**Alachua County Public Schools** 

# Alachua Learning Academy Elementary



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

## **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	13
Positive Culture & Environment	15
Budget to Support Goals	15

# **Alachua Learning Academy Elementary**

11100 W STATE ROAD 235, Alachua, FL 32615

http://alachualearningcenter.com/

## **Demographics**

Principal: Krishna Rivera

Start Date for this Principal: 10/1/2013

	<u> </u>
2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School KG-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	36%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Hispanic Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: A (77%) 2017-18: A (78%) 2016-17: A (77%) 2015-16: A (66%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	Cassandra Brusca
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	N/A
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. Fo	or more information, <u>click here</u> .

## **School Board Approval**

This plan was approved by the Alachua County School Board on 10/6/2020.

## **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

## **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	13
•	
Fitle I Requirements	0
·	
Budget to Support Goals	15
<del>-</del>	

Last Modified: 5/3/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 4 of 16

## **Alachua Learning Academy Elementary**

11100 W STATE ROAD 235, Alachua, FL 32615

http://alachualearningcenter.com/

## **School Demographics**

School Type and Gi (per MSID		2019-20 Title I School	Disadvant	Economically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)			
Elementary S KG-5	School	Yes		42%			
Primary Servio (per MSID I	• •	Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)			
K-12 General E	ducation	Yes		32%			
School Grades Histo	ory						
Year	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17			
Grade	А	А	Α	Α			

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan was approved by the Alachua County School Board on 10/6/2020.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

## **Purpose and Outline of the SIP**

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Part I: School Information**

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

We are committed to the success of every student.

ALA is a H.E.A.R.T.-based family that fosters our students' eagerness for lifelong learning, and their development of moral character and practical life skills, while preparing them to contribute as valuable members of the community.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

Educating students to become exemplary citizens of the world with H.E.A.R.T..

We will graduate students who have the knowledge, skills, and personal characteristics to be lifelong learners and independent thinkers. Our graduates will excel in their chosen careers and be productive and contributing members of the global community.

## School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Rivera, Krishna	Principal	
Kaseder, Jaya	Administrative Support	

## **Demographic Information**

#### Principal start date

Tuesday 10/1/2013, Krishna Rivera

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

6

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

## Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

6

#### **Demographic Data**

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served	Elementary School
(per MSID File)	KG-5

Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	36%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Hispanic Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
	2018-19: A (77%)
	2017-18: A (78%)
School Grades History	2016-17: A (77%)
	2015-16: A (66%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Inf	formation*
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	Cassandra Brusca
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	N/A
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code	e. For more information, click here.

## **Early Warning Systems**

## **Current Year**

## The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator		Grade Level												
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 9/14/2020

## Prior Year - As Reported

## The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator		Grade Level														
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9		

## The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level												Total
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Students retained two or more times		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## Prior Year - Updated

## The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	6	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9

## The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
Indicator		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## The number of students identified as retainees:

In dia stan	Grade Level												Total	
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Students retained two or more times		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

## **School Data**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019				
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	80%	59%	57%	85%	59%	55%
ELA Learning Gains	57%	57%	58%	72%	61%	57%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	49%	53%	0%	48%	52%
Math Achievement	73%	60%	63%	78%	63%	61%
Math Learning Gains	81%	61%	62%	85%	65%	61%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	49%	51%	0%	50%	51%
Science Achievement	95%	57%	53%	65%	55%	51%

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey											
Indicator		Grade Level (prior year reported)									
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	Total				
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)				

## **Grade Level Data**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	82%	57%	25%	58%	24%
	2018	67%	56%	11%	57%	10%
Same Grade C	omparison	15%				
Cohort Com	Cohort Comparison					
04	2019	59%	55%	4%	58%	1%
	2018	88%	54%	34%	56%	32%
Same Grade C	omparison	-29%				
Cohort Com	parison	-8%				
05	2019	100%	55%	45%	56%	44%
	2018	86%	55%	31%	55%	31%
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison					
Cohort Com	parison	12%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	59%	58%	1%	62%	-3%
	2018	61%	60%	1%	62%	-1%
Same Grade C	omparison	-2%				
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2019	73%	60%	13%	64%	9%
	2018	100%	60%	40%	62%	38%
Same Grade C	omparison	-27%				
Cohort Com	parison	12%				
05	2019	80%	57%	23%	60%	20%
	2018	91%	61%	30%	61%	30%
Same Grade C	omparison	-11%			•	
Cohort Com	parison	-20%				

			SCIENCE			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2019	95%	55%	40%	53%	42%
	2018	77%	55%	22%	55%	22%
Same Grade Comparison		18%				
Cohort Com						

## **Subgroup Data**

		2019	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMP	PONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
WHT	83	62		78	85		93				
FRL	76	53		62	68						
		2018	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
WHT	89	56		92	85		100				
FRL	76	69		72	63						
		2017	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
WHT	93	81		83	85		67				
FRL	73	63		67	74						

## **ESSA** Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	N/A
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	77
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	386
Total Components for the Federal Index	5
Percent Tested	100%

## **Subgroup Data**

Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0

English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0

Native American Students				
Federal Index - Native American Students				
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Asian Students				
Federal Index - Asian Students				
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0			
Black/African American Students				
Federal Index - Black/African American Students				
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0			
Hispanic Students				
Federal Index - Hispanic Students				
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0			
Multiracial Students				
Federal Index - Multiracial Students				
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0			
Pacific Islander Students				
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students				
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0			
White Students				
Federal Index - White Students	80			
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO			
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0			
Economically Disadvantaged Students				
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	65			
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO			
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0			

#### **Analysis**

#### **Data Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

The data component showing the lowest performance was 3rd grade math and 4th grade ELA. Both 3rd and 4th grade data were in line with state averages. ALA is a small school with only one class per grade. This small sample size can cause a large variance in test scores from year to year.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

The data component showing the greatest decline was 4th grade math. Even with the decline, 4th grade data was 9% above the state average. ALA is a small school with only one class per grade. This small sample size can cause a large variance in test scores from year to year.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

The data component showing the greatest gap was 5th grade ELA. 5th grade ELA data was 44% above the state average. ALA is a small school with only one class per grade. This small sample size can cause a large variance in test scores from year to year.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The data component showing the most improvement was 5th grade science. 5th grade science data increased 18% from last year and was 42% above the state average. ALA is a small school with only one class per grade. This small sample size can cause a large variance in test scores from year to year.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

Potential areas of concern are 3rd grade math and 4th grade ELA. Statewide assessments indicated early warnings in these two areas.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. 3rd grade Math
- 2. 4th grade ELA
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

#### Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Area of Focus Description A potential area of concern is 3rd-grade math. Statewide assessments

and Rationale: indicated early warnings in this area.

Our outcome is to reach a 3rd grade math achievement of 65% from the Measurable Outcome:

current 59% on statewide math assessments.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Krishna Rivera (rivera@ourala.org)

Identify the lowest quartile and provide additional instructional time in **Evidence-based Strategy:** 

math.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy:

By providing additional instructional time in math, students will have more

opportunities to master key concepts.

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

1. Schedule additional instructional math time

2. Provide additional staff support

3. Review AIMS data

4. Reevaluate strategy based on data

Person Responsible Krishna Rivera (rivera@ourala.org)

## #2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

**Area of Focus Description** A potential area of concern is 4th-grade ELA. Statewide assessments

and Rationale: indicated early warnings in this area.

Our outcome is to reach a 4th-grade ELA achievement of 75% from the Measurable Outcome:

current 71% on statewide ELA assessments.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Krishna Rivera (rivera@ourala.org)

Identify the lowest quartile and provide additional instructional time in ELA. **Evidence-based Strategy:** 

Rationale for Evidence-

By providing additional instructional time in ELA, students will have more based Strategy:

opportunities to master key concepts.

## **Action Steps to Implement**

1. Schedule additional instructional ELA time

2. Provide additional staff support

3. Review AIMS data

Reevaluate strategy based on data

Person Responsible Krishna Rivera (rivera@ourala.org)

#### Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

#### **ESE Communication and Collaboration**

Continue to improve our team decision-making process to ensure students with disabilities(SWD) transition from grade to grade, school to school and district to district to ensure placement in the least restrictive environment. Providing opportunities to learn and practice skills associated with self-determination; coordinating orientation for students moving from elementary to middle school, including giving tours of the buildings, reviewing important information in the student handbook and orienting students to school procedures and ensuring that annual IEP participants page is signed will improve ESE communication and collaboration to ensure smooth transitions for SWDs.

## Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

ALA builds positive relationships with parents, families, and other community stakeholders by providing timely information about school programs and activities through conducting an Annual Meeting. Scheduled mailers, school newsletters and handouts are generated each semester and sent to parents to inform them of all programs and the assessment methods used. Another way is by maintaining a Parent & Family Resource Area consisting of information related to the school and other programs as well as academic resources available for home use. The school also provides the Parents Make a Difference newsletter available through the school website. The school website also provides parent involvement documents and materials.

#### Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

## Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Math				\$22,366.16
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2020-21

					Total:	\$44,850.00
Notes: Achieve 3000 web-based program						
	5100	369-Technology-Related Rentals	0957 - Alachua Learning Academy Elementary	Title, I Part A		\$4,714.00
			Notes: Supplemental Personnel			
	5100	100-Salaries	0957 - Alachua Learning Academy Elementary	Title, I Part A		\$17,769.84
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2020-21
2	III.A.	I.A. Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA			\$22,483.84	
Notes: Reflex Math web-based program						
	5100	369-Technology-Related Rentals	0957 - Alachua Learning Academy Elementary	Title, I Part A		\$2,636.00
	Notes: Title I Lead Teacher Supplement					
	5100	120-Classroom Teachers	0957 - Alachua Learning Academy Elementary	Title, I Part A		\$1,960.32
Notes: Supplemental Personnel						
	5100	100-Salaries	0957 - Alachua Learning Academy Elementary	Title, I Part A		\$17,769.84