Miami-Dade County Public Schools

Mater Academy East Middle School



2019-20 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

| School Demographics | 3 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
| School Information | 6 |
| Needs Assessment | 8 |
| Planning for Improvement | 14 |
| Title I Requirements | 15 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 17 |

Mater Academy East Middle School

998 SW 1ST ST, Miami, FL 33130

www.materacademyeastmiddlehigh.org

Demographics

Principal: Jenny Agu IR Re

Start Date for this Principal: 8/8/2016

| 2019-20 Status (per MSID File) | Closed: 2022-08-17 |
|---|--------------------------|
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | Middle School 6-8 |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education |
| 2018-19 Title I School | No |
| 2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 0% |
| 2018-19 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | |
| | 2018-19: A (67%) |
| | 2017-18: B (60%) |
| School Grades History | 2016-17: C (52%) |
| · | 2015-16: C (53%) |
| | 2014-15: C (50%) |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information | ı* |
| SI Region | Southeast |
| Regional Executive Director | LaShawn Russ-Porterfield |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A |
| Year | |
| Support Tier | |
| ESSA Status | N/A |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more | |

School Board Approval

N/A

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| | |
| School Information | 6 |
| | |
| Needs Assessment | 8 |
| | |
| Planning for Improvement | 14 |
| | |
| Title I Requirements | 15 |
| | |
| Budget to Support Goals | 17 |

Mater Academy East Middle School

998 SW 1ST ST, Miami, FL 33130

www.materacademyeastmiddlehigh.org

School Demographics

| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | 2018-19 Title I School | 2018-19 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) |
|--|------------------------|---|
| Middle School 6-8 | Yes | 92% |

| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | Charter School | 2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2) |
|---|----------------|---|
| K-12 General Education | Yes | 99% |

School Grades History

| Year | 2018-19 | 2017-18 | 2016-17 | 2015-16 |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Grade | А | В | С | С |

School Board Approval

N/A

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

The Mission of the District is:

- · Meaningful achievement of
- Academics facilitated by
- Teachers, administrators, parents & the community
- Enabling students to become confident, self-directed &
- Responsible lifelong learners.

The mission of Mater Academy East Charter Middle School is to provide an innovative, challenging curriculum in an environment that furthers a philosophy of respect and high expectations for all students, parents, faculty and staff. We will strive to create a thirst for knowledge in all disciplines of the curriculum and enrich every student in their future educational endeavors.

Provide the school's vision statement.

The Vision of Mater Academy, Inc. is to provide students a viable educational choice that offers an innovative, rigorous, and seamless college preparatory curriculum, providing Mater students, at every level from PK-12th grade, with a competitive advantage against their contemporaries. To that end, Mater Schools strive to:

- create a thirst for knowledge in all disciplines;
- kindle the art of thinking and serve as a springboard for lifelong learning; and
- deliver and enrich every student with a sense of purpose, a belief in their own efficacy, and a commitment to the common good.

The vision of Mater East Academy Middle/High School is to deliver a first-class academic program with a seamless curriculum that enables students to become productive citizens who are prepared to address the challenges of the twenty-first century.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address and position title for each member of the school leadership team:

| Name | Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|----------------------|---------------------------|---|
| Aguirre, Jenny | Principal | |
| Gonzalez, Juan | Instructional Coach | |
| Diaz, Eduardo | Administrative Support | |
| Puente, Lourdes | Teacher, K-12 | |
| Estrada, Maggie | Administrative Support | |
| Alvarez, Cristina | School Counselor | Our Guidance Counselor, Isabel Cabrera, provides guidance services and character education to our students. |

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator | | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|-------------|---|---|---|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|-------|--|--|--|
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total | | | |
| Number of students enrolled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 51 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 147 | | | |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | | | |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | | | |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| la dia eta a | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

FTE units allocated to school (total number of teacher units)

7

Date this data was collected or last updated

Friday 9/6/2019

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator Grade Level Total

Attendance below 90 percent

One or more suspensions

Course failure in ELA or Math

Level 1 on statewide assessment

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator Grade Level Total

Students with two or more indicators

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| Sahaal Crada Company | | 2019 | | 2018 | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--|
| School Grade Component | School | District | State | School | District | State | |
| ELA Achievement | 84% | 58% | 54% | 55% | 53% | 52% | |
| ELA Learning Gains | 63% | 58% | 54% | 52% | 55% | 54% | |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile | 58% | 52% | 47% | 32% | 48% | 44% | |
| Math Achievement | 82% | 58% | 58% | 68% | 54% | 56% | |
| Math Learning Gains | 54% | 56% | 57% | 72% | 56% | 57% | |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | 59% | 54% | 51% | 71% | 51% | 50% | |
| Science Achievement | 62% | 52% | 51% | 38% | 50% | 50% | |
| Social Studies Achievement | 97% | 74% | 72% | 46% | 70% | 70% | |

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

| Indicator | Grade L | Grade Level (prior year reported) | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|--------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| indicator | 6 | 7 | 8 | Total | | | | | |
| Number of students enrolled | 58 (0) | 51 (0) | 38 (0) | 147 (0) | | | | | |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 () | 3 () | 2 () | 5 (0) | | | | | |
| One or more suspensions | 1 (0) | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 1 (0) | | | | | |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 10 (0) | 5 (0) | 3 (0) | 18 (0) | | | | | |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 1 (0) | 1 (0) | | | | | |

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

NOTE: An asterisk (*) in any cell indicates the data has been suppressed due to fewer than 10 students tested, or all tested students scoring the same.

| | | | ELA | | | |
|--------------|-----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 06 | 2019 | 87% | 58% | 29% | 54% | 33% |
| | 2018 | 80% | 53% | 27% | 52% | 28% |
| Same Grade C | omparison | 7% | | | | |
| Cohort Com | parison | | | | | |
| 07 | 2019 | 90% | 56% | 34% | 52% | 38% |
| | 2018 | 80% | 54% | 26% | 51% | 29% |
| Same Grade C | omparison | 10% | | | | |
| Cohort Com | parison | 10% | | | | |
| 08 | 2019 | 76% | 60% | 16% | 56% | 20% |
| | 2018 | 59% | 59% | 0% | 58% | 1% |
| Same Grade C | omparison | 17% | | | | |
| Cohort Com | parison | -4% | | | | |

| | MATH | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison | | | |
| 06 | 2019 | 85% | 58% | 27% | 55% | 30% | | | |
| | 2018 | 86% | 56% | 30% | 52% | 34% | | | |
| Same Grade C | omparison | -1% | | | | | | | |
| Cohort Com | parison | | | | | | | | |
| 07 | 2019 | 90% | 53% | 37% | 54% | 36% | | | |
| | 2018 | 60% | 52% | 8% | 54% | 6% | | | |
| Same Grade C | omparison | 30% | | | | | | | |
| Cohort Com | parison | 4% | | | | | | | |
| 08 | 2019 | 64% | 40% | 24% | 46% | 18% | | | |
| | 2018 | 57% | 38% | 19% | 45% | 12% | | | |
| Same Grade C | omparison | 7% | | | | | | | |

| | | | MATH | | | |
|-------------------|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| Cohort Comparison | | 4% | | | | |

| | SCIENCE | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison | | | |
| 08 | 2019 | 62% | 43% | 19% | 48% | 14% | | | |
| | 2018 | 40% | 44% | -4% | 50% | -10% | | | |
| Same Grade Comparison | | 22% | | | | | | | |
| Cohort Comparison | | | | | | | | | |

| | | BIOLO | GY EOC | | |
|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | | | | | |
| 2018 | | | | | |
| | | CIVIC | S EOC | | |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | 97% | 73% | 24% | 71% | 26% |
| 2018 | 93% | 72% | 21% | 71% | 22% |
| Co | ompare | 4% | | | |
| | | HISTO | RY EOC | | |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | | | | | |
| 2018 | | | | | |
| ' | | ALGEE | RA EOC | • | |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | 86% | 63% | 23% | 61% | 25% |
| 2018 | 75% | 59% | 16% | 62% | 13% |
| Co | ompare | 11% | | | |
| | | GEOME | TRY EOC | | |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | | | | | <u> </u> |
| | | | | | |

Subgroup Data

| | 2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2017-18 | C & C Accel 2017-18 |
| ELL | 85 | 64 | 73 | 79 | 51 | 56 | | 92 | | | |
| HSP | 84 | 63 | 58 | 82 | 55 | 59 | 62 | 97 | 43 | | |
| FRL | 84 | 61 | 57 | 80 | 52 | 57 | 59 | 97 | 42 | | |
| | | 2018 | SCHO | OL GRAD | E COMF | ONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2016-17 | C & C Accel 2016-17 |
| ELL | 58 | 81 | 83 | 65 | 54 | 50 | 10 | | | | |
| HSP | 70 | 72 | 66 | 68 | 54 | 47 | 40 | 93 | 32 | | |
| FRL | 70 | 73 | 66 | 71 | 56 | 53 | 41 | 93 | 33 | | |
| | | 2017 | SCHO | OL GRAD | E COMP | ONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2015-16 | C & C Accel 2015-16 |
| ELL | 27 | 35 | 26 | 54 | 72 | 70 | 9 | 26 | | | |
| HSP | 53 | 50 | 32 | 67 | 71 | 71 | 37 | 45 | 39 | | |
| FRL | 54 | 52 | 33 | 69 | 73 | 75 | 37 | 47 | 38 | | |

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

| ESSA Federal Index | |
|---|------|
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I) | N/A |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students | 67 |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students | NO |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 0 |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency | |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index | 602 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index | 9 |
| Percent Tested | 100% |
| | |

Students With Disabilities Federal Index - Students With Disabilities Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%

| English Language Learners | | | | |
|---|----|--|--|--|
| Federal Index - English Language Learners | 71 | | | |

| English Language Learners | |
|--|-----|
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Native American Students | |
| Federal Index - Native American Students | |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Asian Students | |
| Federal Index - Asian Students | |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Black/African American Students | |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students | |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Hispanic Students | |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students | 67 |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Multiracial Students | |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students | |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| Pacific Islander Students | |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students | |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% | |
| White Students | |
| Federal Index - White Students | |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% | |

| Economically Disadvantaged Students | |
|--|----|
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | 65 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32% | |

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

The data component that showed the lowest performance was acceleration. Student data in math indicated low performance in Algebra I standards which lead to low enrollment in Algebra I and increased enrollment in middle school courses. Although this is our lowest component, there was an increase from 32% to 43% in acceleration.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

There was a 9 percentage point decline in English Language Arts (ELA) learning gains from the prior year. A contributing factor could be that there was an 8 percentage point decline in our lowest 25% for ELA.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Our data component with the greatest gap was in ELA. Our school earned a score of 84.4% achievement in ELA compared to 57% average achievement statewide.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

7th grade math increased from 60% achievement to 90% achievement. There was an increased focus on data and continuation of the implementation of one and a half hours of after school tutoring sessions that took place 2 to 3 times a week using Standards based Instruction resources.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern? (see Guidance tab for additional information)

We will continue track attendance and implement strategies to improve attendance rates at our school.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Improving acceleration rates.
- 2. Improving achievement in 8th grade math.
- 3. Improving achievement rates overall for the lowest 25% in math.
- 4. Improve overall learning gains in ELA.
- 5. Improve overall learning gains in ELA for the lowest 25%.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

| Areas of Focus: | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| #1 | | | |
| Title | To Improve student achievement by improving core instruction in math. | | |
| Rationale | Students need to improve their ability to comprehend grade level math standards as evidenced by low scores in learning gains on the 2019 math FSA (54%). | | |
| State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve | Learning gains in math will improve from 54% to 64%. | | |
| Person responsible for monitoring outcome | Juan Gonzalez (jgonzalez@matereast.com) | | |
| Evidence-based Strategy | Review of strategy instruction; lesson plans; classroom walk-throughs; gradebook reviews; and assessment data. | | |
| Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy | achievement. Therefore, we will focus on the areas of instruction, lesson plans | | |
| Action Step | | | |
| Description | Monitor data through iReady Progress Monitoring. Professional development will given to all teachers regarding differentiated instruction. 4. 5. | | |

Jenny Aguirre (jaguirre@dadeschools.net)

Person Responsible

| #2 | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Title | To Improve student achievement by improving core instruction in ELA. | | |
| Rationale | Students need to improve their ability to comprehend ELA standards as evidenced by low scores in learning gains on the 2019 ELA FSA which decreased from 72% to 63%. | | |
| State the measurable outcome the school plans to achieve | Learning gains in ELA will improve from 63% to 73%. | | |
| Person responsible for monitoring outcome | Juan Gonzalez (jgonzalez@matereast.com) | | |
| Evidence-based Strategy | Review of strategy instruction; lesson plans; classroom walk-throughs; gradebook reviews; and assessment data. | | |
| Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy | Data has shown that quality planning and instruction leads to higher student achievement. Therefore, we will focus on the areas of instruction; lesson plans, classroom walk-throughs, gradebook reviews, and assessment data to increase student achievement. | | |
| Action Step | | | |
| Description | Monitor data through iReady Progress Monitoring. Professional development will given to all teachers regarding differentiated instruction. 3. 4. 5. | | |
| Person Responsible | Jenny Aguirre (jaguirre@dadeschools.net) | | |
| | | | |

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities (optional)

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities (see the Guidance tab for more information).

The school will provide teachers with meaningful professional development opportunities in the areas of social studies and science.

Part IV: Title I Requirements

Additional Title I Requirements

This section must be completed if the school is implementing a Title I, Part A schoolwide program and opts to use the Schoolwide Improvement Plan to satisfy the requirements of the schoolwide program plan, as outlined in the Every Student Succeeds Act, Public Law No. 114-95, § 1114(b). This section is not required for non-Title I schools.

Describe how the school plans to build positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students.

We implement a number of different strategies that help with parental and community engagement. We schedule a number of parent events and meetings throughout the year intended to keep families informed with the most up-to-date information pertaining to their child's education. During these events, the school administration and staff are able to communicate important dates, overall student progress. and school-wide activities. Parents are encouraged to volunteer at the school through our Parental Volunteer Program. Parents volunteer their time in the classroom, school activities, field trips, and school fundraisers. Our Community Involvement Specialist (CIS) assists with maintaining an open line of communication between the families, school, and community. Our CIS conducts informational meetings and training for parents, surveys the community to identify and assist in areas of critical need, and performs home visits together with the Principal to conduct wellness visits for children and families in need. Additionally, Parent Orientations are held prior to the start of the school year, thereby allowing for teachers and parents to meet and communicate important information regarding expectations, requirements, and coursework. Once the school year has commenced, the school hosts quarterly Parent Nights that have proven successful in establishing a line of communication which develops throughout the duration of the school year. Our school also includes parents in the decision-making process at the school. The Educational Excellence School Advisory Council meetings serve as an excellent opportunity for parents to communicate their voices and perspectives in regard to implementing school-wide decisions. This allows parents to be involved with the success of the school and offers transparency to decisions made throughout the school year. In addition, the school holds partnerships with community organizations such as the City of Miami Parks and Recreation, Publix Supermarkets, McDonald's, Verizon Innovative Learning, and Digital Promise.

PFEP Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Describe how the school ensures the social-emotional needs of all students are being met, which may include providing counseling, mentoring and other pupil services.

We strive to provide opportunities to better motivate and engage the overall student body. One of the strategies that we find effective in engaging and motivating students is to hold events and field trips that reward student success and positive behavior. Our school's Activities Director plans activities throughout the school year such as school-wide pep rallies and assemblies that motivate and celebrate the hard work students put forth throughout the school year. The school has also implemented Facing History and Ourselves, a part of the Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning. This program allows for students to understand and manage emotions, set and achieve positive goals, feel and show empathy for others, establish and maintain positive relationships, and make responsible decisions. In addition to that, our school counselor is and dean of Students provide behavioral support and character education to our students.

Describe the strategies the school employs to support incoming and outgoing cohorts of students in transition from one school level to another.

Grade/ subject level meetings are held at the beginning of each school year to discuss previous year's data with students. There is a presentation which discusses the graduation requirements for the varying cohorts that students are placed into. This assists students and parents with decisions on what type of program (Finance, Dual Enrollment) they wish to participate in after 8th grade as they matriculate from Mater East Middle into Mater East High. In addition, as students are ready to move from 8th grade into 9th, the Guidance Counselor hosts another grade level meeting just before subject selection cards are distributed to all students. During this meeting, the counselor will review graduation requirements and advanced placement opportunities and discusses what they should be focusing on for next year. Students then meet with the Guidance Counselor on an individual basis if they are unclear about their path for the following school year or have any other questions regarding their academic plan.

Describe the process through which school leadership identifies and aligns all available resources (e.g., personnel, instructional, curricular) in order to meet the needs of all students and maximize desired student outcomes. Include the methodology for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services and programs. Provide the person(s) responsible, frequency of meetings, how an inventory of resources is maintained and any problem-solving activities used to determine how to apply resources for the highest impact.

The MTSS Problem-Solving process is used to first carry out, monitor, and adjust if necessary, the supports that are defined in the SIP. Annual goals are translated into progress monitoring (3 times per year) and ongoing progress monitoring measures (approximately once per month) that can reliably track progress on a schedule based on student need across Tiers.

Tier 2 supports are provided to students who have not met proficiency or who are at risk of not meeting proficiency. The Leadership Team use the Tier 1 Problem Solving process to set Tier 1 goals, and

monitors academic and behavioral data to evaluate progress towards those goals at least three times per year. The second level of support consists of supplemental instruction and interventions

provided in addition to and in alignment with effective core instruction and behavioral supports to groups of targeted students who need additional instructional and/or behavioral support. Tier 2 problem solving meetings occur regularly (monthly is suggested). Finally, MTSS End of Year Tier 1 problem solving evaluates the SIP efforts and dictates strategies for the next year's SIP. At this time, previous years trend data across grade levels is used to examine impact grades for support focus or prevention/early intervention efforts.

Describe the strategies the school uses to advance college and career awareness, which may include establishing partnerships with business, industry or community organizations.

All of the students at Mater Academy East are addressed at a general assembly with regards to the curriculum bulletin and course selection. The students then meet individually with the Counselor to discuss career goals and expectations. Students at the middle school level have the opportunity to take courses that account for high school credit in the areas of Science, Math, and Foreign Language.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

| 1 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: To Improve in math. | \$1,186.92 | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------|-----|------------|
| | Function | Object | Budget Focus | Funding Source | FTE | 2019-20 |
| | | | 6009 - Mater Academy East Middle School | General Fund | | \$1,186.92 |
| Notes: Math Performance Coach | | | | | | |
| 2 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: To Improve in ELA. | \$1,088.01 | | | |
| | Function | Object | Budget Focus | Funding Source | FTE | 2019-20 |
| | | | 6009 - Mater Academy East Middle School | General Fund | | \$1,088.01 |

| Total: | \$2,274.93 |
|---------|------------|
| i otal: | ΨΖ,Ζ1 4.55 |