

2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	8
Planning for Improvement	13
Title I Requirements	15
Budget to Support Goals	17

Graham Elementary School

2915 N MASSACHUSETTS AVE, Tampa, FL 33602

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Eric Felder

Start Date for this Principal: 6/24/2019

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active							
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5							
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education							
2018-19 Title I School	Yes							
2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%							
2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* Multiracial Students Economically Disadvantaged Students*							
	2018-19: C (46%)							
	2017-18: D (40%)							
School Grades History	2016-17: C (44%)							
	2015-16: D (34%)							
	2014-15: D (40%)							
	aformation*							
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) In	formation*							
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) In SI Region	Central							
SI Region	Central							
SI Region Regional Executive Director	Central Lucinda Thompson							
SI Region Regional Executive Director Turnaround Option/Cycle	Central <u>Lucinda Thompson</u> N/A							

* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	8
Planning for Improvement	13
Title I Requirements	15
Budget to Support Goals	17

Hillsborough - 1761 - Graham Elementary School - 2019-20 SIP

Graham Elementary School

2915 N MASSACHUSETTS AVE, Tampa, FL 33602

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

School Type and Gr (per MSID F		2018-19 Title I School	l Disadvant	Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)
Elementary S PK-5	school	Yes		96%
Primary Servic (per MSID F	• •	Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General E	ducation	No		97%
School Grades Histo	ory			
Year Grade	2018-19 C	2017-18 D	2016-17 C	2015-16 D
School Board Appro	val			

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Teach, Learn and and Commit for Lifelong Success

Provide the school's vision statement.

Educating the Head, Heart, and Hands

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Spires, Carisa	Principal	Leader of the School Academics, Safety and Culture

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator					Gr	ade	Le	vel						Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	46	48	71	53	53	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	326
Attendance below 90 percent	8	11	7	10	7	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	7	25	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	42

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator					G	irade	e L	eve	l					Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	3	14	20	12	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	64

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiaatar						Gr	ade	e Le	ve	I				Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	_		_		-									

FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)

20

Date this data was collected or last updated Tuesday 10/15/2019

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator					Gra	ade	e Le	ve	I					Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Attendance below 90 percent	20	15	8	13	11	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	73
One or more suspensions	1	3	12	8	6	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	34	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator					G	Gra	de	Lev	vel					Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	1	1	14	10	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator					Gra	ade	e Le	ve	I					Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Attendance below 90 percent	20	15	8	13	11	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	73
One or more suspensions	1	3	12	8	6	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	34	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator					C	Gra	de	Lev	el					Total
indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Students with two or more indicators	0	1	1	14	10	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019		2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement	29%	52%	57%	26%	52%	55%	
ELA Learning Gains	51%	55%	58%	50%	55%	57%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	64%	50%	53%	52%	51%	52%	
Math Achievement	37%	54%	63%	30%	53%	61%	

School Grade Component		2019			2018	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State
Math Learning Gains	50%	57%	62%	53%	54%	61%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	63%	46%	51%	64%	46%	51%
Science Achievement	26%	50%	53%	35%	48%	51%

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

Indicator			Total				
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	TOLAT
Number of students enrolled	46 (0)	48 (0)	71 (0)	53 (0)	53 (0)	55 (0)	326 (0)
Attendance below 90 percent	8 (20)	11 (15)	7 (8)	10 (13)	7 (11)	5 (6)	48 (73)
One or more suspensions	0 (1)	0 (3)	0 (12)	1 (8)	3 (6)	0 (7)	4 (37)
Course failure in ELA or Math	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (34)	0 (26)	0 (0)	0 (60)
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	7 (0)	25 (0)	10 (0)	42 (0)

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	16%	52%	-36%	58%	-42%
	2018	30%	53%	-23%	57%	-27%
Same Grade C	omparison	-14%				
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2019	33%	55%	-22%	58%	-25%
	2018	31%	55%	-24%	56%	-25%
Same Grade C	omparison	2%				
Cohort Com	parison	3%				
05	2019	30%	54%	-24%	56%	-26%
	2018	20%	51%	-31%	55%	-35%
Same Grade C	omparison	10%			• •	
Cohort Com	iparison	-1%				

	MATH										
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison					
03	2019	39%	54%	-15%	62%	-23%					
	2018		55%	-28%	62%	-35%					
Same Grade C	12%			·							
Cohort Com											

	MATH									
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison				
04	2019	30%	57%	-27%	64%	-34%				
	2018	31%	57%	-26%	62%	-31%				
Same Grade C	omparison	-1%								
Cohort Com	parison	3%								
05	2019	34%	54%	-20%	60%	-26%				
	2018	18%	54%	-36%	61%	-43%				
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison				•					
Cohort Com	parison	3%								

SCIENCE									
Grade	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison				
05	2019	23%	51%	-28%	53%	-30%			
	2018	33%	52%	-19%	55%	-22%			
Same Grade C	-10%			·					
Cohort Com	parison								

Subgroup Data

		2019	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	3	41	64	13	56	69	9				
ELL	45			55							
BLK	26	50	68	34	46	50	19				
HSP	30	54		40	54						
FRL	27	51	67	37	48	61	26				
		2018	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	9	35		3	35	30	10				
BLK	26	42	45	23	45	59	29				
HSP	36			50	60						
FRL	28	40	48	28	45	55	33				
		2017	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	8	39	43	10	48	54	15				
ELL	15			31							
BLK	23	42	38	30	56	61	36				
HSP	33	69		29	50		40				
FRL	24	48	50	29	52	62	32				

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.	
ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	TS&I
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	44
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	1
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	32
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	352
Total Components for the Federal Index	8
Percent Tested	100%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	36
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	44
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	·
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	42
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Hispanic Students					
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	42				
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?					
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%					
Multiracial Students					
Federal Index - Multiracial Students					
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A				
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%					
Pacific Islander Students					
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students					
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A				
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%					
White Students					
Federal Index - White Students					
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A				
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%					
Economically Disadvantaged Students					
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	44				
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO				
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%					

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

2019:

16% of 3rd ELA scored Proficiency 10 out of 13 3rd grade detainees made learning gains

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

2019:

3rd Grade Reading Proficiency went from 30% to 16% RE: Teacher Attendance/no 2nd Grade Retention to support research

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

2018:

5th Grade math School: 18%, State: 61%; a difference of 43% RE: Self contained (planning), non math coach, curriculum used as resource

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

2018: BQ Reading: School, 48%; State, 48% BQ Math: School, 55%; State 47%

Identified BQ, Data Chats

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

1. Attendance

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

1. School Culture

2.

- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1	
Title	Learning Culture (including instructional infrastructure and SEL)
Rationale	Teachers indicated a need for boosting morale, impacting student engagement (PBIS survey), iReady data indicating low proficiency in ELA, increased number of behavior issues, need to implement additional procedures and systems
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve	FSA Data (continue to increase proficiency in order to remain a C or better), Increase EWS data over last year
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	Sharron Doyle (sharron.doyle@sdhc.k12.fl.us)
Evidence-based Strategy	 Supported planning Increased attendance for both students and teachers PBIS Foundations Team building to strengthen relationships among and between students, staff, parents
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy	Supported planning focused on rigor of standards; consistent teacher attendance positively impacts student learning, as does consistent student attendance; PBIS to support systematic and consistent behavior interventions; team building to build overall school morale and learning culture
Action Step	
Description	 1a. Standards-based planning and instruction, supported by coaches, planning in PLCs 1b. Reading instruction (strategies) in all subjects 1c. Data walls for tracking student data 1d. Master schedule to allow for common planning time - 1 hour/week for ELA, 1 hour/week math 1e. Expectations for planning time 1f. EL (curriculum) for ELA instruction 1g. Extra planning (iReady 45mins/wk per subject) and extended learning opportunities 1h. Data chats with administration monthly (per teacher) 1i. Plan and integrate strategies of prior knowledge, discussion, and/or feedback into lessons supported by content coaches 1j. CIM (monitoring) 1k. Book study on "High Leverage Strategies" 2a. Monthly recognition for perfect attendance (students and teachers) 2b. Continue CHAMPS and PBIS on buses 2c. Home visits to support behavior and attendance issues 2d. Based on last year's attendance, meet with parents at the start of the year 2e. Teacher retreat at end of year to celebrate all with 90%+ attendance 3a. Utilize system for monitoring PBIS rewards (excel, software) 3b. PD for staff re: PBIS and CHAMPS 3c. PBIS team meet biweekly to plan, collect data, reflect 3d. PBIS team to implement weekly lessons in school-wide procedures 3e. CHAMPS posters make-and-take 4a. School-wide restorative practices daily for first 10 minutes of school day

- 4b. PD related to restorative practices
- 4c. Parent/student dances
- 4d. After-school clubs based on student interests (art, music, PE, etc.)
- 4e. Ice breakers included in weekly faculty meetings

4f. Team building events for staff (BUNCO night, pot lucks, gift cards, lunches on conference night, welcome back breakfast, etc)

4g. Student team competition (bottom quartile groups)

Person Responsible Sharron Doyle (sharron.doyle@sdhc.k12.fl.us)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

One-on-one conferencing with teachers; pair new teachers with Graham veterans. We are doing discussion techniques to involve students to be able to explain their environment, including the ESE students that are fused into the classroom. This will further enhance their ability to verbally communicate with other regarding current topics of classroom discussion that are standards- based.

Part IV: Title I Requirements

Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Schoolwide Improvement Plan to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

School staff, students, parents, and the community will work together to develop skills and habits for personal and academic success. We work hard at building positive relationships with our families and community partners. We encourage parents to participate in all of our events by sending home flyers, making parent link phone calls and posting everything on our website and social media. We make every effort to communicate every child's progress to the parents by sending home quarterly progress alerts and having parent teacher conferences.

PFEP Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

The School Board of each Florida district is required by state law to establish a comprehensive program for student progression that is based on an evaluation of each student's performance including an assessment of how well the student masters the performance standards approved by the state board. The district's program for student progression is based on mastery of the English language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies standards. (F.S. 1008.25)

The HCPS Student Progression Plan includes information on initial placement, reporting student progress, reading remediation, academic acceleration, grade promotion and retention, graduation

requirements, transfer credits, student recognition, accommodations, dual enrollment, and extended learning opportunities.

For complete information, please visit our Student Progression Plan at: http://www.sdhc.k12.fl.us/docs/ 00/00/21/33/studentprogressionplan.pdf

HCPS utilizes a variety of strategies for assisting students as they transition from one school to another.

HCPS employs multiple strategies for preparing children for entry into kindergarten. Over 6,000 children participate in one of several preschool programs offered by the School District (Head Start, VPK and PreK-ESE). Developmental screenings are available for all families prior to entry into kindergarten through Child Find, a service within the Florida Diagnostic and Learning Resources System (FDLRS). Additionally, the district works closely with School Readiness providers to share information.

HCPS utilizes multiple strategies for preparing students for their next school, including transitioning from elementary to middle school, middle school to high school, or simply moving to a new school mid-year. Examples include:

Bring 6th/9th graders back early for orientation Train a cadre of student ambassadors to help orient other students Parent information and/or education opportunities Hold articulation meetings between 5th and 6th grade teachers Campus visits Shadow days Middle school students visit, tutor and or perform at elementary schools High school students visit, tutor, or perform at middle schools.

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

To ensure efficient and systematic allocation and use of resources, the school's PSLT/ILT utilizes an Rtl/ MTSS framework to improve learning for all. Resources allocated support a continuum of academic and behavioral supports, ensuring all students have fluid access to instruction (varying intensity levels matched to most appropriate available resources)

Analyze student outcomes and make data-driven decisions: What is the problem? Why is it occurring? What are we going to do about it? Is it working?

Assess the implementation of the SIP: Does the data show positive student growth? Are we making progress toward the SIPs intended outcomes? What can we do to sustain what's working? What barriers to implementation are we facing? What should be our plan of action?

Annually, schools take inventory of resource materials, staff, and funds allocated to determine necessary resource materials and personnel available to meet the needs of students. Resource maps identify gaps, ensuring resources are available and allocated for use by all.

To ensure support systems, small group, and individual needs are met, the PSLT:

Reviews school-wide assessment data on an ongoing basis in order to identify instructional needs across the school and all grade levels; Supports the implementation of high quality instructional practices during core and intervention blocks; Reviews progress monitoring data at the core to ensure fidelity of instruction and attainment of SIP goal(s) in curricular, behavioral, and attendance domains; Communicates school-wide data to PLCs and facilitate problem solving within the content/grade level teams.

The PSLT meets regularly (bi-weekly/monthly). The PSLT meeting calendar is structured around the district's assessment calendar, ensuring opportunities to review assessment outcome data and engage in the problem solving process for appropriate data-driven decisions. Team members include administrator(s), guidance counselor(s), school psychologist, ESE specialist, content area coaches/ specialists, PLC liaisons, others as needed

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

HCPS strategies to advance college and career awareness include: Career interest inventory offered to students through Florida Shines; District College Nights; District Financial Aid Nights; Postsecondary representative visits at high schools; Fieldtrip opportunities for career awareness; Fieldtrip opportunities to technical colleges; and Opportunities for students to take courses within their area of interest at their high school, via virtual school, and through dual enrollment.

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

HCPS strategies to advance college and career awareness include: Career interest inventory offered to students through Florida Shines; District College Nights; District Financial Aid Nights; Postsecondary representative visits at high schools; Fieldtrip opportunities for career awareness; Fieldtrip opportunities to technical colleges; and Opportunities for students to take courses within their area of interest at their high school, via virtual school, and through dual enrollment.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Learning Cu	\$442,190.00			
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2019-20
			1761 - Graham Elementary School	Title, I Part A	4.0	\$250,000.00
			Notes: Content Coaches			
			1761 - Graham Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$1,500.00
			Notes: Student agendas			
			1761 - Graham Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$19,200.00

Notes: PD for PBIS, CHAMPs, Conte- for PBIS, 400 hours for CHAMPs, 400			ers): 400 hours	
1761 - Graham Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$2,650.00	
Notes: T-Payroll for home visits; 2 hours x 40 teachers x \$32				
1761 - Graham Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$2,000.00	
Notes: PD supplies to support data wall, CIMS, book study				
1761 - Graham Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$2,000.00	
Notes: Classroom supplies to support toner, paper, laminating film)	t iReady lesson, PBIS rev	vards, CHAMF	Ps posters (ink,	
1761 - Graham Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$1,400.00	
Notes: Software to support PBIS				
1761 - Graham Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$1,200.00	
Notes: Substitute Days (12 @\$100) to support data chats with teachers				
1761 - Graham Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$5,120.00	
Notes: Pre-pre-planning to support standards-based planning, data analysis (40 teachers x 8 hours x \$16)				
1761 - Graham Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$1,920.00	
Notes: Planning time for extended lea	ime for extended learning opportunities (4 hours x 15 teachers x \$32)			
1761 - Graham Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$15,000.00	
Notes: Teacher Retreat (to include ac	ommodations, transportation and activities)			
1761 - Graham Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$1,000.00	
Notes: Tech supplies - headphones, s	peakers			
1761 - Graham Elementary School	Title, I Part A	2.0	\$30,000.00	
Notes: two title one aide positions to s	upport instructional			
1761 - Graham Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$1,000.00	
Notes: Student Competitive Group St	ipplies			
1761 - Graham Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$1,000.00	
 Notes: Team Building Supplies				
1761 - Graham Elementary	Title, I Part A		\$2,000.00	
School				

	1761 - Graham Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$1,000.00	
	Notes: After School clubs	·			
	1761 - Graham Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$100,000.00	
	Notes: EL Curriculum				
	1761 - Graham Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$1,800.00	
	Notes: CIM Monitoring (Grade Level Rep) (6 Grade Level Reps X \$300)				
	1761 - Graham Elementary School	Title, I Part A		\$2,400.00	
· · ·	Notes: Planning/PLCs for Data Walls, CIMs, and Common Schedules				
			Total:	\$442,190.00	