Duval County Public Schools

Westview K 8



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	11
Planning for Improvement	17
Positive Culture & Environment	22
Budget to Support Goals	23

Westview K 8

5270 CONNIE JEAN RD, Jacksonville, FL 32210

http://www.duvalschools.org/westview

Demographics

Principal: Katharine Fulginiti

Start Date for this Principal: 6/1/2020

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School PK-8
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Asian Students Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students* White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: D (38%) 2017-18: C (43%) 2016-17: C (44%) 2015-16: C (46%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	Cassandra Brusca
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I

* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	11
Planning for Improvement	17
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	23

Westview K 8

5270 CONNIE JEAN RD, Jacksonville, FL 32210

http://www.duvalschools.org/westview

School Demographics

School Type and Gi (per MSID I		2019-20 Title I School	Disadvan	DEconomically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)					
Combination S PK-8	School	100%							
Primary Servio (per MSID I		Charter School	(Reporte	O Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)					
K-12 General E	ducation	No		80%					
School Grades Histo	ry								
Year	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17					
Grade	D	D	С	С					

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

The mission of Westview K-8 is to develop life-long learners using the best teaching practices and involving all stakeholders in creating an environmentally conscious community promoting green practices.

Provide the school's vision statement.

The vision of Westview K-8 is to create an environmentally responsible and diverse learning community in which all stakeholders effectively collaborate to enhance student achievement and create life-long learners.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Barnes, Vicki	Assistant Principal	Assistant Principal of Students Services, Culture & Climate, Title 1, and Math Leader
Prendergast, Mark	Assistant Principal	Assistant Principal of Curriculum, Low Incidence Programs, PLA, PI, Math Leader
Taylor, Holly	Instructional Coach	Instructional coach for elementary math.
Jones, Jacqueline	Principal	
Kearney, Nana	Instructional Coach	Literacy Coach
Byers, Bridgette	Instructional Coach	Literacy Coach
Wright, Elton	Dean	
Tinsley, Nakeisha	Dean	

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Monday 6/1/2020, Katharine Fulginiti

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

0

Demographic Data

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School PK-8
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Asian Students Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students* White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: D (38%) 2017-18: C (43%) 2016-17: C (44%) 2015-16: C (46%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Inf	formation*
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	Cassandra Brusca
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A

Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code	e. For more information, click here.

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator		Grade Level													
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 6/30/2020

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator			Grade Level														
indicator		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total			
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	29	119	104	134	75	162	0	0	0	0	623			

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel	l				Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

lu dinata u						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator						Gra	ade L	evel						Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	29	119	104	134	75	162	0	0	0	0	623

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel					Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019			2018	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	30%	54%	61%	35%	50%	57%
ELA Learning Gains	41%	56%	59%	45%	54%	57%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	32%	53%	54%	41%	47%	51%
Math Achievement	33%	57%	62%	40%	52%	58%
Math Learning Gains	46%	57%	59%	41%	52%	56%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	41%	52%	52%	33%	46%	50%
Science Achievement	25%	50%	56%	38%	47%	53%
Social Studies Achievement	51%	76%	78%	61%	76%	75%

	EW	S Indic	ators a	ıs Inpu	t Earlie	er in the	e Surve	_y		
Indicator			Grade	e Level	(prior y	ear rep	orted)			Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Total
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	31%	51%	-20%	58%	-27%
	2018	32%	50%	-18%	57%	-25%
Same Grade C	omparison	-1%				
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2019	30%	52%	-22%	58%	-28%
	2018	36%	49%	-13%	56%	-20%
Same Grade C	omparison	-6%				
Cohort Com	parison	-2%				
05	2019	25%	50%	-25%	56%	-31%
	2018	33%	51%	-18%	55%	-22%
Same Grade C	omparison	-8%				
Cohort Com	parison	-11%				
06	2019	36%	47%	-11%	54%	-18%
	2018	24%	44%	-20%	52%	-28%
Same Grade C	omparison	12%			•	
Cohort Com	parison	3%				
07	2019	21%	44%	-23%	52%	-31%

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
	2018	25%	41%	-16%	51%	-26%
Same Grade C	omparison	-4%				
Cohort Com	parison	-3%				
08	2019	31%	49%	-18%	56%	-25%
	2018	30%	51%	-21%	58%	-28%
Same Grade C	omparison	1%			•	
Cohort Com	parison	6%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	44%	61%	-17%	62%	-18%
	2018	48%	59%	-11%	62%	-14%
Same Grade C	Comparison	-4%				
Cohort Con	nparison					
04	2019	42%	64%	-22%	64%	-22%
	2018	38%	60%	-22%	62%	-24%
Same Grade C	Comparison	4%				
Cohort Con	nparison	-6%				
05	2019	30%	57%	-27%	60%	-30%
	2018	29%	61%	-32%	61%	-32%
Same Grade C	Comparison	1%				
Cohort Con	nparison	-8%				
06	2019	24%	51%	-27%	55%	-31%
	2018	16%	42%	-26%	52%	-36%
Same Grade C	Comparison	8%				
Cohort Con	nparison	-5%				
07	2019	27%	47%	-20%	54%	-27%
	2018	37%	50%	-13%	54%	-17%
Same Grade C	Comparison	-10%				
Cohort Con	nparison	11%				
08	2019	12%	32%	-20%	46%	-34%
	2018	17%	31%	-14%	45%	-28%
Same Grade C	Comparison	-5%				
Cohort Con	nparison	-25%				

			SCIENCE			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2019	23%	49%	-26%	53%	-30%
	2018	38%	56%	-18%	55%	-17%
Same Grade C	omparison	-15%				
Cohort Com	parison					
08	2019	26%	40%	-14%	48%	-22%
	2018	34%	44%	-10%	50%	-16%

			SCIENCE			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
Same Grade C	omparison	-8%				
Cohort Com	parison	-12%		_		

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	49%	69%	-20%	71%	-22%
2018	91%	84%	7%	71%	20%
Co	ompare	-42%			
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		ALGEE	RA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	53%	57%	-4%	61%	-8%
2018	74%	61%	13%	62%	12%
Co	ompare	-21%			
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019			3.55.55	†	2
2018					
-010					

Subgroup Data

	2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	17	35	32	20	43	44	13	29			
ELL	16	34	22	27	48	46	20				
BLK	25	37	33	28	43	38	22	40	39		
HSP	29	41	24	30	42	44	21	53			

		2019	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
MUL	47	41		44	46		42	73			
WHT	39	49	40	40	54	50	29	71	31		
FRL	27	38	32	31	44	46	24	50	31		
		2018	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMP	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	16	31	31	18	36	34	14				
ELL	10	43	46	23	34	33					
BLK	28	39	40	29	33	36	34	90	39		
HSP	26	40	50	35	39	40	42				
MUL	38	42	50	50	44		53				
WHT	42	48	49	39	39	42	35	91	40		
FRL	29	40	42	31	35	37	35	90	38		
		2017	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	13	33	29	18	29	24	16	36			
ELL	13	37	40	35	45	33					
BLK	32	41	39	36	39	31	28	58	64		
HSP	36	48	33	41	36	21	39	41			
MUL	53	56		48	44		53				
WHT	38	48	48	43	47	56	53	75	67		
FRL	34	45	41	40	41	30	33	58	57		

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CS&I
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	38
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	5
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	38
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	376
Total Components for the Federal Index	10
Percent Tested	100%
Subarraum Data	

Subgroup Data

Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	29
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	2
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	31
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	1
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
- Black/Allicall Allicitics	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	34
	34 YES
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	YES
Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students	YES 0
Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students	YES 0 35
Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES 0 35 YES
Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	YES 0 35 YES
Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students	YES 0 35 YES 0
Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students	YES 0 35 YES 0 49
Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES 0 35 YES 0 49 NO
Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	YES 0 35 YES 0 49 NO
Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students	YES 0 35 YES 0 49 NO

White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	45
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	37
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

8th grade Math (12%)

Low level of teaching. Little to no progress monitoring/accountability tool.

Coaching support for teacher was ineffective.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

The Civics data component showed the greatest decline during the 2018-2019 school year. Low performing instruction, poor planning, and culture contributed to the decline in performance.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

8th grade Math (12%)

34% behind below the state average.

8th grade Math (12%)

Low level of teaching. Little to no progress monitoring/accountability tool.

Coaching support for teacher was ineffective.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Math learning gains showed the most improvement of all components. Math gains went from 36% in the 2017-2018 school year to 46% in the 2018-2019 school year. Use of interventionist to target level 1 and 2 students for additional instruction.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

- The number of level one students in both ELA and Math across combination school grade levels.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Proficiency and growth in Reading for grades 3-8
- 2. Proficiency and growth in Math for grades 3-8
- 3. Proficiency in Science for grades 5 & 8
- 4. Proficiency in Civics for grade 7
- 5. Proficiency in Algebra for grade 8

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction

The primary role of the supplemental Assistant Principal is to provide additional administrative instructional support to content areas. Assist with teachers of students who are in a state assessed grade and/or course. Will provide content teachers with direct Instructional support and corrective feedback focused on increasing student achievement, closing the achievement gap in tested grades; Will serve Assistant Principal grade level ELA, Math, and Science Learning communities. Monitors success of all students in the learning environment, ensure alignment of the curriculum, instruction, and assessment processes to promote effective student performance, and support the effective use of benchmarks, learning and expectations, feedback measures to instructional staff to ensure accountability for all participants engaged in the educational process. Support & promote positive learning culture; provide support and framework for effective standards based instructional program delivery; coaching instructional staff in application of best practice for increasing student growth.

Area of Focus
Description and Rationale:

Additional staff (Instructional Coaches, Interventionist, Social Studies Teacher, Media Specialist, and Paraprofessional) to support class size, small group instruction, culture and climate, professional learning and behavior success towards increasing student achievement.

Supplemental Interventions and Curriculum (LLI and ACALETICS) to support core area curriculum and meet individualized student needs.

Measurable Outcome:

In ELA, Math, Science, the school will see student gains increase by at least 5%. While increasing student gains, the school will work to have more proficient students simultaneously.

Quarterly PMA and Penda benchmark goals

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

[no one identified]

Assistant Principal:

As stated in Leadership Content Knowledge (2003), "We go on to suggest that all administrators have solid mastery of at least one subject (and the learning and teaching of it) and that they develop expertise in other subjects by "postholing," that is, conducting indepth explorations of an important but bounded slice of the subject, how it is learned, and how it is taught. We conclude with an exploration of how content knowledge and leadership knowledge might be intertwined and suggestions for further research." https://www.istor.org/stable/3699585?seg=1

Evidencebased Strategy:

Interventionists and Coaches (Reading and Math):

As stated in The impact of coaching on Teacher practice and Student Achievement (Foster, 2018), Coaching is a key job-embedded professional learning strategy that is grounded in day-to-day teaching practice, addresses immediate problems of practice, and targets instructional practices with the intent of improving student learning. Interventionist, add to this strategy of support by pulling small groups for interventions with the use of literacy programs like Leveled Literacy Intervention and math or science programs, ACALETICS. https://learningforward.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/the-impact-of-coaching-on-teacher-practice-and-student-achievement.pdf

Social Studies Teacher

Adding additional faculty in the social sciences is an effort to reduce class size in the combination school environment and increase an opportunity for additional small groups in the CIVICS area. According to "The effectiveness of class size reduction" (Mathis, 2016), students that had been assigned to smaller classes were more likely to graduate in four years. The positive effect was twice as large for poor and minority students, and thus narrowed the achievement gap. https://nepc.colorado.edu/sites/default/files/publications/Mathis%20RBOPM-9%20Class%20Size.pdf

A Paraprofessional will be funded to provide additional classroom support and more small group instruction.

A Dean will be funded to improve student engagement in the classroom and reduce classroom distractions.

A Media specialist is funded to support ELA standards during resource classes.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: Content specialist are non-administrative, peer to peer support staff who can provided jobembedded professional learning and coaching support. Although effective in instilling credibility with peer's groups, peer coaches cannot provide any evaluative or summative direction or feedback, therefore any necessary teacher professional growth planning and/or progressive action that requires more intensive action must be observed, documented, and supported by administrative staff only.

Action Steps to Implement

- 1. Support the school's academic leadership development and professional learning (assistant principals, coaches, interventionist, instructional leads) to ensure the success of all students in the learning environment;
- 2. Serves as Assistant Principal of grade level ELA, Math, and Science learning communities;
- 3. Support and promote a positive learning culture; provide support and framework for effective standards based instructional program delivery; and coaching instructional staff in the application of best practices for increasing student learning, especially in the area of reading, mathematics, and other foundational skills

Person Responsible

Jacqueline Jones (jonesj@duvalschools.org)

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction

Description of Area Focus: Instructional practice specifically relating to standards-aligned instruction will focus on supporting teachers with researched-based practices that follow state adopted standards within core and assessed content areas.

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

Rationale for Area of Focus:

Standards-based (19-20) data (PMAs, Achieve Diagnostics, and 18-19 school grade data) projected students performing below grade level in ELA, Math, Science, Civics, and Algebra 1. A review of lesson planning practices and student tasks revealed gaps with being aligned to specifications and achievement levels. Moreover, as a combination school, there is a need for consistent collaboration, collective learning, and standards aligned teaching practices among content area teacher groups

- **SWT data for 20-21 will show that 80-90% of teachers are providing grade level standards-aligned instruction.
- -By November 2020, at least 65% of teachers will provide students with instruction and tasks that are standards-aligned. By April 2021, 80-90% of teachers will provide students with instruction and tasks that are standards aligned.
- -In ELA, DCPS PMA scores, iReady standards mastery, and Achieve 3000 monthly reports, will be utilized as predictors towards a 40-45% proficiency goal, 50-55% growth, and 50-55% LPQ gains.

Measurable Outcome:

- -In Math, DCPS PMA scores, ACALETICS, and monthly mastery checks will be used as predictors towards a 40-45% proficiency goal, 50-55% growth, and 50-55% LPQ gains.
- -In Science, DCPS PMA and Penda assessments will be used as predictors towards a 35-40% proficiency goal.
- -In Civics, common assessment data will be used as a predictor towards 55-60% of students performing at or above proficiency.
- -In Algebra 1 common assessment data will be used as a predictor towards 55-60% of students performing at or above proficiency.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Jacqueline Jones (jonesj@duvalschools.org)

Sharpening the framework of Common Planning Teams & Professional Learning Communities

1. Our weekly common planning sessions will be focused on standards based planning, tiered differentiated activities/tasks, student work analysis protocols, development of common assessments, and analysis of formative data. Teachers will collaborate in the production of SB lesson plans during these sessions

Evidencebased Strategy:

- 2. Our monthly-bimonthly professional learning community sessions will be driven by the mission of building the capacity and practices of our faculty. Each PLC session will be focused on a question that seeks a measurable response towards improving both instructional practices and student achievement goals.
- 3) Our administrative and instructional coaches will engage calibrated monitoring, observations, feedback and developmentally appropriate supports of teachers' Standards based planning, implementation of instruction and practices towards student achievement.

Teacher efficacy and practice thrive when they have dedicated and consistent opportunities

Rationale to collaborate and develop their instructional planning, practices, and impact on student

for grade level content area achievement.

Evidence-

based The Art of Coaching Teams by Elena Aguilar

Strategy: John Hattie's Effect Size on Planning and Prediction .76 and Professional Development:

.62

Action Steps to Implement

Strategy 1 Action Steps

- a. Instructional Coaches will provide tiered support during weekly grade level sessions.
- b. Research based strategies books and resources will be utilized during weekly sessions.
- c. Instructional Coaches will complete weekly classroom walks as follow up support of the planning sessions in efforts to continuously calibrate teacher needs, next steps, and potential coaching cycle efforts.
- d. Admin will conduct weekly instructional reviews with teachers.

Strategy 2 Action Steps

- a. Admin and instructional coaches will identify professional development needs during their weekly calibration meetings.
- b. Admin and instructional coaches will develop professional learning focuses and implementation calendar.
- c. Admin and Instructional coaches will facilitate and/or seek external experts to provide professional development learning sessions.

Strategy 3 Action Steps

- a. Administrators and instructional coaches will complete and calibrate weekly SWTs.
- b. Administrators and instructional coaches will develop tiered teacher support plans weekly.
- c. Administrators will participate in a weekly common planning review with each core content area teacher to provide feedback and next steps on SB planning and practice.

Person

Responsible

Jacqueline Jones (jonesj@duvalschools.org)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

The 20-21 Westview leadership team also seeks to improve our 5 Essential Survey results in the following areas:

- Effective Leadership
- Supportive Environment
- Collaborative Teachers
- Ambitious Instruction
- Involved Parents

The completion rate of survey was 80% teachers, 77% students, and 25% parents. Stakeholders rated all 5 areas as weak.

Our plan within the areas of focus in section A and the measurable goals noted in our needs assessment analysis will serve as our strategies to improve effective leadership, supportive environment, collaborative teachers, and ambitious instruction.

We have purchased a Title 1 Parent Liaison position to assist us in increasing parent involvement.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

-At Westview K-8 School, we will address building a positive school culture and environment involving all stakeholders by implementing the following activities and strategies.

Student Involvement:

The implementation of the Schoolwide PBIS Plan will increase positive interactions and relationships between students and staff members. Weekly, monthly, and quarterly incentives will be used to promote academic and social accomplishments.

Parent Involvement:

A Parent Liaison is on campus every day and ensures that any and all parent needs are addressed and that information and Title 1 funded resources are available to parents as needed.

Class Dojo, News Letters, and/or School Messager Robo Calls will be used to ensure that information related to school and parent programs are properly disseminated to update parents on a consistent basis. Community Involvement:

Westview K-8 will include business partners, faith based partners & community leaders to participate and be active members in school events and SAC meetings. As a result, the community will be involved and

granted a voice at Westview K-8.

Teacher Involvement:

Along with teacher accomplishment being recognize by the school and the principal as they occur at Westview K-8. "Lunch and Learns" will occur. These meeting along with PLC's will provide teachers with opportunities to increase and gain knowledge in his/her pedagogy causing an increase in confidence as an instructional leader for our students.

Teachers and staff will use the improvement of the Intake and Dismissal procedures to assist in being more efficient for all stakeholders both at Westview K-8 and in the community.

Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructiona	l Practice: Standards-aligned	Instruction		\$516,659.13
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2020-21
	5100	120-Classroom Teachers	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG	3.0	\$122,510.07
			Notes: *Reading Interventionists (3) The used to work with all grade levels in Quartile students in each grade level. 2019-2020 school year, we will need to group instruction. This position's quality accredited college or university. Experimentary level; 4 years continuous or based on verified years of teaching excertificate in Elementary Education K-IOR Reading endorsement at the second us last year, will continue to fulfill the copositions last year, but have since the UniSIG (100%).	n our school. Specifical Due to home learning to push-in and pull-out fications are: Education rience: 3 years continuite adding teaching at the sperience. Certifications 6 (Elem. level); Floridal ndary level. If approve futies above. We were	lly, the Low- for 2-3 mon students for n- Bachelor ous teachin secondary s & License teaching co d, the candi not able to	est Performing ths during the r intensive small 's degree from an g experience at the level. Salary is s: Florida Teaching ertificate in Reading idate who was with fill one of the
	5100	210-Retirement	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG		\$12,118.15
			Notes: Reading Interventionist Benefit	s Retirement at 10.00%	6	
	5100	220-Social Security	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG		\$9,270.39
			Notes: Reading Interventionist Benefit	s Social Security at 7.6	55%	
	5100	231-Health and Hospitalization	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG		\$21,255.24
	_		Notes: Reading Interventionist Benefit	s Group Health at 17.5	34%	
	5100	232-Life Insurance	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG		\$24.24
			Notes: Reading Interventionist Benefit	s Life Insurance at .02	%	
	5100	240-Workers Compensation	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG		\$1,139.11
			Notes: Reading Interventionist Benefit	s Workers Compensat	ion at .94%	
	5100	290-Other Employee Benefits	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG		\$654.38
			Notes: Reading Interventionist Benefit	s Benefits Flex Medica	l at .54%	
	5100	120-Classroom Teachers	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG	3.0	\$120,078.00
			Notes: *Math Interventionist (3) - The will be used to work with all grade level			

		2019-2020 school year, we will need group instruction. This position's qual accredited college or university. Expe in mathematics. Experience working verteaching experience. Certifications & in Elementary Education K-6 for Eleminstructional support positions that will intervention on mastery of objectives. proficient common core skills. If approximations in the common core skills.	ifications are: Education rience: Three years of c vith at-risk students. Sa Licenses: Florida Depar entary interventionist; T I provide the opportunity I dentified students will I	n - Bachelor's continuous te lary is based rtment of Ed rhis is a cont y to provide receive assi	s degree from an eaching experience of on verified years of ucation Certification tent specific students the needed stance in reaching
		continue to fulfill the duties above. We positions last year, but have since the UniSIG (100%).	e were unable to fill one	of the math	interventionist
5100	210-Retirement	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG		\$12,007.80
		Notes: Math Interventionist Benefits F	Retirement at 10.00%		
5100	220-Social Security	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG		\$9,185.97
·		Notes: Math Interventionist Benefits S	Social Security at 7.65%	•	
5100	231-Health and Hospitalization	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG		\$21,061.68
·		Notes: Math Interventionist Benefits G	Group Health at 17.54%	•	
5100	232-Life Insurance	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG		\$24.02
		Notes: Math Interventionist Benefits L	ife Insurance at .02%		
5100	240-Workers Compensation	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG		\$1,128.73
		Notes: Math Interventionist Benefits V	Vorkers Compensation a	at .94%	
5100	290-Other Employee Benefits	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG		\$648.42
		Notes: Math Interventionist Benefits E	Benefits Flex Medical at	.54%	
7300	110-Administrators	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG	0.5	\$37,445.73
		Notes: *Supplemental Assistant Princ Principal is to provide additional admi with teachers of students who are in a content teachers with direct Instructio increasing student achievement, closi Assistant Principal grade level ELA, N success of all students in the learning instruction, and assessment processe support the effective use of benchmal instructional staff to ensure accountal process. Support & promote positive is	nistrative instructional si a state assessed grade a nal support and correcti ing the achievement gap flath, and Science Learn environment, ensure all es to promote effective s rks, learning and expect pility for all participants e	upport to co. and/or cours ive feedback to in tested g ning commul lignment of t student perfo- tations, feed engaged in t	ntent areas. Assist se. Will provide s focused on rades; Will serve nities. Monitors the curriculum, ormance, and back measures to
		effective standards based instructional application of best practice for increase content areas, allowing the school to and shared with another school. This split funded with Highlands Middle.	al program delivery; coa sing student growth. The benefit from different ins	ching instruction of the children of the child	d framework for ctional staff in alize in different aders in the building
7300	210-Retirement	effective standards based instructional application of best practice for increase content areas, allowing the school to and shared with another school. This	al program delivery; coa sing student growth. The benefit from different ins	ching instruction of the children of the child	d framework for ctional staff in alize in different aders in the building
7300	210-Retirement	effective standards based instructional application of best practice for increase content areas, allowing the school to and shared with another school. This split funded with Highlands Middle.	al program delivery; coal sing student growth. The benefit from different ins is not a new employee, UniSIG	ching instruction of the control of	d framework for ctional staff in alize in different aders in the building n is shared with and
7300	210-Retirement 220-Social Security	effective standards based instructional application of best practice for increase content areas, allowing the school to and shared with another school. This split funded with Highlands Middle. 2741 - Westview K 8	al program delivery; coal sing student growth. The benefit from different ins is not a new employee, UniSIG	ching instruction of the control of	d framework for ctional staff in alize in different aders in the building n is shared with and
		effective standards based instructional application of best practice for increase content areas, allowing the school to and shared with another school. This split funded with Highlands Middle. 2741 - Westview K 8 Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip	al program delivery; coaling student growth. The benefit from different insis not a new employee, UniSIG Dal Benefits Retirement UniSIG	ching instruction of the control of	d framework for ctional staff in alize in different aders in the building is shared with and \$3,744.57
		effective standards based instructional application of best practice for increase content areas, allowing the school to and shared with another school. This split funded with Highlands Middle. 2741 - Westview K 8 Notes: Supplemental Assistant Principal Content of the Conten	al program delivery; coaling student growth. The benefit from different insis not a new employee, UniSIG Dal Benefits Retirement UniSIG	ching instruction of the control of	d framework for ctional staff in alize in different aders in the building is shared with and \$3,744.57
7300	220-Social Security 231-Health and	effective standards based instructional application of best practice for increase content areas, allowing the school to and shared with another school. This split funded with Highlands Middle. 2741 - Westview K 8 Notes: Supplemental Assistant Principal Content of the Supplemental Content of the Suppleme	al program delivery; coaling student growth. The benefit from different insis not a new employee, UniSIG Dal Benefits Retirement UniSIG Dal Benefits Social Seculurial Social Seculurial Signal Seculurial Signal Signa	ching instruction at 10.00% at 10.00%	d framework for ctional staff in alize in different aders in the building is shared with and \$3,744.57 \$2,864.60 \$6,567.98
7300	220-Social Security 231-Health and	effective standards based instructional application of best practice for increase content areas, allowing the school to and shared with another school. This split funded with Highlands Middle. 2741 - Westview K 8 Notes: Supplemental Assistant Principate Content of the Supplemental Principate Content of the Supplemental Principate Content of the Supplemental Principate Content	al program delivery; coaling student growth. The benefit from different insis not a new employee, UniSIG Dal Benefits Retirement UniSIG Dal Benefits Social Seculurial Social Seculurial Signal Seculurial Signal Signa	ching instruction at 10.00% at 10.00%	d framework for ctional staff in alize in different aders in the building is shared with and \$3,744.57

7300	240-Workers Compensation	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG		\$351.99
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip	ı Dal Benefit Workers Co	mpensation a	at .94%
7300	290-Other Employee Benefits	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG		\$202.21
	<u> </u>	Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip	ı Dal Benefit Benefits Fle	x Medical at	.54%
7300	110-Administrators	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG	0.5	\$37,333.00
		Notes: *Supplemental Assistant Princip Principal is to provide additional admir with teachers of students who are in a content teachers with direct Instruction increasing student achievement, closin Assistant Principal grade level ELA, M success of all students in the learning instruction, and assessment processes support the effective use of benchman instructional staff to ensure accountab process. Support & promote positive leffective standards based instructional application of best practice for increasing support the additional AP. The APs spate benefit from different instructional leffits is not a new employee, this position Elem.	nistrative instructional sistate assessed grade and support and correcting the achievement galath, and Science Learnenvironment, ensure as to promote effective sistem, learning and expensibility for all participants pearning culture; provided program delivery; coaing student growth. Pledecialize in different contaders in the building a	support to con and/or cours ive feedback p in tested ganing communation dignment of the student performance tations, feed the engaged in the engaged in the endaching instruc- case see the entent areas, and shared wo	ntent areas. Assist see. Will provide a focused on rades; Will serve nities. Monitors the curriculum, primance, and back measures to the educational d framework for ctional staff in attached data to allowing the school ith another school.
7300	210-Retirement	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG		\$3,733.00
	1	Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip	ı pal Benefits Retirement	t at 10.00%	<u> </u>
7300	220-Social Security	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG		\$2,855.98
		Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip	ı Dal Benefits Social Sec	urity at 7.65%	6
7300	231-Health and Hospitalization	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG		\$6,548.21
		Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip	oal Benefits Group Hea	Ith at 17.54%	6
7300	232-Life Insurance	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG		\$7.47
		Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip	pal Benefit Life Insuran	ce at .02%	
7300	240-Workers Compensation	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG		\$350.93
		Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip	oal Benefit Workers Co	mpensation a	at .94%
7300	290-Other Employee Benefits	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG		\$201.60
	1	Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip	nal Benefit Benefits Fle	x Medical at	.54%
7300	110-Administrators	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG	0.75	\$55,406.00
		Notes: *Supplemental Assistant Principal is to provide additional admir with teachers of students who are in a content teachers with direct Instruction increasing student achievement, closin Assistant Principal grade level ELA, M success of all students in the learning instruction, and assessment processes support the effective use of benchman instructional staff to ensure accountab process. Support & promote positive leffective standards based instructional application of best practice for increasing that will serve during the 20-21 SY; The This position is shared and split funder	nistrative instructional sistate assessed grade and support and correcting the achievement galath, and Science Learnenvironment, ensure a sisto promote effective sks, learning and expeciality for all participants pearning culture; provided program delivery; coaing student growth. Ye his position was approving state as serving student growth.	support to consand/or cours and/or cours ive feedback p in tested graining communations, feed in the support and aching instructs, this is the red in the 19-	ntent areas. Assist se. Will provide recused on rades; Will serve nities. Monitors the curriculum, ormance, and back measures to the educational deframework for ctional staff in same employee 20 UniSIG grant.
		This position is shared and split lutided	a permeen mesiniem v	and Sallye	D. IVIGUIIS.
7300	210-Retirement	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG		\$5,540.59

2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructiona	N Practice: Standards-align	ed Instruction	\$0.00
	l	l	envelopes, crayons, pens, pencils, instruction and small group instruct		during core
	5100	510-Supplies	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG	\$7,303.97
			Notes: Supplemental Assistant Prin	ncipal In-County Travel	
	7300	330-Travel	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG	\$300.00
			Notes: Supplemental Assistant Prin	ncipal Benefit Benefits Flex Medical a	t .54%
	7300	290-Other Employee Benefits	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG	\$299.18
		_	Notes: Supplemental Assistant Prin	ncipal Benefit Workers Compensation	at .94%
	7300	240-Workers Compensation	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG	\$520.82
			Notes: Supplemental Assistant Prin	ncipal Benefit Life Insurance at .02%	
	7300	232-Life Insurance	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG	\$11.08
			Notes: Supplemental Assistant Prin	ncipal Benefits Group Health at 17.54	%
	7300	231-Health and Hospitalization	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG	\$9,717.98
			Notes: Supplemental Assistant Prin	ncipal Benefits Social Security at 7.65	5%
	7300	220-Social Security	2741 - Westview K 8	UniSIG	\$4,238.55