**Jackson County School Board** 

# **Sneads Elementary School**



2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

3
4
7
10
14
16
18

# **Sneads Elementary School**

1961 LOCKEY DR, Sneads, FL 32460

http://ses.jcsb.org

# **Demographics**

Principal: Zane Walden

Start Date for this Principal: 10/3/2019

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-4
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2018-19 Title I School	Yes
2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities Black/African American Students Multiracial Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
	2018-19: A (75%)
	2017-18: A (74%)
School Grades History	2016-17: A (68%)
	2015-16: A (73%)
	2014-15: A (78%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Northwest
Regional Executive Director	Rachel Heide
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	N/A

\* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan was approved by the Jackson County School Board on 10/15/2019.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

#### **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	14
Title I Requirements	16
Budget to Support Goals	18

# **Sneads Elementary School**

1961 LOCKEY DR, Sneads, FL 32460

http://ses.jcsb.org

#### **School Demographics**

School Type and Gr (per MSID		2018-19 Title I Schoo	l Disadvan	<b>Economically taged (FRL) Rate</b> rted on Survey 3)
Elementary S PK-4	School	Yes	100%	
Primary Servio		Charter School	(Report	9 Minority Rate ed as Non-white s Survey 2)
K-12 General E	ducation	No		30%
School Grades Histo	ory			
Year	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17	2015-16

Α

Α

Α

#### **School Board Approval**

**Grade** 

This plan was approved by the Jackson County School Board on 10/15/2019.

Α

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Part I: School Information**

#### **School Mission and Vision**

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

Our Beliefs:

We believe that every student is important and can learn.

We believe that learning to read is the cornerstone for all education.

We believe that family and community involvement benefits student achievement.

We believe that continuous improvement is essential to the growth and development of both student and staff members.

We believe that a safe and secure environment is essential for teaching and learning.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

AN EDUCATION IS LIFE'S BEST TREASURE

#### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Dewitt, Steve	Principal	The School Based Leadership Team members help lead their grade group in planning and instruction along with other leaderships responsibilities. Specific SLT Roles/functions (one person may surely have more than one role)  Instruction Leader – (Administrator, Steve DeWitt) - Ensures fidelity of the process, sets regularly scheduled times for the SLT to convene, makes decisions on how T2 and T3 services will be delivered  Team Leader – (Guidance Counselor, Brandi Perkins/Amber McIntosh/ Jeannie Downum)- Directs team activities, receives referrals for the SLT, informs staff/parents, sets mtg times, ensures the proper documentation is maintained, and sets dates/times for follow-up meetings  Data Mentor – (Brandi Perkins) Assists in collecting, organizing, visually displaying, analyzing and interpreting data  Staff Liaison – (Steve DeWitt, Brandi Perkins, Amber McIntosh, Jeannie Downum)Key communicator with staff, establishes procedures to gain staff input and collaboration with other school initiatives  Content Specialist – (Amber McIntosh, Brandi Perkins, Jeannie Downum) Assists in making key decisions about instructional needs of struggling students, identifies evidenced-based interventions most likely to be effective in addressing the area of concern, and provides training/consultation as needed  Record Keeper – (Amber McIntosh, Brandi Perkins, Jeannie Downum) Documents/completes required paperwork in the meetings, serves as timekeeper, informs team when time is running short.  Behavior Specialist – Assists in identifying function of problem behaviors and developing Behavior Intervention Plans, collaborates and provides training as needed  Parent/Guardian – of the student whose needs are being addressed  Parent/Guardian – of the student whose needs are being addressed  Parent/Guardian – of the student whose needs are being addressed  Parent/Guardian – of the student whose needs are being addressed  Parent/Guardian – of the student whose needs are being addressed
McIntosh, Amber	Administrative Support	
Edwards, Kerrianne	Teacher, K-12	
Carpenter, Lindy	Teacher, K-12	
Perkins, Brandi	Teacher, K-12	

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Downum, Jeannie	Teacher, K-12	

### **Early Warning Systems**

#### **Current Year**

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indiantor	Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	122	123	103	84	71	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	503
Attendance below 90 percent	29	32	25	25	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	129
One or more suspensions	2	7	2	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
Course failure in ELA or Math	26	26	18	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	74
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator					Gra	ade	Le	eve	ı					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	18	34	13	16	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	94

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	26	26	18	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	74	
Students retained two or more times	0	3	10	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	

#### FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)

35

#### Date this data was collected or last updated

Friday 10/4/2019

#### Prior Year - As Reported

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator		Grade Level													
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	Le	evel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### **Prior Year - Updated**

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator		Grade Level														
illuicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel					Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

#### **School Data**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019		2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement	75%	63%	57%	73%	65%	55%	
ELA Learning Gains	66%	58%	58%	62%	63%	57%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	71%	49%	53%	54%	58%	52%	
Math Achievement	88%	66%	63%	87%	71%	61%	
Math Learning Gains	76%	58%	62%	63%	65%	61%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	76%	45%	51%	57%	53%	51%	
Science Achievement	0%	54%	53%	77%	61%	51%	

# **EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey**

Indicator	Gra	Total				
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	Total
Number of students enrolled	122 (0)	123 (0)	103 (0)	84 (0)	71 (0)	503 (0)
Attendance below 90 percent	29 (0)	32 (0)	25 (0)	25 (0)	18 (0)	129 (0)
One or more suspensions	2 (0)	7 (0)	2 (0)	4 (0)	2 (0)	17 (0)
Course failure in ELA or Math	26 (0)	26 (0)	18 (0)	2 (0)	2 (0)	74 (0)
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (0)	6 (0)	7 (0)

#### **Grade Level Data**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	71%	58%	13%	58%	13%
	2018	80%	66%	14%	57%	23%
Same Grade C	omparison	-9%				
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2019	79%	62%	17%	58%	21%
	2018	77%	66%	11%	56%	21%
Same Grade C	omparison	2%			•	
Cohort Com	parison	-1%			•	

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	89%	70%	19%	62%	27%
	2018	98%	72%	26%	62%	36%
Same Grade C	omparison	-9%				
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2019	90%	71%	19%	64%	26%
	2018	91%	72%	19%	62%	29%
Same Grade C	omparison	-1%			•	
Cohort Com	parison	-8%				

SCIENCE										
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison				

#### **Subgroup Data**

		2019	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMP	PONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	49	41		76	56						
BLK	50	47		67	56						
HSP	82			100							
MUL	75			83							
WHT	80	69	82	93	79	83					
FRL	74	67	67	87	74	73					

		2018	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	62	57	42	72	60	36	56				
BLK	59	62	50	74	69	60	47				
HSP	70			100							
WHT	81	74	68	94	77	70	83				
FRL	69	69	63	86	76	63	61				
		2017	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	60	46	33	67	54	43	45				
BLK	54	48	33	75	46	40	50				
WHT	79	67	68	90	68	65	84				
FRL	64	55	56	84	62	59	73				

# **ESSA** Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	N/A
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	75
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	452
Total Components for the Federal Index	6
Percent Tested	97%

# **Subgroup Data**

Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	56
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	

English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	

Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	55
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	91
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	79
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	81
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	74
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

#### **Analysis**

#### **Data Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

ELA students in bottom 25% with disabilities performed the lowest. Yes, this is a trend according to our last few years of data. Our area of the state was hit by a category 5 hurricane and missed several instructional days, which might have been a contributing factor.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

ELA students in the bottom 25% dropped from 57 to 41. Our area of the state was hit by a category 5 hurricane and missed several instructional days. which might have been a contributing factor.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

We are currently above the state average in all areas. ELA Learning Gains and ELA Lowest 25th Percentile both are 15 points above the state average.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

We are currently above the state average in all areas. ELA Learning Gains were 8 points above the state average and ELA Lowest 25th Percentile were 17 points above the state average.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

Achievement declined, but Learning Gains went up. Our school was combined with another school in our district, and this may have possibly played a part in our achievement declining.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Improve ELA proficiency
- 2. Improve Math proficiency
- 3. Monitor SWD learning gains
- 4. Monitor learning gains of subgroups

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

#### Areas of Focus:

#1	
Title	ELA Proficiency and Learning Gains
Rationale	Proficiency went down from 77% to 75%. Achievement gap between white and black students is 30%.
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve	Increase 1%
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	Steve Dewitt (steve.dewitt@jcsb.org)
Evidence-based Strategy	<ol> <li>Differentiating Instruction</li> <li>Additional staff</li> <li>Supplemental Curriculum</li> <li>After school remediation</li> </ol>
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy	<ol> <li>Differentiating is proven effective in supporting SWD students and improving overall growth as part of the MTSS process.</li> <li>Additional staff is needed to ensure tier 3 interventions meet the teacher or paraprofessional to student ratio</li> <li>Supplemental Curriculum is used in preparation for statewide assessments</li> <li>After school remediation is implemented to help the bottom 25% with extra interventions</li> </ol>
Action Step	
Description	<ol> <li>Small group for Lowest 25%</li> <li>Tier 2 RTI instruction in the classroom</li> <li>Tier 3 RTI instruction with a Title 1 paraprofessional</li> <li>i-Ready program</li> <li>Coachbooks</li> <li>Training</li> <li>Parents can be discussed</li> <li>RTI meetings</li> <li>IEP meetings</li> </ol>
Person Responsible	Steve Dewitt (steve.dewitt@jcsb.org)

#2	
Title	Math Proficiency and Learning Gains
Rationale	Proficiency went down from 91% to 88%. Achievement gap between white and black students is 26%.
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve	8%
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	Steve Dewitt (steve.dewitt@jcsb.org)
Evidence-based Strategy	<ol> <li>Differentiating Instruction</li> <li>Additional staff</li> <li>Supplemental Curriculum</li> <li>After school remediation</li> </ol>
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy	<ol> <li>Differentiating is proven effective in supporting SWD students and improving overall growth as part of the MTSS process.</li> <li>Additional staff is needed to ensure tier 3 interventions meet the teacher or paraprofessional to student ratio</li> <li>Supplemental Curriculum is used in preparation for statewide assessments</li> <li>After school remediation is implemented to help the bottom 25% with extra interventions</li> </ol>
Action Step	
Description	<ol> <li>Small group for Lowest 25%</li> <li>Tier 2 RTI instruction in the classroom</li> <li>Tier 3 RTI instruction with a Title 1 paraprofessional</li> <li>i-Ready program</li> <li>Coachbooks</li> <li>Training</li> <li>Parents can be discussed</li> <li>RTI meetings</li> <li>IEP meetings</li> </ol>
Person Responsible	Steve Dewitt (steve.dewitt@jcsb.org)

## Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

Attendance
Student Behaviors
Watchlist for kids
Increasing Parent involement
Monthly data days to discuss students

Monthly data days to discuss student performance and needs

# Part IV: Title I Requirements

#### Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Schoolwide Improvement Plan to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

Since parents are an integral part of their child's educational team, they are invited and encouraged to attend any and all activities at Sneads Elementary, such as parent conferences, the annual Title 1 Meeting, PTO meetings, Open House, Grade Level Orientation, School Adisory Council Meetings, field trips, class parties, fall and spring carnivals, Field Day, and special programs that include; Kindergarten and Fifth grade graduation, Thanksgiving Feast, Grandparent's Day, Muffins with Mom, Donuts with Dad, Kindergarten Pow Wow, Gingerbread Houses in Kindergarten, and Writing With Your Child.

#### **PFEP Link**

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

Early Childhood assists parents in the transition from home to school and from Pre-Kindergarten to kindergarten. To gain proficiency information, the Early Childhood staff assesses each student three times per year using Portfolio Assessment and two times per year using Phonological Awareness Assessment and Initial Sound Fluency instrument. Data from these assessments is entered into Galileo On-line and used as an ongoing assessment tool. This data drives instruction to ensure students meet benchmarks. Student's progress is monitored and shared with parents during conferences. In the spring, Pre-K students entering kindergarten are also assessed on the Early Childhood Observation System. Throughout the school year students experiencing difficulty, whether academic, social, or emotional, may be referred to the Child Study Team. This team identifies issues and recommends interventions. End-ofthe-year transition meetings are scheduled to allow parents and students to visit kindergarten classrooms and to provide opportunities for parents to talk to kindergarten teachers. Parents are provided with additional information regarding the expectations of kindergarten students. Staff representatives from Prek and K meet together to discuss the programs. PreK staff complete a Transition Data form for each student. This alerts kindergarten staff of concerns, interventions, Child Study documentation, social, emotional, or family issues. The spring ECHOS results are made available to kindergarten teachers. At the conclusion of the PreK school year, Family Transition packets are provided to all families to be used for maintaining academic progress over the summer months.

Each May, our 4th grade students go to Grand Ridge School for a tour and to meet staff. The students are able to hear and learn expectations from the administration of the school and also from various teachers who lead clubs and organizations. Students are given the opportunity to participate in an ask and answer question session.

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

A school-based Student Support Team (SST) has been identified for the purpose of implementing a multi-tiered system of supports (MTSS) for all students. Universal screening data at the grade level, classroom level and subgroup level is analyzed to evaluate the effectiveness and needs of core instruction. The SST meets regularly on students identified as needing supplemental instruction beyond core (T2), and those needing more intensive/ individualized (T3) instruction. The SST reviews multiple

data sources and engages in a 4 step data-based problem solving method to design and evaluate intervention plans that are targeted to student needs. Resources and service delivery are allocated according to the level of student need.

Federal Funds include TItle 1 funds which provide staffing for our school. These funds pay the salary of 1-3 teachers at our school. State funds include textbook dollars from the state that provides instructional materials for our teachers and other resources such as library books and media. State funds include S.A.I. (Supplemental Acamedic Instruction) funds which allow our school to purchase enrichment resources such as Elements of Vocabulary and workbooks to prepare our students for the rigor of Florida State Assessment testing and FCAT 2.O testing for Fifth Grade Science. Technology money from the state also helps fund our Accelerated Reader program. Local funds include PTO and the 1/2 cent sales tax which helps with technology resources.

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

To create a sense of college and career awareness, Sneads Elementary is developing a plan to invite the community leaders and various tradesman into our school to present about their occupations to our students. We believe that inviting more speakers to come and share about their "work" with our students helps create enthusiasm and motivation for learning. It also helps the older elementary school students develop a greater sense of purpose in their educational pursuits.

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

To create a sense of college and career awareness, Sneads Elementary is developing a plan to invite the community leaders and various tradesman into our school to present about their occupations to our students. We believe that inviting more speakers to come and share about their "work" with our students helps create enthusiasm and motivation for learning. It also helps the older elementary school students develop a greater sense of purpose in their educational pursuits.

## Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: ELA Proficiency and Learning Gains	\$0.00
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Math Proficiency and Learning Gains	\$0.00
		Total:	\$0.00