Duval County Public Schools

Young Mens/Womens Leadership Academy At



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	13
Planning for Improvement	18
Positive Culture & Environment	23
Budget to Support Goals	24

Young Mens/Womens Leadership Academy At Eugene J Butler

900 ACORN ST, Jacksonville, FL 32209

http://www.duvalschools.org/ymwla

Demographics

Principal: Tamara Feagins

Start Date for this Principal: 6/30/2020

	·
2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Middle School 6-8
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2018-19: D (40%) 2017-18: C (49%) 2016-17: C (53%) 2015-16: C (45%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Infe	ormation*
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	Cassandra Brusca
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F	or more information, <u>click here</u> .

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Durnoss and Quitling of the SID	
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	13
Planning for Improvement	18
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	24

Young Mens/Womens Leadership Academy At Eugene J Butler

900 ACORN ST, Jacksonville, FL 32209

http://www.duvalschools.org/ymwla

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2019-20 Title I School	2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Middle School 6-8	Yes	100%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	No	99%
School Grades History		
Year 2019-20	2018-19	2017-18 2016-17

D

C

C

School Board Approval

Grade

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

D

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Ensure all students make adequate yearly progress as defined by Florida Department of Education.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Every student is inspired and prepared for success in college or a career. To become a world class single gender middle school.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Feagins, Tamara	Principal	Building a Team to carry out the school's mission. The team consists of principal and administrators plus a team of teachers that come from each grade and core content area. Teacher members' responsibility is to be familiar with the MTSS problem solving process at The Leadership Academy and to facilitate its use among teacher teams, departments, and committees. Part of their function is to carry the problem-solving process to those venues and utilize the selected school wide documentation tools.
Gary, Gareth	Assistant Principal	Team Member: Carry out the school's mission as defined by my job responsibilities. The team consists of principal and administrators plus a team of teachers that come from each grade and core content area. Teacher members' responsibility is to be familiar with the MTSS problem solving process at The Leadership Academy and to facilitate its use among teacher teams, departments, and committees. Part of their function is to carry the problem-solving process to those venues and utilize the selected school wide documentation tools. These key documents are provided to all via the share drive or Teams. As teams begin to move into Tier 2 or 3 supports, a MTSS facilitator is enlisted to coordinate the work. Parents, tutors, teachers, administrators, and additional resources such as guidance counselors, the ESE lead teacher, or the school psychologist are brought together to problem solve. All members help identify tier support, resources, and solutions. The MTSS facilitator is responsible for documenting the process and reporting the results to the Principal monthly.
Speers, Anastasia	Assistant Principal	Team Member: Carry out the school's mission as defined by my job responsibilities. Teacher members' responsibility is to be familiar with the MTSS problem solving process at The Leadership Academy and to facilitate its use among teacher teams, departments, and committees. Part of their function is to carry the problem-solving process to those venues and utilize the selected school wide documentation tools. These key documents are provided to all via the share drive or Teams. As teams begin to move into Tier 2 or 3 supports, a MTSS facilitator is enlisted to coordinate the work. Parents, tutors, teachers, administrators, and additional resources such as guidance counselors, the ESE lead teacher, or the school psychologist are brought together to problem solve. All members help identify tier support, resources, and solutions. The MTSS facilitator is responsible for documenting the process and reporting the results to the Principal monthly.
Upton, Alzic	School Counselor	Implements school counseling program activities that align with measurable program goals. Teacher members' responsibility is to be familiar with the MTSS problem solving process at The Leadership Academy and to facilitate its use among teacher teams, departments, and committees. Part of their function is to carry the problem-solving process to those venues and utilize the selected school wide documentation tools. These key documents are provided to all via the share drive or Teams. As teams begin to move into Tier 2 or 3 supports, a MTSS facilitator is enlisted to coordinate the work. Parents, tutors, teachers, administrators, and additional resources such as guidance

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
		counselors, the ESE lead teacher, or the school psychologist are brought together to problem solve. All members help identify tier support, resources, and solutions. The MTSS facilitator is responsible for documenting the process and reporting the results to the Principal monthly.
Thomas, Catrice	Teacher, ESE	Teacher members' responsibility is to be familiar with the MTSS problem solving process at The Leadership Academy and to facilitate its use among teacher teams, departments, and committees. Part of their function is to carry the problem-solving process to those venues and utilize the selected school wide documentation tools. These key documents are provided to all via the share drive or Teams. As teams begin to move into Tier 2 or 3 supports, a MTSS facilitator is enlisted to coordinate the work. Parents, tutors, teachers, administrators, and additional resources such as guidance counselors, the ESE lead teacher, or the school psychologist are brought together to problem solve. All members help identify tier support, resources, and solutions. The MTSS facilitator is responsible for documenting the process and reporting the results to the Principal monthly.
Williams, Takita	Dean	Teacher members' responsibility is to be familiar with the MTSS problem solving process at The Leadership Academy and to facilitate its use among teacher teams, departments, and committees. Part of their function is to carry the problem-solving process to those venues and utilize the selected school wide documentation tools. These key documents are provided to all via the share drive or Teams. As teams begin to move into Tier 2 or 3 supports, a MTSS facilitator is enlisted to coordinate the work. Parents, tutors, teachers, administrators, and additional resources such as guidance counselors, the ESE lead teacher, or the school psychologist are brought together to problem solve. All members help identify tier support, resources, and solutions. The MTSS facilitator is responsible for documenting the process and reporting the results to the Principal monthly.
Thomas, Tangelar	Instructional Coach	Team Member: Carry out the school's mission as defined by my job responsibilities. Teacher members' responsibility is to be familiar with the MTSS problem solving process at The Leadership Academy and to facilitate its use among teacher teams, departments, and committees. Part of their function is to carry the problem-solving process to those venues and utilize the selected school wide documentation tools. These key documents are provided to all via the share drive or Teams. As teams begin to move into Tier 2 or 3 supports, a MTSS facilitator is enlisted to coordinate the work. Parents, tutors, teachers, administrators, and additional resources such as guidance counselors, the ESE lead teacher, or the school psychologist are brought together to problem solve. All members help identify tier support, resources, and solutions. The MTSS facilitator is responsible for documenting the process and reporting the results to the Principal monthly.
Cox, Wendy	Instructional Coach	

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Tuesday 6/30/2020, Tamara Feagins

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

20

Demographic Data

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Middle School 6-8
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students*
	2018-19: D (40%)
	2017-18: C (49%)
School Grades History	2016-17: C (53%)
	2015-16: C (45%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) In	⊥ formation*
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	Cassandra Brusca

Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code	e. For more information, <u>click here</u> .

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator		Grade Level												Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 6/30/2020

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	70	80	66	0	0	0	0	216	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	121	142	132	0	0	0	0	395	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	92	93	106	0	0	0	0	291

The number of students identified as retainees:

In dia stan	Grade Level													Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	70	80	66	0	0	0	0	216
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	121	142	132	0	0	0	0	395

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

ludiantos	Grade Level												Total	
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	92	93	106	0	0	0	0	291

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019		2018				
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State		
ELA Achievement	29%	43%	54%	27%	41%	52%		
ELA Learning Gains	40%	49%	54%	38%	48%	54%		
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	40%	45%	47%	38%	43%	44%		
Math Achievement	36%	49%	58%	46%	44%	56%		
Math Learning Gains	42%	50%	57%	61%	49%	57%		
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	45%	47%	51%	59%	46%	50%		
Science Achievement	21%	44%	51%	40%	45%	50%		
Social Studies Achievement	59%	68%	72%	85%	65%	70%		

EWS	EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey													
Indicator	Grade L	evel (prior year re	eported)	Total										
indicator	6	7	8	IUlai										
	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)										

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019	24%	47%	-23%	54%	-30%
	2018	29%	44%	-15%	52%	-23%
Same Grade C	omparison	-5%				
Cohort Com	parison					
07	2019	27%	44%	-17%	52%	-25%
	2018	28%	41%	-13%	51%	-23%
Same Grade C	omparison	-1%				
Cohort Com	parison	-2%				
08	2019	31%	49%	-18%	56%	-25%
	2018	42%	51%	-9%	58%	-16%
Same Grade C	omparison	-11%				
Cohort Com	parison	3%			•	

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019	35%	51%	-16%	55%	-20%
	2018	30%	42%	-12%	52%	-22%
Same Grade C	omparison	5%				
Cohort Com	parison					
07	2019	34%	47%	-13%	54%	-20%
	2018	51%	50%	1%	54%	-3%
Same Grade C	omparison	-17%				
Cohort Com	parison	4%				
08	2019	21%	32%	-11%	46%	-25%
	2018	34%	31%	3%	45%	-11%
Same Grade C	omparison	-13%			· ·	
Cohort Com	parison	-30%				

	SCIENCE											
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison						
80	2019	20%	40%	-20%	48%	-28%						
	2018	40%	44%	-4%	50%	-10%						
Same Grade C	omparison	-20%										
Cohort Com	parison											

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	59%	69%	-10%	71%	-12%
2018	65%	84%	-19%	71%	-6%
Co	ompare	-6%		•	
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
<u></u>		ALGEE	RA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	55%	57%	-2%	61%	-6%

	ALGEBRA EOC												
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State								
2018	86%	61%	25%	62%	24%								
С	ompare	-31%											
		GEOME	TRY EOC										
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State								
2019	0%	61%	-61%	57%	-57%								
2018													

Subgroup Data

		2019	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	7	29	30	13	39	44	5	16			
ELL	25	59		44	67						
BLK	28	38	39	35	41	45	19	57	52		
HSP	29	45		33	40						
WHT	50	42		67	58						
FRL	27	38	38	33	42	51	16	60	44		
		2018	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	14	42	53	19	45	44	14	53			
ELL		70			50						
BLK	31	39	39	44	50	52	39	66	72		
HSP	62	85		69	69						
WHT	40	50									
FRL	32	41	42	45	53	54	37	67	70		
		2017	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMP	PONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	16	36	41	16	54	53	15				
BLK	26	39	39	44	60	60	39	86	83		
HSP	29	35		53	76						
WHT	27			64							
FRL	25	37	40	44	61	60	37	84	87		

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index		
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CS&I	

ESSA Federal Index	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	40
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	4
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	364
Total Components for the Federal Index	9
Percent Tested	99%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	23
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	1
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	49
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	39
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	37
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES

Hispanic Students					
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0				
Multiracial Students					
Federal Index - Multiracial Students					
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A				
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0				
Pacific Islander Students					
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students					
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A				
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0				
White Students					
Federal Index - White Students	54				
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO				
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0				
Economically Disadvantaged Students					
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	39				
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES				
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0				

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

-

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

-

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

_

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

_

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

-

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. -
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

Last Modified: 5/5/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 18 of 27

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction

Less than 50% of students in Reading and Math were not proficient on the FSA. This year I would like to focus on improving Standards Based instruction in the classroom with an emphasis on Quality Professional Development.

Area of

Focus Based Description a score

Based on the 5 Essentials Survey, the Young Men's/Young Women's Leadership received

a score of 28(WEAK) in the area of Quality Professional Development.

and Rationale:

When asked about the quality of professional development many teachers rated the PD received as being short term and unrelated. The school's 2020 rating was an overall -22 decline from the previous year.

Measurable Outcome:

100% of core teachers will engage in quality professional development aligned to standards-aligned instruction, which will specifically impact student instruction.

Person responsible

for monitoring outcome:

Tamara Feagins (feaginst1@duvalschools.org)

In schools with strong Quality Professional Development, professional development is rigorous and focused on student learning. To ensure professional development is relevant and focused on student learning we will engage all teachers in the following:

Evidencebased Strategy: September 2020 professional development surveys completed by each teacher at the beginning of the school year based off of data from the Standards Walk-through tool dashboard

Professional development rubric completed after each PLC

Based on the Standards Walk-through Tool, the YMYW Leadership Team will measure teachers who have implemented aligned standards based instruction in core classes.

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: As defined in Teaching Tolerance: "Linking Professional Development to Teachers Real Work" To be effective, professional development must provide teachers with a way to directly apply what they learn to their teaching. Research shows that professional development leads to better instruction and improved student learning when it connects to the curriculum materials that teachers use, the district and state academic standards that guide their work, and the assessment and accountability measures that evaluate their success.

Action Steps to Implement

Create a professional development rubric with coaches

Person Responsible

Tamara Feagins (feaginst1@duvalschools.org)

Train Reading and Math Coach on the Standards Walk-through Tool

Person Responsible

Anastasia Speers (speersa@duvalschools.org)

Calibrate with new Assistant Principals on the Standards Based Walk-through Tool

Person Responsible

Tamara Feagins (feaginst1@duvalschools.org)

Train teachers on the use of the Learning ARC's and Common PLC template during PLC's

Person Responsible

Anastasia Speers (speersa@duvalschools.org)

#2. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Outcomes for Multiple Subgroups

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

Reading and computing mathematics on grade level will be our focus. Our school experienced declines in Reading and Math from the previous year. This was identified as a critical are in our school.

Currently federal index is 23% is Students With Disabilities

Black/African American index 39%

Hispanic Students 37%

Measurable Outcome:

The goal for 2020-2021 school year is 37%. We plan to improve reading proficiency by 8% and achieve 50% learning gains/LPQ for reading. We plan to improve math

proficiency by 5% and achieve 50% learning gains/

LPQ for math.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Wendy Cox (coxw@duvalschools.org)

The Reading Coach, and Math Coach, and Administration will facilitate or co facilitate

Evidence-based Strategy:

professional

development. They will provide professional development in data analysis,

standards analysis, and differentiation strategies.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: -If we plan and deliver rigorous lessons that are aligned to the content standards and employ strategic instructional best practices, then we will meet or exceed our targets. The math teacher and interventionist will provide support for the for students using Math 180 & Acaletics. The instructional paraprofessionals with provide support for differentiation in

reading and math classes.

Action Steps to Implement

The Reading Coach, Math Coach, and Administration will facilitate or co facilitate the listed professional development. They will also support the professional development with specific follow up.

- 1. Teachers will participate in professional development on a Protocol for task alignment. The PD will prepare them to complete a task alignment consistently (aligning student task to complexity level of the standard). Protocol is to be completed for 80% of the standards in each teacher's content area.
- 2. Monthly, School Leadership team will tier teachers in four categories: Planning, Classroom management, Instructional Delivery, and Professionalism. Initially teachers will self-reflect and tier themselves. This information will be used to discuss write IPDP (individual professional development plans).
- 3. ADPD One ADPD per month will be dedicated to peer walk through using school rubric for instructional delivery.
- 4. APDP during weeks 2 and 3 coaches and administrators to meet individually with all teachers individually to review their student data and their previous year's performance data and set expectations. Information from this meeting will be used to write IPDPs
- 5. APDP One ADPD a month will be dedicated to review and analysis of individual teacher data. Teachers will prepare an action plan to address the following four areas Pacing, Standard deficiency, LPQ and Bubble Students 6. Teachers will track tiered groups of students using individual student data

folders that will be updated biweekly and discussed with Admin and Coach

(during PLCs).

7. The teachers will work during common planning and early release to create lessons that involve explicit instruction, modeling, and differentiated activities for stations/rotations. Lesson plan binders will be checked quarterly by administration and feedback provided to the teachers.

8. Grade Level PLC will take place once a month during early release days

Person Responsible

[no one identified]

#3. Leadership specifically relating to Specific Teacher Feedback

Leadership specifically relating to Teacher Feedback will focus on providing teachers with specific and timely feedback to help improve their instructional practices.

Area of **Focus Description** and

Rationale:

Based on the 5Essentials Survey Young Men's and Young Women's Leadership Academies at Eugene J. Butler received a score of 40. When teachers were asked if they were provided with feedback to improve their instruction, many of them disagreed.In schools with strong Instructional Leadership, the leadership team is an active and skilled group that sets high standards for teaching and student learning.

Measurable Outcome:

90% of teachers will receive immediate and relative feedback regarding instructional practices.

Person

responsible

for monitoring outcome:

Tamara Feagins (feaginst1@duvalschools.org)

Based on the Standards Based walk-through Tool and the TLA walk-through guide, teachers will receive feedback on their instructional practices weekly.

Evidence-

based Strategy:

Focus Walks **Cast Evaluations**

Standards Based Walks

Rationale for Evidencebased

Strategy:

Providing teachers with quality feedback allows them to improve upon their instruction changing their practices for student learning and instruction. Based on research teachers perform better and are able to improve their practices for students when they receive consistent meaningful feedback. Relative feedback ensures teachers are able to refine instructional practices to meet the needs of students daily.

Action Steps to Implement

Calibrate with administrators on the Standards Walk-through Tool.

Person Responsible

Gareth Gary (garyg@duvalschools.org)

Train Instructional Coaches on Standards Based-Walkthrough Tool

Person Responsible

Tamara Feagins (feaginst1@duvalschools.org)

Train Administrators on TLA Walkthrogh Guide

Person

[no one identified] Responsible

#4. Culture & Environment specifically relating to Discipline

Area of

Focus Culture and Environment specially related to During the 2019 school year the students at

Description the Leadership Academy received more than 1,500 discipline referrals. When students

and receive discipline referrals it prohibits students from attending class consistently.

Rationale:

Measurable 70% of our teachers will receive discipline training and 100% will complete a classroom

Outcome: management plan.

Person responsible

for Takita Williams (williamst14@duvalschools.org)

monitoring outcome:

Evidence- Classroom Manage Plan and CHAMPS Training.

based Management plans will outline steps teachers will take to monitor negative behavior in their

Strategy: classes before writing a referral.

Rationale A growing body of research provides evidence of a link between school discipline practices—especially the use of suspensions—with lower academic achievement. The

Evidence- level of disciplinary involvement also has a strong negative relationship with the ability of

based students to achieve at grade level or graduate from high school.

Strategy: We will monitor the behavior of students through our number of discipline referrals.

Action Steps to Implement

Train Dean on how to monitor a classroom behavior plan.

Person Responsible

Tamara Feagins (feaginst1@duvalschools.org)

Train teachers on how to develop and implement a classroom behavior plan.

Person

Responsible Takita Williams (williamst14@duvalschools.org)

Send all teachers to CHAMPS Training.

Person

Responsible [no one identified]

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

Based on our ELA proficiency of 29% we are utilizing Title I dollars to purchase a Reading teacher and Reading Interventionist.

Based on our Science proficiency 59% we are utilizing Title I dollars to purchase a Science teacher.

Based on our Math proficiency 36% we are utilizing Title I dollars to purchase a Math Interventionist.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructiona	\$215,596.75			
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2020-21
	I / SUIT L'ETTL-Administrators		1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG	0.5	\$36,937.26
			Notes: *Supplemental Assistant Principle newly added layer of support for the supprincipal is to provide additional admin of students who are in a state assessed needed support. The supplemental AF School Improvement Region Executive content teachers with direct Instruction increasing student achievement and c Supplemental Assistant Principal will a Science Professional learning communalignment of the curriculum, instruction student performance; and -Support the expectationsProvide direct feedback accountability for all participants engage a positive learning culture through jobinstructional staff in the application of its standards mastery. No, there is a new however this position was approved in split funded with Southside Middle.	chool. The primary role istrative instructional set grade and/or course will work in coordinate price by price and the Scient support and correct losing the achievement also serve as the lead of an an an assessment proper effective use of bence a measures to identified ged in the educational tembedded, real time proper est practices for increst candidate for this positions.	e of the sup, support to continuous the based upon the based upon the based upon with the hool princip five feedback to gap in test of grade levoled at a revent the based instruction processStorovide coats asing stude to the based intervention for the support the based in th	polemental assistant content area teachers in a tiered level of Innovation and all to: -Provide eck focused on ted grades; -The rel ELA, Math, and riews; -To ensure irromote effective riming, and staff to ensure support and promote ching of identified ent achievement and 20-21 School Year,
	7300	210-Retirement	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG		\$3,693.73
Notes: Supplemental Assistant I				al Benefits Retirement	t at 10.00%	
	7300	220-Social Security	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG		\$2,825.70
			Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip	al Benefits Social Sec	urity at 7.65	5%

Last Modified: 5/5/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 24 of 27

7300	231-Health and Hospitalization	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG		\$6,478.80
	Notes: Supplemental Assistant Principal Benefits Group Health at 17.54%				
7300	232-Life Insurance	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG		\$7.39
1		Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip	oal Benefits Life Insura	nce at .02%	ć
7300	240-Workers Compensation	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG		\$347.21
	1	Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip	pal Benefits Workers C	ompensatio	on at .94%
7300	290-Other Employee Benefits	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG		\$199.46
1	1	Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip	pal Benefits Benefits Fl	ex Medical	at .54%
7300	330-Travel	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG		\$200.00
		Notes: Supplemental Assistant Princip	pal In County Travel		
5100	120-Classroom Teachers	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG	1.0	\$43,884.07
		home learning for 2-3 months during to pull-out students form small group instance Education - Bachelor's degree from any years of continuous teaching experient students. Salary is based on verified yellorida Department of Education Certifiction interventionist; This is a content specific the needed intervention on mastery of in reaching proficient Florida standard position will be funded by UniSIG (100)	truction. This position a n accredited college or nce in mathematics. Ex rears of teaching exper fication in Elementary fic instructional suppon objectives. Identified s s. We do have a candi	€™s qualifi university. perience we rience. Cert Education I t position the students wil	cations are: Experience: Three orking with at-risk ifications & Licenses: K-6 for Elementary hat provide students Il receive assistance
5100	120-Classroom Teachers	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG	1.0	\$38,099.75
		Notes: *Supplemental Language Arts classroom teaching position that is be allocation allotted to the school. The querequires a bachelor's degree from an a required. Salary is based on verified y Must be eligible for Florida Department This position is not a new position, las like the position to continue in 2020-20	ing requested to supple ualifications for the pos accredited college or u ears of teaching expen it of Education Certifica t year, the school had	ement the c sition, as wi niversity. E ience. Certi ation in app this position	district's standard ith all teacher's xperience: None ifications & Licenses: ropriate subject area. n filled and we would
5100	120-Classroom Teachers	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG	1.0	\$37,181.75
		Notes: *Supplemental Math Teacher- classroom teaching position that is be allocation allotted to the school. The q requires a bachelor's degree from an a required. Salary is based on verified y Must be eligible for Florida Departmen This position is not a new position, las like the position to continue in 2020-20	ing requested to supploualifications for the pos accredited college or u ears of teaching expent tof Education Certificat tyear, the school had	ement the c sition, as w niversity. E ience. Cert ation in app this position	district's standard ith all teacher's xperience: None ifications & Licenses: ropriate subject area. n filled and we would
5100	210-Retirement	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG		\$4,388.41
		Notes: Math Interventionist Benefits R	etirement at 10.00%		
5100	210-Retirement	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG		\$3,809.98

		Notes: Language Arts Teacher Benefits	s Retirement at 10.00%	
5100	210-Retirement	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG	\$3,718.18
		Notes: Math Teacher Benefits Retireme	ent at 10.00%	•
5100	220-Social Security	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG	\$2,914.63
		Notes: Language Arts Teacher Benefits	s Social Security at 7.65%	•
5100	220-Social Security	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG	\$3,357.13
		Notes: Math Interventionist Benefits Sc	ocial Security at 7.65%	
5100	220-Social Security	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG	\$2,844.40
		Notes: Math Teacher Benefits Social S	ecurity at 7.65%	•
5100	231-Health and Hospitalization	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG	\$7,697.27
		Notes: Math Interventionist Benefits Gr	oup Health at 17.54	•
5100	231-Health and Hospitalization	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG	\$6,682.70
'	_	Notes: Language Arts Teacher Benefits	s Group Health at 17.54%	•
5100	231-Health and Hospitalization	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG	\$6,521.68
'		Notes: Math Teacher Benefits Group H	lealth at 17.54%	•
5100	231-Health and Hospitalization	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG	\$8.78
<u>.</u>		Notes: Math Interventionist Benefits Life	e Insurance at .02%	
5100	232-Life Insurance	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG	\$7.62
.		Notes: Language Arts Teacher Benefits	s Life Insurance at .02%	•
5100	232-Life Insurance	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG	\$7.44
		Notes: Math Teacher Benefits Life Insu	urance at .02%	•
5100	240-Workers Compensation	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG	\$412.51
•	•	Notes: Math Interventionist Benefits We	orkers Compensation at .94	%
5100	240-Workers Compensation	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG	\$358.14
•	•	Notes: Language Arts Teacher Benefits	s Workers Compensation at	.94%
5100	240-Workers Compensation	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG	\$349.51
•		Notes: Math Teacher Benefits Workers	Compensation at .94%	
5100	290-Other Employee Benefits	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG	\$236.97

				Total:	\$226,527.50
4	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Culture & E	nvironment: Discipline		\$0.00
3	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Leadership:	Specific Teacher Feedback		\$0.00
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: ESSA Subg	roup: Outcomes for Multiple S	Subgroups	\$0.00
			Notes: classroom supplies paper, pend	cils, toner, binders,	
	5100	510-Supplies	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG	\$219.76
			Notes: Math Teacher substitute - in the item will be used to pay for substitute to		e to attend, this line
	5100	310-Professional and Technical Services	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG	\$900.00
			Notes: Language Arts Teacher Substit Arts teacher, if absent.	ute - This line item is to support co	overage of the Lang.
	5100	310-Professional and Technical Services	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG	\$900.00
	•		Notes: Math Teacher Benefits Benefits	Flex Medical at .54%	
	5100	290-Other Employee Benefits	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG	\$200.78
	•		Notes: Language Arts Teacher Benefit	s Benefits Flex Medical at .54%	
	5100	290-Other Employee Benefits	1561 - Young Mens/Womens Leadership Acad At Butler	UniSIG	\$205.74
			Notes: Math Interventionist Benefits Be	enefits Flex Medical at .54%	