**Miami-Dade County Public Schools** 

# Imater Academy Middle School



2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	15
Title I Requirements	16
Budget to Support Goals	18

# **Imater Academy Middle School**

651 W 20TH ST, Hialeah, FL 33010

www.materacademy.com

# **Demographics**

**Principal: Teresa Santalo** 

Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2015

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Middle School 6-8
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2018-19 Title I School	Yes
2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	89%
2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners Hispanic Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
	2018-19: A (65%)
	2017-18: B (61%)
School Grades History	2016-17: A (63%)
,	2015-16: C (53%)
	2014-15: B (60%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Inf	formation*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	

#### **School Board Approval**

N/A

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
•	
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	15
Title I Requirements	16
Budget to Support Goals	18

# **Imater Academy Middle School**

651 W 20TH ST, Hialeah, FL 33010

www.materacademy.com

#### **School Demographics**

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2018-19 Title I School	2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Middle School 6-8	Yes	91%

Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	Yes	99%

#### **School Grades History**

Year	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17	2015-16
Grade	А	В	Α	С

#### **School Board Approval**

N/A

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

#### **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

#### Part I: School Information

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

Our mission is to provide a challenging educational curriculum which promotes critical thinking skills and individual artistic expression through a balance of tradition and innovation.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

Our vision is to provide a structured, creative environment that enables students to ask questions, solve problems, and take risks as they gain the knowledge, skills, and attitudes necessary for meaningful and productive lives as artists, designers, and citizens of the world. iMater is a collaborative teaching and learning environment that encourages students to develop meaningful interactions across the visual & performing arts and other disciplines.

- •Support curriculum integration where students use appropriate, real world technologies that foster high achievement, independent problem solving and global participation.
- •Support on-going, timely professional development where staff learns to integrate technology into the curriculum, manage data technologies, and explore new technologies as they affect teaching and learning.
- •Engage the community in technology partnerships through increased communication with stake holders, shared resources, and work with institutions of high education, libraries and businesses.
- •Support an infrastructure that includes up to date hardware, software and modern peripherals so that the curriculum can be easily integrated.
- •Provide support systems such as personnel, operations, management, and other systems that support teaching and learning.

#### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Santalo, Teresa	Principal	
Garcia, Densie	Assistant Principal	
Reyes, Esther	Assistant Principal	
Novoa, Stephanie	Teacher, K-12	
Torres, Jaime	Teacher, K-12	
Salazar, Monique	Teacher, K-12	
Robinson, Patricia	Teacher, K-12	
Valdes, Carmen	Teacher, K-12	
Carter, Angel	School Counselor	
Miguelez, Alicia	Instructional Coach	
Pino, Jennifer	Teacher, K-12	
Castrillon, Cindy	Teacher, K-12	
Valentine, Hazel	School Counselor	

#### **Early Warning Systems**

#### **Current Year**

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	284	281	263	0	0	0	0	828
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	24	21	0	0	0	0	63
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	1	15	0	0	0	0	27
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	190	239	162	0	0	0	0	591

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level													
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	64	90	55	0	0	0	0	209	

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level												
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)

34

#### Date this data was collected or last updated

Thursday 9/5/2019

#### Prior Year - As Reported

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	19	22	0	0	0	0	53
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	2	16	0	0	0	0	39
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	135	141	143	0	0	0	0	419

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	70	67	80	0	0	0	0	217	

#### **Prior Year - Updated**

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level										Total			
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	19	22	0	0	0	0	53
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	2	16	0	0	0	0	39
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	135	141	143	0	0	0	0	419

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level										Total		
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	70	67	80	0	0	0	0	217

# Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

#### **School Data**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019		2018				
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State		
ELA Achievement	58%	58%	54%	58%	53%	52%		
ELA Learning Gains	64%	58%	54%	57%	55%	54%		
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	59%	52%	47%	48%	48%	44%		
Math Achievement	67%	58%	58%	70%	54%	56%		

School Grade Component		2019		2018				
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State		
Math Learning Gains	59%	56%	57%	70%	56%	57%		
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	53%	54%	51%	68%	51%	50%		
Science Achievement	54%	52%	51%	47%	50%	50%		
Social Studies Achievement	81%	74%	72%	77%	70%	70%		

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey										
Grade Level (prior year reported)										
Indicator	6	7	8	Total						
Number of students enrolled	284 (0)	281 (0)	263 (0)	828 (0)						
Attendance below 90 percent	18 (12)	24 (19)	21 (22)	63 (53)						
One or more suspensions	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0)	2 (0)						
Course failure in ELA or Math 11 (21) 1 (2) 15 (16) 27 (39)										
Level 1 on statewide assessment 190 (135) 239 (141) 162 (143) 591 (419)										

#### **Grade Level Data**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (\*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019	51%	58%	-7%	54%	-3%
	2018	55%	53%	2%	52%	3%
Same Grade C	omparison	-4%				
Cohort Com	parison					
07	2019	61%	56%	5%	52%	9%
	2018	51%	54%	-3%	51%	0%
Same Grade C	omparison	10%				
Cohort Com	parison	6%				
08	2019	61%	60%	1%	56%	5%
	2018	59%	59%	0%	58%	1%
Same Grade C	omparison	2%				
Cohort Com	parison	10%				

	MATH										
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison					
06	2019	61%	58%	3%	55%	6%					
	2018	71%	56%	15%	52%	19%					
Same Grade C	omparison	-10%									

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
Cohort Com	parison					
07	2019	74%	53%	21%	54%	20%
	2018	68%	52%	16%	54%	14%
Same Grade C	omparison	6%				
Cohort Com	parison	3%				
08	2019	35%	40%	-5%	46%	-11%
	2018	40%	38%	2%	45%	-5%
Same Grade C	omparison	-5%				
Cohort Com	parison	-33%				

	SCIENCE										
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison					
08	2019	40%	43%	-3%	48%	-8%					
	2018	9%	44%	-35%	50%	-41%					
Same Grade C	omparison	31%									
Cohort Com	parison										

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	66%	68%	-2%	67%	-1%
2018	78%	65%	13%	65%	13%
Co	ompare	-12%			
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	81%	73%	8%	71%	10%
2018	70%	72%	-2%	71%	-1%
Co	ompare	11%			
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		ALGEE	RA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	76%	63%	13%	61%	15%
2018	66%	59%	7%	62%	4%
Co	ompare	10%			

	GEOMETRY EOC										
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State						
2019											
2018	100%	54%	46%	56%	44%						

# **Subgroup Data**

	2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18	
SWD	29	45	48	40	52	37	38					
ELL	46	63	59	56	56	54	40	70	86			
HSP	58	63	59	66	59	52	53	81	87			
FRL	58	64	60	66	59	53	54	81	87			
	2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17	
SWD	12	36	31	36	36	50		50				
ELL	28	48	49	51	53	54	19	44	92			
HSP	56	54	47	66	56	60	50	71	84			
WHT	77	69		69	69							
FRL	56	54	48	66	55	61	49	70	86			
		2017	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS			
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16	
SWD	25	42	50	56	52							
ELL	27	47	44	52	66	70	17	51	63			
HSP	59	58	48	71	71	68	47	77	68			
FRL	58	58	49	69	70	69	47	77	68			

# **ESSA** Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	N/A
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	65
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	64
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	646
Total Components for the Federal Index	10

ESSA Federal Index	
Percent Tested	100%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	41
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	59
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	64
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	

Pacific Islander Students		
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students		
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A	
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%		
White Students		
Federal Index - White Students		
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?		
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%		
Economically Disadvantaged Students		
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	65	
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO	
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%		

#### **Analysis**

#### **Data Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

The data component which showed the lowest performance was Math Lowest 25%. Low tutoring attendance was one of the contributing factors to last year's low performance.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

The data component showing the greatest decline from the prior year was Math Lowest 25%. In addition to low tutoring attendance, teachers not targeting those students carefully also contributed to this decline.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

iMater Academy Middle School scored higher than the state of Florida in all categories. Our greatest gap (favorable) was in ELA Lowest 25%. We scored 12 percent points higher than the state.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The data component showing the most improvement was ELA Lowest 25%. Teachers worked hard at targeting their lowest 25%. The use of the i-Ready Online Instructional Curriculum helped to not only supplement their curriculum, but also provide additional data to target learning gaps.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

Reflecting on our Early Warning Signs one area of concern is that of students scoring Level 1 on statewide assessments.

# Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Increase Learning Gains in the Lowest 25th Percentile in Math
- 2. Increase Learning Gains in the Lowest 25th Percentile in ELA
- 3. Increase Science Achievement in both Biology and 8th Grade FCAT Science
- 4. Improve Attendance Rates
- 5. Improve Students Arriving Late and Leaving Early

### Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:		
#1		
Title Rationale	Math Lowest 25th Percentile  The Math Lowest 25th Percentile score decreased 8 percentage points from a 61 in 2018 to a 53% in 2019.	
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve	Our goal is to increase the Math Lowest 25th Percentile score by at least 7 percentage points reaching a 60%.	
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	Teresa Santalo (tsantalo@dadeschools.net)	
Evidence-based Strategy	The use of the iReady Online Diagnostic tool will be used to pinpoint students' strengths and knowledge gaps in mathematics. It automatically groups students and offers targeted instructional recommendations.	
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy	The data from the i-Ready Diagnostic tool provides teachers with a deeper knowledge of their students' needs. Data reports provide both teachers and administrators the information needed so they can work toward the goal of student achievement.	
Action Step		
Description	<ol> <li>Classroom Walk-throughs</li> <li>Lesson Plans</li> <li>Tutoring Attendance Rosters</li> <li>Midyear Assessments</li> <li>iReady Progress Monitoring Reports</li> </ol>	
Person Responsible	Jaime Torres (jtorres@imater.org)	

#2		
Title		
Rationale		
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve		
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	[no one identified]	
Evidence-based Strategy		
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy		
Action Step		
Description	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	
Person Responsible	[no one identified]	
#3		
Title		
Rationale		
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve		
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	[no one identified]	
Evidence-based Strategy		
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy		
Action Step		
Description	1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	
Person Responsible	[no one identified]	

#### Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

#### Part IV: Title I Requirements

#### Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Schoolwide Improvement Plan to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

iMater Academy Middle School works at building positive relationships with families to increase involvement, including efforts to communicate the school's mission and vision, and keep parents informed of their child's progress.

#### **PFEP Link**

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

iMater, in order to ensure that the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, provides activities that support a comprehensive program based on standards and benchmarks for students' skill development in areas such as personal, social, emotional, academic achievement, career/community awareness and health.

We provide individual and group counseling to help students deal with crisis intervention, transitional issues, and personal and family concerns. In addition, we consult and collaborate with parents/guardians and teachers to assist in developing appropriate strategies for improved student behavior and to promote and support student achievement.

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

iMater Academy Middle School employs various strategies to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another. There is a collaborative process that takes place within grade levels, content areas, and feeder schools.

A New Student Orientation is held prior to the beginning of the school year to inform students and parents about policies and procedures. In addition, counselors familiarize students with academic requirements and expectations for grade level advancement/promotion.

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

The School Leadership Team meets bi-monthly to discuss how data-driven instruction is impacting the performance of our students and our faculty. During these meetings, the team reviews standardized data, classroom based assessments as well as formal and informal observations to:

- Progress monitor data that will identify students who are meeting/exceeding benchmarks, are at moderate
- risk or at high risk for not meeting standards;
- · Monitor effectiveness of educational programs
- Evaluate school-wide professional development plan and allocate relevant resources;
- · Share effective practices;
- Evaluate implementation of the School Improvement Plan;
- Facilitate decision-making regarding building consensus among stakeholders, increasing infrastructure efficacy and make decisions regarding implementation of instructional programs.

Title I, Part A

iMater Academy Middle School will provide services to ensure students requiring additional remediation

are assisted through extended learning opportunities. Title I funds will be used to employ key Title 1 personnel such as paraprofessionals and a Community Involvement Specialist. Funds will also be used to purchase supplemental materials and technology for core subjects. Other components that are integrated into the school-wide program will include an extensive Parental Involvement Program.

#### Title II

The Miami-Dade district uses supplemental funds for improving basic education as follows:

- Training to certify qualified mentors for the New Teacher (MINT) Program
- Training for add-on endorsement programs, such as Reading, Gifted, ESOL training

#### Title III

iMater Academy Middle School will receive Title III funds to supplement and enhance the programs for English Language Learners (ELL) and immigrant students by providing funds to implement and provide tutorial programs.

#### Title X- Homeless

In cases of homeless students, the Title I Community Involvement Specialist will gather resources for students identified as homeless under the McKinney-Vento Act, eliminating barriers for a free and appropriate education.

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

The iMater Student Services Department conducts presentations to all students by class and grade level explaining the challenges and benefits of enrolling in advanced courses which will lead to advanced placement and dual degree options. They further assist students in the completing Subject Selection Forms.

Counselors follow the Student Progression Plan to assure that students are enrolled in courses that align with the students' future career goals.

Our CAP Advisor identifies students that meet the criteria for Dual Enrollment. She then meets with eligible students and they create a realistic plan for college success.

# Part V: Budget

#### The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	1 III.A. Areas of Focus: Math Lowest 25th Percentile			\$23,781.25		
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2019-20
		120-Classroom Teachers	6014 - Imater Academy Middle School	Title, I Part A	828.0	\$10,000.00
	Notes: After school and Saturday Tutoring					
		399-Other Technology- Related Purchased Services	6014 - Imater Academy Middle School	Title, I Part A	828.0	\$4,335.00
Notes: iReady Math Online Diagnostic Tool			Tool			
		510-Supplies	6014 - Imater Academy Middle School	Title, I Part A	828.0	\$9,446.25
Notes: Educational Development Associates (Math Quick Pik) School Spe Math)				pecialty (FL Coach		

2	III.A.	Areas of Focus:	\$0.00
3	III.A.	Areas of Focus:	\$0.00
	•	Total:	\$23,781.25