Miami-Dade County Public Schools

Charter High School Of The Americas



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	15
Positive Culture & Environment	16
Budget to Support Goals	17

Charter High School Of The Americas

988 FLAGLER ST, Miami, FL 33130

www.lincolnmarticharterschools.com

Demographics

Principal: Barbara Sanchez

Start Date for this Principal: 8/14/2015

	,
2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 9-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	0%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	English Language Learners Hispanic Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: A (68%) 2017-18: I (%) 2016-17: A (71%) 2015-16: A (74%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. Fo	or more information, <u>click here</u> .

School Board Approval

N/A

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	15
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	17

Charter High School Of The Americas

988 FLAGLER ST, Miami, FL 33130

www.lincolnmarticharterschools.com

2019-20 Economically

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2019-20 Title I School	Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
High School 9-12	Yes	96%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	Yes	99%

School Grades History

Year	2019-20	2018-19	2017-18	2016-17
Grade	Α	Α	1	А

School Board Approval

N/A

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

The mission of Charter High School of the Americas is to provide a challenging curriculum in which academic excellence, character development and individual growth are nurtured in a safe and positive environment that includes the active participation of students, teachers, parents and community stakeholders.

Provide the school's vision statement.

At Charter High School of the Americas we believe that the quality of any nation, state, city, community and family must be judged by the preparation and advancement of the individuals who comprise them.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Sanchez, Barbara	Principal	The principal will schedule and facilitate regular MTSS/RtI meetings, ensure consistency of follow-up action steps and allocate resources.
Alves, Viviana	Assistant Principal	The assistant principal will ensure attendance of all members and ensure consistency of follow-up action steps; She will participate in the problem solving process.
Forjans, Licety	Administrative Support	Administrative support will help with students in the ESOL program.
De Paula, Sandra	Instructional Coach	Reading Lead Teacher; responsible for the implementation of the school's comprehensive core and supplemental reading programs. Disaggregating and analyzing students' data to monitor strengths and weaknesses.
Alejo, Maria	Teacher, K-12	Mathematics lead teacher will monitor the students' data and monitor their progress, attend regular meetings, carry out SIP Planning with the focus on Mathematics.
Diaz, Marilyn	Teacher, K-12	Social Science Teacher; will monitor the students' data and monitor their progress, attend regular meetings, carry out SIP Planning with the focus on Social Science.
Corcho, Ivonne	Teacher, K-12	Teacher will monitor the students' data and monitor their progress, attend regular meetings, carry out SIP Planning with the focus on Science.
Perez, Claudia	School Counselor	The counselor will carry out SIP planning with a focus on the social and behavioral needs aspect of the school operation, periodically monitor the students' ongoing progress.

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Friday 8/14/2015, Barbara Sanchez

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

12

Demographic Data

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 9-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	0%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	English Language Learners Hispanic Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: A (68%) 2017-18: I (%) 2016-17: A (71%) 2015-16: A (74%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Inf	formation*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code	e. For more information, click here.

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator			Grade Level											
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	63	67	48	35	213
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	4
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	0	0	5	32
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	7

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator			Grade Level												
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	7	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level												
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 9/14/2020

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	77	58	42	37	214	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	7	7	6	30	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	26	22	6	99	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	9	11	4	39

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

lu di anto u						G	rad	e L	eve	I				Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	77	58	42	37	214
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	7	7	6	30
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	26	22	6	99

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						G	rad	e L	eve	el				Total
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	9	11	4	39

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	eve					Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sahaal Crada Company		2019			2018	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	61%	59%	56%	38%	56%	53%
ELA Learning Gains	62%	54%	51%	56%	51%	49%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	62%	48%	42%	78%	45%	41%
Math Achievement	90%	54%	51%	86%	47%	49%
Math Learning Gains	63%	52%	48%	82%	47%	44%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	74%	51%	45%	76%	45%	39%
Science Achievement	79%	68%	68%	72%	63%	65%
Social Studies Achievement	91%	76%	73%	76%	71%	70%

E	WS Indicators	as Input Ear	lier in the Su	ırvey	
Indicator	Gr	ade Level (pri	or year report	ed)	Total
indicator	9	10	11	12	TOTAL
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
09	2019	52%	55%	-3%	55%	-3%
	2018	63%	54%	9%	53%	10%
Same Grade C	omparison	-11%				
Cohort Com	parison					
10	2019	53%	53%	0%	53%	0%
	2018	74%	54%	20%	53%	21%
Same Grade C	omparison	-21%				
Cohort Com	parison	-10%				

				MATH		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison

			,	SCIENCE		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	75%	68%	7%	67%	8%
2018	86%	65%	21%	65%	21%
Co	ompare	-11%		·	
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					

		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	84%	71%	13%	70%	14%
2018	75%	67%	8%	68%	7%
Co	ompare	9%			
		ALGEE	RA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	75%	63%	12%	61%	14%
2018	82%	59%	23%	62%	20%
Co	ompare	-7%			
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019	89%	54%	35%	57%	32%
2018	98%	54%	44%	56%	42%
Co	ompare	-9%			

Subgroup Data

		2019	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMP	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	JPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
ELL	47	59	65	88	60	69	76	88		59	40
HSP	60	62	62	90	62	72	79	91		52	46
FRL	60	63	65	90	61	72	79	93		57	50
		2018	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	JPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
		2017		DL GRAD	E COMF		S BY SU	JBGRO	JPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	2017 ELA LG		OL GRAD Math Ach.	E COMF Math LG		S BY SU Sci Ach.	JBGRO SS Ach.	JPS MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
Subgroups ELL		ELA	SCHOO ELA LG	Math	Math	ONENT Math LG	Sci	SS	MS	Grad Rate	Accel
	Ach.	ELA LG	SCHOO ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	ONENT Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS	Grad Rate	Accel

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CS&I
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	67

ESSA Federal Index				
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO			
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target				
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency				
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index				
Total Components for the Federal Index				
Percent Tested	100%			
Subgroup Data				
Students With Disabilities				
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities				
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0			
English Language Learners				
Federal Index - English Language Learners	64			
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO			
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0			
Native American Students				
- Tuni o 7 unio notani o tado nic				
Federal Index - Native American Students				
	N/A			
Federal Index - Native American Students	N/A 0			
Federal Index - Native American Students Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				
Federal Index - Native American Students Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%				
Federal Index - Native American Students Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students				
Federal Index - Native American Students Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students	0			
Federal Index - Native American Students Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	0 N/A			
Federal Index - Native American Students Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0 N/A			
Federal Index - Native American Students Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students	0 N/A			
Federal Index - Native American Students Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students	0 N/A 0			
Federal Index - Native American Students Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	0 N/A 0 N/A			
Federal Index - Native American Students Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0 N/A 0 N/A			
Federal Index - Native American Students Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students Federal Index - Asian Students Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% Black/African American Students Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students	0 N/A 0 N/A 0			

Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0 N/A
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% Economically Disadvantaged Students	0

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

The data that was the lowest was that of the ELA assessments. This is due to the high population of ESOL students within the school.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

The data is pretty constant, math is always higher than ELA. The overall data in all areas increased from 2018 to 2018. There is no data for 2020.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

The district was over in ELA by 3%.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The area that improved by 4% was that of the History EOC.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

The major area of concern will always be ELA because of the heavy ESOL population.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Move ESOL level students from score of 1 to 2 or more on ELA assessments.
- 2. Keep increasing scores in Math assessments.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus **Description and** Rationale:

There will be a high focus for students while in their ELA courses. This way the students can increase their ELA assessment scores from 2019 to 2021.

Measurable Outcome:

The students will increase ELA assessment scores from 52% to 62% for the

2020 - 2021 school year.

Person responsible for monitoring outcome:

Marilyn Diaz (945279@dadeschools.net)

Evidence-based

We will use resources such as FAIR testing to monitor the student improvement throughout the school year.

Strategy:

Rationale for Evidence-based

We need to focus on ELA due to the high ESOL student population that is at the

school.

Strategy:

Action Steps to Implement

Students will take multiple assessments to measure their progress. This includes section exams, FAIR and any other assessments needed to measure progress.

Person Responsible Marilyn Diaz (945279@dadeshools.net)

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Area of Focus

Description and Rationale:

Keep increasing math scores as always.

Measurable Outcome: Students will maintain or increase the 63% Algebra EOC scores for the 2020 - 2021 school year. Students will increase the Geometry EOC score of 54% to 60% for the

2020 - 2021 school year.

Person

responsible for monitoring

Marilyn Diaz (945279@dadeshools.net)

Evidence-based

Strategy:

outcome:

Students will be assessed in different forms, for example Performance Matters and

other assessment forms to monitor the increasing data.

Rationale for

Evidence-based

These will be used, as it is what is always used for data monitoring.

Strategy:

Action Steps to Implement

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

School administration will monitor student progress with the use of different assessments.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

The mission of Charter High School of the Americas is to provide a challenging curriculum in which academic excellence, character development and individual growth are nurtured in a safe and positive environment that includes the active participation of students, teachers, parents and community stakeholders. With that said, having communication is key with all stakeholders, this ensures that students are always up to date on assignments and grades. Teachers will keep constant contact with all families to

ensure the success of their students within a challenging environment, so they always work to their full potential. Teacher - Parent conferences will also be held as needed.

Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA	\$0.00
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Math	\$0.00
		Total:	\$0.00