Lake County Schools

Lake Academy Eustis



2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	8
Planning for Improvement	14
Title I Requirements	15
Budget to Support Goals	17

Lake Academy Eustis

301 IDLEWILD AVE, Eustis, FL 32726

http://www.lsbc.net/

Demographics

Principal: Rudy Rolle

Start Date for this Principal: 9/11/2019

Closed: 2021-09-02
Combination School KG-12
Alternative Education
No
0%
2018-19: No Grade
2017-18: No Grade
2016-17: No Grade
2015-16: No Grade
2014-15: No Grade
Central
<u>Lucinda Thompson</u>
N/A
CS&I
mation, <u>click here</u> .

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Lake County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	8
Planning for Improvement	14
Title I Requirements	15
-	
Budget to Support Goals	17
<u> </u>	

Last Modified: 4/9/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 4 of 17

Lake Academy Eustis

301 IDLEWILD AVE, Eustis, FL 32726

http://www.lsbc.net/

2049 40 Economically

%

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2018-19 Title I School	Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Combination School KG-12	No	%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)

School Grades History

Alternative Education

Year

No

Grade

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Lake County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

This program contracts with the Lake County Public schools to provide educational and/or therapeutic services to children who are unable to function in the traditional classrooms. Services at LAKE Academy include individual, group and psychosocial rehabilitation. Treatment/behavior modification is evidence based and strives to promote appropriate behavior necessary for long-term success in school. We believe that creating a therapeutic environment in which students feel supported and emotionally safe increases the opportunities for achieving treatment goals and a successful return to public school.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Bringing Hope to Life.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Rolle, Rudy	Principal	Oversee all day to day school operations, including but not limited to hiring, training, staff development, classroom management, scheduling, mapping, parental involvement, and better public relations, etc.
Mitchell, Brenda	Paraprofessional	Oversee all paraprofessional responsibilities including but not limited to interviewing, training, staff development and discipline.
flournoy, danielle	Assistant Principal	Assist with the day to day operations of the schools including curriculum, student data collection, testing, evaluation, classroom management and discipline.
Gardener, Herleesha	Other	Conduct intakes, ensure implementation of IEP's, Staff Development, IEP compliance, IEP Writing and review.
Bradshaw, Kelly	Teacher, ESE	•Development and implementation of individual education plans (IEP), as required by regulatory guidelines. •Complete all programs and activities necessary to become and stay certified according to State of Florida DOE regulations, including the Professional Orientation Program or equivalent. @Recognize signs of tendency toward violence and severe emotional distress in students and apply techniques of crisis intervention. •Recognize the physical and behavioral indicators of child abuse and neglect and know rights and responsibilities regarding reporting. •Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of the value of, and strategies for, promoting parental involvement in education.

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator			Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Number of students enrolled	1	1	5	5	2	6	8	5	5	4	6	7	2	57		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	1	2	0	1	2	1	0	2	1	0	0	10		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	3	1	2	8	5	3	2	5	5	2	36		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	3	1	2	8	5	3	2	5	5	2	36		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	1	3	2	2	8	5	3	2	5	5	2	38	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	1	1	1	0	1	0	10
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	2	1	7

FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)

7

Date this data was collected or last updated

Thursday 9/26/2019

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Attendance below 90 percent		
One or more suspensions		
Course failure in ELA or Math		
Level 1 on statewide assessment		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator G	de Level Total
-------------	----------------

Students with two or more indicators

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator			Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	2	3	2	2	3	1	3	3	2	1	1	23		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	10	4	0	0	0	0	19		
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	5	4	4	5	0	0	22		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	6	5	4	6	7	6	4	6	3	2	49		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level														
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOTAL	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	5	2	3	5	5	6	3	2	1	1	33	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019			2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State		
ELA Achievement	0%	68%	61%	0%	67%	57%		
ELA Learning Gains	0%	63%	59%	0%	65%	57%		
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	56%	54%	0%	50%	51%		
Math Achievement	0%	70%	62%	0%	69%	58%		
Math Learning Gains	0%	65%	59%	0%	67%	56%		
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	54%	52%	0%	65%	50%		
Science Achievement	0%	59%	56%	0%	64%	53%		
Social Studies Achievement	0%	83%	78%	0%	82%	75%		

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey														
Indicator				Grac	le Le	vel (p	orior	year	repo	rted)				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	1 (0)	1 (0)	5 (0)	5 (0)	2 (0)	6 (0)	8 (0)	5 (0)	5 (0)	4 (0)	6 (0)	7 (0)	2 (0)	57 (0)
Attendance below 90 percent	0 ()	0 ()	1 ()	2 ()	0 ()	1 ()	2 ()	1 ()	0 ()	2 ()	1 ()	0 ()	0 ()	10 (0)
One or more suspensions	0 ()	0 ()	0 ()	0 ()	0 ()	0 ()	0 ()	0 ()	0 ()	0 ()	0 ()	0 ()	0 ()	0 (0)
Course failure in ELA or Math	0 ()	0 ()	0 ()	3 ()	1 ()	2 ()	8 ()	5 ()	3 ()	2 ()	5 ()	5 ()	2 ()	36 (0)
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0 ()	0 ()	0 ()	3 ()	1 ()	2 ()	8 ()	5 ()	3 ()	2 ()	5 ()	5 ()	2 ()	36 (0)

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	0%	60%	-60%	58%	-58%
	2018	0%	61%	-61%	57%	-57%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2019	0%	60%	-60%	58%	-58%
	2018	0%	59%	-59%	56%	-56%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	<u> </u>	0%				
05	2019	0%	59%	-59%	56%	-56%
	2018	0%	55%	-55%	55%	-55%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison	0%				
06	2019	0%	52%	-52%	54%	-54%
	2018	0%	47%	-47%	52%	-52%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison	0%				
07	2019	0%	49%	-49%	52%	-52%
	2018	0%	48%	-48%	51%	-51%
Same Grade C		0%				
Cohort Com	parison	0%				
08	2019	10%	54%	-44%	56%	-46%
	2018	0%	55%	-55%	58%	-58%
Same Grade C	omparison	10%				
Cohort Com		10%				
09	2019	0%	47%	-47%	55%	-55%
	2018	0%	46%	-46%	53%	-53%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	!	0%				
10	2019	0%	48%	-48%	53%	-53%
	2018	0%	49%	-49%	53%	-53%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison	0%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	0%	62%	-62%	62%	-62%
	2018	0%	65%	-65%	62%	-62%

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
Same Grade	Comparison	0%	I.	•	<u> </u>	•
Cohort Co	mparison					
04	2019	0%	61%	-61%	64%	-64%
	2018	0%	60%	-60%	62%	-62%
Same Grade	Comparison	0%	'		•	
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
05	2019	0%	57%	-57%	60%	-60%
	2018	0%	58%	-58%	61%	-61%
Same Grade	Comparison	0%			•	
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
06	2019	10%	53%	-43%	55%	-45%
	2018	0%	49%	-49%	52%	-52%
Same Grade	Comparison	10%			•	
Cohort Co	mparison	10%				
07	2019	0%	58%	-58%	54%	-54%
	2018	8%	59%	-51%	54%	-46%
Same Grade	Comparison	-8%				
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
08	2019	0%	39%	-39%	46%	-46%
	2018	0%	39%	-39%	45%	-45%
Same Grade	Comparison	0%			•	
Cohort Co	mparison	-8%				

			SCIENCE			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2019	0%	56%	-56%	53%	-53%
	2018	0%	54%	-54%	55%	-55%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison					
08	2019	15%	49%	-34%	48%	-33%
	2018	0%	51%	-51%	50%	-50%
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison					
Cohort Com	Cohort Comparison					

	BIOLOGY EOC								
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State				
2019	0%	66%	-66%	67%	-67%				
2018	0%	61%	-61%	65%	-65%				
С	ompare	0%							

		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	0%	71%	-71%	71%	-71%
2018	12%	70%	-58%	71%	-59%
Co	ompare	-12%			
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	0%	67%	-67%	70%	-70%
2018	0%	69%	-69%	68%	-68%
Co	ompare	0%			
		ALGEB	RA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	0%	52%	-52%	61%	-61%
2018	0%	62%	-62%	62%	-62%
Co	ompare	0%			
	•	GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	0%	49%	-49%	57%	-57%
2018	0%	50%	-50%	56%	-56%
Co	ompare	0%		•	

Subgroup Data

	2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	22	47		11	31						
WHT	31			18							
FRL	24	46		15							
		2018	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
		2017	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CS&I
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	25
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	3
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	147
Total Components for the Federal Index	6
Percent Tested	82%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	28
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	

Hispanic Students	
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	25
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	28
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

ELA and Math were the lowest scores based on the data attained. Not a trend.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

EOC-Civics

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

EOC-Civics had the biggest gap. Not enough data to determine factors.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

FSA- Science 8th grade data. This was the only data available for analysis. NA

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

Attendance and Students passing ELA and Math courses.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Absences
- 2. Passing ELA and Math courses
- 3. student scoring level 1 on FSA
- 4.
- 5.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:	
#1	
Title Rationale	Increase parental/guardian engagement to reduce absenteeism. If we increase parent/guardian involvement through school events and activities, students will have an opportunity to engage in academic activities which support learning goals and demonstrate growth to their parents/guardians.
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve	Decrease the number of students with chronic attendance issues by 50%.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	Rudy Rolle (rrolle@lsbc.net)
Evidence-based Strategy	
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy	
Action Step	
Description	 Create a process of communication using various techniques (emails, phone calls, daily letters, etc) with parents/guardians to ensure timely notification of student achievements, upcoming events or academic/behavior concerns. Increase parent/guardian capacity to help student at home in order to support student needs. 4. 5.
Person Responsible	danielle flournoy (dflournoy@lsbc.net)

Title

Increase student ELA and Math proficiency through use of differentiated curriculum and intervention strategies which identify student strengths to build

upon and weaknesses to overcome.

Rationale

With differentiated curriculum, intervention strategies, and identified student strengths, teachers will be able to structure lessons and assessments to address and evaluate student performance.

State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve

Person responsible for monitoring outcome

[no one identified]

Evidence-based Strategy

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy

Action Step

1. Establish a common planning schedule for lesson planning to focus on incorporating enrichment opportunities, differentiated practices based on student needs and utilizing student strengths.

Description

2.Conduct site-based professional development and training to teachers 1 Wednesday each month to increase instructional practice proficiency.

3.

- 3. Complete class walkthroughs to measure impact of professional development and lesson implementation.
- 4. Monitor STAR assessments every nine weeks for student gains or losses.

Person Responsible

[no one identified]

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

N/A

Part IV: Title I Requirements

Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Schoolwide Improvement Plan to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

A large portion of the parental population within the special day school are not involved with the students. We have targeted parents through parent night and offering resources fairs and parent lunches

in an attempt to come to the school and get involved with their students. Last year's response to the parent lunches exceeded expectation but the parent night turnouts were below hopes and desires. This year we are expanding into a PTA group and will be reaching out to parents to become part of the school community.

PFEP Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

Being a highly transitional environment the ability to be highly effective in grade level progression is difficult. Within the context of intragrade level development students are exposed to a multitude of education tools and assessment to promote educational and academic growth in preparation for grade promotion. Being we are a K-12 school environment, students that are maintained in our environment over the course of academic progress benefit from the professional learning communities and collaborative learning environments between academic levels. Teachers for the subsequent years remain the same so students have the same educational staff for as many as 3-4 years (within certain academic areas based on retention and certification), affording them the opportunity to progress based on the summative and formative assessments of the staff. Transitioning from one academic environment to another is easily done as teachers have been in communication and have worked with the students in behavioral setting allowing for a smoother transition. Students are prepared for the next level because the academic expectations for the teachers are aligned. For the middle and high school students, the same teachers rotate to the classrooms and students have transitional skills built in from the previous year.

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

Staff collect data through the behavioral daily points log. This information is then transposed onto the monthly graphs for level and daily points. This data is utilized to track intervention effectiveness, known changes in medications, or other personal changes when targeting a student for intervention. When collecting data for someone under Tier III interventions this is translated onto the appropriate forms and graphs for the school district.

Teachers are divided into teams of three and are assigned students who are under Response to Intervention (RtI) or Multi-Tiered Systems of Supports (MTSS). Information is collected by the team and the teams meet to discuss the progress of the students and to ensure that data and paperwork is being completed. This is used to determine whether the Functional Behavioral Assessment /Behavioral Intervention Plan (FBA/BIP) has to be modified for the students needs. Any information that is gathered by the staff from the parents is included in these discussions.

PBS was started a few years ago as a school-wide intervention process. This has aided significantly with behavioral and academic issues with students. School store is used by students once per week to buy things with their points and the bell is built into the school bell system so that staff know when to give the points to students for Respect, Responsibility, and Safety. These have also been integrated into the school-wide behavioral monitoring system.

Title I Part D funding will be used to purchase additional equipment and supplies to supplement the existing materials already attained. Technology integrated will be a primary focus with dollars supporting online programs such as Achieve3000 and Education.Com.

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

Educational meetings are held on each student to determine their schedule of courses. Discussions emerge regarding hopes for dual enrollment and attendance depending on the circumstances. Students must return to their zone school to be afforded these opportunities. They are also exposed to a career week once a year where community members present for training, educational and work activities. Information is further posted to community boards in the school and information is accessible in the school on military, vocational, and collegiate options to student. This also includes information on scholarships and admission criteria.

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

Educational meetings are held on each student to determine their schedule of courses. Discussions emerge regarding hopes for dual enrollment and attendance depending on the circumstances. Student must return to their zone school to be afforded these opportunities. They are also exposed to a career week once a year where community members present for training, educational and work activities. Information is further posted to the community boards in the school and information is accessible in the school on military, vocational and collegiate options to students. This also includes information on scholarship and admission criteria.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Increase parental/guardian engagement to reduce absenteeism.	\$0.00
2		Areas of Focus: Increase student ELA and Math proficiency through use of differentiated curriculum and intervention strategies which identify student strengths to build upon and weaknesses to overcome.	\$0.00
		Total:	\$0.00