Lake Wales Charter Schools

Hillcrest Elementary School



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	13
	40
Planning for Improvement	18
Positive Culture & Environment	18
Budget to Support Goals	19

Hillcrest Elementary School

1051 STATE ROAD 60 E, Lake Wales, FL 33853

http://lwcharterschools.com/hillcrest

Demographics

Principal: Rebecca Thomas

Start Date for this Principal: 6/1/2014

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students* White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: B (54%) 2017-18: B (56%) 2016-17: C (50%) 2015-16: C (47%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Southwest
Regional Executive Director	
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	TS&I

* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.

School Board Approval

N/A

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	13
Planning for Improvement	18
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	19

Hillcrest Elementary School

1051 STATE ROAD 60 E, Lake Wales, FL 33853

http://lwcharterschools.com/hillcrest

School Demographics

School Type and Gi (per MSID		2019-20 Title I School	l Disadvan	DEconomically taged (FRL) Rate rted on Survey 3)						
Elementary S PK-5	School		91%							
Primary Servio	• •	Charter School	(Reporte	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)						
K-12 General E	ducation	Yes		51%						
School Grades History										
Year	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17						

В

В

C

School Board Approval

В

Grade

N/A

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Hillcrest Hawks will learn, create, communicate, cooperate, explore and soar to their highest potential. Hawks will leave the nest seeking the adventure of life-long learning.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Hillcrest Elementary will strive to build a culture where students feel loved while acquiring a passion for learning. Hawks will soar as they discover their individuality while achieving their dreams and goals, excelling beyond their expectations!

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Barrow, Jennifer	Principal	According to Florida Statute, 1001.54 and 1012.28, the duties implemented by Ms. Barrow includes but not limited to the following: 1)(a) A district school board shall employ, through written contract, public school principals. (b) The school principal has authority over school district personnel in accordance with s. 1012.28. (c) The school principal shall fully support the authority of each teacher and school bus driver to remove disobedient, disrespectful, violent, abusive, uncontrollable, or disruptive students from the classroom and the school bus and, when appropriate and available, place such students in an alternative educational setting. (2) Each school principal shall provide instructional leadership in the development, revision, and implementation of a school improvement plan pursuant to s. 1001.42(18). (3) Each school principal must make the necessary provisions to ensure that all school reports are accurate and timely, and must provide the necessary training opportunities for staff to accurately report attendance, FTE program participation, student performance, teacher appraisal, and school safety and discipline data. (4) Each school principal is responsible for the management and care of instructional materials, in accordance with the provisions of chapter 1006. (5) Each school principal shall fully support and cooperate in the implementation of s. 1002.23.
Borders, Elizabeth	Other	Supporting teacher in providing the most effective teaching strategies through coaching, modeling lessons and conducting Professional Development session as well as ensuing they have all the necessary materials. ? Assisting teachers in a variety of means as they administer assessments. ? Analyzing data with teachers and staff so they may plan their lessons to fit the needs of all of their learners. ? Ensure that our students have access to the online resources that enhance their academic progress. Studying, evaluating and implementing research based instructional strategies and instruction. ? Provide leadership in the development, articulation, and implementation of Hillcrest's identified instructional program ? Improving the instructional program as needed by assisting the Leadership Team with data and program evaluation. ? Assisting in the coordination of relevant staff development ? To oversee the elementary curriculum and to suggest recommendations for correcting overlaps/gaps.

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
		? To assist elementary teachers in matching appropriate assessment instruments to curriculum implementations. ? To assure uniformity in elementary assessment, parent reporting, and academic standards
Griffiths, Kim	Assistant Principal	Supporting teacher in providing the most effective teaching strategies through coaching, modeling lessons and conducting Professional Development session as well as ensuing they have all the necessary materials. ? Assisting teachers in a variety of means as they administer assessments. ? Analyzing data with teachers and staff so they may plan their lessons to fit the needs of all of their learners. ? Ensure that our students have access to the online resources that enhance their academic progress. Studying, evaluating and implementing research based instructional strategies and instruction. ? Provide leadership in the development, articulation, and implementation of Hillcrest's identified instructional program ? Improving the instructional program as needed by assisting the Leadership Team with data and program evaluation. ? Assisting in the coordination of relevant staff development ? To oversee the elementary curriculum and to suggest recommendations for correcting overlaps/gaps. ? To assist elementary teachers in matching appropriate assessment instruments to curriculum implementations. ? To assure uniformity in elementary assessment, parent reporting, and academic standards
Finnell, Deann	Instructional Coach	Supporting teacher in providing the most effective teaching strategies through coaching, modeling lessons and conducting Professional Development session as well as ensuing they have all the necessary materials. ? Assisting teachers in a variety of means as they administer assessments. ? Analyzing data with teachers and staff so they may plan their lessons to fit the needs of all of their learners. ? Ensure that our students have access to the online resources that enhance their academic progress.

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
		Studying, evaluating and implementing research based instructional strategies and instruction.
		? Provide leadership in the development, articulation, and implementation of Hillcrest's identified instructional program
		? Improving the instructional program as needed by assisting the Leadership Team with data and program evaluation.
		 ? Assisting in the coordination of relevant staff development ? To oversee the elementary curriculum and to suggest recommendations for correcting overlaps/gaps.
		? To assist elementary teachers in matching appropriate assessment instruments to curriculum implementations.
		? To assure uniformity in elementary assessment, parent reporting, and academic standards

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Sunday 6/1/2014, Rebecca Thomas

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

42

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

3

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

45

Demographic Data

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Elementary School PK-5
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes

2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students* White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
	2018-19: B (54%)
	2017-18: B (56%)
School Grades History	2016-17: C (50%)
	2015-16: C (47%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Inf	ormation*
SI Region	Southwest
Regional Executive Director	
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	TS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code	e. For more information, click here.
The definited direct reals of the desired real minimum attitudes and	3. 1 of more information, <u>offer nore</u> .

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
indicator		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Number of students enrolled	126	108	106	127	91	115	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	673
Attendance below 90 percent	11	7	6	18	13	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	63
One or more suspensions	2	0	3	4	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
Course failure in ELA	5	2	5	14	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29
Course failure in Math	4	0	3	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	11	12	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	39
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	7	16	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	33
Dec. 2019 Reading Maps Level 1's	21	14	23	31	14	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	112
Dec. 2019 Math Maps Level 1's	18	6	22	18	13	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	89

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator			Grade Level												
Indicator		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Students with two or more indicators	6	4	2	13	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	34	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level													
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	19	9	7	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	55	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 6/1/2020

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator				(Grad	de Le	vel							Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Number of students enrolled	127	113	110	128	88	120	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	686
Attendance below 90 percent	8	10	4	10	7	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	5	0	2	12	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	15	18	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	54

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	Le	vel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	1	0	0	7	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator					(Gra	de	Lev	/el					Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Retained Students: Current Year	15	3	4	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	127	113	110	128	88	120	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	686
Attendance below 90 percent	8	10	4	10	7	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	5	0	2	12	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	15	18	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	54

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	1	0	0	7	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15

The number of students identified as retainees:

ludio etc.					(Gra	de	Lev	/el					Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	15	3	4	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019			2018	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	56%	0%	57%	52%	0%	55%
ELA Learning Gains	56%	0%	58%	50%	0%	57%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	42%	0%	53%	38%	0%	52%

School Grade Component		2019			2018	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State
Math Achievement	68%	0%	63%	72%	0%	61%
Math Learning Gains	62%	0%	62%	54%	0%	61%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	40%	0%	51%	31%	0%	51%
Science Achievement	53%	0%	53%	51%	0%	51%

	EWS Indi	cators as	Input Ea	rlier in th	e Survey		
Indicator		Grade	Level (pri	or year re	ported)		Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	Total
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	50%			58%	-8%
	2018	55%			57%	-2%
Same Grade C	omparison	-5%				
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2019	57%			58%	-1%
	2018	58%			56%	2%
Same Grade C	omparison	-1%				
Cohort Com	parison	2%				
05	2019	57%			56%	1%
	2018	52%			55%	-3%
Same Grade C	omparison	5%				
Cohort Com	parison	-1%				

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019	61%			62%	-1%
	2018	75%			62%	13%
Same Grade C	omparison	-14%				
Cohort Com	parison					
04	2019	73%			64%	9%
	2018	76%			62%	14%
Same Grade C	omparison	-3%				
Cohort Com	parison	-2%				
05	2019	64%			60%	4%
	2018	68%			61%	7%

			MATH			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
Same Grade C	omparison	-4%				
Cohort Com	parison	-12%				

SCIENCE							
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison	
05	2019	52%			53%	-1%	
	2018	53%			55%	-2%	
Same Grade Comparison		-1%					
Cohort Comparison							

Subgroup Data

		2019	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMP	PONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	24	38	18	32	38						
ELL	17	38	33	44	58	36					
BLK	35	49	31	33	40	33	43				
HSP	44	54	44	65	67	39	27				
WHT	70	58	50	82	66	45	67				
FRL	47	54	41	60	57	36	43				
	2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
SWD	32	50	46	46	50	17					
ELL	24	52	46	53	68	54	23				
BLK	40	41	29	59	67	47	43				
HSP	46	61	54	64	65	33	43				
WHT	64	62	30	85	79	24	63				
FRL	48	49	39	67	68	33	47				
2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	TS&I

ESSA Federal Index			
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	52		
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students			
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target			
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency			
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	419		
Total Components for the Federal Index	8		
Percent Tested	100%		
Subgroup Data			
Students With Disabilities			
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	30		
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES		
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	1		
English Language Learners			
Federal Index - English Language Learners	34		
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?			
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%			
Native American Students			
Federal Index - Native American Students			
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A		
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0		
Asian Students			
Federal Index - Asian Students			
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A		
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0		
Black/African American Students			
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	38		
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?			
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0		
Hispanic Students			
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	48		
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?			

Hispanic Students					
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%					
Multiracial Students					
Federal Index - Multiracial Students					
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?					
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%					
Pacific Islander Students					
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students					
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?					
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%					
White Students					
Federal Index - White Students	63				
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?					
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0				
Economically Disadvantaged Students					
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	47				
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?					
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%					

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

The Edu data portal which indicates our school needs to improve on the following subgroups: Black African American: D, ELL students: D, SWD: F. These trends do match our in house progress monitoring with little improvement specifically with our SWD subgroup.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

There was not a decline, it appears to be flat. In particular with the SWD subgroup. Some factors that contributed were changes in staffing, lack of knowledge in the content area for the new teacher and a lack of bridging the content of standards with current IEP goals.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

When looking at our greatest gap in data, it would be our lack of growth with our bottom quartile. Contributing factors would be lack of participation in tutoring. There was a change made to address this but due to COVID we don't have the results to verify the effectiveness. Attendance continues to be a priority as a barrier for growth.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Our ELL students did show improvements in ELA and Math as indicated by closing the gap on the Edu data file. The actions taken were: hiring multiple spanish speaking staff members, implementation of English Learning Program, fidelity of ELL support schedule. Finally, a heavier emphasis and implementation of vocabulary.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

Our greatest areas of concern that we will continue to address is our African American and ESE subgroup population. These students make up a larger portion of our bottom 25% for both reading and math.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Fidelity and documentation and monitoring of the MTSS data.
- 2. Increasing attendance and decreasing tardies
- 3. Increasing proactive discipline approach
- 4. Professional development opportunities on differentiating instruction to address gaps with identified subgroups
- 5. Increase targeted and flexible tutoring groups on MTSS and ongoing data

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

No activities were entered for this section.

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

In addressing the areas of focus, our goal is to have improvement in the following subgroups: African American, ELL, and SWD students. In doing so our school will maintain or improve our school grade.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

Hillcrest Elementary will continue to utilize quarterly surveys with students, staff, and parents to provide us with feedback to ensure a positive school culture and environment. In addition, we will continue to have an active PTO, and SAC committees to provides strategies and ideas for all stakeholders. Communication is key to provide transparency and advanced updates for all stakeholders in order to address any potential barriers.

For the upcoming school year, our goal is to increase communications in multiple languages, promote more opportunities needs and cultures with all of our stakeholders and continue to build positive celebrations and success opportunities for all students. Teachers will continue to receive professional development in order to have more strategies to build trust and respect with the variety of student needs they have.

Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

Total:	\$189,780.00
--------	--------------