

Miami-Dade County Public Schools

Mater Academy Charter High School



2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	16
Title I Requirements	17
Budget to Support Goals	18

Mater Academy Charter High School

7901 NW 103RD ST, Hialeah Gardens, FL 33016

www.matermiddlehigh.org

Demographics

Principal: Alex Tamargo

Start Date for this Principal: 8/16/2019

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 9-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2018-19 Title I School	Yes
2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	82%
2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: B (61%) 2017-18: A (64%) 2016-17: B (59%) 2015-16: B (54%) 2014-15: A (70%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	N/A

* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, [click here](#).

School Board Approval

N/A

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

1. have a school grade of D or F
2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	16
Title I Requirements	17
Budget to Support Goals	18

Mater Academy Charter High School

7901 NW 103RD ST, Hialeah Gardens, FL 33016

www.matermiddlehigh.org

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2018-19 Title I School	2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
High School 9-12	Yes	84%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	Yes	99%

School Grades History

Year	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17	2015-16
Grade	B	A	B	B

School Board Approval

N/A

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at

<https://www.floridacims.org>.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

The mission of Mater Academy is to develop the intellectual and social skills of its students by facilitating a rigorous college preparatory curriculum and a wide range of educational resources within a safe learning environment. Students are expected to perform at or above grade level availing success in high school and within a global society. Our Mission is the Meaningful achievement of Academics facilitated by Teachers, administrators, parents and the community Enabling students to become confident, self-directed and Responsible lifelong learners.

Provide the school's vision statement.

In collaboration with its teachers, parents, community, and administration it is the vision of Mater Academy to provide a meaningful and nurturing educational environment that promotes academic achievement for its students.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Nunez, Jose	Principal	Responsible for Safety Instructional Leader Day-to-Day Operations Personnel Facilities Management
Castillo, Trishia	Assistant Principal	Responsible for Safety Instructional Leader Day-to-Day Operations Personnel Facilities Management
Alonso, Yolanda	Instructional Coach	Instructional Leader Personnel Training and Coaching Curriculum Development
Macho, Silvina	Instructional Technology	Media and Technology Specialist Data Analysis
Castro, Diana	Teacher, ESE	IEP Monitoring Special Education Monitoring
Marrero, Blanca	Teacher, ESE	ELL Coordinator ELL Student Support ELL Student Testing and Reporting
Alvarez, Maria	Teacher, Career/Technical	Classroom Teacher Lead Teacher Mentor Teacher
Llanes, Idelsy	Teacher, K-12	Classroom Teacher Department Chairperson Mentor Teacher
Lopez, Elsa	Other	Testing Chairperson
Portela, Carmen	Teacher, K-12	Classroom Teacher Department Chairperson Mentor Teacher
Sanchez, Luis	Other	Athletics Director

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Rodriguez, Jose	SAC Member	SAC Chair Classroom Teacher Mentor Teacher
Marty, Judith	Other	Chief Academic Officer for Mater Academy Inc Schools

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	412	427	407	360	1606
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	14	12	5	35
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	3	0	8
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	56	41	23	125
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	80	0	0	111

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	76	56	41	23	196

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)

Date this data was collected or last updated

Friday 8/16/2019

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Attendance below 90 percent		
One or more suspensions		
Course failure in ELA or Math		
Level 1 on statewide assessment		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level	Total
Students with two or more indicators		

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total	
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12		
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2019			2018		
	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	68%	59%	56%	68%	56%	53%
ELA Learning Gains	56%	54%	51%	60%	51%	49%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	40%	48%	42%	52%	45%	41%
Math Achievement	54%	54%	51%	56%	47%	49%
Math Learning Gains	42%	52%	48%	45%	47%	44%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	34%	51%	45%	26%	45%	39%
Science Achievement	53%	68%	68%	49%	63%	65%
Social Studies Achievement	77%	76%	73%	79%	71%	70%

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

Indicator	Grade Level (prior year reported)				Total
	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	412 (0)	427 (0)	407 (0)	360 (0)	1606 (0)
Attendance below 90 percent	4 ()	14 ()	12 ()	5 ()	35 (0)
One or more suspensions	2 (0)	3 (0)	3 (0)	0 (0)	8 (0)
Course failure in ELA or Math	5 (0)	56 (0)	41 (0)	23 (0)	125 (0)
Level 1 on statewide assessment	31 (0)	80 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	111 (0)

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

ELA						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
09	2019	67%	55%	12%	55%	12%
	2018	69%	54%	15%	53%	16%
Same Grade Comparison		-2%				
Cohort Comparison						
10	2019	66%	53%	13%	53%	13%
	2018	67%	54%	13%	53%	14%
Same Grade Comparison		-1%				
Cohort Comparison		-3%				

MATH						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison

BIOLOGY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	52%	68%	-16%	67%	-15%
2018	54%	65%	-11%	65%	-11%
Compare		-2%			

CIVICS EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
HISTORY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	77%	71%	6%	70%	7%
2018	81%	67%	14%	68%	13%
Compare		-4%			
ALGEBRA EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	57%	63%	-6%	61%	-4%
2018	55%	59%	-4%	62%	-7%
Compare		2%			
GEOMETRY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	52%	54%	-2%	57%	-5%
2018	59%	54%	5%	56%	3%
Compare		-7%			

Subgroup Data

2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	28	21	14	33	36					95	58
ELL	43	47	39	44	40	38	43	61		100	97
BLK	51	58	47	38	50			56		100	40
HSP	69	56	39	55	42	33	54	78		99	85
WHT	69	62									
FRL	66	55	40	52	41	34	52	77		99	83
2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	33	33		29	45			36		100	43
ELL	55	61	50	63	58	63	61	56		93	89
BLK	45	51	44	34	25		27	75		84	13
HSP	71	64	57	59	44	45	58	83		98	73
WHT	83	70		90							
FRL	70	64	57	57	45	42	57	82		97	71

2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	26	35	27	24	30	10	18	35		100	33
ELL	33	52	47	56	55	36	50	51		90	78
BLK	43	47	62	32	38	18	43	53			
HSP	69	61	51	57	45	27	49	80		97	60
FRL	68	61	53	55	44	24	50	78		96	60

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	N/A
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	61
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	70
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	676
Total Components for the Federal Index	11
Percent Tested	99%

Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	41
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	57
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	55
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	62
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	66
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	61
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

The data component that showed the lowest performance was our ELA and Mathematics Achievement in the lowest 25th Percentile. In 2018, the lowest 25th percentile in ELA had a pass rate of 51% while in 2019 the pass rate went down 16% to only a 40% pass rate. In mathematics the lowest 25th percentile in 2018 passed at 51% while in 2019, the pass rate was only 34% which was a decrease of 17%. The Contributing factors could be a lack of focus and identification of the students, a lack of proper interventions or inadequate interventions, and the need for increased differentiated instruction and effective instructional strategies.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

The data component that showed the greatest decline was our ELA and Mathematics Achievement in the lowest 25th Percentile. In 2018, the lowest 25th percentile in ELA had a pass rate of 51% while in 2019 the pass rate went down 16% to only a 40% pass rate. In mathematics the lowest 25th percentile in 2018 passed at 51% while in 2019, the pass rate was only 34% which was a decrease of 17%. the Contributing factors could be a lack of focus and identification of the students, a lack of proper interventions or inadequate interventions, and the need for increased differentiated instruction and effective instructional strategies.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

The data component that showed the greatest gap was our ELA and Mathematics Achievement in the lowest 25th Percentile. In 2018, the lowest 25th percentile in ELA had a pass rate of 51% while in 2019 the pass rate went down 16% to only a 40% pass rate. In mathematics the lowest 25th percentile in 2018 passed at 51% while in 2019, the pass rate was only 34% which was a decrease of 17%. the Contributing factors could be a lack of focus and identification of the students, a lack of proper interventions or inadequate interventions, and the need for increased differentiated instruction and effective instructional strategies.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Advanced Placement Scores in certain subjects showed a marked improvement. Most notably, AP Comparative Government increased from a 16% pass rate in 2018 to a 50% pass rate in 2019. Additionally, AP Computer Science Principals increase from a 30% pass rate to a 57% pass rate.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

Based on the EWS data, a potential area of concern are the number of students who score a level 1 on a state assessment. These students will be part of our lower quartile group and will need additional service and support.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

1. A focus on Social-Emotional Learning Training and Instruction
2. Identify, Track, and Provide Interventions for our Lower Quartile

3. Advanced Academics Department Formation and provide increased opportunities for students
4. National STEM Certification
5. Improved Science Instruction / Facilities

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1	
Title	Lowest 25th Percentile Students in ELA and Mathematics
Rationale	The students in the lower 25th percentile in both ELA and Mathematics showed decreases in achievement from the 2018 to the 2019 school year. In 2018, 56% of Students in the Lowest 25th Percentile in ELA achieved mastery while in 2019 only 40% of students achieved mastery. In 2018, 45% of students in the Lowest 25th Percentile in Mathematics achieved mastery while in 2019 only 34% of students achieved mastery.

State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve	For the 2019-2020 school year the goal is to have at least 56% of students in the Lowest 25th Percentile in ELA achieve mastery and at least 45% of students in the lowest 25th percentile in mathematics achieve mastery.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	Jose Nunez (tigernunez@dadeschools.net)
Evidence-based Strategy	Evidence-based strategy that will be implemented is the targeted use of paraprofessionals and tutoring services.
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy	Based on the results from 2018-2019, those students who participated in a structured pull-out or push-in tutoring program with paraprofessionals saw increased learning gains. In fact, on average, 80% of students who received this remediation strategy saw learning gains and improved results.

Action Step	
Description	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify the lowest 25th percentile in both English Language Arts and Mathematics 2. Share list of students with both teachers and paraprofessionals include student data and results 3. Create a structured schedule of pull-out and push-in tutoring sessions for the lowest 25th percentile students 4. Work with classroom teachers to develop quality lessons based on standards of greatest weakness 5. Monitor through through walk-through observations, progress monitoring assessment and iReady Diagnostic Assessments
Person Responsible	Yolanda Alonso (yalonso@dadeschools.net)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

In addition to our School Wide Area of Focus, Two other school-wide improvement priorities include:

- 1) a focus on social- emotional education and mental health wellness. This will be addressed by
 - Mental health training for all faculty and staff
 - Socio-emotional learning lessons conducted through social students classes for students
 - Positive reinforcement and messages throughout the school
 - Continued school wide Student-Services initiatives including Red Ribbon Week, Health Fairs, Guest Speakers and Wellness Campaigns

- 2) a focus on advanced academics. This will be addressed by:
 - increased opportunities for accelerated courses including High School College Level Classes
 - Saturday tutoring for enrichment and advancement
 - a revised after care enrichment program
 - accelerated study abroad program

Part IV: Title I Requirements

Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Schoolwide Improvement Plan to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, Â§ 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

The school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders through a variety of outreach and informational session. First, EESAC - the Educational Excellence School Advisory Council meets on the 2nd Tuesday of the month, 6 times in the school year. This is an opportunity for parents, students, teachers, stake-holders, and administrators to gather and discuss the school improvement plan goals and progress. Additionally, monthly Parent Academies and the Title I Information Meetings are held to provide parental support on a variety of topics based on parent feedback from the previous year. Our ConnectED system, School Website, and Social Media Platforms provide timely information and announcements to all stakeholders and also celebrate and share the successes and activities of the school community. Four times a year, parents are invited to discuss student grades, effort and conduct with teachers and administrators through our quarterly parent conferences.

PFEP Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

As part of the school's efforts to meet the social-emotional needs of all students, all staff will be trained with Youth Mental Health First Aid. Additionally, throughout the years our counselors will conduct targeted sessions with students and host campaigns to bring awareness to various concerns such as Red-Ribbon Week, MADD, Mindfulness and Abuse. Through Social Studies classes, students will engage in Social Emotional Lessons will be conducted and positive/inspirational messages will be

posted around the school. Our initiative to recognize those students moving in the right direction (Lion Strides Awards) will continue with a greater emphasis on personal responsibility and effort.

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

Our Counselors work with their specific grade levels to provide ongoing support and meet the specific needs to each cohort. Additionally our CAP Adviser has regular meetings with students, has weekly REMIND messages, hosts college information sessions, FAFSA workshops and academic advising sessions in order to best support and track our students. Regular data chats are held with teachers and administrators to ensure students are receiving the courses they need to move from one level to the next.

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

The school's leadership team, meets on a weekly basis to discuss resource management and distribution.

Additional our Curriculum Council, which is made up of department chairs, instructional coaches, directors, administrators and Specialists, meet on a bi-weekly basis to coordinate implementation of funds, services, and program.

All Decisions are discussed and voted upon, if needed at the school's monthly EESAC Meeting and stakeholders can provide their input at these meetings as well as the schedule Title I Meetings and at the biweekly

Literacy Leadership Team meetings.

Inventory of resources is maintained the by the corresponding departments who use the resources and data on the resource use and effectiveness of the strategies is collected through walk-through observations, formative assessments and summative state assessments.

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

The school's CAP Counselor and our Advanced Academics Department works in partnership with our STEM TEAM to produce meaningful partnerships that promote career and college readiness. A robust Dual-Enrollment, Advanced Placement and Industry Certification courses allow students to earn college credit or industry skills while still in high school. Partnerships with organizations such as Verizon, Digital Promise, Apple, Vex Robotics, FIU, Mater's Alumni Network, Wells Fargo and many others support our efforts through grant opportunities, guest speaking sessions, career day, college tours, and internship opportunities.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Lowest 25th Percentile Students in ELA and Mathematics				\$607,628.99
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2019-20

		100-Salaries	7160 - Mater Academy Charter High School	Title, I Part A		\$300,368.73
			<i>Notes: Extra Period Supplement</i>			
		160-Other Support Personnel	7160 - Mater Academy Charter High School	Title, I Part A		\$31,360.00
			<i>Notes: Paraprofessional: Louis Trestoy</i>			
		100-Salaries	7160 - Mater Academy Charter High School	Title, I Part A		\$69,874.56
			<i>Notes: Reading Teacher: Thomas Meyer</i>			
		100-Salaries	7160 - Mater Academy Charter High School	Title, I Part A		\$54,651.52
			<i>Notes: Math Coach: Diana Druz</i>			
		100-Salaries	7160 - Mater Academy Charter High School	Title, I Part A		\$84,538.72
			<i>Notes: Reading Coach: Blanca Marrero</i>			
		160-Other Support Personnel	7160 - Mater Academy Charter High School	Title, I Part A		\$29,503.07
			<i>Notes: Community Liaison Specialist: Ann Infante</i>			
		100-Salaries	7160 - Mater Academy Charter High School	Title, I Part A		\$9,364.67
			<i>Notes: Tutoring</i>			
		690-Computer Software	7160 - Mater Academy Charter High School	Title, I Part D		\$27,967.72
					Total:	\$614,018.99