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## Freeport Middle School

360 KYLEA LAIRD DR, Freeport, FL 32439
http://fms.walton.k12.fl.us/

Demographics

## Principal: Nathan Smith

| 2019-20 Status (per MSID File) | Active |
| :---: | :---: |
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | Middle School $5-8$ |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education |
| 2018-19 Title I School | No |
| 2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 51\% |
| 2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented <br> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities <br> English Language Learners* <br> Asian Students <br> Black/African American Students <br> Hispanic Students <br> Multiracial Students <br> White Students <br> Economically Disadvantaged Students |
| School Grades History | $\begin{aligned} & 2018-19: \text { A }(62 \%) \\ & 2017-18: \text { B }(61 \%) \\ & 2016-17: \text { B }(55 \%) \\ & 2015-16: C(53 \%) \\ & 2014-15: \text { B (56\%) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information* |  |
| SI Region | Northwest |
| Regional Executive Director | Rachel Heide |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A |
| Year |  |
| Support Tier |  |


| ESSA Status | N/A |
| :---: | :---: |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here. |  |

## School Board Approval

This plan was approved by the Walton County School Board on 9/17/2019.

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F . This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS\&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS\&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS\&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below $41 \%$. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS\&l:

1. have a school grade of $D$ or $F$
2. have a graduation rate of $67 \%$ or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41\%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.
The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all noncharter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate $67 \%$ or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.
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## Freeport Middle School

360 KYLEA LAIRD DR, Freeport, FL 32439
http://fms.walton.k12.fl.us/

## School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)<br>Middle School<br>> 5-8<br>\title{ Primary Service Type (per MSID File) }<br>K-12 General Education<br>\section*{2018-19 Title I School<br><br>2018-19 Title I School}<br>No<br>Charter School<br>No<br>2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)<br>58\%<br>\section*{2018-19 Minority Rate}<br>(Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)<br>22\%

School Grades History

| Year | $2018-19$ | $2017-18$ | $2016-17$ | 2015-16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | A | B | B | C |

## School Board Approval

This plan was approved by the Walton County School Board on 9/17/2019.

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of $D$ or F .

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all noncharter schools with a current grade of $D$ or $F$ (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of $A, B$, or $C$, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## Part I: School Information

## School Mission and Vision

## Provide the school's mission statement.

Freeport Middle School, in partnership with all stakeholders, will empower and support every student to be a life-long learner who is a responsible, productive, and an engaged member of society.

Provide the school's vision statement.
Freeport Middle School stakeholders believe every student will achieve through a prescriptive rigorous curriculum in a safe respectful environment that prepares students with the necessary knowledge to succeed in future academic and career opportunities.

## School Leadership Team

## Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

## Name Title Job Duties and Responsibilities

Smith, Assistant
Sharie Principal

Mrs. Smith's job duties and responsibilities include assisting in providing guiding leadership, vision, and supports to ensure that everyone has the resources, knowledge, and skills to ensure that Freeport Middle is an "A+" school.

Mrs. Ellison's duties and responsibilities include focusing on student student academic success through measuring proficiency levels and growth of all students with a focus on lower quartile, ESE, MTSS, and ESOL students by providing support to teachers and students through setting goals, progress monitoring, data review, and implementation of learning-focused strategies.

Olson,
John T.
Mr. Olson's job duties and responsibilities include providing overall guiding leadership, vision, and supports to ensure that everyone has the resources, knowledge, and skills to ensure that Freeport Middle is an "A+" school.

## Early Warning Systems

## Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of students enrolled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 136 | 137 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 566 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 17 | 21 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 15 | 28 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 30 | 31 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 137 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 24 | 22 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{7 4}$ |


| Indicator | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units) 37

Date this data was collected or last updated
Monday 7/29/2019
Prior Year - As Reported
The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | Grade Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Prior Year - Updated
The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | Grade Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

## School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| School Grade Component |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | District | State | School | District | State |  |
| ELA Achievement | $62 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $52 \%$ |  |
| ELA Learning Gains | $65 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $54 \%$ |  |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile | $53 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $44 \%$ |  |
| Math Achievement | $59 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $56 \%$ |  |
| Math Learning Gains | $56 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $57 \%$ |  |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | $54 \%$ | $69 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| Science Achievement | $55 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| Social Studies Achievement | $81 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $70 \%$ |  |

## EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

| Indicator |  | Grade Level (prior year reported) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

| ELA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 05 | 2019 | 57\% | 64\% | -7\% | 56\% | 1\% |
|  | 2018 | 48\% | 60\% | -12\% | 55\% | -7\% |
| Same Grade Comparison |  | 9\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06 | 2019 | 50\% | 55\% | -5\% | 54\% | -4\% |
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| SCIENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 05 | 2019 | 56\% | 61\% | -5\% | 53\% | 3\% |
|  | 2018 | 61\% | 63\% | -2\% | 55\% | 6\% |
| Same Grade Comparison |  | -5\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08 | 2019 | 51\% | 58\% | -7\% | 48\% | 3\% |
|  | 2018 | 61\% | 62\% | -1\% | 50\% | 11\% |
| Same Grade Comparison |  | -10\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  | -10\% |  |  |  |  |


| BIOLOGY EOC |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CIVICS EOC |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | 79\% | 82\% | -3\% | 71\% | 8\% |
| 2018 | 77\% | 79\% | -2\% | 71\% | 6\% |
| Compare |  | 2\% |  |  |  |
| HISTORY EOC |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALGEBRA EOC |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | 77\% | 72\% | 5\% | 61\% | 16\% |
| 2018 | 95\% | 80\% | 15\% | 62\% | 33\% |
| Compare |  | -18\% |  |  |  |
| GEOMETRY EOC |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | 80\% | 72\% | 8\% | 57\% | 23\% |
| 2018 | 0\% | 70\% | -70\% | 56\% | -56\% |
| Compare |  | 80\% |  |  |  |

Subgroup Data

| 2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroups | ELA <br> Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { LG } \\ & \text { L25\% } \end{aligned}$ | Math Ach. | Math LG | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Math } \\ & \text { LG } \\ & \text { L25\% } \end{aligned}$ | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2017-18 | C \& C <br> Accel <br> 2017-18 |
| SWD | 28 | 56 | 47 | 39 | 62 | 46 | 29 | 30 |  |  |  |
| ELL | 33 | 39 | 27 | 29 | 46 | 50 | 18 |  |  |  |  |
| HSP | 54 | 66 | 48 | 47 | 49 | 64 | 34 | 94 | 80 |  |  |
| MUL | 53 | 59 |  | 53 | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WHT | 64 | 65 | 55 | 61 | 58 | 55 | 59 | 79 | 71 |  |  |
| FRL | 57 | 63 | 49 | 54 | 55 | 50 | 47 | 76 | 73 |  |  |
| 2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subgroups | ELA <br> Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Math Ach. | Math LG | $\begin{gathered} \text { Math } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Sci Ach. | SS <br> Ach. | MS Accel. |  | C \& C <br> Accel <br> $2016-17$ |
| SWD | 17 | 34 | 29 | 18 | 48 | 50 | 27 | 41 |  |  |  |


| 2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroups | ELA <br> Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ELA } \\ & \text { LG } \\ & \text { L25\% } \end{aligned}$ | Math Ach. | Math LG | $\begin{gathered} \text { Math } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Sci Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { SS } \\ \text { Ach. } \end{gathered}$ | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2016-17 | C \& C <br> Accel <br> $2016-17$ |
| HSP | 50 | 50 | 67 | 52 | 56 | 71 | 48 | 75 |  |  |  |
| MUL | 28 | 38 |  | 44 | 57 |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| WHT | 60 | 58 | 45 | 59 | 61 | 49 | 66 | 76 | 84 |  |  |
| FRL | 48 | 49 | 43 | 50 | 56 | 49 | 58 | 73 | 86 |  |  |
| 2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subgroups | ELA <br> Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Math Ach. | Math LG | $\begin{gathered} \text { Math } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Sci Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { SS } \\ \text { Ach. } \end{gathered}$ | MS Accel. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grad } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \text { 2015-16 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C \& C } \\ \text { Accel } \\ 2015-16 \end{gathered}$ |
| SWD | 9 | 29 | 31 | 17 | 31 | 26 | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| HSP | 50 | 53 | 46 | 51 | 60 | 64 | 37 |  |  |  |  |
| MUL | 54 | 68 |  | 44 | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WHT | 56 | 55 | 42 | 55 | 53 | 38 | 53 | 77 | 70 |  |  |
| FRL | 45 | 49 | 35 | 45 | 51 | 43 | 40 | 73 | 57 |  |  |

## ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

| ESSA Federal Index | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| ESSA Category (TS\&I or CS\&I) | 65 |
| OVERALL Federal Index - All Students | NO |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41\% All Students | 0 |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 91 |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency | 647 |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index | 10 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index | $97 \%$ |
| Percent Tested |  |
|  | Students With Disabilities |
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities | NO |
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? |  |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32\% |  |
|  | English Language Learners |
| Federal Index - English Language Learners | 42 |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? |  |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32\% |  |


| Native American Students |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Federal Index - Native American Students |  |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |
| Asian Students |  |
| Federal Index - Asian Students |  |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |
| Black/African American Students |  |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students |  |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |
| Hispanic Students |  |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students | 63 |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |
| Multiracial Students |  |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students | 52 |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |
| Pacific Islander Students |  |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students |  |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |
| White Students |  |
| Federal Index - White Students | 63 |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students |  |
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | 61 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32\% |  |

## Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

The data component with the lowest performance school-wide was ELA learning gains for the lowest quartile, despite increasing from $47 \%$ to $53 \%$. This was also our biggest area of concern for the previous school year. ELA learning gains for the lowest quartile was the lowest performing area for both the district and state in 2018.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

The data component that showed the greatest decline from the prior year was Math learning gains and Science achievement. Math learning gains decreased from $61 \%$ to $56 \%$. Science achievement decreased from $61 \%$ to $55 \%$. Factors that may have contributed to this decline include Math teachers on leave throughout the school year and new Science curriculum in 5th grade.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

The data component with the greatest gap when compared to the state average is Math achievement. Freeport Middle School exhibits a $1 \%$ gap. All other areas exceed the state's average.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The data component that showed the most improvement was ELA learning gains. The ELA teachers were all returning teachers who were able to "dive deeper" in their curriculum and professional learning. The ELA PLC surpassed the development of other PLCs due to their innovative learning during the previous school year.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

## Areas of Concern:

1. Number of students with 1 or more suspensions.
2. Number of students with level 1 on statewide assessments

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

1. Number of students with $1+$ suspensions
2. 7th grade Math achievement
3. Learning gains of all students in Math and ELA
4. Science achievement
5. Civics achievement

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

Title
Rationale

Reading/ELA
Freeport Middle School's average proficiency on the 2019 Florida Standards Assessment (FSA) is $62 \%$.

## State the

 measurable
## outcome the

school
plans to achieve

## Person

responsible
for Chelsea Ellison (ellisonc@walton.k12.fl.us) monitoring outcome increase to $67 \%$.

On the 2020 Florida Standards Assessment, the school's ELA proficiency average will

Data chats will occur monthly to analyze STAR Reading and progress monitoring data towards target achievement. The ELA department will also focus on AVID/WICOR higherorder strategies, best practices, information from Reading Coach, etc. The school will also provide quality instruction, remediation, and enrichment opportunities so that all students make continuous progress towards performing at high levels, as evidenced by FSA learning gains.

## Rationale

 forEvidencebased Strategy

## Action Step

## TIER 1:

1. Administrators, teachers, and other administration-appointed staff members will participate in monthly data chats.
2. Teachers will use of SpringBoard, ReadyGen, and occasional teacher-selected curriculum that aligns to LAFS standards in grades 5-8 ELA classrooms.
3. Teachers will utilize rigorous curriculum (which may include WICOR higher-order skills and AVID strategies) in all core subject areas and intensive courses.
4. All students will practice written responses to reading passages aligned to FSA

## Description

This is a focus area because our overall proficiency rate is $62 \%$. Only $65 \%$ of FMS students made learning gains in ELA. Also, only 53\% of students in the lowest quartile made learning gains as evidenced on the 2019 FSA Reading assessment. expectations. Students will exemplify their knowledge using paragraph format, mini-essay format, or essay format (journal assignments, quick writes, SpringBoard and ReadyGen writing-to-text assignments, etc.).

TIER 2:

1. Based on the district reading plan, students will be placed in Intensive Reading course utilizing curriculum appropriate for their needs. Programs include Achieve 3000, Phonics First: Structures, Teen Engagement, and grant-provided materials.
2. Students in grades 6-8 will be appropriately-placed in a FACT class twice weekly based on the students' needs. These students will be monitored as needed twice per nine weeks.
3. The lower quartile will be progress monitored to determine their progress towards individual learning gain goals. The lowest quartile's learning gains will be discussed during monthly data chat meetings.

## TIER 3:

1. Tier 3 students will receive interventions such as Sonday System 1 and 2 and Achieve 3000 and will be monitored by the MTSS team.
Person Responsible

Chelsea Ellison (ellisonc@walton.k12.fl.us)

| Title | Math |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Freeport Middle School's average proficiency on the 2019 Florida Standards Assessment (FSA) is $59 \%$. <br> 5th: 56.4\% <br> 6th: $61.7 \%$ <br> 7th: 36.2\% <br> 8th: 49.4 |
| Rationale | Proficiency averages for students who took the Algebra I End of Course (EOC) Exam was $77.3 \%$. Proficiency averages for students who took the Geometry EOC Exam was $80 \%$. |
|  | Freeport Middle School's average learning gains on the Math FSA was $56 \%$ and $53 \%$ for the lowest quartile. <br> 5th: 60.7\%/53.6\% <br> 6th: $62.1 \% / 57.7 \%$ <br> 7th: $56.4 \% / 59 \%$ <br> 8th: 52.4\%/44.4\% <br> Algebra I EOC: 27.1\%/52.2\% <br> Geometry EOC: 45\%/50\% |

## State the

 measurableChelsea Ellison (ellisonc@walton.k12.fl.us)

## Evidence-

 based StrategyData chats will occur monthly to analyze STAR Math and progress monitoring data towards target achievement. The Math department will also focus on AVID/WICOR higher-order strategies, best practices, and strategies from school and district coaches. Math teachers will also provide quality instruction, remediation, and enrichment opportunities that will result in all students make continuous progress towards performing at high levels, as evidenced by FSA learning gains.
Rationale
for
Evidencebased Strategy

On the 2020 Florida Standards Assessment, the school's Math proficiency average will increase to $65 \%$. The average learning gains on the Math FSA will increase to $56 \%$ and $60 \%$ for the lowest quartile. On the 2020 EOC exams, $85 \%$ of Algebra I students will be proficient and $85 \%$ of Geometry students will be proficient.

## Action Step

TIER 1:

1. Administrators, teachers, and other administration-appointed staff members will participate in monthly data chats.
Description
This is a focus area because overall proficiency rate is $59 \%$. Only $56 \%$ of FMS Students made learning gains in Math. Also, only $53 \%$ of students in the lowest quartile made learning gains as evidenced on the 2019 FSA Math assessment. EOC proficiency rates also decreased from the 2017 results.
2. Teachers will utilize high-quality, rigorous curriculum (which may include WICOR higherorder skills and AVID strategies, best practices, test design summaries, and professional learning strategies within all Math classes.
3. Qualifying students will be placed in Advanced Math for sixth grade, Pre-Algebra or Algebra for seventh grade, and Algebra I or Geometry for eighth grade. Students who complete Algebra I and take the Algebra I EOC will take Geometry.

## TIER 2:

1. Students who score a level 1 on the 2018 Math FSA will be placed in a daily Intensive Math course utilizing remediation curriculum that is appropriate for their needs.
Remediation strategies may include, but are not limited to drill and practice, flash cards, games, re-teaching, peer-collaboration, etc. Delivery methods may include, but are not limited to online tutorials, small group, and one-on-one instruction, etc.
2. Students in the lowest quartile will be progress monitored to determine their achievement towards individual learning gain goals. The lowest quartile's learning gains will be discussed during monthly data chat meetings and MTSS meetings.
3. Fifth grade students who are identified by the MTSS process as Tier 2 will be placed in a Math iii group for thirty minutes daily based on student needs and recommendations by teachers and the MTSS Team.

TIER 3:

1. Remedial research-based programs will be utilized and results will be assessed biweekly. Interventions will be based on student individual needs, but not limited to Khan Academy and small group instruction. Results will be reviewed during MTSS meetings and data chats.

Person Responsible

Chelsea Ellison (ellisonc@walton.k12.fl.us)

## \#3

Title
Rationale
State the
measurable
outcome the
school plans
to achieve
Person
responsible
for monitoring
outcome

Chelsea Ellison (ellisonc@walton.k12.fl.us)

| Evidence- | AVID Reading strategies, Cornell Notes and/or Interactive Notebooks, intensive |
| :--- | :--- |
| based | vocabulary instruction, STEM activities/labs, hands-on learning, best practices, and a |
| focus on content-area literacy will occur to increase overall achievement in Science. |  |
| Strategy | Cross-curricular planning will occur to enhance Science content knowledge. |

## Rationale for

 Evidencebased Strategy```
Action Step
```

TIER 1:

1. AVID Reading strategies will be utilized to engage students in learning and to increase their understanding of WICOR in Science. The AVID WICOR strategies will be implemented within the teachers' instruction and assessed monthly. The instructors will analyze the data to determine the effectiveness of the utilized strategies.
2. Cornell Notes and/or Interactive Notebooks will be utilized in all Science classes to further develop WICOR skills within the Science curriculum.
3. STEM activities/labs and hands-on learning opportunities will be implemented in all

Description Science classes monthly. The effectiveness of these activities will be determined when instructors assess each unit.
4. Science teachers will collaborate with ELA teachers to focus on content-area literacy to increase the depth of content understanding.
5. Intensive vocabulary instruction will occur to increase vocabulary proficiency and comprehension of Science content.
6. An Agriculture elective course and after-school organization will be utilized on campus to enrich student understanding through hands-on activities and project-based learners.

## Person <br> Responsible

## \#4

Title

Rationale
AVID
FMS's goal is to be recognized as an AVID School of Distinction within four years. In order to be recognized as an AVID School of Distinction, we must attain an overall level of Sustaining AVID Schoolwide and meet the Site of Distinction Metrics. during the 2018-2019 school year we progressed from Meets AVID Implementation Expectations to the current level of Emerging AVID Schoolwide. The plan for the 2019-2020 school year is to maintain this level and increase in at least 8 indicator/criteria in the Coaching and Certification Instrument (CCI) which is the next step in reaching our long term goal.

```
State the
measurable
outcome the
school
plans to
achieve
Person
responsible
for
monitoring
outcome
Evidence-
based
Strategy
Rationale
for
Evidence-
    1. Students will be required to utilize AVID organizational tools (i.e., binders, graphic organizers, interactive notebooks).
2. There will be no higher than \(7: 1\) student tutor ratio in the AVID classroom on tutorial days
3. Students will participate in goal setting during BARKS.
Rationale for
1. According to AVID research, one of the most important tools for academic success is the ability to keep materials and assignments organized.
based
2. Tutorials are inquiry based
Strategy
Action Step
```


## Description

1. Students in grades $6-8$ will create and maintain organized binders aligned with a scoring rubric.
2. Duty teachers will be trained as AVID tutors to work with students two days a week on tutorials in the AVID elective classroom.
3. Students will utilize AVID goal setting tools and mycareershines.org to set short and long term goals.
Person
Responsible
Mary Job (jobm@walton.k12.fl.us)

## \#5

Title Professional Learning Communities
Building and sustaining a collaborative culture with a shared mission to move our practice
Rationale forward and impact student learning to achieve greater results. Process will focus on individual learners with a goal of achieving learning gains at each level.

| State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve | $100 \%$ of teachers who are employed for the entire 2019-2020 school year will meet the participation requirement in the school-wide Professional Learning Community (PLC). |
| :---: | :---: |
| Person responsible for monitoring outcome | [no one identified] |

Evidence-
based Strategy

## Rationale

 for Evidencebased Strategy
## Action Step

## Description

## Person

Responsible

Freeport Middle School will implement a school-wide, cross-curricular PLC to address content vocabulary, lesson planning protocols, rigorous assessments, test design summaries and blueprints, and other best practices as necessary as determined by administration team and data. This PLC will also focus on the use of specific feedback to both assess learning and extend instruction to improve performance of all students.

Freeport Middle School has chosen this type of PLC to engage accountability from all teacher stakeholders in all curriculum areas. FMS chose this method to ensure that crosscurricular planning occurred and that all students are adequately prepared for the rigorous expectations of all classroom, district, and state assessments.

1. Monthly focus meetings will occur based on the PLC syllabus.
2. Teachers will share their classroom data and collaborate with other teachers to increase student achievement.
3. Teachers will attend $90 \%$ of the PLC meetings to receive completion credit.

John T. Olson (john.olson@walton.k12.fl.us)

## \#6

Title
Rationale

## State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve

Person responsible for monitoring outcome

## Evidence-based Strategy

Rationale for
Evidence-based Strategy

Action Step

By the end of the 2019-2020 school year, Freeport Middle School will reduce the the

Students who receive OSS are unable to participate in classroom instruction. Missing classroom instruction and learning opportunities reduces academic success. Targeting and preventing student behavior before OSS occurs will assist in increasing student achievement data and learning gains.

1. Provide students with handbooks and a handbook informational session at the beginning of the year to ensure that students are aware of the behavior expectations on campus.
2. Staff members will document student behavior above and beyond classroom management on the behavior tracking form. Staff members will make parent contact on the designated steps and will keep administration aware of any students of concern.
3. Staff members will recommend students with behavioral concerns to the MTSS process.

Person
Responsible
number of Out of School Suspension cases by $20 \%$.

Sharie Smith (smiths@walton.k12.fl.us)

## TIER 1:

Staff members will utilize a revised behavior tracking form to track student behavior.
Students who receive In-School Suspension (ISS) as a precursor to OSS will be provided behavior interventions during their ISS time.

Students will receive social skills training through the Second Step program every Monday.

## TIER 2:

Students who receive two referrals within a nine weeks will be assigned to BITES until they can go four consecutive weeks without receiving additional steps in their planners.

TIER 3: Students who receive three referrals during a semester will be placed on a behavior contract. A team meeting will be held and individualized strategies for improvement will be determined by the team.

## Description

Behavior
Freeport Middle School had 132 cases of Out of School Suspension (OSS) for the 2018-2020 school year. 13\% of the student population had one or more case of OSS.

Title Parent Involvement
On the 2018-2019 Parent Climate Survey, Freeport Middle School received an average score of 3.69 on the question, "Our school communicates effectively about the school's goals and activities". This score decreased from the 2017-2018 Parent Climate Survey
Rationale average score of 3.89. Freeport Middle School also received an average score of 3.39 on the question, "All of my child's teachers keep me informed regularly of how my child is being graded". This categorical score increased from 3.2 on the 2017-2018 Parent Climate Survey.

## State the

measurable outcome the
school
plans to
achieve
Person responsible
for monitoring outcome

## Evidence-

 based Strategy
## Rationale

for
Chelsea Ellison (ellisonc@walton.k12.fl.us)

## Strategy

## Action Step

Freeport Middle School staff members will utilize a variety of strategies to increase stakeholder communication including, but not limited to a texting platform, planners, Facebook, website, etc. Two academic parent nights will also occur to inform parents of their child's education.

Stakeholder groups that emphasizes communication regarding a student's academic performance and educational opportunities creates a positive atmosphere that supports student achievement.

## Description

## TIER 1:

1. $80 \%$ of teachers will utilize a digital platform (Remind or other designated program) to communicate with parents on a regular basis with fidelity as determined by administration.
2. Teachers and/or a member of the administrative team will make contact with parents/ guardians of students who receive a grade of a D or F on an interim report or end of term report card.
3. All students will be provided a planner which the parent/guardian can utilize to track student assignments, behavior, and school events.
4. A parent night will be held at the interim of the first nine weeks. The purpose of this event will be to share capabilities of the Focus platform, online resources that support student learning, and other resources available to parents. Also during this event, the administrative team will have the opportunity to share preliminary data with stakeholders.
5. A parent conference night will be held in lieu of Open House of parents/guardians to address their academic concerns pertaining their child.
6. The AVID Site Team will host a college and career-preparedness night. The purpose will be to share resources with parents related to college choice, financial aid planning, etc.
Person Responsible

Chelsea Ellison (ellisonc@walton.k12.fl.us)

| \#8 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Title | Social Studies |
| Rationale | Freeport Middle School's (FMS) proficiency on the 2019 Civics End of Course (EOC) exam was $81 \%$. Freeport Middle's proficiency on Reading portion of the 2019 Florida Standards Assessment (FSA) was $62 \%$. |
| State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve | On the 2020 Civics End of Course (EOC) exam, the school's proficiency average will increase to $85 \%$. Freeport Middle School will increase proficiency on the Reading portion of the 2019 FSA to $67 \%$. |
| Person responsible for monitoring outcome | John T. Olson (john.olson@walton.k12.fl.us) |
| Evidencebased Strategy | Social Studies teachers will implement AVID strategies within Social Studies classrooms monthly to engage students through WICOR (Writing, Inquiry, Collaboration, Organization, and Reading) strategies, best practices, and PLC-based learning. Social Studies teachers will also participate in vertical alignment of Social Studies-specific writing through DBQ and $F R Q$ writings. |
| Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy | This is a focus area because our overall proficiency rate is $81 \%$ for the Civics EOC and $62 \%$ for the Reading FSA. |
| Action Step |  |

TIER 1:

1. AVID/WICOR strategies are utilized monthly. Progress will be discussed at PLC meetings.
2. Social Studies teachers will participate in vertical alignment of Social Studies- specific

Description
writing through DBQ
and FRQ.
3. Social Studies teachers will implement content-area literacy instruction, test vocabulary instruction, intentional questioning, and best practices as discussed and determined in PLC meetings.

## Person

[no one identified]

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)
After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

Freeport Middle School will address remaining school-wide improvement priorities during School Improvement Team meetings, School Advisory Council meetings, the MTSS process, and monthly data chats.

## Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

| 1 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Reading/ELA |  |  |  | \$1,119.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Function | Object | Budget Focus | Funding Source | FTE | 2019-20 |
|  |  |  | 0146 - Freeport Middle School |  |  | \$375.00 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Supplemental Materials- ELA Classes |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0146 - Freeport Middle School |  |  | \$150.00 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Tier 2 \& Tier 3 Intervention Supplemental Materials |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0146 - Freeport Middle School |  |  | \$50.00 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Data Chat Materials |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0146 - Freeport Middle School |  |  | \$544.00 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Substitute teachers for quarterly data chats. Funds will be matched by FMS. |  |  |  |
| 2 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Math |  |  |  | \$1,144.00 |
|  | Function | Object | Budget Focus | Funding Source | FTE | 2019-20 |
|  |  |  | 0146 - Freeport Middle School |  |  | \$150.00 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Prescriptive interventions and remediation Tier 2 and Tier 3 students. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0146 - Freeport Middle School |  |  | \$100.00 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Intervention/enrichment materials for the Summer Bridge Program |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0146 - Freeport Middle School |  |  | \$300.00 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Supplemental instructional materials to maximize classroom instruction of all Math teachers. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0146 - Freeport Middle School |  |  | \$544.00 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Substitute teachers for quarterly data chats. Funds will be matched by FMS. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0146 - Freeport Middle School |  |  | \$50.00 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Supplemental Materials for Data Chats |  |  |  |
| 3 | III. A. | Areas of Focus: Science |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 4 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: AVID |  |  |  | \$0.00 |



