Broward County Public Schools

Walker Elementary School



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	15
Positive Culture & Environment	22
FOSILIVE GUILLIE & EIIVIIOIIIIIEIIL	
Budget to Support Goals	23

Walker Elementary School

1001 NW 4TH ST, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33311

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Jocelyn Reid

Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2019

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* Black/African American Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2018-19: D (32%) 2017-18: C (44%) 2016-17: D (37%) 2015-16: F (19%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Inf	ormation*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	YEAR 1
Support Tier	IMPLEMENTING
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F	or more information, click here.

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Broward County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	15
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	23

Last Modified: 3/13/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 4 of 26

Walker Elementary School

1001 NW 4TH ST, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33311

[no web address on file]

School Demographics

School Type and Gi (per MSID		2019-20 Title I School	Disadvan	DEconomically taged (FRL) Rate ted on Survey 3)							
Elementary S PK-5	School	Yes 9									
Primary Servio (per MSID I	• •	Charter School	(Reporte	Minority Rate ed as Non-white Survey 2)							
K-12 General E	ducation	No		98%							
School Grades Histo	ory										
Year	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17							
Grade	D	D	С	D							

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Broward County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Our mission is to provide a rich, challenging Pre K - 5 program that cultivates the intellectual, social, and emotional development of each child while challenging all students to become productive citizens.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Walker Elementary will advocate for student success by providing a safe, supportive, and collaborative learning environment that empowers, enlightens, and inspires our students to reach or exceed their highest potential.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Whitfield, Sharon	Instructional Coach	James Frye, Instructional Coach, is responsible for coordinating and facilitating the iReady Implementation Plan. He also provides direct support to students with disabilities. He also facilitates the necessary Professional Development and PLCs for 3rd Grade teachers. These sessions align with student data and teacher needs. Mr. Frye also provides the support services for the lowest 30% of 3rd-grade students to ensure that the right remediation is given.
Eligon, Tauri	Principal	Mr. Tauri Eligon, Principal, oversees the daily operations of the school. He monitors the curriculum and instructional practices of teachers. He also analyzes assessment data and provides support to teachers and staff.
Martin , Jodianne	Instructional Coach	Jodianne Martin, Reading Coach oversees the school-wide reading program. She facilitates data chat meetings with teachers based on student performance data. She also monitors the performance of the lowest 25% of students in Reading. Ms. Martin coordinates and facilitates the necessary Professional Development and PLCs based on student data and teacher needs. She aligns the support services for the Lowest 25% to ensure that the right remediation is given. Ms. Martin is the facilitator of the school-wide (Power Hour) Intensive Hour. She is the point person for reading community partnerships with Reading Pals and TutorMate.
McNabb, Alicia	Instructional Coach	Alicia McNabb, Science Coach oversees the school-wide science program. She facilitates data chat meetings with teachers around the student science performance data. She coordinates and facilitates the necessary Professional Development and PLCs based on student data and teacher needs. She aligns the support services for the lowest 25% students in the fourth grade to ensure that the right remediation is given. She also facilitates and monitors the use of the school-wide science lab.
Favata, Anthony	Assistant Principal	Anthony Favata, Assistant Principal, assists the school principal in the overall administration of instructional programs and school operations. He coordinates all school-wide schedules for teachers and students. He also helps create school-wide goals including those related to student learning and student behavior. Mr. Favata manages student behavioral issues and positive behavior programs. He also oversees the school safety plan, safety drills, and Threat Assessments.

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Monday 7/1/2019, Jocelyn Reid

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

7

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

39

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

47

Demographic Data

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active							
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5							
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education							
2019-20 Title I School	Yes							
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%							
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* Black/African American Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students*							
	2018-19: D (32%)							
	2017-18: C (44%)							
School Grades History	2016-17: D (37%)							
	2015-16: F (19%)							
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) In	formation*							
SI Region	Southeast							
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield							
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A							
Year	YEAR 1							
Support Tier	IMPLEMENTING							
ESSA Status	CS&I							
As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Cod	de. For more information, click here.							

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

la dia stan	Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	105	133	127	136	141	132	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	774
Attendance below 90 percent	29	30	23	20	32	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	158
One or more suspensions	1	2	2	6	7	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	5	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	47
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	4	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	59

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	Le	vel					Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	1	3	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level														
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	1	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Wednesday 6/3/2020

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	127	124	136	120	126	142	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	775	
Attendance below 90 percent	33	25	23	21	27	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	149	
One or more suspensions	2	1	2	9	7	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	61	71	56	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	188	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator					Grad	e Lev	el							Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	127	124	136	121	128	142	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	778
Attendance below 90 percent	42	32	32	27	36	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	198
One or more suspensions	3	2	4	11	9	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	41
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	72	88	77	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	237

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level												Total
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Students with two or more indicators	4	9	3	29	91	74	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	210

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level											Total	
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	3	16	4	5	88	71	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	187
Students retained two or more times	0	1	1	1	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sahaal Grada Companant		2019			2018	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State 55% 57% 52% 61% 51% 51%
ELA Achievement	25%	59%	57%	19%	55%	55%
ELA Learning Gains	38%	60%	58%	42%	58%	57%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	51%	54%	53%	56%	53%	52%
Math Achievement	25%	65%	63%	25%	61%	61%
Math Learning Gains	39%	66%	62%	52%	63%	61%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	28%	53%	51%	56%	52%	51%
Science Achievement	18%	46%	53%	7%	45%	51%

	EWS Indi	cators as	Input Ea	rlier in th	e Survey		
Indicator		Grade	Level (pri	or year re	ported)		Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	iolai
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	26%	60%	-34%	58%	-32%
	2018	29%	59%	-30%	57%	-28%
Same Grade C	omparison	-3%				
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2019	16%	62%	-46%	58%	-42%
	2018	29%	58%	-29%	56%	-27%
Same Grade C	omparison	-13%				
Cohort Com	parison	-13%				
05	2019	27%	59%	-32%	56%	-29%
	2018	24%	56%	-32%	55%	-31%
Same Grade C	omparison	3%				
Cohort Com	parison	-2%			·	`

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	18%	65%	-47%	62%	-44%
	2018	35%	63%	-28%	62%	-27%
Same Grade C	omparison	-17%				
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2019	27%	67%	-40%	64%	-37%
	2018	25%	63%	-38%	62%	-37%
Same Grade C	omparison	2%				
Cohort Com	parison	-8%				
05	2019	26%	64%	-38%	60%	-34%
	2018	30%	62%	-32%	61%	-31%
Same Grade C	Same Grade Comparison				•	
Cohort Com	parison	1%				

SCIENCE											
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison					
05	2019	17%	49%	-32%	53%	-36%					

			SCIENCE			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
	2018	21%	51%	-30%	55%	-34%
Same Grade C	omparison	-4%				
Cohort Com	parison					

Subgroup Data

		2019	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	14	30	52	16	37	36	9				
ELL	25	36		19	45						
BLK	24	39	51	25	40	30	18				
FRL	25	38	51	25	39	29	18				
		2018	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	28	52	70	23	59	64	25				
ELL	37	57		28	69						
BLK	27	44	68	32	48	61	22				
FRL	28	45	66	32	48	62	23				
		2017	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	PONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	7	44	57	9	34	43					
ELL	22	33		11	38						
BLK	18	43	56	24	52	56	5				
FRL	19	42	56	25	52	55	7				

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index							
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CS&I						
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	32						
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES						
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	4						
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	33						
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	257						
Total Components for the Federal Index	8						

ESSA Federal Index	
Percent Tested	99%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	28
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	1
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	32
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	_
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	34
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Pacific Islander Students				
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students				
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0			
White Students				
Federal Index - White Students				
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0			
Economically Disadvantaged Students				
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	32			
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES			
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0			

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

ELA showed the lowest performance. The contributing factors to this year's low performance were the lack of early literacy foundation, the decrease in students' attendance and an increase in disciplinary referrals.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Math showed the greatest decline from the prior year. The contributing factors to last year's decline in Math were the lack of professional development on implementing an effective math block, the decrease in students' attendance and an increase in disciplinary referrals.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Math had the greatest gap when compared to the state average. The factors that contributed to this gap were a lack of professional development, the experience levels of teachers, and teachers' knowledge of using data to drive instruction.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Our school data dropped in each component.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

One area of concern is our students with attendance below 90%. We implemented an attendance plan to assist us with improving students attendance.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Lowest 25%
- 2. High-Quality Instruction
- 3. Decreasing the number of students at a Level 1 in ELA and or Math
- 4. Students with Disabilities in ELA and Mathematics
- 5. African American Students in ELA and Math

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of

Focus
Description
and

We selected Literacy based on the results of the 2019 English Language Arts Rationale Florida Standards Assessment that shows 75% of our students are not proficient in reading.

Rationale:

Measurable Outcome:

By June 2021, 35% of our students will be proficient in reading based on the ELA Florida Standards Assessment.

Person

responsible for

Tauri Eligon (tauri.eligon@browardschools.com)

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased Strategy:

We will use the Balanced-Literacy approach. We will monitor this strategy using classroom observations and monthly progress monitoring assessments.

We selected the Balanced-Literacy approach because it includes all of the reading components and aligns to the Florida Standards. We will use monthly progress monitoring assessments and data chats as evidence of strategy implementation. The following

Rationale for

resources will be used:

Evidencebased

Strategy:

- School City assessment platformLeveled Literacy Intervention (LLI)I-Ready online learning program
- I-Ready online learning program
 Curriculum Associates Ready FL LAFS

- Triumph Learning Reading resources

- Fountas & Pinnell Phonics, Spelling, and Word Study System

Action Steps to Implement

The first step will be providing Reading Professional Development based on the Reading needs of the teachers. The next step will be for the Leadership Team to do Classroom Observations based on the Reading IPG. Feedback will be given to teachers based on the ndings of the observations. Monthly progress monitoring Reading assessments will be done by students and monitored by the Leadership Team. These assessments for progress monitoring will be created using School City and iReady. Data Chats and Professional Learning Communities will be held to share Reading best practices.

Person Responsible

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Area of Focus Description and

We selected Math based on the results of the 2019 English Language Arts Florida Standards Assessment. This measure showed the greatest decrease in proficiency with only 25% of students proficient, a decrease from 32% of students proficient in the previous academic year.

Measurable Outcome:

Rationale:

By June 2021, 35% of our students will be proficient in Math based on the Math Florida Standards Assessment.

Person responsible for

monitoring outcome:

Tauri Eligon (tauri.eligon@browardschools.com)

Evidencebased Strategy:

The Evidence-based strategy we will implement is the effective use of the Standards for Mathematical Practices within the math block. The use of this strategy will be monitored by conducting classroom observations and monthly assessments.

We selected the e ective use of the Standards for Mathematics Practices because it includes all math components and aligns to the Florida Standards. We will use monthly assessments and data chats as evidence of strategy implementation. The following resources will be used:

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy:

- School City assessment platform

- Acaletics instructional materialsI-Ready online learning program
- Triumph Learning Math resources
- Calendar Math

Action Steps to Implement

The first step will be providing Math Professional Development based on the needs of the teachers. The next step will be for the Leadership Team to do Classroom Observations based on the Math IPG. Feedback will be given to teachers based on the findings of the observations. Monthly progress monitoring Math assessments will be done by students and monitored by the Leadership Team. These assessments for progress monitoring will be created using School City and iReady. Data Chats and Professional Learning Communities will be held to share Math best practices.

Person Responsible

#3. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Students with Disabilities

Area of Focus
Description

We selected Students with Disability (SWD) based on the results of the 2019 Florida

and Rationale: Standards Assessment our SWD Federal Index was 28%

Measurable Outcome:

By June 2021, 75% of our SWD students will show a learning gain in reading based on

Itcome: the ELA Florida Standards Assessment.

Person

responsible for monitoring

Tauri Eligon (tauri.eligon@browardschools.com)

Evidencebased Strategy:

outcome:

We will be implemented a support facilitation model. Students will be provided ESE services by a certified ESE teacher through a push in model during the reading or math

block. They will also receive an additional hour of intensive reading.

Rationale for

Evidencebased

We implemented this strategy to ensure students are in their least restricted environment by providing them to receive their ESE services in an inclusion model.

Strategy:

Action Steps to Implement

The first step will be analyzing the data of students with disability. Next will be providing Professional Development based on the needs of the teachers with regards to students with disabilities. The next step will be for the Leadership Team to do Classroom Observations. Feedback will be given to teachers based on the findings of the observations. Monthly progress monitoring assessments will be done by students and monitored by the Leadership Team. These assessments for progress monitoring will be created using School City and iReady. Data Chats and Professional Learning Communities will be held to share best practices on meeting the needs of students with disabilities.

Person Responsible

#4. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Economically Disadvantaged

Area of

Focus
Description
and

We selected Economically Disadvantaged Students based on the results of the 2019 Florida Standards Assessment our Economically Disadvantaged Student Federal Index was 32%

Rationale:

Measurable Outcome:

By June 2021, 50% of our Economically Disadvantaged students will show a learning gain on the ELA Florida Standards Assessment

Person

responsible for

Tauri Eligon (tauri.eligon@browardschools.com)

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased Strategy:

We will use the Balanced-Literacy approach. We will monitor this strategy using classroom observations and monthly progress monitoring assessments.

We selected the Balanced-Literacy approach because it includes all of the reading components and aligns to the Florida Standards. We will use monthly progress monitoring assessments and data chats as evidence of strategy implementation. The following

Rationale for

resources will be used:

Evidencebased

Strategy:

- School City assessment platformLeveled Literacy Intervention (LLI)I-Ready online learning program
- Curriculum Associates Ready FL LAFS
 Triumph Learning Reading resources
- Fountas & Pinnell Phonics, Spelling, and Word Study System

Action Steps to Implement

The first step will be analyzing the data of economically disadvantaged students. Next will be providing Professional Development based on the needs of the teachers with regards to poverty. The next step will be for the Leadership Team to do Classroom Observations. Feedback will be given to teachers based on the findings of the observations. Monthly progress monitoring assessments will be done by students and monitored by the Leadership Team. These assessments for progress monitoring will be created using School City and iReady. Data Chats and Professional Learning Communities will be held to share best practices on meeting the needs of economically disadvantaged students.

Person Responsible

#5. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to African-American

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

We selected African American students based on the results of the 2019 Florida Standards Assessment our black students Federal Index was 34%

Measurable Outcome:

outcome:

Strategy:

By June 2021, 55% of our African American students will show a learning gain in reading based on the ELA Florida Standards Assessment.

Person responsible for monitoring

Tauri Eligon (tauri.eligon@browardschools.com)

Evidence-based

We will use the Balanced-Literacy approach. We will monitor this strategy using classroom observations and monthly progress monitoring assessments.

Using a Balanced-Literacy approach will help closed the reading gap by providing students instruction in all reading components using different teaching strategies.

The following resources will be used:

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

School City assessment platformLeveled Literacy Intervention (LLI)I-Ready online learning program

Curriculum Associates Ready FL LAFSTriumph Learning Reading resources

- Fountas & Pinnell Phonics, Spelling, and Word Study System

Action Steps to Implement

The first step will be analyzing the data of African American students. Next will be providing Professional Development based on the needs of the teachers with regards to working with African American students. The next step will be for the Leadership Team to do Classroom Observations. Feedback will be given to teachers based on the findings of the observations. Monthly progress monitoring assessments will be done by students and monitored by the Leadership Team. These assessments for progress monitoring will be created using School City and iReady. Data Chats and Professional Learning Communities will be held to share best practices on meeting the needs of African American students.

Person Responsible Tauri Eligon (tauri.eligon@browardschools.com)

#6. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to English Language Learners

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

We selected English Language Learners students based on the results of the 2019 Florida Standards Assessment. The Federal Index our English Language Learners was 32%

Measurable Outcome:

By June 2021, 60% of our ELL students will show a learning gain on the ELA

Florida Standards Assessment

Person responsible

for monitoring outcome:

Tauri Eligon (tauri.eligon@browardschools.com)

Evidence-based

Strategy:

We will use the Balanced-Literacy approach. We will monitor this strategy using classroom observations and monthly progress monitoring assessments.

Using a Balanced-Literacy approach will help closed the reading gap by providing students instruction in all reading components using different teaching strategies.

The following resources will be used:

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:

School City assessment platform
 Leveled Literacy Intervention (LLI)
 I-Ready online learning program
 Curriculum Associates Ready FL LAI

Curriculum Associates Ready FL LAFSTriumph Learning Reading resources

- Fountas & Pinnell Phonics, Spelling, and Word Study System

Action Steps to Implement

The first step will be analyzing the data of all English Language Learners. Next will be providing Professional Development based on the needs of the teachers with regards to ELLs. The next step will be for the Leadership Team to do Classroom Observations. Feedback will be given to teachers based on the findings of the observations. Monthly progress monitoring assessments will be done by students and monitored by the Leadership Team. These assessments for progress monitoring will be created using School City and iReady. Data Chats and Professional Learning Communities will be held to share best practices on meeting the needs of ELLs.

Person Responsible Tauri Eligon (tauri.eligon@browardschools.com)

#7. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Science

Area of Focus

Description and

We selected Science based on the data of the 2019 Science FCAT. The results of this assessment showed a decrease in Science proficiency with only 17% of students proficient, whereas, in 2018, 23% of students were proficient.

Measurable

By June 2021, 25% of our students will be proficient in Science based on the Science FCAT Assessment.

Outcome:

Rationale:

Person ...

responsible for

Tauri Eligon (tauri.eligon@browardschools.com)

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased Strategy:

We will use The Five E Model of Science instruction. We will monitor the use of this strategy using classroom observations and monthly assessments.

Rationale for

We selected The Five E Model of Science instruction because it includes all of the science components and aligns to the Florida Standards. We will use monthly assessments and data chats as evidence of strategy implementation. The following resources will be used:

Evidencebased Strategy:

School City assessment platformAcaletics instructional materialsJ&J Educational Science Boot Camp

Action Steps to Implement

The first step will be providing Science Professional Development based on the needs of the teachers. The next step will be for the Leadership Team to do Classroom Observations. Feedback will be given to teachers based on the findings of the observations. Monthly progress monitoring Science assessments will be done by students and monitored by the Leadership Team. These assessments for progress monitoring will be created using School City and Science. Data Chats and Professional Learning Communities will be held to share best practices in the area of Science.

Person Responsible

Tauri Eligon (tauri.eligon@browardschools.com)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

Walker Elementary will be implementing an attendance to assist with our attendance concern. The incentive will rewards the top class in each grade level for outstanding attendance. This plan encourages teachers to communicate with parents any attendance concerns and create an environment where children love to come to school.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

Walker continues to educate parents through Title 1 Parent Literacy/Math Nights and Family Nights and School Advisory Meetings. Parents are informed of school events through the school's website and through grade specific parent workshops, newsletters, grade level academic nights, parent/teacher conferences, and email alert system.

At Walker Elementary, our Performing and Visual Arts program is well known throughout our community and as a result, we have had the opportunity of forming loyal partnerships with organizations such as the Urban League, L. A. Lee YMCA, Broward Performing Arts Center, Mount Olive Church and a number of businesses that have graciously offered monetary assistance, as well human resources. Our parents are also very proud of our performing arts program and consequently, they attend many performances throughout the school year. In addition, we provide a parent resource center on campus that invites parents to make use of the technology. At Walker we will continue to provide Title 1 parent nights that provide information on such topics as Anti-Bullying, Reading, Writing, Science, Math and technology. Academics are integrated with the performing arts to build interest among our parents and the community

Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA				\$182,833.00
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2020-21
	5100	120-Classroom Teachers	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$36,000.00
	Notes: Provide stipends for twenty-four teachers @ \$30/hour for 2.5 hours per day to provided additional support in the areas of science, mathematics, and reading ELO Student Camp Time: 2.5 hours/day x 20 days = 50 hours - ELO Student Camp Stipends: 24 teachers x 50 hours x \$ stipend = \$36,000					who need additional int Camp Time: 2.5
	5100	150-Aides	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG	2.0	\$37,260.00
	Notes: ***Hire two paraprofessionals to assist teachers in 3rd, 4th, and 5th grade in clother achievement gap in reading. (Requesting only two Para positions instead of three apre-approved.)			•		

2	Function 5100	Object 369-Technology-Related Rentals	Budget Focus 0321 - Walker Elementary School Notes: Explore Learning, Reflex Math	Funding Source UniSIG : Purchase school-wide	FTE site licens	2020-21 \$3,295.00
2	Function	Object	Budget Focus		FTE	2020-21
2			T	Funding Source	FTF	· ·
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instruction	ai Practice: Math			WO 1.0 10.00
		I	Notes: Purchase School Specialty Wo 4th Edition Classroom Set - Grade 3: 4: Wordly Wise 3000 4th Edition Clas Classroom Set	Wordly Wise 3000 4th	Edition Clas	ssroom Set - Grade
	5100	510-Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG	E Consider	\$8,866.00
			Notes: Purchase Heinmann Fountas 1 instruction to strengthen the founda Grade K - FPC Shared Reading, Grad	tional standards in Grad	des K-2 F	PC Shared Reading,
	5100	510-Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$10,398.00
			Notes: Renaissance, myON: Purchas utilized by students to read high interests a digital library of enhanced reading reading with quizzes and provide data offer important insights into whether s growth. Embedded Lexile Level assess MyON environment enable seamless cannot extend pass August 31, 2021.	est text on the students in g content for students in a about student reading tudents are on the path ssments and optional ea measurement of studen	' individual in Grades K- engagement to reading not-of-book	Lexile levels. MyON -5. MyON measures ent. These data points proficiency and quizzes within the
	5100	369-Technology-Related Rentals	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$5,916.00
			Notes: Purchase TutorMate for seven achievement gap. License term date program for 6 classes - \$6,000/class			
	5100	369-Technology-Related Rentals	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$36,000.00
		1	Notes: Fringe: Unemployment: 2 Para	aprofessionals, 1 teach	er, ELO Stip	pends
	5100	250-Unemployment Compensation	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$95.00
	1	1	Notes: Fringe: Worker's compensation	n: 1 teacher, 2 Parapro	ı fessionals,	L ELO Stipends
	5100	240-Workers Compensation	Notes: Fringe: Health Insurance: 1 tea 0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG	iais	\$3,300.00
	3100	200 Cloup modianoc	School		20/0	Ψ2¬,500.00
	5100	230-Group Insurance	0321 - Walker Elementary	UniSIG	, LLO 311µ	\$24,885.00
			School Notes: Fringe: Social Security: 1 teac.		ls FI O Stir	
	5100	220-Social Security	0321 - Walker Elementary	UniSIG		\$10,913.00
		<u> </u>	Notes: Fringe: Retirement: 1 teacher,	2 Paraprofessionals		<u> </u>
1	5100	210-Retirement	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$9,200.00

			Notes: Purchase School City license for monitoring tool for tracking students' p date cannot extend pass August 31, 2	progress in reading, ma		, ,
	5100	510-Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$23,493.00
			Notes: Educational Development Asso (Mathematics) program for 3rd-5th gra and post assessments to get a baselir will use the quick pick books as morni Modeling books will be used for whole instructional material Grade 3 - CRS Domain Review (Bk 1-2) - Grade 4 - C Domain Review (Bk 1-2) - Grade 5 - C Domain Review (Bk 1-2)	ade. Teachers will provine, monitor progress, and work, as well as to person group and small group. Pre/Post Assessment RS Pre/Post Assessment.	ride student and measure practice pre p instructior t, Quik-Pik (nent, Quik-F	s with pre-, mid-year, e growth. Students viously taught skills. a as a supplemental Bks 1-4), Comp. ik (Bks 1-4), Comp.
	5100	369-Technology-Related Rentals	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$794.00
			Notes: Edmentum - Study Island site Is progress monitoring and practice to be reading assessment scores. The programs to drive proficiency; FSA and classroom assessments and flexible postudent outcomes. License term date	elp students in Grads 3 ram includes LAFS, M. d EOC assessment pro ractice; and real-time p	8-5 achieve AFS, and N eparation; c progress mo	higher math and GSSS-aligned ustomizable pnitoring to track
3	III.A.	Areas of Focus: ESSA Subg	roup: Students with Disabilitie	es		\$0.00
4	III.A.		roup: Economically Disadvan		1	\$106,582.00
	Function	,	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2020-21
	5100	130-Other Certified Instructional Personnel	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG	1.0	\$57,540.00
			Notes: Hire one Resource Teacher to in closing the achievement gap in read			
	6400	369-Technology-Related Rentals	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$6,460.00
			Notes: Curriculum Associates: Teache (501-800 Students) Purchase of iReac virtual teaching strategies and for cont standards based instruction. License t	dy Teacher Toolbox foi tinuous improvement o	r teachers to of student pr	o enhance their oficiency with
	5100	510-Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$675.00
			Notes: Office Supplies: copy paper, gl pocket folders, 3-prong folders, colore		books, dry	erase markers,
	5100	643-Capitalized Hardware and Technology-Related Infrastructure	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$13,815.00
			Notes: Purchase three Recordex Boar grades 3-5 for educational apps in rea Display plus Stand - NovoPro Wireles Fusion Manual Height Adjustable Floo	ding, math, and sciend s Presentation and Co	ce Record	lex 75" 4K Interactive
	6400	120-Classroom Teachers	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$20,880.00
			Notes: Provide fifty-eight teachers @ Spreplanning days of professional development in the areas of professional development in data used culture/environment will be provided. Spear during pre-planning week PD H	lopment for the purpos of science, mathematic ge and progress monit The PD will take place	se of the ins as and read oring as we before the 2	tructional calendar ing. Additional Il as classroom 2020-2021 school

	6400	220-Social Security	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$1,630.00
		<u> </u>	Notes: Fringe FICA Social Security: A	llocate money for stipe	nds for 58 to	eachers
	6400	240-Workers Compensation	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$455.00
			Notes: Fringe Workers Compensation	: Allocate money for sti	pends for 5	8 teachers
	6400	250-Unemployment Compensation	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$15.00
			Notes: Fringe Unemployment: Allocat	e money for stipends fo	r 58 teache	ers
	6400	644-Computer Hardware Non-Capitalized	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$4,410.00
			Notes: Purchase seven Lenovo Think grades 3-5 with an outdated or no lap opportunity to integrate technology wi	top. The additional lapt		
	6400	519-Technology-Related Supplies	0321 - Walker Elementary School	UniSIG		\$702.00
			Notes: Purchase 39 Lenovo Thinkpad updated laptops or replacing lost/dam		18 each for	teachers receiving
5	III.A.	Areas of Focus: ESSA Subg	group: African-American			\$0.00
6	III.A.	Areas of Focus: ESSA Subg	group: English Language Lear	ners		\$0.00
7	III.A. Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Science					
	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instruction	al Practice: Science			\$9,887.00
	Function		Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	\$9,887.00 2020-21
			T	Funding Source UniSIG	FTE	•
	Function	Object	Budget Focus 0321 - Walker Elementary	UniSIG amp: Science 4th and 5 e concepts as indicated urce includes practice q ot Camp Speed Bag St	th-grade-sp I in the Nex uestions, cl udent Book	\$5,590.00 seed bag booklets t Generation lassroom activity, elets, Teacher's
	Function	Object	Budget Focus 0321 - Walker Elementary School Notes: JJ Educational Science Bootca that allow students to illustrate science Sunshine State Standards. This resou assessments Grade 4 - Science Bo	UniSIG amp: Science 4th and 5 e concepts as indicated urce includes practice q ot Camp Speed Bag St	th-grade-sp I in the Nex uestions, cl udent Book	\$5,590.00 seed bag booklets t Generation lassroom activity, elets, Teacher's
	Function 5100	Object 510-Supplies	Budget Focus 0321 - Walker Elementary School Notes: JJ Educational Science Bootca that allow students to illustrate science Sunshine State Standards. This resou assessments Grade 4 - Science Bo Edition - Grade 5 - Science Boot Cam 0321 - Walker Elementary	UniSIG amp: Science 4th and 5 e concepts as indicated arce includes practice quot Camp Speed Bag Student E UniSIG Deciates Inc: Acalectics in the start of the Science	th-grade-sp I in the Nex uestions, cl udent Book Booklets, Te Purchase in Instructiona	\$5,590.00 seed bag booklets t Generation lassroom activity, lets, Teacher's eacher's Edition \$4,297.00 structional materials al block and to