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## Highlands Middle School

10913 PINE ESTATES RD E, Jacksonville, FL 32218
http://www.duvalschools.org/hms

## Principal: Leon Mungin

| 2019-20 Status (per MSID File) | Active |
| :---: | :---: |
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | Middle School 6-8 |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education |
| 2019-20 Title I School | Yes |
| 2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 100\% |
| 2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented <br> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities* <br> English Language Learners <br> Black/African American Students <br> Hispanic Students* <br> Multiracial Students <br> White Students <br> Economically Disadvantaged <br> Students |
| School Grades History | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2018-19: } D(40 \%) \\ & \text { 2017-18: } D(40 \%) \\ & 2016-17: C(44 \%) \\ & 2015-16: D(40 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information* |  |
| SI Region | Northeast |
| Regional Executive Director | Cassandra Brusca |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A |
| Year |  |
| Support Tier |  |
| ESSA Status |  |

* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.


## School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS\&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS\&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS\&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below $41 \%$. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS\&l:

1. have a school grade of $D$ or $F$
2. have a graduation rate of $67 \%$ or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41\%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.
The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all noncharter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate $67 \%$ or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.
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## Highlands Middle School

10913 PINE ESTATES RD E, Jacksonville, FL 32218
http://www.duvalschools.org/hms

## School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)<br>Middle School<br>6-8<br>Primary Service Type (per MSID File)<br>K-12 General Education<br>\section*{2019-20 Title I School}<br>Yes<br>Charter School<br>No<br>2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)<br>100\%

School Grades History

| Year | 2019-20 | 2018-19 | $2017-18$ | 2016-17 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | D | D | D | C |

## School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

## SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of $D$ or F .

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all noncharter schools with a current grade of $D$ or $F$ (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of $A, B$, or $C$, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

## Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## Part I: School Information

## School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.
Highlands Middle School is committed to providing students and families a high quality education that prepares students, academically and socially, for college and career ready opportunities.

Provide the school's vision statement.
At Highlands, students are empowered to excel in a safe learning environment that instills pride and cultivates productive citizens and future leaders.

## School Leadership Team

## Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:
Name Title Job Duties and Responsibilities
DeWese, Principal
Anna

Dr. DeWese is the principal at Highlands Middle and is responsible for the day to day functions of the school in regards to curriculum, inctruction, safety, and community outreach.
Taft,
Donald Dean

| Delice, | School | School Counseling |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Janerica | Counselor |  |


| Atwater, Antoine | Dean | Student Management |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clayton , Trevor | Assistant Principal | Instructional Leader |
| Jackson, Mary | Assistant Principal | Instructional Leader |
| Cook, Dedra | Instructional Coach | Instructional Math Support |
| Lane, Benard | Dean | Student Management |
| Jackson, Tamara | School Counselor | School Counseling |

## Demographic Information

## Principal start date

Wednesday 7/1/2020, Leon Mungin

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school
50
Demographic Data

| 2020-21 Status <br> (per MSID File) | Active |
| :---: | :---: |
| School Type and Grades Served <br> (per MSID File) | Middle School <br> $6-8$ |
| Primary Service Type <br> (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education |
| 2019-20 Title I School | Yes |
| 2019-20 Economically <br> Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate <br> (as reported on Survey 3) | 100\% |
| 2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented <br> (subgroups with 10 or more students) <br> (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an <br> asterisk) | Students With Disabilities* <br> English Language Learners <br> Black/African American Students <br> Hispanic Students* <br> Wultracial Students |
| White Students |  |
| Economically Disadvantaged |  |
| Students |  |


| Support Tier |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ESSA Status | CS\&I |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here. |  |

Early Warning Systems

## Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator | Grade Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | K | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |  |
| Number of students enrolled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 204 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 204 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

The number of students identified as retainees:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Indicator | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Date this data was collected or last updated
Tuesday 6/30/2020
Prior Year - As Reported
The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of students enrolled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 279 | 307 | 306 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 892 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 94 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 270 |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 214 | 261 | 196 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 671 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 122 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |$] 303$

## The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Prior Year - Updated
The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of students enrolled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 279 | 307 | 306 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 892 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 94 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 270 |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 214 | 261 | 196 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 671 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | $\mathbf{K}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 122 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 303 |


| Indicator | K | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |$) \mathbf{2 7}$

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

## School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| School Grade Component |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | District | State | School | District | State |  |
| ELA Achievement | $28 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $52 \%$ |  |
| ELA Learning Gains | $40 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $54 \%$ |  |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile | $42 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $44 \%$ |  |
| Math Achievement | $31 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $56 \%$ |  |
| Math Learning Gains | $34 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $57 \%$ |  |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | $30 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| Science Achievement | $25 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $50 \%$ |  |
| Social Studies Achievement | $40 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $70 \%$ |  |

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

| Indicator | Grade Level (prior year reported) |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ |  |
|  | $(0)$ | $(0)$ | $(0)$ | $0(0)$ |

## Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

| ELA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 06 | 2019 | 33\% | 47\% | -14\% | 54\% | -21\% |
|  | 2018 | 28\% | 44\% | -16\% | 52\% | -24\% |
| Same Grade Comparison |  | 5\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07 | 2019 | 25\% | 44\% | -19\% | 52\% | -27\% |
|  | 2018 | 16\% | 41\% | -25\% | 51\% | -35\% |
| Same Grade Comparison |  | 9\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  | -3\% |  |  |  |  |
| 08 | 2019 | 25\% | 49\% | -24\% | 56\% | -31\% |
|  | 2018 | 27\% | 51\% | -24\% | 58\% | -31\% |
| Same Grade Comparison |  | -2\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  | 9\% |  |  |  |  |


| MATH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 06 | 2019 | 25\% | 51\% | -26\% | 55\% | -30\% |
|  | 2018 | 23\% | 42\% | -19\% | 52\% | -29\% |
| Same Grade Comparison |  | 2\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07 | 2019 | 31\% | 47\% | -16\% | 54\% | -23\% |
|  | 2018 | 31\% | 50\% | -19\% | 54\% | -23\% |
| Same Grade Comparison |  | 0\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  | 8\% |  |  |  |  |
| 08 | 2019 | 14\% | 32\% | -18\% | 46\% | -32\% |
|  | 2018 | 10\% | 31\% | -21\% | 45\% | -35\% |
| Same Grade Comparison |  | 4\% |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  | -17\% |  |  |  |  |


| SCIENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- <br> District <br> Comparison | State | School- <br> State <br> Comparison |  |
| 08 | 2019 | $24 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $-16 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $-24 \%$ |  |
|  | 2018 | $26 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $-18 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $-24 \%$ |  |
| Same Grade Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| BIOLOGY EOC |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CIVICS EOC |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | 39\% | 69\% | -30\% | 71\% | -32\% |
| 2018 | 84\% | 84\% | 0\% | 71\% | 13\% |
| Compare |  | -45\% |  |  |  |
| HISTORY EOC |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ALGEBRA EOC |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | 91\% | 57\% | 34\% | 61\% | 30\% |


| ALGEBRA EOC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | School | District | School <br> Minus <br> District | State | School <br> Minus <br> State |  |  |
| 2018 | $91 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $29 \%$ |  |  |
| Compare |  | $0 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| GEOMETRY EOC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year | School | District | School <br> Minus <br> District | State | School <br> Minus <br> State |  |  |
| 2019 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Subgroup Data

2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS

| 2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subgroups | ELA <br> Ach. | ELA <br> LG | ELA <br> LG <br> L25\% | Math <br> Ach. | Math <br> LG | Math <br> LG <br> L25\% | Sci <br> Ach. | SS <br> Ach. | MS <br> Accel. | Grad <br> Rate <br> 2017-18 | C \& C <br> Accel <br> 2017-18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SWD | 18 | 35 | 32 | 14 | 26 | 25 | 9 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ELL | 23 | 36 |  | 54 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BLK | 27 | 39 | 43 | 29 | 35 | 31 | 23 | 37 | 92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HSP | 41 | 52 |  | 48 | 20 |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MUL | 42 | 42 |  | 54 | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WHT | 27 | 46 | 27 | 33 | 27 | 15 | 29 | 52 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FRL | 25 | 37 | 41 | 27 | 34 | 29 | 21 | 36 | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS

| Subgroups | ELA <br> Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Math Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Math } \\ \text { LG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Math } \\ & \text { LG } \\ & \text { L25\% } \end{aligned}$ | Sci Ach. | SS <br> Ach. | MS Accel. |  | C \& C Accel 2016-17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SWD | 12 | 29 | 32 | 15 | 27 | 18 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| ELL | 20 | 42 |  | 30 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BLK | 22 | 35 | 41 | 27 | 36 | 35 | 23 | 73 | 55 |  |  |
| HSP | 48 | 54 |  | 48 | 56 |  |  |  | 45 |  |  |
| MUL | 48 | 35 |  | 43 | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WHT | 28 | 38 | 33 | 34 | 48 | 40 | 35 |  | 55 |  |  |
| FRL | 22 | 35 | 39 | 27 | 36 | 36 | 24 | 71 | 79 |  |  |
| 2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subgroups | ELA <br> Ach. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ELA } \\ \text { LG } \\ \text { L25\% } \end{gathered}$ | Math Ach. | Math LG | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Math } \\ & \text { LG } \\ & \text { L25\% } \end{aligned}$ | Sci Ach. | SS <br> Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad <br> Rate <br> 2015-16 | C \& C Accel 2015-16 |
| SWD | 10 | 26 | 27 | 13 | 36 | 31 | 20 | 28 |  |  |  |
| ELL | 18 |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BLK | 24 | 37 | 33 | 31 | 46 | 39 | 30 | 48 | 83 |  |  |
| HSP | 59 | 72 |  | 48 | 63 |  | 65 |  |  |  |  |
| MUL | 44 | 53 |  | 50 | 63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WHT | 37 | 51 | 38 | 40 | 48 | 53 | 42 | 62 |  |  |  |
| FRL | 26 | 40 | 36 | 31 | 45 | 36 | 33 | 49 | 83 |  |  |

## ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

| ESSA Federal Index |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ESSA Category (TS\&I or CS\&I) |  |
| OVERALL Federal Index - All Students | 40 |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41\% All Students | YES |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 5 |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency |  |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index | 361 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index | 9 |
| Percent Tested | 99\% |
| Subgroup Data |  |
| Students With Disabilities |  |
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities | 24 |
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32\% | 2 |
| English Language Learners |  |
| Federal Index - English Language Learners | 36 |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32\% | 0 |
| Native American Students |  |
| Federal Index - Native American Students |  |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32\% | 0 |
| Asian Students |  |
| Federal Index - Asian Students |  |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32\% | 0 |
| Black/African American Students |  |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students | 40 |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32\% | 0 |


| Hispanic Students |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students | 42 |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32\% | 0 |
| Multiracial Students |  |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students | 46 |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32\% | 0 |
| Pacific Islander Students |  |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students |  |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32\% | 0 |
| White Students |  |
| Federal Index - White Students | 32 |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32\% | 0 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students |  |
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | 38 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41\% in the Current Year? | YES |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32\% | 0 |

## Analysis

## Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

## Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Understanding there was no school grade for the 2020 school year, it is difficult to explain trends in data as the school was led by three principals since the time of test. That being stated, the 2019 data, several components performed below expectations.

- Grades 6, 7, and 8 math achievement dropped
- Grade 8 Civics achievement dropped
- Grade 8 science achievement is stagnant

Mitigating factors include:

- a lack of knowledge of the planning, instruction, assessment process
- a lack of implications of how positive relationships, rigor, and relevant instruction and classroom
management impacts achievement
- a lack of behavioral systems which negatively impact learning

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Understanding there was no school grade for the 2020 school year, it is difficult to explain trends in data as the school was led by three principals since the time of test. That being stated the Civics data had a generous decline due to a number of potential factors:

- a lack of knowledge of the planning, instruction, assessment process
- a lack of implications of how positive relationships, rigor, and relevant instruction and classroom management impacts achievement
- a lack of behavioral systems which negatively impact learning

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Understanding there was no school grade for the 2020 school year, it is difficult to explain trends in data as the school was led by three principals since the time of test. That being stated grades 7 and 8 ELA and grades 6 and 8 math produced the greatest gaps when compared to the state average.

The mitigating factors are potentially the same as those listed above.

## Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Understanding there was no school grade for the 2020 school year, it is difficult to explain trends in data as the school was led by three principals since the time of test. That being stated, grade 8 Algebra I produced the greatest improvement. The Algebra I teacher is a veteran teacher at the school and has been employed at the school for a number of years.

## Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

Based on data pulled in June 2020, the amount of overage students enrolled on campus are of grave concern. Oftentimes, overage students have multiple barriers that impede the learning environment. Additionally, the 2019-2020 school year began with 18 teacher vacancies due to VAM scores. These vacancies coupled with multiple admin and discipline staff changes are of grave concern.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

1. Ensuring all instructional positions are filled prior to the teachers' first day
2. Ensuring all instructional coaching positions are filled, allowing coaches to receive professional development during the summer which will provide support to teachers.
3. Ensuring a quality professional development plan supports standards-based instruction
4. Ensuring a comprehensive PBIS plan is implemented

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

## \#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction

Hire a third Assistant Principal
The primary role of the supplemental Assistant Principal is to provide additional administrative instructional support to content areas. Assist with teachers of students who are in a state assessed grade and/or course. Will provide content teachers with direct Instructional support and corrective feedback focused on increasing student achievement, closing the achievement gap in tested grades; Will serve Assistant Principal grade level ELA, Math, and Science Learning communities. Monitors success of all students in the learning environment, ensure alignment of the curriculum, instruction, and assessment processes to promote effective student performance, and support the effective use of benchmarks, learning and expectations, feedback measures to instructional staff to ensure accountability for all participants engaged in the educational process. Support \& promote positive learning culture; provide support and framework for effective standards based instructional program delivery; coaching instructional staff in application of best practice for increasing student growth

## Measurable Outcome:

In ELA, Math, Science, the school will see student gains increase by at least 5\%. While increasing student gains, the school will work to have more proficient students simultaneously.

## Person

Anna DeWese (dewesea@duvalschools.org)
monitoring outcome:

As stated in Leadership Content Knowledge (2003), "We go on to suggest that all administrators have solid mastery of at least one subject (and the learning and teaching of

## Evidencebased

 Strategy:
## Rationale

for
Evidence-
based
Strategy:
it) and that they develop expertise in other subjects by "postholing," that is, conducting indepth explorations of an important but bounded slice of the subject, how it is learned, and how it is taught. We conclude with an exploration of how content knowledge and leadership knowledge might be intertwined and suggestions for further research." https://www.jstor.org/stable/3699585?seq=1
Content specialist are non-administrative, peer to peer support staff who can provided jobembedded professional learning and coaching support. Although effective in instilling credibility with peer's groups, peer coaches cannot provide any evaluative or summative direction or feedback, therefore any necessary teacher professional growth planning and/or progressive action that requires more intensive action must be observed, documented, and supported by administrative staff only.

## Action Steps to Implement

1. Support the school's academic leadership development and professional learning (assistant principals, coaches, interventionist, instructional leads) to ensure the success of all students in the learning environment;
2. Serves as Assistant Principal of grade level ELA, Math, and Science learning communities;
3. Support and promote a positive learning culture; provide support and framework for effective standards based instructional program delivery; and coaching instructional staff in the application of best practices for increasing student learning, especially in the area of reading, mathematics, and other foundational skills

## Person Responsible

## \#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction

Improve standards-based instruction through effective lesson planning, instructional delivery, and formative assessment

Area of Of the 46 standards focused walk throughs implemented during the 19-20 school year,

Focus
Description and

## Rationale:

 data shows below average to average rankings when ranking standards focused board alignment ( 3.1 out of 5 ), instructional delivery ( 3.5 out of 5 ), and aligned assessments (1.8 out of 5). Furthermore, 5 Essential Survey data shows similar data. This data ranks ambitious instruction (weak), supportive environment (very weak), and collaborative teachers (very weak). Therefore, students reflect the Opportunity Myth which postulates students spend most of their time without grade appropriate assignments, strong instruction, deep engagement, and teachers without high expectations.
## Measurable Outcome:

Person responsible<br>for Anna DeWese (dewesea@duvalschools.org) monitoring outcome:

## Evidence-

 based Strategy:1. Provide authentic and relevant professional development that supports standards based planning
2. Implement professional learning communities that support standards-based instruction 3. Conduct classroom walk throughs that scrutinize standards-based instruction

According to the TNTP's (2005) Opportunity Myth, students perform when resources are

## Rationale

 evident in daily instruction: grade appropriate assignments, strong instruction, deep for Evidencebased Strategy: By year's end, $90 \%$ percent of core teachers will develop, and present standards-based lessons and assessments as evidenced by weekly standards walk through data. strategies (Pate and Gibson, 2005).
## Action Steps to Implement

Support core teachers with specific professional development that focuses on effective lesson planning in core areas (Learning Focused Framework)

## Person Responsible <br> Anna DeWese (dewesea@duvalschools.org)

Design planning opportunities that support collaborative planning and assign coaches and administrators to facilitate progress.

## Person

## Responsible

Mary Jackson (cohenm@duvalschools.org)
Utilize coaches and regional assistant principals to support core teachers with effective planning, instructional delivery, formative assessments, and data analysis which cater to the learning arcs, achievement level descriptors, and item specifications.

## Person <br> Responsible <br> Anna DeWese (dewesea@duvalschools.org)

Provide coaching cycles to teachers who struggle with lesson planning and instructional delivery.

## Person <br> Responsible <br> Trevor Clayton (claytont1@duvalschools.org)

Schedule, assign, and conduct weekly standards walkthroughs to ensure teachers provide standardsbased lessons.
Person Anna DeWese (dewesea@duvalschools.org)
Responsible
Utilize grant funds to provide supplemental support math (ACALETICS, Curriculum Associates MAFS), science and social studies (Study Island), writing (Top Score), ELA (Curriculum Associates LAFS).
Person Responsible Anna DeWese (dewesea@duvalschools.org)
\#3. Culture \& Environment specifically relating to Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports Implement a Positive Behavior Intervention and Support system

Area of
Focus
Description
and
Rationale:

Referral data shows students received 1,804 infractions during the 19-20 school year. However, this same data shows students received 1964 infractions during the 18-19 school year. Since the school year ended abruptly, final infractions cannot be determined.
However, the number of infractions students receive have exceeded 1,000 consistently over the past 4 years.
Decrease the total number of infractions by $75 \%$ over the next 3 years. By the end of the

Measurable Outcome: 2021 school year the anticipated number of infractions will decrease by 450 . By the end of the 2022 school year infractions will decrease by another 340 referrals. Finally, by the end of the 2023 school year the total number anticipated infractions will be around 770.

## Person

## Evidence-

 basedImplement the Positive Behavior Interventions and Support system with fidelity.

## Strategy:

Rationale
for
Evidencebased

Current research shows the Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports (PBIS) show a correlation between PBIS and academic achievement over nine years (Madigan, Cross, Smolkowski, and Stryker, 2016). Strategy:

## Action Steps to Implement

Create a PBIS Team
Person
Responsible Donald Taft (taftd@duvalschools.org)
Provide professional development to team members from the district's PBIS specialist

## Person

## Responsible

Anna DeWese (dewesea@duvalschools.org)
Create school wide expectations, lesson plans and power points

## Person

## Responsible

Donald Taft (taftd@duvalschools.org)
Train staff on PBIS school wide expectations

## Person Responsible <br> Donald Taft (taftd@duvalschools.org)

Teach school wide expectations to students during the first weeks of schools and reteach expectations through PBIS Reboot opportunities after extended breaks

## Person Responsible <br> Anna DeWese (dewesea@duvalschools.org)

Develop a calendar of events to include (PBIS leadership meetings dates, dartes for incentives, and dates for PBIS Reboot after extended breaks)
Person
Responsible
Donald Taft (taftd@duvalschools.org)

Monitor and track discipline data and provide the data to staff monthly

## Person <br> Responsible <br> Antoine Atwater (atwatera@duvalschools.org)

Tier students and identify students needing behavior contracts. Students will be monitored by their grade level dean.

## Person <br> Responsible <br> Benard Lane (laneb@duvalschools.org)

Hire two additional deans of students via Title I and TSSSA funds to ensure students and families are supported effectively.

Person
Responsible
Anna DeWese (dewesea@duvalschools.org)

## Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

1. Ensuring all instructional positions are filled prior to the teachers' first day To ensure vacancies are filled prior to the first teachers' day, the principal has actively recruited candidates within and outside of Duval County. Additionally, the principal has weekly conversations with the Human Resources Staffing Supervisor to ensure candidates who have been recommend for hire are actually hired quickly. This has proven beneficial as vacancies are low from week to week as teachers resign from education or transfer to other positions.
2. Ensuring all instructional coaching positions are filled, allowing coaches to receive professional development during the summer which will provide support to teachers. To date, all coaching positions (ELA, Math, and Science) are filled. Coaches will receive professional development to ensure they are able to support teachers throughout the year.
--Fund a Math Coach, Reading Coach, Science Coach, and other instructional positions to support social studies (Civics) acceleration
3. Ensure over aged students have opportunities to accelerate through a variety of means: --Applying for the Bridge to Success Program, providing tutoring (before, after, Saturday, or virtual),
--Funding Intervention teachers in math and ELA/reading to support standards based lesson through push in or pullout opportunities
--Funding intensive reading teachers to support students foundation skills
--Fund a Dean of Students to track and monitor student behavior
--Fund an instructional paraprofessional to assist with the grade 6 students transitioning into middle school

## Part IV: Positive Culture \& Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

Data from the 2019 and 20205 Essential Survey shows stagnant results in three key areas: parent influence on decision making in schools, parent involvement in schools, and teacher-parent trust. Therefore, efforts will be made to ensure parents are informed about curriculum supports, engagement opportunities, and ways to communicate with teachers. Additionally, parents will be recruited to join the school's advisory council, the school's decision making council.

Community stakeholders are vital to Highlands Middle. Being designated a full service plus school, the school benefits from many business partners: Communities in School, the Boys and Girls Club of North Florida, the Jewish Family and Community Services, City Year/AmeriCorp are a few. To ensure the these partnerships are productive and benefit students and families, the administration will work diligently with the organizations the identify and track students and families who will benefit and improve academic performance through attendance, mental heath, after school support, in school tutoring, and family assistance. Members of the community organizations will also be recruited to serve on the school's advisory council to help make decisions for the school.

## Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

## Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

| 1 | III. A. | Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Standards-aligned Instruction |  |  |  | \$381,930.05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Function | Object | Budget Focus | Funding Source | FTE | 2020-21 |
|  | 7300 | 110-Administrators | 2441 - Highlands Middle School | UniSIG | 0.25 | \$18,366.64 |
|  |  |  | Notes: *Supplemental Assistant Principal is not a new position in the district, but it will be a newly added layer of support for the school. The primary role of the supplemental assistant principal is to provide additional administrative instructional support to content area teachers of students who are in a state assessed grade and/or course based upon a tiered level of needed support. The supplemental AP will work in coordination with the Innovation and School Improvement Region Executive Directors and the School principal to: -Provide content teachers with direct Instructional support and corrective feedback focused on increasing student achievement and closing the achievement gap in tested grades; -The Supplemental Assistant Principal will also serve as the lead of grade level ELA, Math, and Science Professional learning communities based upon school data reviews; -To ensure alignment of the curriculum, instruction, and assessment processes to promote effective student performance; and -support the effective use of benchmarks, learning, and expectations. -Provide direct feedback measures to identified instructional staff to ensure |  |  |  |


|  |  |  | accountability for all participants engaged in the educational process. -Support and promote a positive learning culture through job-embedded, real time provide coaching of identified instructional staff in the application of best practices for increasing student achievement and standards mastery. Yes, this is a new position; This position was approved in the 19-20 UniSIG grant. This position is shared and split with Pinedale Elem, Timucuan Elem, and Highlands Middle. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7300 | 110-Administrators | 2441 - Highlands Middle School | UniSIG | 0.5 | \$37,333.02 |
|  |  |  | Notes: *Supplemental Assistant Principal is not a new position in the district, but it will be a newly added layer of support for the school. The primary role of the supplemental assistant principal is to provide additional administrative instructional support to content area teachers of students who are in a state assessed grade and/or course based upon a tiered level of needed support. The supplemental AP will work in coordination with the Innovation and School Improvement Region Executive Directors and the School principal to: -Provide content teachers with direct Instructional support and corrective feedback focused on increasing student achievement and closing the achievement gap in tested grades; -The Supplemental Assistant Principal will also serve as the lead of grade level ELA, Math, and Science Professional learning communities based upon school data reviews; -To ensure alignment of the curriculum, instruction, and assessment processes to promote effective student performance; and -support the effective use of benchmarks, learning, and expectations. -Provide direct feedback measures to identified instructional staff to ensure accountability for all participants engaged in the educational process. -Support and promote a positive learning culture through job-embedded, real time provide coaching of identified instructional staff in the application of best practices for increasing student achievement and standards mastery. No, this is not a new employee, the same employee from last year will continue this year; This position was approved in the 19-20 UniSIG grant; This position is shared and split funded with Westview K8. |  |  |  |
|  | 5100 | 120-Classroom Teachers | 2441 - Highlands Middle School | UniSIG | 1.0 | \$43,146.00 |
|  |  |  | Notes: *Reading Interventionist This position is responsible for small group pullout opportunities. Students identified in the Lowest performing quartile as well as level 2 and low level 3 students will receive the reading and writing pullout intervention. This position will provide structured standards based lessons. This position's qualifications are: EducationBachelor's degree from an accredited college or university. Experience: 3 years continuous teaching experience at the elementary level; 4 years continuous reading teaching at the secondary level. Salary is based on verified years of teaching experience. Certifications \& Licenses: Florida Teaching certificate in Elementary Education K-6 (Elem. level); Florida teaching certificate in Reading OR Reading endorsement at the secondary level. If approved, the candidate who was with us last year, will continue to fulfill the duties above. This position will be funded by UniSIG (100\%). |  |  |  |
|  | 5100 | 120-Classroom Teachers | 2441 - Highlands Middle School | UniSIG | 1.0 | \$43,146.00 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Math Interventionist This position is responsible for small group pullout opportunities. Students identified in the Lowest performing quartile as well as level 2 and low level 3 students will receive the math pullout intervention. This position will provide structured standards based lessons. This position's qualifications are: Education - Bachelor's degree from an accredited college or university. Experience: Three years of continuous teaching experience in mathematics. Experience working with at-risk students. Salary is based on verified years of teaching experience. Certifications \& Licenses: Florida Department of Education Certification in Elementary Education K-6 for Elementary interventionist; This is a content specific instructional support positions that will provide the opportunity to provide students the needed intervention on mastery of objectives. Identify students will receive assistance in reaching proficient common core skills. If approved, the candidate who was with us last year, will continue to fulfill the duties above. This position will be funded by UniSIG (100\%). |  |  |  |
|  | 6400 | 130-Other Certified Instructional Personnel | 2441 - Highlands Middle School | UniSIG | 1.0 | \$43,146.00 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Science Coach Teachers will benefit from a science coach who will monitor trends in data, offer coaching support, model instruction, and facilitate professional learning communities. The science coach will also support students in all grade levels with in class demos through small groups. The science coach will assist teachers prepare standards base lesson plans and pacing calendars. The coach will also monitor Study Island data to enhance student engagement. This is a new position for the 20-21 school year. If funds are reallocated for the 21-22 school year, she will be retained. |  |  |  |


|  | 6100 | 510-Supplies | 2441 - Highlands Middle School | UniSIG | \$1,755.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Notes: Supplies Parent Institute Booklets and newsletters to support academics, behavior, and attendance will help improve the academic culture. Newsletters will be sent home (ideally) with progress reports and report cards. Booklets will be provided at parent events and parent conferences. However, all behavior booklets will be provided through restorative justice conferences between deans and students. All will improve academic culture. Newsletters will be provided to parents (from the principal) to keep families informed of the school's community, events, and updates. Booklets published by the Parent Institute are designed to help parents with school related concerns, i.e. attendance, discipline, academics, motivation, testing, etc. |  |  |
|  | 5100 | 640-Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment | 2441 - Highlands Middle School | UniSIG | \$38,218.00 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Technology 60 qty @ \$609 Laptops and 2 qty @ $\$ 839$ carts will be purchased to support the choice program. The program integrates a STEM and early college component which prepares students for high school and beyond. The laptops and carts will give students the opportunities to integrate Discovery Education, Study Island, and CTE online curriculum which will support English, Math, Social Studies, and Science. The technology is needed as a measure to expand the total number of laptops to reduce the student, technology ratio. Ideally a STEM school should have a 1:1 correlation to be successfully implemented. Currently, the district provides a 4:1 ration (students to laptops) for middle schools. This causes gaps in service as laptops are generally used to enhance core through online reading and math programs, not STEM related curriculum. |  |  |
|  | 7300 | 330-Travel | 2441 - Highlands Middle School | UniSIG | \$200.00 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Travel for Assistant Principals to travel to the other schools that they are split funded by |  |  |
|  | 5100 | 510-Supplies | 2441 - Highlands Middle School | UniSIG | \$54,329.00 |
|  |  |  | Notes: The attached quoted reflects the cost as follows: (898) 8th Grade ACALETICS Math Student/Teacher Supplementary material packets @ \$54 (+ s/h estimated @ 10\%) = \$54,329.00 |  |  |
|  | 6400 | 310-Professional and Technical Services | 2441 - Highlands Middle School | UniSIG | \$8,250.00 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Three additional professional development days are needed to ensure math teachers are using the ACALETICS supplemental curriculum. The PD days will be used as onsite coaching, in class demonstrations, and collaborative planning to help teachers perfect their instructional delivery. Three additional PD days will ensure teachers are focused on standards via ACALETICS curriculum PD monthly prior to the state assessment. |  |  |
|  | 6400 | 310-Professional and Technical Services | 2441 - Highlands Middle School | UniSIG | \$9,000.00 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Discovery Education onsite Professional development will support teachers' autonomy in providing rigorous instruction. Teachers at Highlands are inexperienced. Three professional development days will ensure teachers and students use the supplemental curriculum effectively to maximize engagement and rigor. The PD consultant will provide in class demonstrations, discuss standards -based instruction via collaborative planning, and provide technical assistanc ewith the virtual learning platform. |  |  |
|  | 5900 | 120-Classroom Teachers | 2441 - Highlands Middle School | UniSIG | \$3,600.00 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Tutoring Teachers will provide 150 hours of tutoring for students outside the school day in all tested areas (reading, math, science, social studies) using supplemental materials that compliment classroom instruction to promote proficiency and learning gains. Certified teachers make $\$ 24$ and hour. The estimated time frame of tutoring is Sept. 30th - April 30th, before school, after school, or on Saturdays. Tutoring for up to 200 (across all grades 6, 7, and 8) will be offered by 8 teachers (3 Reading/ELA, 3 Math, 1 Social Studies, 1 Science). |  |  |
|  | 5900 | 210-Retirement | 2441 - Highlands Middle School | UniSIG | \$360.00 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Tutoring benefits Retirement at 10.00\% |  |  |
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|  | 6400 | 220-Social Security | 2441 - Highlands Middle School | UniSIG |  | \$3,300.67 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Notes: Science Coach Benefits Social Security at 7.65\% |  |  |  |
|  | 5100 | 231-Health and Hospitalization | 2441 - Highlands Middle School | UniSIG |  | \$7,567.81 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Math Interventionist Benefits Group Health at 17.54\% |  |  |  |
|  | 6400 | 231-Health and Hospitalization | 2441 - Highlands Middle School | UniSIG |  | \$7,567.81 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Science Coach Benefits Group Health at 17.54\% |  |  |  |
|  | 5100 | 232-Life Insurance | 2441 - Highlands Middle School | UniSIG |  | \$8.63 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Math Interventionist Benefits Life Insurance at .02\% |  |  |  |
|  | 6400 | 232-Life Insurance | 2441 - Highlands Middle School | UniSIG |  | \$8.63 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Science Coach Benefits Life Insurance at .02\% |  |  |  |
|  | 5100 | 240-Workers Compensation | 2441 - Highlands Middle School | UniSIG |  | \$405.57 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Math Interventionist Benefits Workers Compensation at .94\% |  |  |  |
|  | 6400 | 240-Workers Compensation | 2441 - Highlands Middle School | UniSIG |  | \$405.57 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Science Coach Benefits Workers Compensation at . $94 \%$ |  |  |  |
|  | 5100 | 290-Other Employee Benefits | 2441 - Highlands Middle School | UniSIG |  | \$232.99 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Math Interventionist Benefits Benefits Flex Medical at .54\% |  |  |  |
|  | 6400 | 290-Other Employee Benefits | 2441 - Highlands Middle School | UniSIG |  | \$232.99 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Science Coach Benefits Benefits Flex Medical at .54\% |  |  |  |
|  | 5100 | 510-Supplies | 2441 - Highlands Middle School | UniSIG |  | \$3,160.02 |
|  |  |  | Notes: Supplies paper, pens, folders, pencils, binders, headsets, usb drives, toner. Supplies will be used in all grade levels. |  |  |  |
| 2 | III. A. | Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Standards-aligned Instruction |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
| 3 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Culture \& Environment: Positive Behavior Intervention and Supports |  |  |  | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  | Total: |  |  | \$399,356.25 |

