

2013-2014 SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Chiles Middle School
1240 SANCTUARY DR
Oviedo, FL 32766
407-871-7050
http://www.scps.k12.fl.us/schools/
schoolinfopage.cfm?schoolnumber=0721

School Demographics

School TypeTitle IFree and Reduced Lunch RateMiddle SchoolNo28%

Alternative/ESE Center Charter School Minority Rate
No No 27%

School Grades History

2013-14 2012-13 2011-12 2010-11 A A

SIP Authority and Template

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes (F.S.), requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds, as marked by citations to the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or with a grade of F within the prior two years. For all other schools, the district may use a template of its choosing. All districts must submit annual assurances that their plans meet statutory requirements.

This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridacims.org. Sections marked "N/A" by the user and any performance data representing fewer than 10 students or educators have been excluded from this document.

Table of Contents

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
|--|----|
| Differentiated Accountability | 5 |
| Part I: Current School Status | 6 |
| Part II: Expected Improvements | 14 |
| Goals Summary | 19 |
| Goals Detail | 19 |
| Action Plan for Improvement | 24 |
| Part III: Coordination and Integration | 33 |
| Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support Goals | 34 |
| Appendix 2: Budget to Support Goals | 36 |

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. A corollary at the district level is the District Improvement and Assistance Plan (DIAP), designed to help district leadership make the necessary connections between school and district goals in order to align resources. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: Current School Status

Part I summarizes school leadership, staff qualifications and strategies for recruiting, mentoring and retaining strong teachers. The school's Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) is described in detail to show how data is used by stakeholders to understand the needs of all students and allocate appropriate resources in proportion to those needs. The school also summarizes its efforts in a few specific areas, such as its use of increased learning time and strategies to support literacy, preschool transition and college and career readiness.

Part II: Expected Improvements

Part II outlines school performance data in the prior year and sets numeric targets for the coming year in ten areas:

- 1. Reading
- 2. Writing
- 3. Mathematics
- 4. Science
- 5. Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM)
- 6. Career and Technical Education (CTE)
- 7. Social Studies
- 8. Early Warning Systems (EWS)
- 9. Parental Involvement
- 10. Other areas of concern to the school

With this overview of the current state of the school in mind and the outcomes they hope to achieve, the planning team engages in an 8-Step Planning and Problem-Solving Process, through which they define and refine their goals (Step 1), identify and prioritize problems (barriers) keeping them from reaching those goals (Steps 2-3), design a plan to help them implement strategies to resolve those barriers (Steps 4-7), and determine how they will monitor progress toward each goal (Step 8).

Part III: Coordination and Integration

Part III is required for Title I schools and describes how federal, state and local funds are coordinated and integrated to ensure student needs are met.

Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support Goals

Appendix 1 is the professional development plan, which outlines any training or support needed for stakeholders to meet the goals.

Appendix 2: Budget to Support Goals

Appendix 2 is the budget needed to implement the strategies identified in the plan.

Differentiated Accountability

Florida's Differentiated Accountability (DA) system is a statewide network of strategic support, differentiated by need according to performance data, and provided to schools and districts in order to improve leadership capacity, teacher efficacy and student outcomes. DA field teams collaborate with district and school leadership to design, implement and refine school improvement plans, as well as provide instructional coaching, as needed.

DA Regions

Florida's DA network is divided into five geographical regions, each served by a field team led by a regional executive director (RED).

DA Categories

Traditional public schools are classified at the start of each school year, based upon the most recently released school grades (A-F), into one of the following categories:

- Not in DA currently A or B with no F in prior two years; all charter schools; all ungraded schools
- Monitoring Only currently A or B with at least one F in the prior two years
- Prevent currently C
- Focus currently D
 - Year 1 declined to D, or first-time graded schools receiving a D
 - Year 2 second consecutive D, or F followed by a D
 - Year 3 or more third or more consecutive D, or F followed by second consecutive D
- Priority currently F
 - Year 1 declined to F, or first-time graded schools receiving an F
 - Year 2 or more second or more consecutive F

DA Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses

Additionally, schools in DA are subject to one or more of the following Turnaround and Monitoring Statuses:

- Former F currently A-D with at least one F in the prior two years. SIP is monitored by FDOE.
- Post-Priority Planning currently A-D with an F in the prior year. District is planning for possible turnaround.
- Planning Focus Year 2 and Priority Year 1. District is planning for possible turnaround.
- Implementing Focus Year 3 or more and Priority Year 2 or more. District is implementing the Turnaround Option Plan (TOP).

2013-14 DA Category and Statuses

| DA Category | Region | RED |
|-------------|--------|-----|
| Not in DA | N/A | N/A |

| Former F | Post-Priority Planning | Planning | Implementing TOP |
|----------|------------------------|----------|------------------|
| No | No | No | No |

Current School Status

School Information

School-Level Information

School

Chiles Middle School

Principal

Margaret Gunderson

School Advisory Council chair

Lisa Medla

Names and position titles of the School-Based Leadership Team (SBLT)

| Name | Title | |
|-----------------|---------------------|--|
| Victoria Hyatt | Assistant Principal | |
| John Antmann | Assistant Principal | |
| Cathleen Oppelt | Assistant Principal | |

District-Level Information

District

Seminole

Superintendent

Dr. Walt Griffin

Date of school board approval of SIP

11/11/2013

School Advisory Council (SAC)

This section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Membership of the SAC

The SAC membership is comprised of a representative group of parents, staff, instructional, and administrative employees. The school principal, Margaret Gunderson, serves as a member. The SAC chairperson is Karen Penna and the SAC secretary is Kathleen Breitenbruck.

Involvement of the SAC in the development of the SIP

The SAC provides continuous input to the school leadership team on the needs of the school, areas for growth, ways to increase parental involvement, and parent and staff views.

Activities of the SAC for the upcoming school year

The SAC will meet monthly as outlined in the Lawton Chiles Middle School SAC by-laws. The SAC will review the School Improvement Plan and A+ money proposals. Additionally, the SAC will allocate funding for staff development, behavior initiatives, support literacy initiatives, and other school needs.

Projected use of school improvement funds, including the amount allocated to each project

School improvement funds will be allocated to staff development, student literacy, and positive behavior reinforcement. Positive behavior reinforcement: \$600. Student literacy: \$500. Staff development: \$3307.

Compliance with section 1001.452, F.S., regarding the establishment duties of the SAC In Compliance

If not in compliance, describe the measures being taken to comply with SAC requirements

Highly Qualified Staff

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(C) and 1115(c)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Administrators

of administrators

4

receiving effective rating or higher

(not entered because basis is < 10)

Administrator Information:

| Margaret Gunderson | | |
|---------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| Principal | Years as Administrator: 12 | Years at Current School: 3 |
| Credentials | Bachelor of Science in Business Education Master of Education in Business Education Doctor of Education in Educational Leadership Certified in Business Education (6-12), Educational Leadership (All Levels), School Principal (All Levels) | |
| Performance Record | Lawton Chiles Middle School, Pl Lawton Chiles Middle School, Pl Lawton Chiles Middle School, Pl | rincipal - A School, 2011-2012 |

| Victoria Hyatt | | | |
|--------------------|---|----------------------------|--|
| Asst Principal | Years as Administrator: 3 | Years at Current School: 2 | |
| Credentials | Bachelor of Science in Biology Master of Education in Educational Leadership Certified in General Science (5-9), Biology (6-12), Educational Leadership (All Levels) | | |
| Performance Record | Lawton Chiles Middle School, Assistant Principal - A School, 2012-2013 Lawton Chiles Middle School, Assistant Principal - A School, 2011-2012 Winter Springs High School, Assistant Principal - A School, 2010-2011 | | |

| John Antmann | | | |
|--------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|
| Asst Principal | Years as Administrator: 1 | Years at Current School: 1 | |
| Credentials | Bachelor of Music in Music Education Master of Education in Educational Leadership Certified in Music (K-12), Educational Leadership (All Levels) | | |
| Performance Record | Lawton Chiles Middle School, Assistant Principal - A School, 2012-2013 Southwest Middle School, Administrative Dean - A School, 2011-2012 Freedom Middle School, Instructional - A School, 2010-2011 | | |
| Cathleen Oppelt | | | |
| Asst Principal | Years as Administrator: 26 | Years at Current School: 0 | |
| Credentials | Bachelors, Masters Certified in Physical Education (School Principal (All Levels) | 6-12), Physical Education (K-8), | |
| Performance Record | Indian Trails Middle School, Ass 2012-2013 Indian Trails Middle School, Ass 2011-2012 Indian Trails Middle School, Ass | istant Principal - A School, | |

2010-2011

Instructional Coaches

of instructional coaches

1

receiving effective rating or higher

(not entered because basis is < 10)

Instructional Coach Information:

| Judy Dombrowski | | |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Full-time / School-based | Years as Coach: 10 | Years at Current School: 10 |
| Areas | Reading/Literacy | |
| Credentials | Bachelor of Arts in English Master of Arts in English Master of Education in Reading Certified in Reading (K-12), Engl (K-6) | ish (6-12), Elementary Education |
| Performance Record | Lawton Chiles Middle School, Ins 2012-2013 Lawton Chiles Middle School, Ins 2011-2012 Lawton Chiles Middle School, Ins 2010-2011 | structional Coach - A School, |

Classroom Teachers

of classroom teachers

77

receiving effective rating or higher

0,0%

Highly Qualified Teachers

97%

certified in-field

75, 97%

ESOL endorsed

12, 16%

reading endorsed

12, 16%

with advanced degrees

35, 45%

National Board Certified

3, 4%

first-year teachers

6,8%

with 1-5 years of experience

9, 12%

with 6-14 years of experience

35, 45%

with 15 or more years of experience

27, 35%

Education Paraprofessionals

of paraprofessionals

6

Highly Qualified

6, 100%

Other Instructional Personnel

of instructional personnel not captured in the sections above

4

receiving effective rating or higher

(not entered because basis is < 10)

Teacher Recruitment and Retention Strategies

This section meets the requirements of Section 1114(b)(1)(E), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Strategies to recruit and retain highly qualified, certified-in-field, effective teachers to the school, including the person responsible

Seminole County Public Schools is always looking for highly qualified, certified teachers to teach our students. The method of recruitment is defined based on the need. Seminole County Public Schools reputation of being an "A" school district brings to us thousands of highly qualified applicants. One of our recruitment strategies is our partnership with State and private colleges and universities. We welcome university and college interns and field study students to our district not only from the State of Florida university system but also out of State. Annually our district participates in many university job fairs and minority and veteran job fairs. This year we have gone out of the United States and are bringing on board a few teachers from Spain to teach the dual language classes.

The district supports all teachers but especially new teachers with mentoring programs. We also provide in-services and workshops. New teachers with zero years of experience are assigned a one on one mentor. This support is provided beyond the first year.

Teacher Mentoring Program/Plan

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Teacher mentoring program/plan, including the rationale for pairings and the planned mentoring activities

Our school has a school-wide mentor who works with administration to coordinate all mentoring activities on our campus. This school-wide mentor was trained by our county's new teacher facilitator and given materials and agendas to support the new teachers during this calendar year. Before school began, our new teachers spent a day with our school-wide mentor and were given a campus tour and oriented to important procedures and policies that will affect them as they begin the school year. Once school begins, these new teachers meet regularly with the mentor(s) who best fits their needs and follow an agenda of recommended topics that are appropriate for each teacher's given situation. Whether the new teacher is working with a school-wide mentor, peer teacher, or alternative certification mentor, he or she is working with an individual who has been trained by our county to support the teacher's various needs. Each of these mentor roles are fine-tuned each year based on the feedback from our new teachers the year before.

Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) / Response to Intervention (Rtl)

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(i)-(iv) and 1115(c)(1)(A)-(C), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Data-based problem-solving processes for the implementation and monitoring of MTSS and SIP structures to address effectiveness of core instruction, resource allocation (funding and staffing), teacher support systems, and small group and individual student needs

The school has a core Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) problem solving team, comprised of members with expertise in academic and behavioral domains. The MTSS team utilizes the continuous problem solving process to identify students who are at-risk in academics and/or behavior and determines why the problem is occurring. The MTSS team designs and implements research-based interventions and regularly monitors student progress/response to interventions. The school utilizes the online MTSS module to document all interventions, meetings, and parent involvement in the process.

Function and responsibility of each school-based leadership team member as related to MTSS and the SIP

The functions of our school-leadership team as related to the school's SIP and MTSS system are to identify and name students involved in the MTSS process, determine/discuss the current tier of intervention, and to determine/discuss the necessity for a student study team meeting. The school-based leadership team communicates with neighboring schools for the creation of processes, documents, and training.

Systems in place that the leadership team uses to monitor the fidelity of the school's MTSS and SIP

The leadership team will evaluate the implementation and use of MTSS throughout the year at student study team meetings and regular administration team meetings. Efforts will be made to ensure that a consistent application of MTSS is used and that students are provided with the appropriate supports.

Data source(s) and management system(s) used to access and analyze data to monitor the effectiveness of core, supplemental, and intensive supports in reading, mathematics, science, writing, and engagement

The school-based leadership team will determine the best data management system to summarize tiered data based upon the needs of the referred students. As reasons for referrals differ per students, so will the required data. Possible needs include data for academic difficulty, behavior plan for difficulties in maintaining appropriate behaviors, and assessments for academic progress.

Plan to support understanding of MTSS and build capacity in data-based problem solving for staff and parents

The school leadership team will provide training and support to all staff during the second grading period. Additional training will be included each time a new faculty/staff member becomes involved in the MTSS process and as needed for reminders, remediation, and new staff.

Increased Learning Time/Extended Learning Opportunities

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(ii)(II)-(III), 1114(b)(1)(I), and 1115(c)(1)(C)(i) and 1115(c)(2), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Research-based strategies the school uses to increase the amount and quality of learning time and help provide an enriched and accelerated curriculum:

Last Modified: 12/6/2013 https://www.floridacims.org Page 11 of 37

Strategy: Extended Day for All Students

Minutes added to school year: 6,120

Level 2 students are invited to participate in after school instruction in Reading, Mathematics, and Science. Students work for 90 minutes per day, twice a week, following the school day with certified instructors.

Strategy Purpose(s)

· Instruction in core academic subjects

How is data collected and analyzed to determine the effectiveness of this strategy?

Progress monitoring takes place monthly. Students complete assessments in Study Island and/or FCAT explorer to determine their growth and the effectiveness of this strategy.

Who is responsible for monitoring implementation of this strategy?

Administrative Dean

Literacy Leadership Team (LLT)

Names and position titles of the members of the school-based LLT

| Name | Title |
|----------------------|-------------------|
| Judith Dombrowski | Literacy Coach |
| Dana Richardson | Curriculum Leader |
| Kathryn Mills | Curriculum Leader |
| Jennifer Smith | Curriculum Leader |
| Elizabeth Viles | Curriculum Leader |
| Laura Cornwell-Estes | Curriculum Leader |
| Terra Nystrom | Curriculum Leader |
| Angela Holladay | Curriculum Leader |
| Patricia O'Neill | Curriculum Leader |

How the school-based LLT functions

The literacy leadership team supports and monitors the school improvement plan literacy goals. The team also designs activities to encourage students to read, write, and speak.

Major initiatives of the LLT

The major initiative of the Literacy Leadership Team will be to bring new reading strategies to all content areas and reinforce existing strategies in use by teachers. Trainings will be held on reading and writing in content areas, reciprocal teaching, and building academic vocabulary. Frequent classroom observations will be completed by the assistant principal and instructional coach to ensure that strategies are being implemented correctly. Emphasis will be placed on the instructional evaluation model.

Every Teacher Contributes to Reading Instruction

How the school ensures every teacher contributes to the reading improvement of every student

Literacy is central to the life and success of any school. Our vision of literacy reaches beyond reading strategies to incorporate a broader approach that involves students in reading, speaking, writing and habits of thinking as they are practiced in specific disciplines of English language arts, history, math, science, and every content our students encounter. This emphasis on disciplinary knowledge paired with critical thinking skills allows the secondary teacher to give all students the opportunity to engage in sophisticated, challenging academic work. School leaders function as instructional leaders, helping the entire school community function as a community of practice, working in concert to study, develop, share, and learn from state-of-the-art methods for developing literacy skills and capacity.

Preschool Transition

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(G) and 1115(c)(1)(D), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Strategies for assisting preschool children in transition from early childhood programs to local elementary school programs

College and Career Readiness

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(B)(iii)(I)(aa)-(cc), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

How the school incorporates applied and integrated courses to help students see the relationships between subjects and relevance to their future

Lawton Chiles Middle School incorporates CTE courses and high school credit courses into the curriculum for students in all grade levels. Students in grades 6, 7, and 8 are able to complete courses in computer technology while students in grades 7 and 8 are able to take high school credit courses in mathematics, science, and foreign languages. Students are encouraged to make linkages between the content learned in these classes and their preparation for high school, college, and career. Real-world scenarios are provided to assist students in these linkages.

How the school promotes academic and career planning, including advising on course selections, so that each student's course of study is personally meaningful

Grade 6 students at Lawton Chiles Middle School are enrolled in a life skills course that helps them to plan for life and career as they move to high school, college, and beyond. Additionally, students are provided counseling on course selection to help them select the appropriate track for their high school goals. This, in turn, helps to prepare them for a successful, college-bound high school track.

Strategies for improving student readiness for the public postsecondary level

This section is not applicable to Lawton Chiles Middle School.

Expected Improvements

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(A),(H), and (I), and 1115(c)(1)(A), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Area 1: Reading

Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) - Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 3 on FCAT 2.0, or scoring at or above Level 4 on FAA

| Group | 2013 Target % | 2013 Actual % | Target Met? | 2014 Target % |
|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|
| All Students | 83% | 81% | No | 84% |
| American Indian | | | | |
| Asian | 92% | 97% | Yes | 93% |
| Black/African American | 66% | 66% | Yes | 69% |
| Hispanic | 78% | 72% | No | 80% |
| White | 84% | 84% | Yes | 86% |
| English language learners | 47% | 50% | Yes | 52% |
| Students with disabilities | 54% | 45% | No | 59% |
| Economically disadvantaged | 71% | 67% | No | 74% |

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 | 379 | 30% | 32% |
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 | 657 | 51% | 54% |

Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 | [data excluded for privacy reasons] | | 54% |
| Students scoring at or above Level 7 | [data excluded for privacy reasons] | | 38% |

Learning Gains

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Students making learning gains (FCAT 2.0 and FAA) | 891 | 73% | 76% |
| Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (FCAT 2.0) | 151 | 66% | 73% |

Comprehensive English Language Learning Assessment (CELLA)

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|---------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Students scoring proficient in listening/speaking (students speak in English and understand spoken English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students) | 13 | 68% | 75% |
| Students scoring proficient in reading (students read grade-level text in English in a manner similar to non-ELL students) | | ed for privacy sons] | 55% |
| Students scoring proficient in writing (students write in English at grade level in a manner similar to non-ELL students) | 15 | 75% | 85% |

Area 2: Writing

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0) Students scoring at or above 3.5 | 310 | 71% | 78% |
| Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA) Students scoring at or above Level 4 | [data excluded fo | r privacy reasons] | 75% |

Area 3: Mathematics

Elementary and Middle School Mathematics

Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) - Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 3 on FCAT 2.0 and EOC assessments, or scoring at or above Level 4 on FAA

| Group | 2013 Target % | 2013 Actual % | Target Met? | 2014 Target % |
|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|
| All Students | 79% | 78% | No | 81% |
| American Indian | | | | |
| Asian | 92% | 95% | Yes | 93% |
| Black/African American | 68% | 58% | No | 72% |
| Hispanic | 73% | 72% | No | 76% |
| White | 82% | 80% | No | 84% |
| English language learners | 64% | 50% | No | 68% |
| Students with disabilities | 49% | 44% | No | 54% |
| Economically disadvantaged | 67% | 66% | No | 70% |

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 | 374 | 29% | 31% |
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 | 621 | 48% | 51% |

Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)

| | 2013 Actual # 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 | [data excluded for privacy reasons] | 69% |
| Students scoring at or above Level 7 | [data excluded for privacy reasons] | 30% |

Learning Gains

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Learning Gains | 904 | 73% | 78% |
| Students in lowest 25% making learning gains (FCAT 2.0 and EOC) | 202 | 67% | 73% |

Middle School Acceleration

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Middle school participation in high school EOC and industry certifications | 337 | 86% | 90% |
| Middle school performance on high school EOC and industry certifications | 325 | 97% | 98% |

Algebra I End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 | 122 | 45% | 46% |
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 | 140 | 51% | 52% |

Geometry End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|---------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 | - | ed for privacy sons] | 2% |
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 | 62 | 98% | 98% |

Area 4: Science

Middle School Science

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test 2.0 (FCAT 2.0)

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 | 105 | 24% | 25% |
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 | 201 | 46% | 48% |

Florida Alternate Assessment (FAA)

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| Students scoring at Levels 4, 5, and 6 | [data excluded for privacy reasons] | | 33% |
| Students scoring at or above Level 7 | [data excluded for privacy reasons] | | 33% |

Biology I End-of-Course (EOC) Assessment

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Students scoring at Achievement Level 3 | [data excluded for privacy reasons] | | 5% |
| Students scoring at or above Achievement Level 4 | 17 | 94% | 95% |

Area 5: Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM)

All Levels

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target |
|--|---------------|---------------|-------------|
| # of STEM-related experiences provided for students (e.g. robotics competitions; field trips; science fairs) | 3 | | 5 |
| Participation in STEM-related experiences provided for students | 194 | 14% | 20% |

Area 6: Career and Technical Education (CTE)

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Students enrolling in one or more CTE courses | 1077 | 80% | 82% |
| Students who have completed one or more CTE courses who enroll in one or more <i>accelerated</i> courses | 0 | 0% | 0% |
| Completion rate (%) for CTE students enrolled in accelerated courses | | 0% | 0% |
| Students taking CTE industry certification exams | 0 | 0% | 0% |
| Passing rate (%) for students who take CTE industry certification exams | | 0% | 0% |
| CTE program concentrators | 0 | 0% | 0% |
| CTE teachers holding appropriate industry certifications | 0 | 0% | 0% |

Area 8: Early Warning Systems

Middle School Indicators

| | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Students who miss 10 percent or more of available instructional time | 241 | 18% | 10% |
| Students who fail a mathematics course | 25 | 2% | 1% |
| Students who fail an English Language Arts course | 22 | 2% | 1% |
| Students who fail two or more courses in any subject | 31 | 2% | 1% |
| Students who receive two or more behavior referrals | 67 | 5% | 3% |
| Students who receive one or more behavior referrals that leads to suspension, as defined in s.1003.01(5), F.S. | 37 | 3% | 2% |

Area 9: Parent Involvement

Title I Schools may use the Parent Involvement Plan to meet the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(F) and 1115(c)(1)(G), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

Parental involvement targets for the school

The parental involvement goal for Lawton Chiles Middle School will be increasing the number of parents who monitor their child's grades using the district-provided online system (Skyward).

Specific Parental Involvement Targets

| Target | 2013 Actual # | 2013 Actual % | 2014 Target % |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|
| Parents Monitoring Student Grades | 603 | 52% | 60% |

Goals Summary

- **G1**. Increase achievement of students with disabilities on Math and Reading FCAT.
- G2. Increase student achievement amongst students scoring a level 4 on prior year reading assessment.
- **G3.** Increase parental involvement through technology.
- **G4.** Increase faculty awareness of instructional strategies for students with disabilities.
- **G5.** Increase student achievement in level 4 mathematics students.

Goals Detail

G1. Increase achievement of students with disabilities on Math and Reading FCAT.

Targets Supported

- Reading (AMO's, FCAT2.0, FAA, Learning Gains, CELLA)
- Math (Elementary and Middle School, Elementary and Middle AMO's, Elementary and Middle FCAT 2.0, Elementary and Middle FAA, Elementary and Middle Learning Gains, Middle School Acceleration)
- Algebra 1 EOC
- Geometry EOC

Resources Available to Support the Goal

- Professional Development Funding from Millage
- School-owned Technology
- Academic Intervention Program
- · Differentiated Homerooms
- Tutorial Resources
- Student Success Center
- Support Facilitation Model

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal

· Lack of Parental Involvement

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

An increase in student performance on assessments and on report cards will determine the success of this strategy. In the event of poor performance, the curriculum for information nights will be revised.

Person or Persons Responsible

School Administration/ESE Department

Target Dates or Schedule:

Once per grading period.

Evidence of Completion:

Score reports, assessment scores.

G2. Increase student achievement amongst students scoring a level 4 on prior year reading assessment.

Targets Supported

Reading (AMO's, FCAT2.0, FAA, Learning Gains, CELLA)

Resources Available to Support the Goal

- Professional Development Funding from Millage
- Technology Access
- Academic Intervention Program
- · Student Success Center
- · Book Club
- · Tutorial Resources
- Professional Development Funding from Millage
- Technology Access
- Academic Intervention Program
- · Student Success Center
- Book Club
- · Tutorial Resources

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal

Scope and Sequence of Curriculum

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

Classroom observations will be monitored to ensure all teachers are effectively using reciprocal teaching. Student achievement data will be monitored to see any observable increases.

Person or Persons Responsible

Instructional/Literacy Coach, Administrative Team

Target Dates or Schedule:

Ongoing throughout the year.

Evidence of Completion:

Classroom Observations, Student Achievement Data

G3. Increase parental involvement through technology.

Targets Supported

- Reading (AMO's, FCAT2.0, FAA, Learning Gains, CELLA, Postsecondary Readiness)
- Writing
- · Science Middle School
- Science Biology 1 EOC
- STEM
- STEM All Levels
- · EWS Middle School
- Additional Targets

Resources Available to Support the Goal

- School Personnel
- Computer Labs
- Skyward
- Email
- School Budget
- · Millage Funding

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal

Lack of Parent Knowledge Regarding Available Technology

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

Log-in information for each resource will be tracked throughout the year to ensure that progress towards the goal is being made. Progress will be monitored at the conclusion of each grading period. In the event of poor results, advertisements will be re-written and the frequency will be adjusted.

Person or Persons Responsible

School administration, Technology Personnel

Target Dates or Schedule:

At the conclusion of each grading period.

Evidence of Completion:

Parent log-in data for each available resource.

G4. Increase faculty awareness of instructional strategies for students with disabilities.

Targets Supported

- Reading (AMO's, FCAT2.0, FAA, Learning Gains, CELLA, Postsecondary Readiness)
- Writing
- · Science Middle School
- · Additional Targets

Resources Available to Support the Goal

- · Support Facilitation
- Department Meetings
- PLC Meetings
- Peer Teacher Observations
- District Trainings
- Book Study

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal

Teachers not understanding support facilitation model

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

Data will be used to determine an increase, decrease, or no change in student achievement. If results are not favorable, the resources provided to teachers will be evaluated.

Person or Persons Responsible

School administration, ESE department.

Target Dates or Schedule:

Twice per nine-weeks.

Evidence of Completion:

Data analysis tables, observation logs, student report cards.

G5. Increase student achievement in level 4 mathematics students.

Targets Supported

- Algebra 1 EOC
- · Geometry EOC
- Parental Involvement

Resources Available to Support the Goal

- Skyward Web Portal
- School Newsletter
- · Parent Activity Nights
- · Geometry Information Sessions
- Geometry Section of the Website

Targeted Barriers to Achieving the Goal

- Lack of Access to Technology
- Lack of Geometry Instruction in Middle School Mathematics Curriculum

Plan to Monitor Progress Toward the Goal

Progress towards meeting the goal will be monitored through in-class geometry assessments and discovery education test data.

Person or Persons Responsible

School administration.

Target Dates or Schedule:

Progress will be monitored following each assessment administration.

Evidence of Completion:

Student achievement data, student benchmark mastery data.

Action Plan for Improvement

Problem Solving Key

G = Goal

B = Barrier

S = Strategy

G1. Increase achievement of students with disabilities on Math and Reading FCAT.

G1.B6 Lack of Parental Involvement

G1.B6.S1 Host parent information nights.

Action Step 1

Parent information nights will be held twice per year to share information with parents of students with students disabilities. Information shared will include what is being covered in class, strategies for completing homework, and tools for helping their children accomplish goals.

Person or Persons Responsible

School Administration, ESE Department

Target Dates or Schedule

Twice per year during the second semester.

Evidence of Completion

Parent attendance, student performance on assessments.

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G1.B6.S1

Parent attendance will be monitored to monitor the implementation of this strategy. Necessary materials will be provided to the department to advertise, schedule, and facilitate the information sessions.

Person or Persons Responsible

School Administration/ESE Department

Target Dates or Schedule

Following each information session.

Evidence of Completion

Parent attendance logs will be collected.

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G1.B6.S1

Student performance on assessments and student grades will be monitored to ensure successful implementation. In the event of poor results, the curriculum for the information sessions will be revised.

Person or Persons Responsible

School Administration/ESE Department

Target Dates or Schedule

Once per grading period in the Spring semester.

Evidence of Completion

Student grade reports, assessment score reports.

G2. Increase student achievement amongst students scoring a level 4 on prior year reading assessment.

G2.B4 Scope and Sequence of Curriculum

G2.B4.S1 Offer teacher training on reciprocal teaching to enhance instructional skills.

Action Step 1

Teachers will receive increased training on Reciprocal Teaching to enhance instructional skills and increase student achievement.

Person or Persons Responsible

Instructional Coach

Target Dates or Schedule

Ongoing, throughout the year.

Evidence of Completion

Teacher Observations, Student Achievement

Facilitator:

Instructional Coach

Participants:

Social Studies Teachers, Science Teachers, New Teachers, Elective Teachers

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G2.B4.S1

Teacher attendance at training will be tracked to ensure that this initiative is being implemented with fidelity. Additionally, classroom observations will be tracked to ensure evidence of reciprocal teaching is seen.

Person or Persons Responsible

Administrative Team, Instructional Coach

Target Dates or Schedule

Ongoing, throughout the year

Evidence of Completion

Classroom observations, teacher attendance

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G2.B4.S1

Classroom observations will be monitored for evidence of reciprocal teaching. Student achievement data will be monitored through discovery education tests and FCAT 2.0 testing.

Person or Persons Responsible

Administrative Team, Instructional Coach

Target Dates or Schedule

Ongoing, throughout the year.

Evidence of Completion

Classroom observations, student achievement data.

G3. Increase parental involvement through technology.

G3.B3 Lack of Parent Knowledge Regarding Available Technology

G3.B3.S2 Increase advertisement of available technology resources.

Action Step 1

Advertising of available technology resources for parents will be increased throughout the school year to encourage parents to take advantage of and use them. Advertised resources will include Skyward, Blackboard, and the school website.

Person or Persons Responsible

School Administration, Technology Personnel

Target Dates or Schedule

Ongoing, Throughout the year.

Evidence of Completion

The number of times these resources are advertised will be tracked.

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G3.B3.S2

The number, quality, and variety of advertisements will be monitored to ensure that this strategy is implemented with fidelity. Advertisements will occur in the school newsletter, in mass phone calls home, on grade reports, at parent meetings, and through blast emails.

Person or Persons Responsible

School Administration, Technology Personnel, Instructional Staff

Target Dates or Schedule

Ongoing, Throughout the year.

Evidence of Completion

Parent log-in records for each available resource.

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G3.B3.S2

Data will be collected to ensure that the resources experience increased use throughout the year. In the event of poor results, advertising will be increased and varied.

Person or Persons Responsible

School Administration, Technology Personnel

Target Dates or Schedule

Ongoing, Throughout the year

Evidence of Completion

Parent usage data for each resource.

G4. Increase faculty awareness of instructional strategies for students with disabilities.

G4.B1 Teachers not understanding support facilitation model

G4.B1.S1 Increase training in support facilitation.

Action Step 1

Teachers will receive greater training in the support facilitation model. They will receive district and school level trainings, instructional materials, and frequent observations/feedback.

Person or Persons Responsible

School administration, ESE Department

Target Dates or Schedule

Trainings will be done three times per year, observations and feedback will be ongoing throughout the year.

Evidence of Completion

Teacher understanding surveys, performance of students with disabilities on assessments.

Facilitator:

District Training

Participants:

All staff that teach students with disabilities.

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G4.B1.S1

Meeting schedules will be collected, frequent classroom observations will be completed, training information and curriculum will be reviewed for accuracy, and teacher attendance and participation will be recorded.

Person or Persons Responsible

School Administration, ESE department

Target Dates or Schedule

Following each training

Evidence of Completion

Classroom observation logs, training attendance.

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G4.B1.S1

Discovery education data, classroom observations, and student grades will be used to determine the effectiveness of this strategy. If results are poor, trainings will be examined to determine if content is accurate and attendance will be reviewed to ensure full participation.

Person or Persons Responsible

School Administration, ESE department

Target Dates or Schedule

Twice per grading period.

Evidence of Completion

Data analysis, student report cards, discovery education reports.

G5. Increase student achievement in level 4 mathematics students.

G5.B2 Lack of Access to Technology

G5.B2.S1 Open labs during school wide events for parent access to technology.

Action Step 1

A computer lab with supervision will be open during school events and sports games on campus.

Person or Persons Responsible

Supervising Administration

Target Dates or Schedule

Periodically throughout the year, during evening events on campus.

Evidence of Completion

Attendance at open labs, increased parent communication.

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G5.B2.S1

A count of parents in attendance at open lab times will be monitored to see the effectiveness of this strategy.

Person or Persons Responsible

School administration

Target Dates or Schedule

Monitoring will occur each time the lab is open.

Evidence of Completion

Increased level of attendance and parent communication.

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G5.B2.S1

Successful implementation will produce greater parental involvement on campus through the increased monitoring of grades and increased parent/teacher communication.

Person or Persons Responsible

School administration.

Target Dates or Schedule

Data will be collected each time the lab is open.

Evidence of Completion

Evidence will be collected showing the number of parents attending and the level of parent communication.

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G5.B2.S2

Person or Persons Responsible

Target Dates or Schedule

Evidence of Completion

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G5.B2.S2

Person or Persons Responsible

Target Dates or Schedule

Evidence of Completion

G5.B3 Lack of Geometry Instruction in Middle School Mathematics Curriculum

G5.B3.S2 Geometry Information Sessions for Parents/Students

Action Step 1

Geometry information sessions will be held to provide parents and students with training on the benchmarks not covered in mathematics courses that are covered on FCAT 2.0.

Person or Persons Responsible

School Administration, Mathematics Teachers

Target Dates or Schedule

Periodically, during the Spring Semester

Evidence of Completion

Task will be monitored through parent/student attendance at meetings, geometry assessments, and Discovery Education test scores.

Plan to Monitor Fidelity of Implementation of G5.B3.S2

Each meeting will be observed for content, parent attendance, and take-home information.

Person or Persons Responsible

School Administration

Target Dates or Schedule

Fidelity will be monitored following each meeting.

Evidence of Completion

Attendance records, meeting curriculum, hand-outs.

Plan to Monitor Effectiveness of G5.B3.S2

FCAT 2.0 data and discovery education test data will be monitored to determine the effectiveness of this strategy.

Person or Persons Responsible

School administration.

Target Dates or Schedule

Following each discovery education test and following FCAT 2.0 score release.

Evidence of Completion

Data tracking, test score analysis

Coordination and Integration

This section meets the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(J) and 1115(c)(1)(H), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b).

How federal, state, and local funds, services, and programs are coordinated and integrated at the school

Lawton Chiles Middle School will coordinate Supplemental Academic Instruction and Exceptional Student Education funds to provide additional academic tutorial and/or intervention time for students in need of remediation. These funding sources are coordinated to maximize the number of students and the amount of services available for academic interventions. In addition, the school district coordinates IDEA funds to provide our school additional paraprofessionals that facilitate small group instruction during the school day. The coordination and integration of these funds and services ensure students are provided the time and support needed to master the standards and improve academic achievement.

Appendix 1: Professional Development Plan to Support School Improvement Goals

This section will satisfy the requirements of Sections 1114(b)(1)(D) and 1115(c)(1)(F), P.L. 107-110, NCLB, codified at 20 U.S.C. § 6314(b), by demonstrating high-quality and ongoing professional development for teachers, principals, and paraprofessionals and, if appropriate, for pupil services personnel, parents, and other staff is being offered to enable all children in the school to meet the State's student academic achievement standards.

Professional development opportunities identified in the SIP as action steps to achieve the school's goals.

G2. Increase student achievement amongst students scoring a level 4 on prior year reading assessment.

G2.B4 Scope and Sequence of Curriculum

G2.B4.S1 Offer teacher training on reciprocal teaching to enhance instructional skills.

PD Opportunity 1

Teachers will receive increased training on Reciprocal Teaching to enhance instructional skills and increase student achievement.

Facilitator

Instructional Coach

Participants

Social Studies Teachers, Science Teachers, New Teachers, Elective Teachers

Target Dates or Schedule

Ongoing, throughout the year.

Evidence of Completion

Teacher Observations, Student Achievement

G4. Increase faculty awareness of instructional strategies for students with disabilities.

G4.B1 Teachers not understanding support facilitation model

G4.B1.S1 Increase training in support facilitation.

PD Opportunity 1

Teachers will receive greater training in the support facilitation model. They will receive district and school level trainings, instructional materials, and frequent observations/feedback.

Facilitator

District Training

Participants

All staff that teach students with disabilities.

Target Dates or Schedule

Trainings will be done three times per year, observations and feedback will be ongoing throughout the year.

Evidence of Completion

Teacher understanding surveys, performance of students with disabilities on assessments.

Appendix 2: Budget to Support School Improvement Goals

Budget Summary by Goal

| Goal | Description | Total |
|------|--|-------|
| G4. | Increase faculty awareness of instructional strategies for students with disabilities. | \$500 |
| G5. | Increase student achievement in level 4 mathematics students. | \$300 |
| | Total | \$800 |

Budget Summary by Funding Source and Resource Type

| Funding Source | Personnel | Professional Development | Total |
|---|-----------|--------------------------|-------|
| Millage funding | \$300 | \$0 | \$300 |
| School professional development money. Professional development money received from the millage increase. | \$0 | \$500 | \$500 |
| Total | \$300 | \$500 | \$800 |

Budget Details

Budget items identified in the SIP as necessary to achieve the school's goals.

G4. Increase faculty awareness of instructional strategies for students with disabilities.

G4.B1 Teachers not understanding support facilitation model

G4.B1.S1 Increase training in support facilitation.

Action Step 1

Teachers will receive greater training in the support facilitation model. They will receive district and school level trainings, instructional materials, and frequent observations/feedback.

Resource Type

Professional Development

Resource

Trainings used for support facilitation.

Funding Source

School professional development money. Professional development money received from the millage increase.

Amount Needed

\$500

G5. Increase student achievement in level 4 mathematics students.

G5.B3 Lack of Geometry Instruction in Middle School Mathematics Curriculum

G5.B3.S2 Geometry Information Sessions for Parents/Students

Action Step 1

Geometry information sessions will be held to provide parents and students with training on the benchmarks not covered in mathematics courses that are covered on FCAT 2.0.

Resource Type

Personnel

Resource

School personnel to host meetings and provide parents with information.

Funding Source

Millage funding

Amount Needed

\$300