Miami-Dade County Public Schools

Miami Community Charter Middle School



2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	14
Title I Requirements	18
Budget to Support Goals	21

Miami Community Charter Middle School

18720 SW 352ND ST, Florida City, FL 33034

www.mccedu.org

Demographics

Principal: Stephany Papili

Start Date for this Principal: 8/19/2019

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Middle School 6-8
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2018-19 Title I School	Yes
2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	92%
2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students*
	2018-19: B (54%)
	2017-18: C (43%)
School Grades History	2016-17: C (44%)
	2015-16: D (38%)
	2014-15: D (39%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	<u>LaShawn Russ-Porterfield</u>
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	TS&I

* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.

School Board Approval

N/A

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	14
Title I Requirements	18
- 1o - 1o - 4 o o	
Budget to Support Goals	21

Miami Community Charter Middle School

18720 SW 352ND ST, Florida City, FL 33034

www.mccedu.org

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2018-19 Title I School	2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Middle School	Yes	95%

Brimary Sarvice Type		2018-19 Minority Rate
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	(Reported as Non-white
(per MSID File)		on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	Yes	99%

School Grades History

Year	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17	2015-16
Grade	В	С	С	D

School Board Approval

N/A

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

At MCCS (Miami Community Charter School), our faculty is committed to empowering our students through mentorship to be held accountable by teaching them to embrace responsibility, demonstrate mutual respect, and engage in open communication. Our continuous collaboration of all stakeholders will provide a safe and nurturing environment which promotes students' social-emotional and academic growth. Students will feel secure in embracing new challenges by identifying their individual strengths, motivating them through goals, and celebrating their victories. Through our endeavors and dedication to community service, our students will achieve their full potential and become productive members of society.

Provide the school's vision statement.

As life long learners, MCCS students will take ownership to transform obstacles into opportunities for a better community.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Papili, Stephany	Principal	
Rieumont, Mildrelis	Assistant Principal	
Mejia, Raquel	Teacher, ESE	
Mitchell, Michelle	Teacher, K-12	
Rezaie, Jila	Other	
Rezaie, Jila	Assistant Principal	
Qureshi, Wajida	Teacher, K-12	
Saavedra, Ruben	Instructional Technology	
Saavedra, Ruben	instructional Technology	

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator		Grade Level													
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	98	112	97	0	0	0	0	307	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	5	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	9	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	6	4	0	0	0	0	20	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	32	32	0	0	0	0	93	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	58	44	0	0	0	0	139

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level												
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)

Date this data was collected or last updated

Friday 9/13/2019

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator			Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	6	9	0	0	0	0	27		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	4	0	0	0	0	9		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	57	43	60	0	0	0	0	160		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	43	56	0	0	0	0	144

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level											Total		
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	6	9	0	0	0	0	27
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	4	0	0	0	0	9
Level 1 on statewide assessment		0	0	0	0	0	57	43	60	0	0	0	0	160

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level											Total		
Indicator		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators		0	0	0	0	0	45	43	56	0	0	0	0	144

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sahaal Crada Companant		2019			2018	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	42%	58%	54%	34%	53%	52%
ELA Learning Gains	55%	58%	54%	43%	55%	54%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	52%	52%	47%	35%	48%	44%
Math Achievement	42%	58%	58%	39%	54%	56%
Math Learning Gains	61%	56%	57%	48%	56%	57%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	64%	54%	51%	44%	51%	50%
Science Achievement	29%	52%	51%	40%	50%	50%
Social Studies Achievement	64%	74%	72%	56%	70%	70%

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

Indicator	Grade Le	Grade Level (prior year reported)							
Indicator	6	7	8	Total					
Number of students enrolled	98 (0)	112 (0)	97 (0)	307 (0)					
Attendance below 90 percent	1 (12)	0 (6)	4 (9)	5 (27)					
One or more suspensions	6 (0)	3 (0)	0 (0)	9 (0)					
Course failure in ELA or Math	10 (4)	6 (1)	4 (4)	20 (9)					
Level 1 on statewide assessment	29 (57)	32 (43)	32 (60)	93 (160)					

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019	41%	58%	-17%	54%	-13%
	2018	41%	53%	-12%	52%	-11%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	Cohort Comparison					
07	2019	39%	56%	-17%	52%	-13%

	ELA												
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District State Comparison		School- State Comparison							
	2018	28%	54%	-26%	51%	-23%							
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison												
Cohort Com	parison	-2%											
08	2019	41%	60%	-19%	56%	-15%							
	2018	44%	59%	-15%	58%	-14%							
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison												
Cohort Com	parison	13%											

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019	34%	58%	-24%	55%	-21%
	2018	46%	56%	-10%	52%	-6%
Same Grade C	omparison	-12%				
Cohort Com	parison					
07	2019	28%	53%	-25%	54%	-26%
	2018	18%	52%	-34%	54%	-36%
Same Grade C	omparison	10%				
Cohort Com	parison	-18%				
08	2019	31%	40%	-9%	46%	-15%
	2018	14%	38%	-24%	45%	-31%
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison					
Cohort Com	parison	13%				

	SCIENCE											
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison						
08	2019	2%	43%	-41%	48%	-46%						
	2018	40%	44%	-4%	50%	-10%						
Same Grade C	omparison	-38%										
Cohort Com	parison											

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	64%	68%	-4%	67%	-3%
2018	41%	65%	-24%	65%	-24%
C	ompare	23%			
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	61%	73%	-12%	71%	-10%

		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2018	49%	72%	-23%	71%	-22%
Co	ompare	12%			
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		ALGEB	RA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	75%	63%	12%	61%	14%
2018	30%	59%	-29%	62%	-32%
Co	ompare	45%			
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	68%	54%	14%	57%	11%
2018	82%	54%	28%	56%	26%
Co	ompare	-14%			

Subgroup Data

		2019	SCHOO	OL GRAD	F COME	ONENT	S BY SI	IBGRO	IIPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	20			20							
ELL	23	50	49	32	55	58	6	50	52		
BLK	52	63		24	67				64		
HSP	40	55	51	44	61	62	29	67	75		
FRL	42	55	50	41	61	64	30	64	75		
2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
ELL	15	46	39	20	45	42	16	32	24		
BLK	36	38		20	29			55	38		
HSP	38	51	48	35	47	54	41	50	38		
FRL	38	49	44	33	46	52	42	52	39		
		2017	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMP	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
ELL	14	34	35	23	41	52	28	30	36		

	2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16		
BLK	29	48		30	50								
HSP	34	41	36	40	47	41	38	53	54				
FRL	35	44	35	39	48	45	40	56	54				

ESSA Federal Index

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)				
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	52			
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students				
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	1			
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	39			
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	521			
Total Components for the Federal Index	10			
Percent Tested	100%			
Subgroup Data				
Students With Disabilities				
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	20			
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%				
English Language Learners				
Federal Index - English Language Learners	41			
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%				
Native American Students				
Federal Index - Native American Students				
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Asian Students				
Federal Index - Asian Students				
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				

Asian Students				
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Black/African American Students				
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	54			
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO			
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Hispanic Students				
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	52			
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO			
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Multiracial Students				
Federal Index - Multiracial Students				
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Pacific Islander Students				
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students				
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%				
White Students				
Federal Index - White Students				
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Economically Disadvantaged Students				
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	52			
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%				

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

The data component showing the lowest performance is Science Achievement at 29% proficiency. The contributing factors to this is the limited language proficiency and tier 3 content vocabulary inquisition.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

The data component demonstrating the greatest decline from the prior year is the Science Achievement from 41 to 29. The contributing factor is the limited english acquisition and vocabulary.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

The data component demonstrating the greatest gap when compared to the state average is Science at a 22 % points difference. The contributing factor is the number of english language learners, due to their limited english proficiency and vocabulary acquisition.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The data demonstrating the most improvement is in the area of Math Learning Gains from 48% to 64%. The school reorganized the teachers assignments, provided intensified instruction, tutoring, and implementation of instructional programs.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

Two potential areas of concerns reflecting on the EWS Data are Previous Year FSA Level 1 and 2 Score in Lang Arts (English) and Math.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

The highest priorities for our school wide improvement in the upcoming school year is in Science Achievement.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1 **Title** If instruction is increased In ELA/Reading, then students' achievement will improve. The practice of aligning learning to standards also helps to ensure higher level of student Rationale achievement, and guides teachers in the process of assessment. Teachers Rationale follow standards based instruction to ensure that their students meet the demands targeted. State the measurable outcome the Student achievement in English Language Arts will increase by 5 percentage points to school 47%. plans to achieve Person responsible Stephany Papili (spapili7@dadeschools.net) for monitoring outcome Evidence-Universal Design, Marzano's Taxonomy, and Webb's Depth of Knowledge will continue to based be implemented in order to increase the level of rigor in instruction. Strategy The practice of aligning learning to standards also helps to ensure higher level of student Rationale for achievement, and guides teachers in the process of assessment. Teachers follow standards based instruction to ensure that their students meet the demands targeted. Evidence-Marzano's Taxonomy and Webb's Depth of Knowledge are both scales of cognitive based demands to align standards with assessments Strategy Action Step 1. Teachers will be provided with professional development opportunities pertaining to: Rigor, Unpacking the Standards-ELA, and I-Ready Data Driven Instruction. 2. Implementation on IReady online instruction. 3. Implementation of extended school day to be used ELA and Math tutorial; 120 mins per

- 3. Implementation of extended school day to be used ELA and Math tutorial; 120 mins per week.
- **Description** weel
 - 4. Ellevation in Class for ELL instruction as well as ELL PD.
 - 5. Ongoing progress monitoring, every 20 days.
 - 6. Biweekly assessments using iReady Standards Mastery.
 - 7. Implementation of Flocabulary for Vocabulary enrichment.

Person Responsible

Stephany Papili (spapili7@dadeschools.net)

#2				
Title	If instruction is increased in Mathematics, then students' achievement will improve.			
Rationale	Aligning learning to standards helps ensure a higher level of student achievement, and Rationale guides teachers in the process of assessment, if teachers follow a standard based instructional model to ensure that their students meet the demands of the learning target.			
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve	Student achievement in 6th Grade General Mathematics will increase by 5 percentage points to 39%. Student achievement in 7th Grade General Mathematics will increase by 5 percentage points to 33%. Student achievement in 8th Grade General Mathematics will increase by 5 percentage points to 36%. Student achievement in Algebra 1 will increase by 5 percentage points to 80%. Student achievement in Geometry will increase by 5 percentage points to 73%.			
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	Stephany Papili (spapili7@dadeschools.net)			
Evidence- based Strategy	Universal Design, Marzano's Taxonomy, and Webb's Depth of Knowledge will continue to be implemented in order to increase the level of rigor in instruction.			
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy	The practice of aligning learning to standards also helps to ensure higher level of student achievement, and guides teachers in the process of assessment. Teachers follow standards based instruction to ensure that their students meet the demands targeted. Marzano's Taxonomy and Webb's Depth of Knowledge are both scales of cognitive demands to align standards with assessments			
Action Step				
Description	 Teachers will be provided with professional development opportunities pertaining to: iCads Rigor, Carnegie, and IReady Data Driven Instruction (Progress Monitoring) Carnegie, Mathia (Pre-Algebra & Algebra 1 Progress Monitoring) ELLevation in Class (ELL) Implementation of HRW (Geometry Progress Monitoring), PrepWorks, Mathia (Alg.1), iReady Online Instruction (General Math), and Khan Academy (supplement). Implementation of Extended school day to be used for one Math tutoring weekly (60 minutes). Data Driven Progress Monitoring measured by iReady Standard Mastery (Gen. Math), Topic Assessments (Geom. & Alg.1). 			
Person Responsible	Stephany Papili (spapili7@dadeschools.net)			

#3					
Title	If instruction is increased in Civics, the students' achievement will improve. Aligning learning to standards helps ensure a higher level of student achievement, and Rationale guides teachers in the process of assessment, if teachers follow a standard based instructional model to ensure that their students meet the demands of the learning target.				
Rationale					
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve	Student achievement in Social Studies (Civics) will increase by 5 percentage points to 66%.				
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	Stephany Papili (spapili7@dadeschools.net)				
Evidence- based Strategy	Universal Design, Marzano's Taxonomy, and Webb's Depth of Knowledge will continue to be implemented in order to increase the level of rigor in instruction.				
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy	The practice of aligning learning to standards also helps to ensure higher level of student achievement, and guides teachers in the process of assessment. Teachers follow standards based instruction to ensure that their students meet the demands targeted. Marzano's Taxonomy and Webb's Depth of Knowledge are both scales of cognitive demands to align standards with assessments				
Action Step					
Description	 Teachers will be provided with professional development opportunities pertaining to: Rigor Unpacking the Standards, ELLevation in the Classroom and iCads Implementation of PrepWorks for ongoing progress monitoring. Data Driven Assessment measured by Topic Assessments. 				
Person Responsible	Stephany Papili (spapili7@dadeschools.net)				

#4				
Title	If instruction is increased in Science, the students' achievement will improve.			
Rationale	Aligning learning to standards helps ensure a higher level of student achievement, and Rationale guides teachers in the process of assessment, if teachers follow a standard based instructional model to ensure that their students meet the demands of the learning target.			
State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve	Student achievement in Science (8th Grade Comprehensive Science) will increase by 5 percentage points to 7%. Student achievement in Science (Biology) will increase by 5 percentage points to 69%.			
Person responsible for monitoring outcome	Stephany Papili (spapili7@dadeschools.net)			
Evidence- based Strategy	Universal Design, Marzano's Taxonomy, and Webb's Depth of Knowledge will continue to be implemented in order to increase the level of rigor in instruction.			
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy	The practice of aligning learning to standards also helps to ensure higher level of student achievement, and guides teachers in the process of assessment. Teachers follow standards based instruction to ensure that their students meet the demands targeted. Marzano's Taxonomy and Webb's Depth of Knowledge are both scales of cognitive demands to align standards with assessments			
Action Step				
Description	 Teachers will be provided with professional development opportunities pertaining to: Rigor Unpacking the Standards, iCads, and ELLevation in the Class. Implementation of Gizmos, IXL (General Comprehensive Science), and Edgenuity (Biology). Implementation of CIS (comprehension instructional sequence) CER (claim evidence reason). Data Driven Instruction measured by Topic Assessment for ongoing progress monitoring. Implementation of after-school tutoring. 			
Person Responsible	Stephany Papili (spapili7@dadeschools.net)			

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

Part IV: Title I Requirements

Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Schoolwide Improvement Plan to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

The school's PFEP is attached.

PFEP Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

To ensure that the students social-emotional needs are being met, the school employs a Dean of Students and a guidance counselor to address the counseling, mentoring, and any other guidance services that the student's may need.

Principal – Stephany Papili

Assistant Principal - Federal and State Compliance - Jacqueline Sera-Sirven

Assistant Principal & Instructional Leader-Social Studies-Mildrelis Rieumont

Instructional Leader Mathematics-Mildrelis Rieumont

Exception Student Education (ESE) Instructional Leader -Raquel Mejia

English Language Learner (ELL) Instructional Leader-Mrs Auster

Instructional Leader ELA/Reading- Rommy Saavedra

Instructional Leader-World Language-Lianet Rodriguez

Instructional Leader-Digital Learning-Ruben Saavedra

Instructional Leader-Science-Wajida Qureshi

Technology Specialist – Marcos Padron

School Psychologist – Brenda Johnson

Speech Language Pathologist – Ms. McCalla

Guidance Counselor (Mental Health) – Abinel Marquez

Dean of Students – Novelette Lindsay

Jila Rezaie - Executive Director

Guidance Counselor (Mental Health):

The guidance counselor supports learning through the provision of discussion forums around such key issues as effective program design, through effective assessment strategies and interpersonal relations for our students. The guidance counselor leads many student support services meetings and facilitates the productive outcome that enhances the learning environment for many of our students. The guidance counselor impacts both the affective and effective domains of learning school wide.

Dean of Students:

The Dean of Students will provide interventions; continue to link child-serving and community agencies to the schools and families to support the child's academic, emotional, behavioral, and social success.

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

Our elementary school serves as a feeder pattern school for our middle school; through articulation of students, our students transition from 5th grade to 6th grade. Our 5th grade students, who attend our Elementary school, visit the Secondary school, through a field trip where they meet w/ the sixth grade students, and have an orientation with the teachers, about athletics, courses, and extra curricular activities. Parents are also invited to a 6th grade orientation, which takes place on a parent night. Students who would like to enroll in our sixth grade from outside schools are also invited to the orientation nights for parents, where they learn about the schools mission, vision, and programs. Parent Nights take place at the beginning of the school year. Our guidance counselor follows our students' academic and socio-emotional progress and facilitates the feeder pattern progress throughout our students' K-12 journey. Our middle school is not only housed in the same location as our high school but also serves as a feeder pattern school for our high school; through articulation of students, our students transition from 8th grade to 9th grade. Dual enrollment, Advanced Placement, and Microsoft/Digital Design certification courses are offered throughout our students secondary school years. The IReady program is implemented in our students reading and general math classes to further provide intervention instruction.

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

The eMTSS/Rtl Leadership Team to addresses how we can utilize the Rtl process to enhance data collection, data analysis, problem solving, differentiated assistance, and progress monitoring.

- The MTSS will meet monthly to discuss data derived from the ongoing interventions and to discuss the problem solving process to support planning, implementing, and evaluating effectiveness of services.
- Administration will monitor instruction and curriculum to ensure students are receiving the correct level of support whether universal, supplemental, or intensive.
- Administration will also monitor the implementation of RtI to ensure compliance with intervention and documentation, provide adequate professional development to support RtI implementation, and communicate with parents regarding school-based RtI plans and activities.
- The Instructional Leader will provide guidance on the K-12 reading plan, facilitate and support data collection activities, assist in data analysis and provide technical assistance to teachers regarding data-based instructional planning, and support the implementation of Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 intervention plans.
- Classroom teachers and SPED teachers will provide information about core instruction, participate in student data collection, deliver Tier 1 instruction/intervention, collaborate with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrate Tier 1 materials/instruction with Tier 2 activities.
- The counselor, school psychologist, and other student services personnel will meet with the team to address specific problems/concerns.

The team will implement PD/resources and also collaborate regularly, problem solve, share effective practices, evaluate implementation, make decisions, and practice new processes and skill as well as an implementation plan.

Behavior will be monitored and managed in the following manner: Student behavior will be monitored using the Student Case Management System (SCAM) referrals, referred to administration/counselor to determine need for further actions including suspensions/expulsions. Based on this data, the school will adjust the delivery of behavior management system.

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

Opportunities are provided for Miami Community Charter High School students since they are in the middle school. Eligible students may take high school course such as Algebra I, Geometry and Biology in the middle school. Moreover, eligible students are identified to participate in dual enrollment from the summer of ninth grade. These groups of students are guided through our dual enrollment program during their high school years.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: If instruction is increased In ELA/Reading, then students' achievement will improve.				\$105,342.00
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2019-20
	7730	100-Salaries	6048 - Miami Community Charter Middle School	Title, I Part A		\$46,818.00
	Notes: Social Studies Teacher Salary					
	7730	100-Salaries	6048 - Miami Community Charter Middle School	Title, I Part A		\$44,944.00
	Notes: Math Teacher Salary					
	6500	519-Technology-Related Supplies	6048 - Miami Community Charter Middle School	Title, I Part A		\$12,080.00
	Notes: iReady Reading and Mathematics Online Instruction					
	3376	310-Professional and Technical Services	6048 - Miami Community Charter Middle School	Title, I Part A		\$1,500.00
	Notes: iReady Reading and Mathematics Teacher Training Professional					Development
2	Areas of Focus: If instruction is increased in Mathematics, then students' achievement will improve.				\$0.00	
3	3 III.A. Areas of Focus: If instruction is increased in Civics, the students' achievement will improve.			\$0.00		
4 III.A. Areas of Focus: If instruction is increased in Science, the students' achievement will improve.				\$0.00		
Total:					\$105,342.00	