Duval County Public Schools

Carter G. Woodson Elementary School



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	11
Planning for Improvement	16
Positive Culture & Environment	25
Budget to Support Goals	26

Carter G. Woodson Elementary School

2334 BUTLER AVE, Jacksonville, FL 32209

http://www.duvalschools.org/woodson

Demographics

Principal: Sylvia Embry

Start Date for this Principal: 6/30/2020

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Closed: 2021-06-30
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	No
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	0%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
	2018-19: F (28%)
	2017-18: D (37%)
School Grades History	2016-17: C (45%)
	2015-16: D (36%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	Cassandra Brusca
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more info	ormation, click here.

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	11
Planning for Improvement	16
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	26

Carter G. Woodson Elementary School

2334 BUTLER AVE, Jacksonville, FL 32209

http://www.duvalschools.org/woodson

School Demographics

School Type and Gi (per MSID I		2019-20 Title I School	Disadvan	DEconomically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)
Elementary S PK-5	school	Yes		100%
Primary Servio (per MSID I	• •	Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)
K-12 General E	ducation	No		100%
School Grades Histo	ry			
Year	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17
Grade	F	F	D	С

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Our Mission is to provide educational excellence in a safe and positive environment, emphasizing standards-based instruction that promotes students to do their best.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Our Vision is to foster a successful academic environment that inspires and prepares every student with life skills for success as productive global citizens.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
		Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making; ensures that the school-based team is implementing MTSS;
		conducts assessment of instructional skills of school staff; ensures implementation of intervention support and documentation requirements;
		ensures adequate professional development to support implementation of aligned standards, instruction and curriculum and assessment; and communicates with parents regarding school-based plans and activities
Embry, Sylvia	Principal	Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/programs; analyzes scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches;
		identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies;
		assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered at risk; assists in the design and implementation
		for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; supports the implementation of Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 intervention plans; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.
Wilson, Mary	Assistant Principal	Supports school wide work in aligning standards with instruction, curriculum tools, and assessment. Implements testing program and manages all data collection systems. Analyzes school data to identify areas of support for teachers and students. Assists with monitoring classroom instruction and providing feedback for improvement to teachers. Develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; analyzes scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches; identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk"; assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; supports the implementation of Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 intervention plans; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.
Snipes, Tanesha	Instructional Coach	Designs and implements professional development opportunities for lead content teachers in Math. Provides individual and team coaching to teachers in the classroom

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
		Model lessons for teachers Leads professional book studies for teachers.develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; analyzes scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches; identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk"; assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; supports the implementation of Tier 1, Tier 2,and Tier 3 intervention plans; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.
Singleton, Stacy	Instructional Coach	Designs and implements professional development opportunities for lead content teachers in ELA. Provides individual and team coaching to teachers in the classroom Model lessons for teachers Leads professional book studies for teachers.develops, leads, and evaluates school core content standards/ programs; analyzes scientifically based curriculum/behavior assessment and intervention approaches; identifies systematic patterns of student need while working with district personnel to identify appropriate, evidence-based intervention strategies; assists with whole school screening programs that provide early intervening services for children to be considered "at risk"; assists in the design and implementation for progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; participates in the design and delivery of professional development; supports the implementation of Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 3 intervention plans; and provides support for assessment and implementation monitoring.

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Tuesday 6/30/2020, Sylvia Embry

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

n

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

8

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

17

Demographic Data

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Closed: 2021-06-30
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	No
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	0%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
	2018-19: F (28%)
	2017-18: D (37%)
School Grades History	2016-17: C (45%)
	2015-16: D (36%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information	*
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	Cassandra Brusca
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For mo	re information, click here.

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator		Grade Level												Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Number of students enrolled	41	42	56	41	48	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	264
Attendance below 90 percent	4	3	8	9	6	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	2	2	6	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Course failure in Math	9	2	9	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	3	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	2	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level													
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 6/30/2020

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	60	71	68	87	52	102	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	440
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level												Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	1	0	17	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

ludiosto.	Grade Level													Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Number of students enrolled	60	71	68	87	52	102	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	440
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level												Total
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Students with two or more indicators		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level												Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	1	0	17	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019			2018	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	22%	50%	57%	34%	49%	55%
ELA Learning Gains	34%	56%	58%	55%	56%	57%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	19%	50%	53%	67%	54%	52%
Math Achievement	27%	62%	63%	42%	62%	61%
Math Learning Gains	45%	63%	62%	59%	63%	61%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	35%	52%	51%	44%	54%	51%
Science Achievement	13%	48%	53%	15%	50%	51%

	EWS Indi	cators as	Input Ea	rlier in th	e Survey		
Indicator		Grade	Level (pri	or year re	ported)		Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	างเลา
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	13%	51%	-38%	58%	-45%
	2018	20%	50%	-30%	57%	-37%
Same Grade C	omparison	-7%				
Cohort Com	Cohort Comparison					
04	2019	21%	52%	-31%	58%	-37%
	2018	42%	49%	-7%	56%	-14%
Same Grade C	omparison	-21%				
Cohort Com	parison	1%				
05	2019	26%	50%	-24%	56%	-30%
	2018	37%	51%	-14%	55%	-18%
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison					
Cohort Com	parison	-16%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	19%	61%	-42%	62%	-43%
	2018	28%	59%	-31%	62%	-34%
Same Grade C	omparison	-9%				
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2019	31%	64%	-33%	64%	-33%
	2018	38%	60%	-22%	62%	-24%
Same Grade C	omparison	-7%				
Cohort Com	parison	3%				
05	2019	21%	57%	-36%	60%	-39%
	2018	41%	61%	-20%	61%	-20%
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison					
Cohort Com	parison	-17%				

SCIENCE											
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison					
05	2019	13%	49%	-36%	53%	-40%					

			SCIENCE			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
	2018	36%	56%	-20%	55%	-19%
Same Grade C	omparison	-23%				
Cohort Com	parison					

Subgroup Data

	2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	3	19	23	4	29	27					
BLK	19	32	18	26	44	35	10				
FRL	21	33	21	28	45	34	12				
		2018	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	9	18		14	20						
BLK	31	47	36	35	42	30	37				
FRL	30	47	35	35	43	30	36				
		2017	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMP	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	21	47		9	41	55					
BLK	34	56	67	41	59	44	14				
FRL	32	52	67	38	58	41	15				

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CS&I
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	28
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	3
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	195
Total Components for the Federal Index	7
Percent Tested	99%

Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	18
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	2
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	26
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	1
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	

Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	28
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	1

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Reading Academic Achievement showed the lowest performance.

The contributing factors to the low performance is:

Classroom management lacked the structure needed to deliver effective instruction.

Students reading proficiency is significantly lower than schools with similar demographics within the District.

Many students are reading at least two grade levels behind. This has been a trend for past two years. Teachers needed additional training on standards based instruction and an in depth reading foundational skills

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Science showed the greatest decline.

Students academic reading proficiency was at least two or more grade levels behind which severely impacted science achievement. Reading comprehension and foundational skills are directly linked to science performance.

Lack of fidelity in the delivery of standards based science instruction.

Teachers did not have the adequate science content knowledge to effectively implement science instruction to incorporate hands on learning.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Science had the greatest gap when compared to the state average.

The factors that contributed to this gap were:

Classroom management skills lacked the structure needed to deliver effective instruction.

Additional training was needed to inform the teachers on Lateral Progression to bridge the achievement gap

Teachers were not effectively using time and resources to deliver adequate instruction.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The data component that showed most improvement is Math Learning Gains. The new actions that were taken were:

Teachers were trained on Acaletics.

I-Ready was used as a supplement to the core curriculum.

Acaletics and I Ready was used daily.

Acaletics monitored and recognized students progress monthly with Green Parties.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

Providing academic interventions for EWI students whose grades have dropped to a D or F through the development and monitoring of a PMP.

Provide behavioral interventions and guidance for students with more than 2 or more disciplinary infractions.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1.Reading- ELA LPQ to increase by one or more academic years growth in reading
- 2.Reading -Increase school wide reading gains by 20%.or more.
- 3.Implement a school wide behavioral system that rewards positive behavior, promotes positive social interaction, and that promotes positive reinforcements.
- 4. Increase Science Achievement by 10% or more.
- 5. Math-Increase math proficiency by 20% or more.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Outcomes for Multiple Subgroups

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: African American and Free and Reduced Lunch Students

The students are reading at least two or more reading levels below the District and State

Average. According to

the data from 2018 Reading Achievement was 31% and in 2019 decreased to 19%. This was a significant decline of 12% which impacted science, math, and writing. Reading Comprehension is a critical component of the aforementioned subjects.

90% of teachers will engage in effective standards based planning to use standards based instruction and aligning assignments to grade level standards.

Measurable Outcome:

By strategically and consistently tracking and monitoring student achievement data (weekly/monthly) making necessary adjustments to student grouping, student placement, and classroom instruction, Carter G.

Woodson plans to achieve the following measurable outcomes for the Lowest Performing Quartile with an increase to 38% of students in the Lowest Performing Quartile showing one year's growth during the 2020-2021 school year.

Person responsible for

monitoring outcome:

Sylvia Embry (embrys@duvalschools.org)

The teachers will engage in Professional Learning Communities that focuses on unpacking the standards to target the tasks that require students to show mastery of the standard.

Evidencebased Strategy:

The ELA Response Team (Principal, Assistant Principal, Reading Coach, Reading Interventionist, Classroom Teachers, and Instructional Para Professionals for 3rd - 5th grades) will provide specific interventions for all students using LLI, Corrective Reading, Reading Mastery Signature Edition (RMSE), or Guided Reading with differentiated instruction during small group and intervention.

Rationale for Evidence-

Focusing on the interventions that are specific to students' deficiencies while providing a balance of Standards Based Instruction will close the achievement gap and assist students with showing the growth that is

based Strategy:

needed

Action Steps to Implement

Analyze ELA data (Intervention, Standards Mastery, Mastery Checks, and i-Ready) on the students in the Lowest Performing Quartile will be tracked weekly(Reading Coach, Assistant Principal, and Principal)

Person Responsible

Sylvia Embry (embrys@duvalschools.org)

Provide training and on-going support for teachers to utilize data to create weekly small group instructional plan (Principal, Assistant Principal and Reading Coach). This action step will support the ESSA groups of Economically Disadvantaged and Students with Disabilities by meeting the specific needs through teacher led small group.

Person Responsible

Sylvia Embry (embrys@duvalschools.org)

During Common Planning, teachers will meet with coaches to look at standards that are taught and create a Learning Arc to map out how they will teach the standard. (Reading Coach and Reading Interventionist)

Person

Responsible

Stacy Singleton (singletons2@duvalschools.org)

Administrative Leadership Team (Principal, Assistant Principal, and Reading Coach) will calibrate to make sure teachers are teaching using the standards based instructional guides.

Person

Responsible

Sylvia Embry (embrys@duvalschools.org)

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Teachers must have additional support to provide grade level standards based instruction for students.

Area of Focus Description and

Rationale:

A review of the dashboard data shows that teachers were inconsistent with aligning the tasks and assignments with the standards. The dashboard showed 1.9 out of 5.0 for assessing student learning.

When looking at the data from 2018/2019 FSA ELA 19 percent of Carter G. Woodson's students in the bottom quartile only showed one years growth. This was a significant reduction from 2017/2018 school year going from 36%(17-18) to 19%(18-19).

The school reading goal for the 2020-2021 school year-30% proficiency.

90% of teachers will engage in effective standards based instructional planning with the academic coaches assistance to align assignments to grade level standards. The dashboard will show an increase of 1.2 for an overall 3.1 in assessing student learning.

Measurable Outcome:

By strategically and consistently tracking and monitoring student achievement data (weekly/monthly) making necessary adjustments to student grouping, student placement, and classroom instruction, Carter G.

Woodson plans to achieve the following measurable outcomes for the Lowest Performing Quartile with an increase to 30% of students in the Lowest Performing Quartile showing one year's growth during the 2020-2021 school year.

Person responsible

for monitoring outcome:

Sylvia Embry (embrys@duvalschools.org)

The teachers will engage in Professional Learning Communities that focuses on unpacking the standards to target the tasks that require students to show mastery of the standard.

Evidencebased Strategy:

The ELA Response Team (Principal, Assistant Principal, Reading Coach, Reading Interventionist, Classroom Teachers, and Instructional Para Professionals for 3rd - 5th grades) will provide specific interventions for all students using LLI, Corrective Reading, Reading Mastery Signature Edition (RMSE), or Guided Reading with differentiated instruction during small group and intervention.

Rationale for Evidence-based

Strategy:

Focusing on the interventions that are specific to students' deficiencies while providing a balance of Standards Based Instruction will close the achievement gap and assist students with showing the growth that is

needed.

Action Steps to Implement

Administrative Leadership Team (Principal, Assistant Principal, and Reading Coach) will calibrate to make sure teachers are teaching using the standards based instructional guides.

Person Responsible

Sylvia Embry (embrys@duvalschools.org)

During Common Planning, teachers will meet with coaches to look at standards that are taught and create a Learning Arc to map out how they will teach the standard. Students will complete activities and task that are appropriate for where the teacher should be in the learning arc for the standard.

Person
Responsible Stacy Singleton (singletons2@duvalschools.org)

Analyze ELA data (Intervention, Standards Mastery, Mastery Checks, and i-Ready) on the students in the Lowest Performing Quartile will be tracked weekly. Create an FCIM cycle to target remediation on standards that students are not mastering.

Person
Responsible Stacy Singleton (singletons2@duvalschools.org)

Provide training and on-going support on Differentiated Instruction and Standards Based Instructional Delivery. This will assist teachers in utilizing data to create weekly small group instructional plans that target standards students are not mastering.

Person
Responsible Stacy Singleton (singletons2@duvalschools.org)

#3. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Science

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: According to the 5 Essentials Survey, 58% of teachers felt that the school was lacking opportunities for collaboration among teachers. Many teachers did not teach science curriculum or collaboratively plan science lessons. The 2018-2019 FSA Science data had the greatest gap when compared to the state average. Science proficiency showed a significant decrease from 35% in 2018 to 13% in 2019.

100% of teachers will engage in effective standards based planning and instructional delivery to increase science proficiency across grade levels.

Measurable Outcome:

By strategically and consistently monitoring student achievement data(weekly/monthly) making necessary adjustments to class schedules to ensure science has the timing needed to be taught effectively, adjustments made to classroom instruction to include hands on experiments and engaging lessons that align with the standard.

Person responsible for

monitoring outcome:

Sylvia Embry (embrys@duvalschools.org)

Evidencebased Strategy: Reading Coach and Interventionist, Classroom Teachers, City Year and Instructional Para-Professionals will provide specific interventions for students. Teachers will be trained on vertical progression and will deliver differentiated instruction. Teacher training will be aimed at differentiated instruction for students using Achievement level to monitor students toward

proficiency.

Rationale

for Evidencebased Focus on interventions that are specific to student's deficiencies in reading while providing a balance of Standards based science instruction that will close the achievement gap and

assist students with showing the growth that is needed.

Strategy:

Action Steps to Implement

Administration Team(Principal, Assistant Principal, Reading and Math Coach) will conduct instructional monitoring walk through and review data weekly.

Person Responsible

Sylvia Embry (embrys@duvalschools.org)

Provide teacher training on differentiating science instruction, hands-on lab experiments and achievement levels to monitor student proficiency. Coaches and Principal

Person Responsible

Tanesha Snipes (snipest@duvalschools.org)

Teachers will keep updated lesson plans and data binders. Students will also have data notebooks to track their progress. Teachers, Coaches, Assistant Principal, and Principal

Person Responsible

Tanesha Snipes (snipest@duvalschools.org)

#4. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Teachers must have additional support to provide grade level standards based instruction for students.

A review of the dashboard data shows that teachers were inconsistent with aligning the tasks and assignments with the standards. The dashboard showed 1.9 out of 5.0 for assessing student learning.

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:

According to the 2018-2019 Academic Data from the 2019 Math FSA,36 % of Carter G. Woodson's students in the bottom quartile showed growth, compared to the 2018 Math FSA where 29% of Carter G. Woodson's students in the bottom quartile showed growth. This data finding is impactful because although there was a slight increase of students showing gains, the students are still scoring significantly low in proficiency. Progress monitoring students with more frequency will identify trends in data that will lead to differentiating instruction and remediating student deficiencies with fidelity. If we impact this data point, teachers will be able to remediate more frequently and strategically, being intentional with addressing the needs of the students, allowing students to correct mistakes in a more timely manner, therefore, impacting student success.

Measurable Outcome:

90% of teachers will engage in effective standards based instructional planning with the academic coaches assistance to align assignments to grade level standards. The dashboard will show an increase of 1.2 for an overall 3.1 in assessing student learning.

If the school-wide math instruction, small groups, and the use of supplements are implemented effectively, the lowest performing quartile will show gains of one or more years.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Tanesha Snipes (snipest@duvalschools.org)

The Principal, Assistant Principal, and Math Coach, will perform academic walk through focusing on a specific area of instruction every week and provide immediate feedback to ensure instruction is engaging, rigorous, and standards alignment.

The Acaletics Mathematics Program will be used as an additional instructional supplement to be utilized within the daily acaletics block.

Evidencebased Strategy:

The math interventionist will provide intense small group instruction to target the standards that the students have struggled to comprehend and help to achieve instructional gains.

City Year will provide small group and one on one instruction to the students in the lowest performing quartile to increase the students proficiency level.

Students will use I-Ready daily as it is a technologically standards-Aligned supplement designed to improve students achievement when used in conjunction with a strong core program.

Rationale for Evidence-

The teachers will engage in Professional Learning Communities that focuses on unpacking the standards to target the tasks that require students to show mastery of the standard.

based Strategy:

Research has shown that small group or one on one instruction will increase a students skills and subject area knowledge .

The Acaletics program is a research-based supplement proven to positively improve student achievement in Mathematics when implemented with fidelity.

I Ready is a computer based program that has been proven to increase student achievement as the program is prescriptive and standards aligned.

Action Steps to Implement

Administrative Leadership Team (Principal, Assistant Principal, and Reading Coach) will conduct instructional monitoring walk-throughs and review data weekly during meetings.

Person

Responsible

Sylvia Embry (embrys@duvalschools.org)

During Common Planning, teachers will meet with coaches to look at standards that are taught and create a Learning Arc to map out how they will teach the standard. Students will complete activities and task that are appropriate for where the teacher should be in the learning arc for the standard.

Person

Responsible

Tanesha Snipes (snipest@duvalschools.org)

Train math interventionist and para-professionals in differentiated small group instruction to focus on remediating grade level targeted standards.

Coaches, Assistant Principal, and Principal

Person

Responsible

[no one identified]

Coaching Cycle for teachers in need of interventions. Coaches, Assistant Principal, and Principal

Person

Responsible

[no one identified]

Provide training and on-going support for teachers to utilize data to create weekly small group instructional plans. Coaches, Assistant Principal, and Principal

Person

Responsible

[no one identified]

Give a pre-assessment to all students to determine what standards students are not mastering and plan an FCIM calendar to teach targeted standards. Teacher and Coaches

Person

Responsible

Tanesha Snipes (snipest@duvalschools.org)

Ensure Acaletics Math is being taught with fidelity. Monitor student achievement and reward successes monthly. Principal, Assistant Principal, and Math Coach

Person

Responsible

Sylvia Embry (embrys@duvalschools.org)

#5. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction

Teachers must have additional support to provide grade level standards based instruction for students.

Area of Focus **Description** and Rationale:

A review of the dashboard data shows that teachers were inconsistent with aligning the tasks and assignments with the standards. The dashboard showed 1.9 out of 5.0 for assessing student learning.

The reading and science scores showed a significant decrease from 2017/2018 to 2018/ 2019. Teachers lack of knowledge and exposure to meaningful data based Professional Development led to decreased learning gains and achievement.

Measurable Outcome:

90% of teachers will engage in effective standards based instructional planning with the academic coaches assistance to align assignments to grade level standards. The dashboard will show an increase of 1.2 for an overall 3.1 in assessing student learning.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Sylvia Embry (embrys@duvalschools.org)

Evidencebased Strategy:

The administrative team will complete weekly Standards Walk-through observations to monitor that teachers are implementing standards aligned instruction that will lead to

improved student performance. Utilizing Leadership team to build teachers pedagogy.

Utilize Coaches to support and train teachers to use data for planning.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy:

If teacher capacity is extended to include an understanding of Vertical Progression and Standards Based Planning and Instruction; student learning gains and achievement will increase.

Action Steps to Implement

Description

- 1. Teachers will utilize Learning Arcs to plan for instruction
- 2. Weekly Common Planning to unpack the standards these sessions will include Lesson delivery and Assessment tasks, and Standards Focus Boards
- 4. PLC's weekly with math and reading coach to review students work, align tasks with standards

Person Responsible

Sylvia Embry (embrys@duvalschools.org)

Administrators will provide weekly instructional support for ELA and Math content area teachers by using the Standards Walkthrough Tool and focusing on aligning tasks to the grade level standard.

Person Responsible

Sylvia Embry (embrys@duvalschools.org)

Common Planning will be led by Reading Coaches, Math Coaches, interventionist, and administration to target assessments that are aligned to the standards.

Person

Tanesha Snipes (snipest@duvalschools.org) Responsible

collecting data during professional learning communities (Assessments/ Checks for Understanding) to reflect and adjust instructional delivery to the needs of students.

Person Responsible

Stacy Singleton (singletons2@duvalschools.org)

Selecting Professional Development Topics based on data, standards based alignment, and current trends with that is needed to actively monitor standards based instruction.

Person Responsible

Sylvia Embry (embrys@duvalschools.org)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

The administrative team will complete weekly Standards Walk-through observations to monitor that teachers are implementing standards aligned instruction that will lead to improved student performance.

Leadership team will build teachers pedagogy through a series of professional development training on differentiating instruction, scaffolding instruction, authentically engaging students in learning and helping students reflect on their data a set SMART goals to reach academic proficiency.

Also the Instructional Coaches will support and train teachers to use data for planning and target students that need additional support to reach their goal of becoming proficient in Reading and Math. They will also help teacher plan differentiated center activities that will assist in remediating standards so students can reach mastery.

To address improving Social Emotional Learning (SEL) support teachers will attend Calm Classroom Training and the Guidance Counselor will conduct Child Safety Matter sessions to all classes while pulling small groups to focus on behavior supports. Administration will also conduct restorative circles and implement a school wide discipline flow chart to guide teachers in appropriate class management.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

The number one goal is to foster nurturing and caring environment that strives for continued involvement which helps build better educational environment for students. All parties work together to promote a school community where teachers, students and parents feel welcome. The school sponsors family oriented events throughout the year for families and staff members to join together in school-based events; such as,

the Literacy Night, Muffins with Mom, Student of the Month Ceremonies, Parent Data Night and etc. We also hold monthly workshops hosted by our Parent Engagement Liaison (PEL). These workshops are lead by classroom teachers and educate parents on various school centered items; for example, how to support your child with homework, literacy and etc. As a Calm Classroom school, these workshops also include training our parents on what it means to be focused and have mindfulness.

Our school also provides a variety of opportunities for our parents to be involved or participate with their children in through activities such as: joining to PTA, Meet the teacher, Open House, STEM Night and etc. Community members are invited to participate Community Day to showcase their careers and spark interest in our students. Woodson partners with various community partners sch as Olive Garden and New Beginnings Ministry for student incentives; and Community Health Centers for our students' health needs.

To address improving Social Emotional Learning (SEL) support teachers will attend Calm Classroom Training and the Guidance Counselor will conduct Child Safety Matter sessions to all classes while pulling small groups to focus on behavior supports. Administration will also conduct restorative circles and implement a school wide discipline flow chart to guide teachers in appropriate class management.

Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: ESSA Subgroup: Outcomes for Multiple Subgroups			\$31,054.72	
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2020-21
	5100	640-Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$6,876.00
			Notes: 10 Student laptops @ \$609 each, 1 laptop cart @ 786 each This line item will be to support all grade levels. The laptops and charging cart will be used for blended learning platforms such as iReady, Achieve 3000, ACALETICS, Study Island, Reflex, etc.). The sis need of 1 cart and 10 laptops. Please see the quote attached.			or blended learning
	5100	510-Supplies	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$6,133.12
			Notes: Supplies, color pencils, folders, binders, dry erase markers, crates, dry erase erasers, dry erase boards			
	5100	640-Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$3,750.00
	Notes: ALL IN LEARNING 26 IR response pads, bag, receiver and cables. 10 qty This line item will be used by Grades 3-5 to support engagement and checking for understanding. The teacher will be able to assess who has mastered the standards taught during the lesson. The clickers will allow students to respond to various reading and math problems using an interactive platform.				or understanding. The luring the lesson. The	
	5900	120-Classroom Teachers	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$8,088.00
	Notes: Tutoring 337 hours, \$24 per hour The line item will be used to support grade levels 3-5 tutors to assist with the achievement gaps from 2019-2020. The minimum qualifications for this Position vary as follows: Tutor I \$ 10.00 per hour (30 hours of College Credit/ Associates Degree; Tutor II \$ 18.00 per hour, (Bachelor's Degree); and Tutor III \$24.00 per hour, (Bachelor's degree or higher with a valid teacher certificate). This line item supports the use of part-time tutors @ \$10 - \$16 per hour depending on applicants # of college credit course work completed and corresponding board approved salary + benefits. The tutors will provide 337 hours of small group math and ELA remediation throughout the year to students identified by data for math and/or reading. We currently have candidates available for hire.					imum qualifications blege Credit/ Tutor III \$24.00 per line item supports the of college credit nefits. The tutors will t the year to students

	_		The tentative dates for tutoring will be hours each; Approximately 50 student		. Approxima	ately 6 tutors, 48
	5900	210-Retirement	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$808.80
			Notes: Tutoring Benefits Retirement a	t 10.00%	•	
	5900	220-Social Security	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$618.73
			Notes: Tutoring Benefits Social Secur	ity at 7.65%	•	
	5900	240-Workers Compensation	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$76.03
			Notes: Tutoring Benefits Workers Con	npetition at .94%	•	•
	5100	519-Technology-Related Supplies	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$4,274.00
			Notes: 200 qty mouse 200 qty headph site learning. Students need these tec CDC guidelines, we are trying to redu	hnology items to suppo	ort the onlin	
	5100	510-Supplies	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$430.04
			Notes: Supplies, paper, toner, pencils, support reading, writing, and math. The in their efforts to enhance and support and other instructional supplies necess Please see the attached quote.	ne supplies are needed t differentiated small gr	to support oup guided	teachers and tutors reading instruction;
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instruction	al Practice: ELA			\$72,072.51
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2020-21
	Function 5100	Object 150-Aides	Budget Focus 1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	Funding Source UniSIG	FTE 2.0	2020-21 \$27,415.92
		-	1661 - Carter G. Woodson	UniSIG professionals. The Instruct 80% of the workday and the maintenance of control of the time in the product of the time in the standard papers under the timed by the teacher. Other than the standard that t	2.0 ructional, Pa the respon discipline in must be spe he supervis her respons tc. may be a approved, tl	\$27,415.92 araprofessional sibility for assisting general education ent on small group ion of the teacher sibilities such as assigned for a minor the candidate who
		-	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School Notes: *Two General Education Parag (Regular Needs) is assigned for at lea classroom teachers with instruction ar instructional situations. Responsibilitie tutoring or instruction of children and g and with other classroom duties assig additional related classroom clerical d portion of the workday by the site adm was with us last year, will continue to	UniSIG professionals. The Instruct 80% of the workday and the maintenance of control of the time in the product of the time in the standard papers under the timed by the teacher. Other than the standard that t	2.0 ructional, Pa the respon discipline in must be spe he supervis her respons tc. may be a approved, tl	\$27,415.92 araprofessional sibility for assisting general education ent on small group ion of the teacher sibilities such as assigned for a minor the candidate who
	5100	150-Aides	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School Notes: *Two General Education Parage (Regular Needs) is assigned for at lead classroom teachers with instruction are instructional situations. Responsibilities tutoring or instruction of children and goand with other classroom duties assigned additional related classroom clerical deportion of the workday by the site admit was with us last year, will continue to UniSIG (100%). 1661 - Carter G. Woodson	UniSIG professionals. The Instract 80% of the workday and the maintenance of a se for most of the time regrading papers under the teacher. Other than the second of the teacher of the te	2.0 ructional, Pa the respon discipline in must be spe he supervis her respons tc. may be a approved, tl This positio	\$27,415.92 araprofessional sibility for assisting general education ent on small group ion of the teacher sibilities such as assigned for a minor the candidate who on will be funded by \$2,646.04
	5100	150-Aides	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School Notes: *Two General Education Parage (Regular Needs) is assigned for at lead classroom teachers with instruction are instructional situations. Responsibilities tutoring or instruction of children and goard with other classroom duties assigned additional related classroom clerical deportion of the workday by the site admit was with us last year, will continue to UniSIG (100%). 1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG professionals. The Instract 80% of the workday and the maintenance of a se for most of the time regrading papers under the teacher. Other than the second of the teacher of the te	2.0 ructional, Pa the respon discipline in must be spe he supervis her respons tc. may be a approved, tl This positio	\$27,415.92 araprofessional sibility for assisting general education ent on small group ion of the teacher sibilities such as assigned for a minor the candidate who on will be funded by \$2,646.04
	5100	150-Aides 210-Retirement	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School Notes: *Two General Education Parage (Regular Needs) is assigned for at lead classroom teachers with instruction are instructional situations. Responsibilities tutoring or instruction of children and good and with other classroom duties assigned additional related classroom clerical of portion of the workday by the site admit was with us last year, will continue to UniSIG (100%). 1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School Notes: Two General Education Parage.	UniSIG professionals. The Instract 80% of the workday and the maintenance of eas for most of the time regrading papers under the unities, cafeteria duty, et abinistrator/designee. If a fulfill the duties above. UniSIG UniSIG	2.0 ructional, Pa the respon discipline in must be spe he supervis her respons tc. may be a approved, tl This positio	\$27,415.92 araprofessional sibility for assisting general education ent on small group ion of the teacher sibilities such as assigned for a minor the candidate who on will be funded by \$2,646.04
	5100	150-Aides 210-Retirement	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School Notes: *Two General Education Parage (Regular Needs) is assigned for at lea classroom teachers with instruction ar instructional situations. Responsibilitie tutoring or instruction of children and g and with other classroom duties assig additional related classroom clerical d portion of the workday by the site adm was with us last year, will continue to UniSIG (100%). 1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School Notes: Two General Education Parape 1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG professionals. The Instract 80% of the workday and the maintenance of eas for most of the time regrading papers under the unities, cafeteria duty, et abinistrator/designee. If a fulfill the duties above. UniSIG UniSIG	2.0 ructional, Pa the respon discipline in must be spe he supervis her respons tc. may be a approved, tl This positio	\$27,415.92 araprofessional sibility for assisting general education ent on small group ion of the teacher sibilities such as assigned for a minor the candidate who on will be funded by \$2,646.04
	5100 5100 5100	210-Retirement 220-Social Security 231-Health and	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School Notes: *Two General Education Parage (Regular Needs) is assigned for at lea classroom teachers with instruction ar instructional situations. Responsibilitie tutoring or instruction of children and g and with other classroom duties assig additional related classroom clerical d portion of the workday by the site adm was with us last year, will continue to UniSIG (100%). 1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School Notes: Two General Education Parape 1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School Notes: Two General Education Parape 1661 - Carter G. Woodson	UniSIG professionals. The Instruct 80% of the workday of the workday of the maintenance of the start of the time of the start of the time of the start of the time of the start of the sta	2.0 ructional, Pathe respondiscipline in must be spethe supervisher responsic, may be approved, the This position retirement a social Security and s	\$27,415.92 araprofessional sibility for assisting general education ent on small group ion of the teacher sibilities such as assigned for a minor he candidate who on will be funded by \$2,646.04 \$10.00% \$11,909.84
	5100 5100 5100	210-Retirement 220-Social Security 231-Health and	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School Notes: *Two General Education Parage (Regular Needs) is assigned for at lead classroom teachers with instruction and instructional situations. Responsibilities tutoring or instruction of children and of and with other classroom duties assig additional related classroom clerical of portion of the workday by the site adm was with us last year, will continue to UniSIG (100%). 1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School Notes: Two General Education Parage 1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School Notes: Two General Education Parage 1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG professionals. The Instruct 80% of the workday of the workday of the maintenance of the start of the time of the start of the time of the start of the time of the start of the sta	2.0 ructional, Pathe respondiscipline in must be spethe supervisher responsic, may be approved, the This position retirement a social Security and s	\$27,415.92 araprofessional sibility for assisting general education ent on small group ion of the teacher sibilities such as assigned for a minor he candidate who on will be funded by \$2,646.04 \$10.00% \$11,909.84

	5100	240-Workers Compensation	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$248.73
			Notes: Two General Education Parapi	rofessionals Benefits W	orkers Cor	mpensation at .94%
	5100	290-Other Employee Benefits	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$367.80
			Notes: Two General Education Parapi	rofessionals Benefits B	enefits Flex	Medical at 1.39%
	5100	510-Supplies	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$3,983.30
			Notes: Scholastic paperback books - I grades 3rd-5th will have 25 additional strategy. Teachers will have 2 Scholas books are based on the beginning Lex receive a book beginning in September maintain a daily notebook/folder for the	minutes dedicated to a stic books to use to tea kile band of their grade er 2020 through April 2	a school wid och the iden level. Each 021. Studer	le specific reading tified strategy. The teacher group will
	5900	510-Supplies	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$21,417.90
			Notes: LLI Kits - To support tutoring of will need to purchase these items to b grade level standards. The LLI Green the LLI Blue Kit is for students in Grad intensive work in phonemic awarenes. initial baseline testing at the beginning	e an added layer of su Kit is for students in G le 2, reading levels C-N s, letters, and phonics.	pport for stu rade 1, read I. Both kits	udents to master all ding levels A-K and provide systematic
	5100	510-Supplies	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$1,874.88
	Notes: Write Score 4th and 5th grade writing assessment packets 180 qty each grade leve					ty each grade level -
	5100	369-Technology-Related Rentals	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$168.00
			Notes: Write Score online access - Wi supplementary material designed to in and cognitive thinking skills for studen receive lesson plan sets aligned to sta Model. As well as engaging Power Po Teacher Reference Guides, and asse Additionally, Write Score will provide we development tools designed to improve	nprove scores on state t's grades 4th-5th. Our te standards and writte ints, Teacher's Edition ssment-specific studen various instructional vid	testing, coing 4th and 5th en in the Gr s, and Stud texemplars leos and pro	mprehensive study, h grade teachers will radual Release lent Handouts, s (where applicable).
3	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructiona	l Practice: Science			\$0.00
4	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructiona	l Practice: Math			\$0.00
5	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructiona	l Practice: Standards-aligned	Instruction		\$69,627.83
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2020-21
	7300	110-Administrators	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG	0.5	\$36,937.04
			Notes: *Supplemental Assistant Principle newly added layer of support for the sprincipal is to provide additional admir of students who are in a state assessed needed support. The supplemental AF School Improvement Region Executive content teachers with direct Instruction increasing student achievement and of Supplemental Assistant Principal will a Science Professional learning communalignment of the curriculum, instruction student performance; and -Support the expectationsProvide direct feedback accountability for all participants engages.	chool. The primary role nistrative instructional set grade and/or course will work in coordination e Directors and the Sci- nal support and correct closing the achievement also serve as the lead of nities based upon schoon, and assessment pro e effective use of bencing measures to identified	e of the support to co based upo ion with the hool princip iive feedbac t gap in tes of grade lev pol data rev cesses to p hmarks, lea d instruction	plemental assistant content area teachers in a tiered level of Innovation and al to: -Provide ck focused on ted grades; -The rel ELA, Math, and remote effective arning, and staff to ensure

		a positive learning culture through job- instructional staff in the application of standards mastery.			
7300	210-Retirement	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$3,693.73
	-	Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip	pal Benefits Retirement	t at 10.00%	
7300	220-Social Security	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$2,825.70
1		Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip	oal Benefits Social Sec	urity at 7.65	5%
7300	231-Health and Hospitalization	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$6,478.80
1		Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip	oal Benefits Group Hea	alth at 17.54	%
7300	232-Life Insurance	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$7.39
	•	Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip	oal Benefits Life Insura	nce at .02%	
7300	240-Workers Compensation	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$347.21
	•	Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip	oal Benefits Workers C	ompensatio	n at .94%
7300	290-Other Employee Benefits	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$199.46
l		Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip	pal Benefits Benefits Fl	ex Medical	at .54%
6400	310-Professional and Technical Services	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$3,000.00
		Notes: Mary Ann Clark PD - Provide p focus ondifferentiating instruction in a Literacy Intervention (LLI)as asupplen writing difficultand FPC Guided Readil professional development will occur di in-class demonstrations. All grade leve	small group setting wit nentary intervention for ing for students reading uring teacher's commo	th The Foun students w g at a simila on planning,	tas & Pinnell Leveled tho find reading and r ability level. This
6400	120-Classroom Teachers	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$13,440.00
-		Notes: Professional development (560 existing professional development strategy opportunities outside of the normal work teachers. Teachers to include are 3rd Grade Math, 5th Grade ELA, 5th Grade coach will facilitate these planning sessions.	ategies, we would like to ork hours @ \$24 per ho grade ELA, 3rd Grade de Math and 5th Grade	to provide p our + benefit Math, 4th (Science. Ti	rofessional learning ts for 2 hours with 9 Grade ELA, 4th
6400	210-Retirement	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$1,344.00
1		Notes: Professional development Ben	nefits Retirement at 10.	00%	
6400	220-Social Security	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$1,028.16
		Notes: Professional development Ben	efits Social Security at	7.65%	
6400	240-Workers Compensation	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$126.34
		Notes: Professional development Ben	efits Workers Compen	sation at .94	4%
7300	330-Travel	1661 - Carter G. Woodson Elem. School	UniSIG		\$200.00
	·	•	•	•	

Notes: In county travel for Supplemental Assistant Principal		
	Total:	\$180,975.00