**Gadsden County Schools** 

# **Gadsden Central Academy**



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	15
Positive Culture & Environment	15
Budget to Support Goals	16

# **Gadsden Central Academy**

655 SOUTH STEWART ST, Quincy, FL 32351

www.gadsdenschools.org

# **Demographics**

Principal: Willie Jackson

Start Date for this Principal: 9/22/2020

<b>2019-20 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School KG-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Special Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* Black/African American Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2018-19: No Grade 2017-18: No Grade 2016-17: No Grade 2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) In	nformation*
SI Region	Northwest
Regional Executive Director	Rachel Heide
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
I eai	
Support Tier	

## **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Gadsden County School Board.

### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

#### **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	15
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	16

Last Modified: 4/23/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 4 of 16

# **Gadsden Central Academy**

655 SOUTH STEWART ST, Quincy, FL 32351

www.gadsdenschools.org

#### **School Demographics**

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2019-20 Title I School	2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Combination School KG-12	No	%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
Special Education	No	%

### **School Grades History**

Year

Grade

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Gadsden County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

#### **Part I: School Information**

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

- 1. To transform all learners through positive relationships, academic instruction, and community collaboration for
- success in a global society.
- 2. To ensure that students are college and career ready to be productive in society
- 3. To increase the graduation rate our district of behavioral challenged students in the Exceptional Student

**Education Department** 

4. To increase student's exposure to STEM-Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

Gadsden Central Academy envisions a society where people live and thrive in communities that promote and

support emotional behavioral health and wellness to assist students in becoming graduates and independent productive citizens.

### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
,		As the school leader, I am the face of Gadsden Central Academy. I lead the teachers and staff, set goals and ensure students meet their learning objectives. Additionally, I oversee the day-to-day operations,, handle disciplinary matters and other personnel matters.

#### **Demographic Information**

#### Principal start date

Tuesday 9/22/2020, Willie Jackson

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

5

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

#### Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

5

## **Demographic Data**

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School KG-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Special Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* Black/African American Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2018-19: No Grade 2017-18: No Grade 2016-17: No Grade 2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) In	formation*
SI Region	Northwest
Regional Executive Director	Rachel Heide
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Cod	e. For more information, click here.

# **Early Warning Systems**

#### **Current Year**

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

lu dinata u						Gra	ade	e Le	eve	l				Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	2	4	5	5	5	26
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	eve	ı				Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	2	4	5	5	5	26

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

### Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 9/22/2020

## **Prior Year - As Reported**

## The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	2	1	3	3	3	1	6	5	5	2	31	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	3	1	5	0	0	0	16	

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	2	1	0	2	3	0	0	2	3	2	15

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3		

#### **Prior Year - Updated**

## The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel				Total	
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	2	1	3	3	3	1	6	5	5	2	31
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	3	1	5	0	0	0	16

## The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level											Total	
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Students with two or more indicators		0	0	2	1	0	2	3	0	0	2	3	2	15

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

la dia atau						Gr	ade	e Le	evel					Total
Indicator		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times		0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3

# Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

#### **School Data**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sahaal Crada Campanant		2019		2018				
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State		
ELA Achievement	0%	50%	61%	0%	50%	57%		
ELA Learning Gains	0%	52%	59%	0%	54%	57%		
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	55%	54%	0%	49%	51%		
Math Achievement	0%	57%	62%	0%	59%	58%		
Math Learning Gains	0%	52%	59%	0%	55%	56%		
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	46%	52%	0%	54%	50%		
Science Achievement	0%	47%	56%	0%	51%	53%		
Social Studies Achievement	0%	72%	78%	0%	60%	75%		

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey														
Indicator				Gr	ade L	evel (	prior	year r	eport	ed)				Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	iotai
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)

#### **Grade Level Data**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	0%	37%	-37%	58%	-58%
	2018	0%	40%	-40%	57%	-57%
Same Grade C		0%	10,70		1 01 70	
Cohort Com						
04	2019	0%	41%	-41%	58%	-58%
	2018	0%	38%	-38%	56%	-56%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	•	0%				
05	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Com	parison	0%			'	
06	2019	0%	33%	-33%	54%	-54%
	2018	0%	31%	-31%	52%	-52%
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison				'	
Cohort Com	<u> </u>	0%				
07	2019	0%	40%	-40%	52%	-52%
	2018	0%	33%	-33%	51%	-51%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%			•	
Cohort Com	parison	0%				
08	2019	0%	33%	-33%	56%	-56%
	2018	0%	34%	-34%	58%	-58%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	nparison	0%				
09	2019	0%	34%	-34%	55%	-55%
	2018	0%	27%	-27%	53%	-53%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison	0%				
10	2019	0%	25%	-25%	53%	-53%
	2018	0%	30%	-30%	53%	-53%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	nparison	0%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019			-		-
	2018	0%	56%	-56%	62%	-62%
Cohort Con	nparison				•	
04	2019	0%	50%	-50%	64%	-64%
	2018	0%	49%	-49%	62%	-62%
Same Grade C	Comparison	0%				
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
05	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
06	2019	0%	48%	-48%	55%	-55%
	2018	0%	49%	-49%	52%	-52%
Same Grade C	Comparison	0%				
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
07	2019	0%	52%	-52%	54%	-54%
	2018	0%	40%	-40%	54%	-54%
Same Grade C	Comparison	0%				
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
08	2019	0%	39%	-39%	46%	-46%
	2018	0%	33%	-33%	45%	-45%
Same Grade C	Comparison	0%				
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				

	SCIENCE										
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison					
05	2019										
	2018										
Cohort Com	parison										
08	2019	0%	5%	-5%	48%	-48%					
	2018	0%	7%	-7%	50%	-50%					
Same Grade Comparison		0%									
Cohort Com	parison	0%				_					

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018	0%	34%	-34%	65%	-65%
		CIVIC	CS EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					

		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2018	0%	55%	-55%	71%	-71%
		RY EOC			
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018	0%	38%	-38%	68%	-68%
		ALGEE	BRA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	0%	34%	-34%	61%	-61%
2018	0%	30%	-30%	62%	-62%
Co	ompare	0%			
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	0%	14%	-14%	57%	-57%
2018					

# Subgroup Data

		2019	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	10			10							
BLK	10			10							
FRL	10			10							
	2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
		2017	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16

# **ESSA** Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CS&I
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	6

ESSA Federal Index					
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students					
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target					
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency					
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index					
Total Components for the Federal Index					
Percent Tested					
Subgroup Data					
Students With Disabilities					
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	10				
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES				
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	2				
English Language Learners					
Federal Index - English Language Learners					
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?					
5 5 6 F 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0				
	0				
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0				
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%  Native American Students	0 N/A				
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%  Native American Students  Federal Index - Native American Students					
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%  Native American Students  Federal Index - Native American Students  Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A				
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%  Native American Students  Federal Index - Native American Students  Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	N/A				
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%  Native American Students  Federal Index - Native American Students  Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%  Asian Students	N/A				
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%  Native American Students  Federal Index - Native American Students  Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%  Asian Students  Federal Index - Asian Students	N/A 0				
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%  Native American Students  Federal Index - Native American Students  Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%  Asian Students  Federal Index - Asian Students  Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A 0				
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%  Native American Students  Federal Index - Native American Students  Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%  Asian Students  Federal Index - Asian Students  Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	N/A 0				
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%  Native American Students  Federal Index - Native American Students  Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%  Asian Students  Federal Index - Asian Students  Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%  Black/African American Students	N/A 0 N/A 0				
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%  Native American Students  Federal Index - Native American Students  Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%  Asian Students  Federal Index - Asian Students  Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%  Black/African American Students  Federal Index - Black/African American Students	N/A 0 N/A 0 10				
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%  Native American Students  Federal Index - Native American Students  Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%  Asian Students  Federal Index - Asian Students  Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%  Black/African American Students  Federal Index - Black/African American Students  Black/African American Students  Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A 0 N/A 0 10 YES				
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%  Native American Students  Federal Index - Native American Students  Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%  Asian Students  Federal Index - Asian Students  Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%  Black/African American Students  Federal Index - Black/African American Students  Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	N/A 0 N/A 0 10 YES				
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%  Native American Students  Federal Index - Native American Students  Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%  Asian Students  Federal Index - Asian Students  Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%  Black/African American Students  Federal Index - Black/African American Students  Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?  Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%  Hispanic Students	N/A 0 N/A 0 10 YES				

Multiracial Students						
Federal Index - Multiracial Students						
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?						
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%						
Pacific Islander Students						
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students						
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?						
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%						
White Students						
Federal Index - White Students						
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?						
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%						
Economically Disadvantaged Students						
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	10					
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?						
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%						

#### **Analysis**

#### **Data Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

N/A

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

N/A

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

N/A

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

N/A

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

N/A

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Learning Gains
- 2. Math Learning Gains
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

# Part III: Planning for Improvement

#### **Areas of Focus:**

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: ELA Learning Gains

Measurable Outcome:

Person responsible for monitoring outcome: [no one identified]

**Evidence-based Strategy:** 

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

**Action Steps to Implement** 

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

#### #2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: Math learning gains.

Measurable Outcome:

Person responsible for monitoring outcome: [no one identified]

**Evidence-based Strategy:** 

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

**Action Steps to Implement** 

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

#### **Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities**

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

1. Goal: To improve from a Needs improvement to a "Maintaining" school by the end of the 2020-2021 school year.

#### Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

1. Gadsden Central's Parent and Family Engagement Plan (PFEP) outlines how the school will build positive relationships with all stakehoders to fulfill the school's mission and support the needs of students. This plan satisfies the parent engagement section of the SIP.

## Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

# Part V: Budget

## The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA				\$0.00		
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2020-21		
			9106 - Gadsden Central Academy			\$0.00		
	Notes: No funds are related to this school.							
2 III.A. Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Math					\$0.00			
Total:						\$0.00		