Components for the Federal Index	8
Percent Tested	99%

Subgroup Data

Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	28
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	

English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	32
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	·
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	34
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	·
Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
	N/A
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	N/A
Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	N/A
Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students	N/A N/A
Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% White Students	

Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	32
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

ELA showed the lowest performance. The contributing factors to this year's low performance were the lack of early literacy foundation, the decrease in students' attendance and an increase in disciplinary referrals.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Math showed the greatest decline from the prior year. The contributing factors to this year's decline in Math were the lack of professional development on implementing an effective math block, the decrease in students' attendance and an increase in disciplinary referrals.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Math had the greatest gap when compared to the state average. The factors that contributed to this gap were a lack of professional development, the experience levels of teachers, and teachers' knowledge of using data to drive instruction.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Our school dropped in each component.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

One area of concern is our students with attendance below 90%. We implemented an attendance plan to assist us with improving students attendance.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Lowest 25%
- 2. High-Quality Instruction
- 3. Decreasing the number of students at a Level 1 in ELA and or Math
- 4. Students with Disabilities in ELA and Mathematics
- 5. African American Students in ELA and Math

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1

Title Literacy

Rationale

We selected Literacy based on the results of the 2019 English Language Arts Florida
Standards Assessment that shows 75% of our students are not proficient in reading.

State the measurable

outcome the By June 2020, 35% of our students will be proficient in reading based on the ELA Florida **school** Standards Assessment.

school plans to achieve

Person responsible

monitoring

for Jodianne Martin (jodianne.martin@browardschools.com)

outcome Evidencebased

Strategy

We will use the Balanced-Literacy approach. We will monitor this strategy using classroom observations and monthly progress monitoring assessments.

We selected the Balanced-Literacy approach because it includes all of the reading components and aligns to the Florida Standards. We will use monthly progress monitoring assessments and data chats as evidence of strategy implementation. The following

Rationale for

resources will be used:

Evidencebased Strategy

- School City assessment platformLeveled Literacy Intervention (LLI)I-Ready online learning program
- Curriculum Associates Ready FL LAFS
- Triumph Learning Reading resources
- Fountas & Pinnell Phonics, Spelling, and Word Study System

Action Step

The first step will be providing Reading Professional Development based on the Reading needs of the teachers. The next step will be for the Leadership Team to do Classroom Observations based on the Reading IPG. Feedback will be given to teachers based on the findings of the observations. Monthly progress monitoring Reading assessments will be done by students and monitored by the Leadership Team. These assessments for progress monitoring will be created using School City and iReady. Data Chats and Professional

Learning Communities will be held to share Reading best practices.

Person Responsible

Description

Title Math

We selected Math based on the results of the 2019 English Language Arts Florida Standards Assessment. This measure showed the greatest decrease in proficiency with Rationale only 25% of students proficient, a decrease from 32% of students proficient in the previous

academic year.

State the measurable

outcome the By June 2020, 35% of our students will be proficient in Math based on the Math Florida school

Standards Assessment.

plans to achieve

Person responsible

for monitoring outcome

Gina Kerkerian (gina.kerkerian@browardschools.com)

Evidencebased Strategy

The Evidence-based strategy we will implement is the effective use of the Standards for Mathematical Practices within the math block. The use of this strategy will be monitored by conducting classroom observations and monthly assessments.

We selected the effective use of the Standards for Mathematics Practices because it includes all math components and aligns to the Florida Standards. We will use monthly assessments and data chats as evidence of strategy implementation. The following resources will be used:

Rationale for Evidence-

based Strategy - School City assessment platform - Acaletics instructional materials - I-Ready online learning program

- Triumph Learning Math resources

- Calendar Math

Action Step

The first step will be providing Math Professional Development based on the needs of the teachers. The next step will be for the Leadership Team to do Classroom Observations based on the Math IPG. Feedback will be given to teachers based on the findings of the observations. Monthly progress monitoring Math assessments will be done by students and monitored by the Leadership Team. These assessments for progress monitoring will be created using School City and iReady. Data Chats and Professional Learning Communities will be held to share Math best practices.

Person Responsible

Description

Title Science

We selected Science based on the data of the 2019 Science FCAT. The results of this assessment showed a decrease in Science proficiency with only 17% of students

proficient, whereas, in 2018, 23% of students were proficient.

State the measurable

outcome the By June 2020, 25% of our students will be proficient in Science based on the Science

FCAT Assessment.

school plans to achieve

Person responsible

for Alicia McNabb (alicia.mcnabb@browardschools.com)

monitoring outcome Evidence-

based

Strategy

We will use The Five E Model of Science instruction. We will monitor the use of this strategy using classroom observations and monthly assessments.

Rationale for Evidencebased

Strategy

We selected The Five E Model of Science instruction because it includes all of the science components and aligns to the Florida Standards. We will use monthly assessments and data chats as evidence of strategy implementation. The following resources will be used:

- School City assessment platformAcaletics instructional materials
- J&J Educational Science Boot Camp

Action Step

The first step will be providing Science Professional Development based on the needs of the teachers. The next step will be for the Leadership Team to do Classroom Observations. Feedback will be given to teachers based on the findings of the observations. Monthly progress monitoring Science assessments will be done by students and monitored by the Leadership Team. These assessments for progress monitoring will be created using School City and Science. Data Chats and Professional Learning Communities will be held

to share best practices in the area of Science.

Person Responsible

Description

#4 **Title** Students With Disability We selected Students with Disability (SWD) based on the results of the 2019 Florida Rationale Standards Assessment our SWD Federal Index was 28% State the measurable outcome the By June 2020, 75% of our SWD students will show a learning gain in reading based on the school ELA Florida Standards Assessment. plans to achieve Person responsible Sharon Whitfield (sharon.whitfield@browardschools.com) for monitoring outcome Evidence-We will be implemented a support facilitation model. Students will be provided ESE services by a certified ESE teacher through a push in model during the reading or math based block. They will also receive an additional hour of intensive reading. Strategy Rationale for We implemented this strategy to ensure students are in their least restricted environment Evidenceby providing them to receive their ESE services in an inclusion model. based Strategy **Action Step** The first step will be analyzing the data of students with disability. Next will be providing Professional Development based on the needs of the teachers with regards to students with disabilities. The next step will be for the Leadership Team to do Classroom Observations. Feedback will be given to teachers based on the findings of the **Description**

observations. Monthly progress monitoring assessments will be done by students and monitored by the Leadership Team. These assessments for progress monitoring will be created using School City and iReady. Data Chats and Professional Learning Communities will be held to share best practices on meeting the needs of students with disabilities.

Person Responsible

Title Economically Disadvantaged Students

We selected Economically Disadvantaged Students based on the results of the 2019 Florida Standards Assessment our Economically Disadvantaged Student Federal Index

was 32%

State the measurable

Rationale

outcome the school By June 2020, 50% of our Economically Disadvantaged students will show a learning gain on the ELA Florida Standards Assessment

school plans to achieve

Person responsible

for monitoring outcome

Jodianne Martin (jodianne.martin@browardschools.com)

Evidencebased Strategy

We will use the Balanced-Literacy approach. We will monitor this strategy using classroom observations and monthly progress monitoring assessments.

We selected the Balanced-Literacy approach because it includes all of the reading components and aligns to the Florida Standards. We will use monthly progress monitoring assessments and data chats as evidence of strategy implementation. The following resources will be used:

Rationale for

Evidencebased

Strategy

- School City assessment platform
- Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI)I-Ready online learning program
- Curriculum Associates Ready FL LAFSTriumph Learning Reading resources
- Fountas & Pinnell Phonics, Spelling, and Word Study System

Action Step

The first step will be analyzing the data of economically disadvantaged students. Next will be providing Professional Development based on the needs of the teachers with regards to poverty. The next step will be for the Leadership Team to do Classroom Observations. Feedback will be given to teachers based on the findings of the observations. Monthly progress monitoring assessments will be done by students and monitored by the Leadership Team. These assessments for progress monitoring will be created using School City and iReady. Data Chats and Professional Learning Communities will be held to share best practices on meeting the needs of economically disadvantaged students.

Person Responsible

Description

Title African American Students

Rationale We selected African American students based on the results of the 2019 Florida Standards

Assessment our black students Federal Index was 34%

State the measurable

outcome the By June 2020, 55% of our black students will show a learning gain in reading based on the **school** ELA Florida Standards Assessment.

school plans to achieve

Person responsible

Tauri Eligon (tauri.eligon@browardschools.com)

monitoring outcome

for

Evidencebased Strategy

We will use the Balanced-Literacy approach. We will monitor this strategy using classroom observations and monthly progress monitoring assessments.

Using a Balanced-Literacy approach will help closed the reading gap by providing students instruction in all reading components using different teaching strategies.

Rationale

The following resources will be used:

for Evidence-

School City assessment platformLeveled Literacy Intervention (LLI)I-Ready online learning program

based Strategy

Curriculum Associates Ready FL LAFSTriumph Learning Reading resources

- Fountas & Pinnell Phonics, Spelling, and Word Study System

Action Step

The first step will be analyzing the data of African American students. Next will be providing Professional Development based on the needs of the teachers with regards to working with African American students. The next step will be for the Leadership Team to do Classroom

Description

Observations. Feedback will be given to teachers based on the findings of the observations. Monthly progress monitoring assessments will be done by students and monitored by the Leadership Team. These assessments for progress monitoring will be created using School City and iReady. Data Chats and Professional Learning Communities will be held to share best practices on meeting the needs of African American students.

Person Responsible

Title English Language Learners

We selected English Language Learners students based on the results of the 2019 Florida Rationale Standards Assessment. The Federal Index our English Language Learners was 32%

State the measurable

outcome the By June 2020, 60% of our ELL students will show a learning gain on the ELA Florida

school Standards Assessment

plans to achieve

Person responsible

Tauri Eligon (tauri.eligon@browardschools.com) for

monitoring outcome

Evidencebased

Strategy

We will use the Balanced-Literacy approach. We will monitor this strategy using classroom observations and monthly progress monitoring assessments.

Using a Balanced-Literacy approach will help closed the reading gap by providing students instruction in all reading components using different teaching strategies.

Rationale

The following resources will be used:

for Evidence- School City assessment platform - Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI) - I-Ready online learning program

based Strategy

- Curriculum Associates Ready FL LAFS - Triumph Learning Reading resources

- Fountas & Pinnell Phonics, Spelling, and Word Study System

Action Step

The first step will be analyzing the data of all English Language Learners. Next will be providing Professional Development based on the needs of the teachers with regards to ELLs. The next step will be for the Leadership Team to do Classroom Observations. Feedback will be given to teachers based on the findings of the observations. Monthly

Description

progress monitoring assessments will be done by students and monitored by the Leadership Team. These assessments for progress monitoring will be created using School City and iReady. Data Chats and Professional Learning Communities will be held to

share best practices on meeting the needs of ELLs.

Person Responsible

Tauri Eligon (tauri.eligon@browardschools.com)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

Part IV: Title I Requirements

Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Schoolwide Improvement Plan to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

The school works to promote parent participation throughout the school year. The school year is kicked off with Meet & Greet, the evening before the First Day of School. in which parents are invited to meet their child's teacher. The PTA and SAC are open for parents to join and participate in the conversations and decision-making that impacts the school. Evening events are held such as Academic Night and Barnes & Noble Family Night, to promote academics and allow for increased involvement. The school's mission and vision are shared with parents throughout each event. Parents are kept up to date with school events through the Parent Link, text alerts, A Parent Notice Board, a quarterly newsletter, and the school's website. Teachers keep open, frequent communication with parents through phone calls, emails, written notices, and conferences.

PFEP Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

Teachers implement the Mindfulness program to further the development of students' social and emotional well-being. The Mindfulness curriculum is accessible to all classroom teachers for use within their rooms. The Guidance Counselor provides a Mindfulness special to students K-5 in which classes attend on a rotating schedule. The Guidance Counselor also offers counseling groups on campus, helping students learn strategies for areas of concern such as anger, homelessness, bullying, home environment, and more.

The Cloud 9 social-emotional development program is also implemented school-wide. The program uses literature as the vehicle to teaching character strengths. Cloud 9 is paired with a monthly Student of the Month incentive program, highlighting students with exemplary character development.

The school offers two mentoring programs for students in Grades 3-5 - SWAG (Students Working to Achieve Greatness) Girls as PRIME (Preparation, Responsibility, Intelligence, Mindfulness, Expectation) Boys. Teachers and staff members serve as mentors for students providing weekly check-ins and incentives.

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

Kindergarten teachers conduct a Kindergarten Round-Up for Pre-K students and their parents to attend an orientation of the Kindergarten program and all of its components. Pre-K teachers work with the students on developing their literacy skills as well as social-emotional skills. Upon entering Kindergarten, all students are assessed using Florida Kindergarten Readiness Skills (FLKRS) as well as Concepts of Print, Letter Names/Sounds, and the Benchmark Assessment System (BAS). Data is used to plan daily academic and social-emotional instruction. Progress monitoring is conducted throughout the year for remediation and enrichment.

Fifth grades are supported by attending a field trip to their next school (Middle School). Additionally, a

Middle School Magnet Open House is held on campus for all fifth graders to explore the various program offerings by the district. The school's Guidance Counselor facilitates lessons which provide life skills that students must have to navigate through middle school.

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

The school uses allocated funding (local, state, and federal) to employee personnel, purchase curricular materials, and provide professional learning for teachers. Curriculum Coaches (Reading, Math, and Science), create standards-aligned focus calendars, provide professional learning, co-teaching/modeling, and pulling small groups for intervention. Coaches attend district forums to keep abreast of current best practices and initiatives. Information learned is disseminated to the leadership team, faculty, and staff.

Differentiated instruction for students is provided by Curriculum Coaches, Resources Teachers, ESE Support Faciliatoris, and paraprofessionals. The ESE Specialist provides guidance to classroom teachers regarding best practices for increasing achievement for Students with Disabilities.

Comprehensive intervention programs and educational technology are implemented to increase growth in Reading and Math. These programs are comprehensive, research-based and aligned to the Florida Standards.

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

Partnerships are established with community organizations and local businesses. Representatives from these agencies volunteer to visit the school and have college & career awareness discussions with the students. A school-wide Career Day is held each Spring where over 40 individuals attend to share their careers with the students and faculty.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Literacy	\$346,697.00			
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2019-20
	5100	510-Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$13,320.00
			Notes: Literacy Footprints K-5 Comple material, to be used in whole and sma English Language Arts standards.			
	5100	369-Technology-Related Rentals	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$3,045.00
			Notes: Purchase School City license for monitoring tool for tracking students' p			
	5100	120-Classroom Teachers	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$36,250.00

		Notes: Provide stipends for 29 teache of extra learning camp opportunities for science, mathematics, and reading.			
6400	120-Classroom Teachers	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$19,600.00
		Notes: Provide 56 teachers @ \$30/hodays of professional development for development in the areas of science,	the purpose of the insti	ructional ca	
5100	369-Technology-Related Rentals	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$5,800.00
		Notes: Renaissance, myON: Purchas utilized by students to read high-intere is a digital library of enhanced reading measures reading with quizzes and p data points offer important insights int proficiency and growth. Embedded Le quizzes within the MyON environment	est text on the students g content for students in rovide data about stude to whether students are exile Level assessment	' individual n Grades Ko ent reading e on the pat s and option	Lexile levels. MyON G-12. MyON engagement. These h to reading nal end-of-book
5100	510-Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$9,370.00
		Notes: Purchase Top Score Writing m improve students' writing skills and the explanatory, opinion/argumentative an state writing assessment providing bo 175/4.96 Grade 3- 175/4.96 Grade 4- each	e ability to respond to to nd narrative teaching th oth interactive onilne an	ext in writing nat prepares d blending	g using informative/ s students for the resources. Grade 3-
6400	120-Classroom Teachers	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$55,000.00
		Notes: Provide teachers stipends of \$ purposeful literacies, math, science, a focus on increasing knowledge while closing the achievement gap.	and data usage profess	ional learnii	ng opportunities will
5100	510-Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$7,485.00
		Notes: Curriculum Associates: Purcha Associates: LAFS Books for students instruction. * Ready Florida ELA (LAF \$13.00 * Ready Toolbox Access Book	in grades 3rd-5th, to be S) Student workbooks	e used durii (Grades 3rd	ng small group d-5th) 175 books @
5100	510-Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$3,032.00
		Notes: Purchase Phonics for Reading resource to teach students phonics ar achievement First Level Book- 20/\$39 39.95 Teacher Editions- 24/\$14.95eac	nd decoding skills to im 9.95 2nd Level Book- 2	prove readi	ing instruction and
5100	510-Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$26,007.00
		Notes: Purchase additional instruction Specialty to support ELA and supplen instruction and to supplement the core for grades 2nd through 5th	nentary resources for s	tudents to ι	ıtilize for classroom
6400	644-Computer Hardware Non-Capitalized	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$39,198.00
		Notes: Purchase 47 Lenovo ThinkPac will provide teachers the opportunity to			
5100	510-Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$7,482.00
	<u> </u>		1		

		Notes: Purchase Fountas & Pinnell F instruction to strengthen the foundati		dy kits for Tier 1
5100	150-Aides	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG 2.	\$32,772.00
1		Notes: Hire 3 paraprofessionals to as achievement gap in reading. The sta		
5100	210-Retirement	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG	\$2,707.00
•		Notes: Fringe Retirement: Retirement	nt @ 8.26% - 3 Paras	-
5100	369-Technology-Related Rentals	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG	\$21,000.00
	1	Notes: Purchase TutorMate for sever achievement gap.	n 1st grade classes to assist the	teachers in closing the
5100	510-Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG	\$6,012.00
		Notes: Office Supplies @\$6012.00		1
5100	250-Unemployment Compensation	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG	\$17.00
		Notes: Fringe Unemployment Comp.	: Unemployment Compensation	@ .05% - 3 Paras
5100	220-Social Security	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG	\$2,774.00
	•	Notes: Fringe Social Security: Social	Security @ 7.65% - ELO Stiper	ds
5100	240-Workers Compensation	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG	\$660.00
	•	Notes: Fringe Workers Comp.: Work	ers Compensation @ 1.82% - El	LO Stipends
5100	250-Unemployment Compensation	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG	\$19.00
		Notes: Fringe Unemployment Comp.	: Unemployment Compensation	@ .05% - ELO Stipends
6400	240-Workers Compensation	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG	\$1,522.00
		Notes: Fringe Workers Comp.: Works Incentive	ers Compensation @ 1.82% - Si	ipends and Attendance
6400	519-Technology-Related Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG	\$350.00
		Notes: Purchase 14 Lenovo Thinkpa	d Essential Case at \$25 each.	
5100	220-Social Security	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG	\$2,508.00
	1	Notes: Fringe Social Security: Social	Security @ 7.65% - 3 Paras	
5100	230-Group Insurance	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG	\$24,696.00
•	•	Notes: Fringe Group Insurance: Grou	up Insurance @ \$8232 - 3 Paras	
5100	240-Workers Compensation	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG	\$597.00
1	1	Notes: Fringe Workers Compensatio	n: Workers Compensation @ 1	220/ 2 Paras

			that allow students to illustrate science Sunshine State Standards. This resou assessments.	e concepts as indicated	in the Nex	t Generation
	5100	510-Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School Notes: JJ Educational Science Bootca	UniSIG	th-arade-s	\$5,590.00
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2019-20
3	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Science				\$15,431.00
			Notes: Triumph Learning, Inc.: Purcha Supplemental Coach for all 3rd-5th gr support during whole group instruction camp program.	ade. Teachers will provi	ide student	ts with additional
	5100	510-Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG	_	\$21,330.00
			Notes: Educational Development Assa (Mathematics) program for 2nd-5th gr and post assessments to get a baselii will use the quick pick books as morni Modeling books will be used for whole instructional material.	ade. Teachers will prov ne, monitor progress, ar ng work, as well as to p	ide student nd measure practice pre	ts with pre-, mid-year, e growth. Students eviously taught skills.
	5100	510-Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$26,896.00
			Notes: Explore Learning, Reflex Math improve students automaticity with manultiplying and dividing.			
	5100	369-Technology-Related Rentals	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$3,295.25
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2019-20
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Math				\$51,521.25
			Notes: Emergency funds for additional		oes in the	red.
			0321 - Walker Elementary School	School Improvement Funds		\$9,600.00
			Notes: 30 Student Headphones @ \$1	1.49 each		
	5100	519-Technology-Related Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$436.00
			Notes: Incentive Pay: Teachers who a the bargaining unit's calendar will rece be paid to teachers that meet the goa pay based on teacher attendance will is contributing to academic gaps in sto - 1 day, \$300 - 2 days, \$200 - 3 days,	eive incentive pay on a tool of being present at leat help to reduce the barraudents' academic succe	tiered syste st 98% of t ier of chror	em. The amount will time. This incentive nic absenteeism that
	6400	120-Classroom Teachers	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$9,000.00
			Notes: Fringe Social Security: Social S	Security @ 7.65% - Stip	ends and	Attendance Incentive
	6400	220-Social Security	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$6,396.00
		ı ·	Notes: Fringe Unemployment Comp.: and Attendance Incentive	Unemployment Compe	nsation @	0.05% - Stipends
	6400	250-Unemployment Compensation	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$42.00

4	III.A.		<u> </u>		\$0.00 \$0.00
	III A	Areas of Focus: Students With Disability Areas of Focus: Economically Disadvantaged Students			
	_		Notes: Triumph Learning: Science Co grades 3-5 to be used during Science reteach resources.		
	5100	510-Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG	\$4,470.00
			Notes: Educational Development Ass for students in grade 5 to be used at progress monitor Science standards	the start of the Science Instructiona	
	5100	510-Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG	\$5,371.00