

2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	14
Positive Culture & Environment	15
Budget to Support Goals	16

Duval - 0391 - Gateway Community Services - 2020-21 SIP

Gateway Community Services

3747 BELFORT RD, Jacksonville, FL 32216

http://www.duvalschools.org/

Demographics

Principal: Edward Robinson H

Start Date for this Principal: 10/7/2020

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 6-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2019-20 Title I School	No
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	25%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
	2018-19: No Grade
	2017-18: No Grade
School Grades History	2016-17: No Grade
	2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	Cassandra Brusca
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more inf	ormation, <u>click here</u> .

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	14
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	16

Duval - 0391 - Gateway Community Services - 2020-21 SIP

Gateway Community Services

3747 BELFORT RD, Jacksonville, FL 32216

http://www.duvalschools.org/

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2019-20 Title I School	2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
High School 6-12	No	%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
Alternative Education	No	%
School Grades History		
	Year	
	Grade	
School Board Approval		

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Duval County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

To establish a highly academic environment that will foster the academic success of all students while participating in a Substance Abuse Program or Neglected and at risk program. This will ensure that every student is provided educational excellence in every school, in every classroom, for every student, everyday.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Students will be provided a safe and nurturing community so that every student is inspired and prepared for success in college or career, and life.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Robinson, Edward	Principal	Principal: Instructional and operational leadership. This includes progress monitoring, teacher evaluations and instructional walkthrough. Community involvement meetings with all stakeholders is scheduled monthly along with bi- weekly leadership team meetings. Schools include: Gateway Community Services, Duval Youth Academy, Impact House/JYA, Duval Regional Detention Center, Pre- Trial Detention Center, Youth Development Center, AMIKids Jacksonville.
Parker, LaTonya	Assistant Principal	Assistant Principal: Instructional and operational leadership. This includes progress monitoring, teacher evaluations and instructional walk-through. Community involvement meetings with all stakeholders is scheduled monthly along with bi-weekly leadership team meetings. Schools include: Gateway Community Services, Duval Youth Academy, Impact House/JYA, Duval Regional Detention Center, Pre-Trial Detention Center, Youth Development Center, AMIKids Jacksonville.
Bell, Faye	School Counselor	Guidance Counselor: Academic and student resource and academic support. This includes credit checks, transcript evaluations and regular academic monitoring for students in the program. Schools include: Gateway Community Services, Duval Youth Academy, Impact House/JYA, Duval Regional Detention Center, Pre-Trial Detention Center, Youth Development Center, AMIKids Jacksonville.
Doran, Thomas	Registrar	Scheduling students according to their grade level academic plan. This includes FASTER request, school counselor recommendations and testing history. Schools include: Gateway Community Services, Duval Youth Academy, Impact House/JYA, Duval Regional Detention Center, Pre-Trial Detention Center, Youth Development Center, AMIKids Jacksonville.

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Wednesday 10/7/2020, Edward Robinson H

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

4

Demographic Data

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 6-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2019-20 Title I School	No
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	25%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
	2018-19: No Grade
	2017-18: No Grade
School Grades History	2016-17: No Grade
	2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	1
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	Cassandra Brusca
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more	information, <u>click here</u> .

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator		Grade Level												
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	9	0	0	20
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	0	0	7
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	0	0	7
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	4

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indiaatar	Grade Level													
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	0	0	7

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiantar	Grade Level														
Indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	4	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Wednesday 10/7/2020

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Grade Level	Total
ndicators:	
nuicators.	
Grade Level	Total
	Total
	Total
	Total
Grade Level	
	Grade Level

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	5	2	1	0	11	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	1	0	5	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	4	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level													
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	1	0	5	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiantar	Grade Level									Total				
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	4

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Crade Component		2019		2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State	
ELA Achievement	0%	47%	56%	0%	46%	53%	
ELA Learning Gains	0%	48%	51%	0%	45%	49%	
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	42%	42%	0%	39%	41%	
Math Achievement	0%	51%	51%	0%	59%	49%	
Math Learning Gains	0%	52%	48%	0%	52%	44%	
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	47%	45%	0%	45%	39%	
Science Achievement	0%	65%	68%	0%	64%	65%	
Social Studies Achievement	0%	70%	73%	0%	64%	70%	

	EWS In	dicators	as Inpu	t Earlier	in the S	urvey			
Indiaator		Gra	ade Level	(prior ye	ear report	ted)		Total	
Indicator	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)	

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Com	parison					
07	2019	0%	44%	-44%	52%	-52%
	2018	0%	41%	-41%	51%	-51%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison	0%				
08	2019	0%	49%	-49%	56%	-56%
	2018	0%	51%	-51%	58%	-58%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison	0%				
09	2019	0%	48%	-48%	55%	-55%
	2018	0%	48%	-48%	53%	-53%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison	0%				
10	2019	0%	48%	-48%	53%	-53%
	2018	0%	49%	-49%	53%	-53%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison	0%				

	MATH											
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison						
06	2019											
	2018											
Cohort Com	parison											
07	2019	0%	47%	-47%	54%	-54%						
	2018	0%	50%	-50%	54%	-54%						
Same Grade C	omparison	0%										
Cohort Com	parison	0%										
08	2019											
	2018	0%	31%	-31%	45%	-45%						
Cohort Com	parison	0%			•							

	SCIENCE											
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison						
08	2019											
	2018	0%	44%	-44%	50%	-50%						
Cohort Com	iparison											

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
			School		School
Year	School	District	Minus	State	Minus
			District		State
2019	0%	67%	-67%	67%	-67%
2018	0%	63%	-63%	65%	-65%
Co	ompare	0%		· · ·	
		CIVIC	S EOC		
			School		School
Year	School	District	Minus	State	Minus
			District		State
2019					
2018	0%	84%	-84%	71%	-71%
<u>.</u>		HISTO	RY EOC		
			School		School
Year	School	District	Minus	State	Minus
			District		State
2019	0%	68%	-68%	70%	-70%
2018	0%	64%	-64%	68%	-68%
Co	ompare	0%			
		ALGEB	RA EOC		
			School		School
Year	School	District	Minus	State	Minus
			District		State
2019	0%	57%	-57%	61%	-61%
2018	0%	61%	-61%	62%	-62%
Co	ompare	0%			
		GEOME	TRY EOC	1 1	
			School		School
Year	School	District	Minus	State	Minus
			District		State
2019	0%	61%	-61%	57%	-57%
2018					

Subgroup Data

		2019	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
	2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
		2017	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16

Duval - 0391 - Gateway Community Services - 2020-21 SIP

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	N/A
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	
Total Components for the Federal Index	
Percent Tested	
Subgroup Data	

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Although the data is not reflected in the report; data from Progress Monitoring Assessments (PMA) was used to determine the schools lowest performance. The ELA data showed the lowest performance. The contributing factors include but not limited to multiple grade levels behind; substance abuse; inappropriate behaviors; and juvenile delinquency.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Math showed the greatest decline from the prior year. The contributing factors include but not limited to multiple grade levels behind; substance abuse; inappropriate behaviors; and juvenile delinquency.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Math had the greatest gap when compared to the state average. Gateway Community Services Math average for 2019-20 school year was 35%. The contributing factors to this achievement gap are students come multiple grade levels behind, have poor attendance, exemplified poor behavior both academically and socially, most of all substance abuse.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Reading and promotion and graduation rates showed the most improvement in our program. When students are enrolled they get a credit check within 3 days of enrollment. The student is then enrolled in all classes that will work toward graduation requirements only. (No enrollment in classes that can't

assist in meeting graduation requirement).

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

Reading and Math is the major concern for Gateway Community Services. They are the main contributing factors for meeting promotion and graduation requirements. We have a major focus in these areas and are utilizing the district's academic platforms for remediation, grade recovery and promotion.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Graduation rate
- 2. Promotion rate
- 3. Reading gains
- 4. Math gains
- 5. Grade recovery

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	Differentiation of instruction in Math and Reading will be the areas of focus for the upcoming school year. Differentiated classrooms respond to student variety in readiness levels, interests, and learning profiles. If this area improve so will student achievement. It allows all students to be successful. Rationale: Teachers have multiple preparations of subject area content; Example: M/J Math, Algebra 1, Algebra 2, and Geometry are taught simultaneously.
Measurable Outcome:	The measurable outcomes will be shown by improved Math and ELA gains on district and state EOC assessments, and improved promotion rate.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Edward Robinson (robinsone2@duvalschools.org)
Evidence- based Strategy:	Teachers will use the District provided curriculum including but not limited to the blended learning platforms to enhance the instructional outcomes of the students. Curriculum mapping, inquiry-based learning, grouping and rubrics will also be implemented.
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy:	Due to the high number of course preparations by each teacher, these researched based strategies will assist in the differentiation of instruction for all the teachers. These strategy will ensure that all students are provided with state aligned subject area content daily. Students vary in culture, socioeconomic status, language, gender, motivation, ability/disability, learning styles, personal interests and more, and teachers must be aware of these varieties as they plan in accordance with the curricula. By considering varied learning needs, teachers can develop lessons and provide instruction so that all students in the classroom can learn effectively.

Action Steps to Implement

1. Professional development to support student engagement and differentiation.

2.Quarterly Professional Development will be provided to assist teachers in cross-curricular lesson plan development, and unpacking the standards.

3.Teachers will incorporate the four (4) pillars of instruction, (Full engagement, rigorous content, student ownership, and demonstration of student learning), with an emphasis on student engagement.4. Early Dismissal Professional Development will be used to development multiple strategies for lesson

4. Early Dismissal Professional Development will be used to development multiple strategies for lesson plan development.

5. Early dismissal days will be used for teacher collaboration and provide the opportunity for teachers to learn differentiation strategies used in various classrooms.

Person Responsible LaTonya Parker (parkerl@duvalschools.org)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

NA

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

School culture is one of the most impactful contributors to perceptions of a successful school. The culture of a school has far-reaching impacts on every aspect of the organization. Student achievement, teacher effectiveness, parent involvement, community support are all affected by the explicit and implicit cultural attributes of the school. We will create a teacher leadership that utilizes the strengths of your staff members for school improvement. Being intentional about teacher leadership opportunities is fundamental to creating a culture of growth and opportunity. In conjunction with teacher leadership, professional learning opportunities are a powerful way to boost school culture. To generate a culture of learning and growth for all, the teaching and learning of adults must be an integral part of the learning cycle in the school. Parent seminars, teacher training, student teacher partnerships and mentoring will be an integrated part of adult learning, which will model the learning cycle for students. Teacher leadership will be implemented through Professional Learning Community (PLC) to focus on various aspects of teaching and learning. When focusing on school culture, student perceptions of the school can positively and negatively influence everything from student behavior to teacher motivation. To create a student-centered school culture, we will strategically create opportunities for student leadership and seek out unique ways to engage students in non-traditional roles. Community perception is the undercurrent for school marketing, school image and student enrollment, and these all have direct impacts on school culture. From creating a career day that celebrates community members to recruiting classroom volunteers from the community to asking for parent support, are some of the ways we will engage the school community.

Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Differentiation	\$0.00
		Total:	\$0.00