Volusia County Schools

Daytona Juvenile Residential Facility



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	14
Positive Culture & Environment	17
Budget to Support Goals	0

Daytona Juvenile Residential Facility

1386 INDIAN LAKE RD, Daytona Beach, FL 32124

http://myvolusiaschools.org/alternative-education/pages/department-of-juvenile-justice-sites.aspx

Demographics

Principal: Patricia Corr

Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2020

2010 20 20	
2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served	High School
(per MSID File)	6-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2019-20 Title I School	No
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	0%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented	
(subgroups with 10 or more students)	
(subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
	2018-19: No Grade
	2017-18: No Grade
School Grades History	2016-17: No Grade
	2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information	*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more in	nformation, <u>click here</u> .

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Volusia County School Board.

Last Modified: 4/10/2024 https://www.floridacims.org Page 3 of 18

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	14
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Daytona Juvenile Residential Facility

1386 INDIAN LAKE RD, Daytona Beach, FL 32124

http://myvolusiaschools.org/alternative-education/pages/department-of-juvenile-justice-sites.aspx

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2019-20 Title I School	2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
High School 6-12	No	%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
Alternative Education	No	%

School Grades History

Year

Grade

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Volusia County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

We believe that all students should be provided an opportunity for educational success. Therefore, our mission is to assist in developing independent skills in students that promote graduation assurance through a structured alternative program during an unintentional break in the traditional school environment.

Alternative Education Strategies Include: Differentiated Instruction, Developing Individual Skills, Advocate Appropriately, Social Skills, Behavior Modification, Cornell Note-Taking, One Binder System, Goal Setting/Progress Monitoring, and Gradual Release Model

Provide the school's vision statement.

Our greatest contribution is to be sure that there is a teacher in every classroom who cares that every student, every day, learns, grows and feels like a human being; they don't care until they know we care.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Johns, Dale	Principal	Administrative oversight - all 6 sites
Plummer, Michael	Teacher, Career/Technical	Technology input/TEAMs contact
Cotto, Maggie	Teacher, K-12	Riverview contact / TEAMS contact
Kirvan, Colleen	Assistant Principal	Administrative oversight - 4 residential sites
Pelletier, Rebecca	School Counselor	DAC and SIP contact
Jenkins, Steafon	Assistant Principal	Administrative oversight - Highbanks
Whitmore, Logan	Administrative Support	Riverview support
Schervish, Michael	Assistant Principal	Administrative oversight - SIP contact
Little, Rosalind	School Counselor	
Cioffi, Joseph	Teacher, K-12	
Cruz, Sheila	Teacher, K-12	
Nass, Keri Lynn	Teacher, ESE	
Vaughn, Alexis	Teacher, K-12	
Williams, LaKeshia	Teacher, K-12	

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Wednesday 7/1/2020, Patricia Corr

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

Demographic Data

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active
-----------------------------------	--------

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 6-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2019-20 Title I School	No
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	0%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
	2018-19: No Grade
	2017-18: No Grade
School Grades History	2016-17: No Grade
	2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Informatio	n*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For mo	ore information, click here.

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator			Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total		
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4	11	4	1	25		
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1		
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	3		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2		

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator			Total											
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	4	2	0	13
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 8/25/2020

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator		Grade Level													
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	7	7	4	2	24	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	1	3	2	11	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	7	7	4	2	24
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	1	3	2	11

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sahaal Crada Campanant		2019		2018				
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State		
ELA Achievement	0%	52%	56%	0%	49%	53%		
ELA Learning Gains	0%	49%	51%	0%	48%	49%		
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	37%	42%	0%	37%	41%		
Math Achievement	0%	48%	51%	0%	50%	49%		
Math Learning Gains	0%	49%	48%	0%	42%	44%		
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	38%	45%	0%	34%	39%		
Science Achievement	0%	76%	68%	0%	72%	65%		
Social Studies Achievement	0%	69%	73%	0%	68%	70%		

	EWS In	dicators	as Inpu	ıt Earlier	in the S	urvey		
Indicator		Gra	de Level	(prior ye	ar report	ted)		Total
indicator	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison					
07	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
08	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
09	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Com	nparison	0%				
10	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				

			MATH	1		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison					
07	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				
08	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				

	SCIENCE												
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison							
80	2019												

			SCIEN	CE		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
	2018					
Cohort C	omparison					

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		ALGEE	RA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					

Subgroup Data

	2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS													
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18			
	2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS													
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17			

2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index						
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)						
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students						
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students						
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target						
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency						
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index						
Total Components for the Federal Index						
Percent Tested						

Subgroup Data

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Math Proficiency/ ELA Proficiency.

Students demonstrate a lack of foundation in math and reading skills.

Students to staff/adult relationships need improvement.

Student goal setting skills need to be focused and developed.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Graduation Assurance- through credit retrieval.

Students in Alternative education tend to lag behind all other subgroups in GPA, EOC's, FSA, and Graduation rate.

Students in Alternative education also demonstrate a lack of remediation of foundation skills in math and reading.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Math Proficiency.

Students demonstrate a lack of foundation in math and reading skills.

Students to staff/adult relationships need improvement.

Student goal setting skills need to be focused and developed.

Students in Alternative education tend to lag behind all other subgroups in GPA, EOC's, FSA, and Graduation rate.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Science proficiency.

Continued use of the new educational program, edgenuity, course completions.

Professional development of instructors and staff, reading interventions, social-emotional training, PLC and progress monitoring tools

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

Course completion, remediation, Student goal setting, and professional training of teachers in social/emotional struggles of the students.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Math/ELA Proficiency
- 2. Productive PLC's
- 3. Positive Teacher/student relationships
- 4. Increase in course completions/ graduation assurance through credit retrieval
- 5. successful transition back to zone school, increase in graduation assurance rate

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Other specifically relating to Math Proficiency

Area of

Focus

Description and

Students lack foundation in mathematics reading skills. Students are not on track when they come to our programs; if they are, we must maintain their progress.

Rationale:

Measurable Outcome: students in our program for more that 20 days will be on track to complete courses with a 70% proficiency or higher. weekly progress monitoring, course completions/quarterly grades, and on target with target date in edgenuity will be reviewed.

Person responsible

responsible for

Joseph Cioffi (jmcioffi@volusia.k12.fl.us)

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased

Differentiation through scaffolding

Strategy: Rationale

for

scaffolding has a .82 effect size (hattie). the Math proficiency not only showed low performance, but also demonstrates a decline from mathematics components that are part of the proficiency gap when compared to the state average. this data component showed the lowest performance according to the last years indicators, students in alternative ed.

Evidencebased Strategy:

tend to lag behind all other subgroups in GPA, eoc's, fsa, and graduation rate.

Action Steps to Implement

- 1. Assess individual student needs
- 2. Provide supplementary resources
- 3. Progress monitoring/Edgenuity
- 4. Teacher/Student ratio (small group; 1:1)
- 5. Provide emotional /behavioral supports
- 6. Guided notes
- 7. Gradual release
- 8. Break tasks down into small steps
- Professional Development for Instructional staff in Social-Emotional Training, Blended Learning, and Effective PLC's. In order to foster better student - teacher relationships
- 10. Emphasis on graduation assurance through credit retrieval
- 11. Remediation of Foundational skills in Math and Reading

Person Responsible

Joseph Cioffi (jmcioffi@volusia.k12.fl.us)

#2. Other specifically relating to ELA proficiency

Area of

Focus

Description and

Students Lack foundation in Reading Skills. Students are not on track when they come to our programs; if they are, we must maintain their progress.

Rationale:

Measurable Outcome:

Students in our program for more than 20 days will be on track to complete course with 70% proficiency. Weekly progress monitoring, course completions/quarterly grades, on target with target date in Edgenuity.

Person responsible

for

Christine (CJ) Allen (cjallen@volusia.k12.fl.us)

monitoring outcome:

Evidence-

based Differentiation through scaffolding **Strategy:**

Dationala

Rationale for Evidencebased Strategy: Scaffolding has a .82 effect size (Hattie). The ELA proficiency not only showed low performance, but also nearly the greatest decline from the prior year, and the ELA component had Nearly the greatest gap when compared to the state average. This Data component showed the lowest performance according to last years EWS indicators. Students in Alternative Ed. tend to Lag behind all other subgroups in GPA, EOC's, FSA,

attendance and graduation rate.

Action Steps to Implement

- 1. Assess individual student needs
- 2. Provide supplementary resources
- 3. Progress monitoring/Edgenuity
- 4. Teacher/Student ratio (small group; 1:1)
- 5. Provide emotional /behavioral supports
- 6. Guided notes
- 7. Gradual release
- 8. Break tasks down into small steps
- 9. Professional Development for Instructional staff in Social-Emotional Training, Blended Learning, and Effective PLC's. In order to foster better student teacher relationships
- 10. Emphasis on graduation assurance through credit retrieval
- 11. Remediation of Foundational skills in Math and Reading

Person Responsible

Christine (CJ) Allen (cjallen@volusia.k12.fl.us)

#3. Other specifically relating to Graduation Assurance

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

Students lack study skills, confidence, and motivation. Students lack positive

relationships with staff.

Measurable Outcome:

Increase graduation rate through increased program completion. Students in our program for more than 20 days will be on track to complete course with 70%

proficiency.

Person responsible

for monitoring outcome:

Rosalind Little (ralittle@volusia.k12.fl.us)

Evidence-based Strategy:

Professional Development for teachers in Social Emotional Training, Blended

learning, poverty awareness and cultural sensitivity.

Rationale for

Evidence-based

Social Emotional learning

Strategy:

Action Steps to Implement

 Professional Development for Instructional staff in Social-Emotional Training, Blended Learning, and Effective PLC's. In order to foster better student - teacher relationships

- 2. Emphasis on graduation assurance through credit retrieval
- 3. Remediation of Foundational skills in Math and Reading
- 4. Weekly Goal setting strategies one on one with teacher/student

Person Responsible Rosalind Little (ralittle@volusia.k12.fl.us)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

At our site, we involve all stakeholders. However, there are very few instances where we work with parents. Most of our interactions are with the program personnel. We are a team that works closely together with our buildings staff to enable student success. Educators and staff personal attend meetings and treatment team meetings with program and the betterment of students. Additionally, program personnel are all involved in school activities and meetings.

Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.