

2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

## **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	13
Positive Culture & Environment	14
Budget to Support Goals	15

# Charter High School Of The Americas (Florida City Campus)

103 EAST LUCY ST, Florida City, FL 33034

www.lincolnmartischarterschools.com

Demographics

## **Principal: Barbara Sanchez**

Start Date for this Principal: 8/12/2019

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 9-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Hispanic Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: No Grade 2017-18: No Grade 2016-17: No Grade 2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Inf	ormation*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
s defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F	For more information, click here.

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	13
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	15

#### Dade - 7144 - Charter High Americas (Florida City Campus) - 2020-21 SIP **Charter High School Of The Americas (Florida City Campus)** 103 EAST LUCY ST, Florida City, FL 33034 www.lincolnmartischarterschools.com **School Demographics** 2019-20 Economically School Type and Grades Served 2019-20 Title I School **Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate** (per MSID File) (as reported on Survey 3) High School No 96% 9-12 2018-19 Minority Rate **Primary Service Type Charter School** (Reported as Non-white (per MSID File) on Survey 2) K-12 General Education Yes 96%

#### **School Grades History**

Year

Grade

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Part I: School Information**

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

The mission of Charter High School of the Americas (FI City) is to provide a challenging curriculum in which academic excellence, character development and individual growth are nurtured in a safe and positive environment that includes the active participation of students, teachers, parents and community stakeholders.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

At Charter High School of the Americas we believe that the quality of any nation, state, city, community and family must be judged by the preparation and advancement of the individuals who comprise them

#### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Sanchez, Barbara	Principal	Principal will schedule and facilitate regular MTSS/RtI meetings, ensure consistency of follow-up action steps and allocate resources.
Groso, Alexandra	Teacher, K-12	ESOL Coordinator; will monitor that all students are receiving ESOL interventions; attend regular meetings, carry out SIP planning with the focus on the ELL subgroup.Participate in the MTSS/Rtl problem solving meetings.
Llorente, Marielys	Assistant Principal	Will ensure attendance of all members and ensure consistency of follow-up action steps; Rtl implementation monitoring for compliance.Participate in the MTSS/Rtl problem solving process.
Ruiz, Yindira	School Counselor	Will carry out SIP planning with a focus on the social and behavioral needs aspect of the school operation, Rtl implementation and monitoring, periodically monitor the students' ongoing progress. Participate in the MTSS/Rtl problem solving meetings.
Gonzalez, Maribel	Teacher, K-12	Social Science Teacher; will monitor the students' data and monitor their progress, attend regular meetings, carry out SIP Planning with the focus on Social Science.
Cruz, Liana	Teacher, K-12	Mathematics lead teacher will monitor the students' data and monitor their progress, attend regular meetings, carry out SIP Planning with the focus on Mathematics.
Mason, Jacqueline		Teacher; will monitor the students' data and monitor their progress, attend regular meetings, carry out SIP planning with the focus on Science.
Hernandez, Victoria	Other	School Interventionist, will provide the interventions for the students in the MTSS/RtI Tiers 2 and 3. She will work cohesively with the administration and school psychologist in order tomonitor and analyze data to adjust interventions as necessary.
Laing, Monepha	Teacher, K-12	Reading Lead Teacher; responsible for the implementation of the school's comprehensive core and supplemental reading programs. Disaggregating and analyzing students' data to monitor strengths and weaknesses. Participate in the MTSS/Rtl problem solving meetings.

Demographic Information

## Principal start date

Monday 8/12/2019, Barbara Sanchez

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.* 

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

4

#### **Demographic Data**

<b>2020-21 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served	High School
(per MSID File)	9-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
<b>2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Hispanic Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
	2018-19: No Grade
	2017-18: No Grade
School Grades History	2016-17: No Grade
	2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) In	formation*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Coc	

### Early Warning Systems

#### **Current Year**

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	6	0	0	25
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiantan						Gr	ade	e Le	eve	l				Tatal
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 9/14/2020

#### **Prior Year - As Reported**

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	7	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	
		-		-	_										

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel	I				Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	vel					Total
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### **Prior Year - Updated**

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	7	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level										Total		
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiantan	Grade Level										Tetal			
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

#### School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019			2018	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	0%	59%	56%	0%	56%	53%
ELA Learning Gains	0%	54%	51%	0%	51%	49%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	48%	42%	0%	45%	41%

School Grade Component		2019	2018			
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State
Math Achievement	0%	54%	51%	0%	47%	49%
Math Learning Gains	0%	52%	48%	0%	47%	44%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	51%	45%	0%	45%	39%
Science Achievement	0%	68%	68%	0%	63%	65%
Social Studies Achievement	0%	76%	73%	0%	71%	70%

	EWS Indicators	as Input Ear	lier in the Su	irvey				
Indiaator	Gr	Grade Level (prior year reported)						
Indicator	9	10	11	12	Total			
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)			

#### Grade Level Data

# NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
09	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Corr	parison					
10	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Corr	nparison	0%				

MATH										
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison				

	SCIENCE										
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison					

	BIOLOGY EOC									
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State					
2019										
2018										

		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		HISTO	RY EOC	· · · · · ·	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		ALGEE	BRA EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		GEOME	TRY EOC	· · · ·	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					

## Subgroup Data

		2019	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
	2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
		2017	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS	·	
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16

## ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	N/A
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	

#### **ESSA Federal Index**

Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency

Total Points Earned for the Federal Index

Total Components for the Federal Index

Percent Tested

Subgroup Data

#### Analysis

#### **Data Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

The school wasn't in operation during the 2018-2019 school year. The lowest performance on the 2018-2019 statewide assessments was English Language Arts. Six out of the twenty five students enrolled in ninth grade obtained a level 1 or 2 on the ELA 2019 administration when they were in 7th/ 8th grade.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

The school wasn't in operation during the 2018-2019 school year.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

The school wasn't in operation during the 2018-2019 school year.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Level 1/2 on the English statewide assessment

#### Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

1. Level 1/2 on the ELA FSA 2.Level 1/2 on the ELA Mathematics

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

1. Ensure students in Grade 9 & 10 pass their FSA ELA.

2. Ensure students in Grade 9 & Grade 10 pass their FSA EOC Algebra 1 in order to meet their Mathematics Graduation requirement. (Grade 10- Since there was no FSA administration for 2019-2020

3. Ensure students maintain a GPA of 2.0 or higher

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

#### Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA				
Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	Since the school wasn't in operation during the 2018-2019 school year and there was no SA administration for the 2019-2020 school year (first year of inception) is to have a high mphasis in ELA. Six out of the twenty five students enrolled in ninth - tenth grade obtained level 1 or 2 on the ELA 2018-2019 administration when they were in 7th - 8th grade.			
Measurable Outcome:	The school plans to prepare all 9th - 10th grade students for the 2020-2021 FSA ELA administration.			
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Barbara Sanchez (bsanchez@dadeschools.net)			
Evidence- based Strategy:	Students will be provided intensive reading tutoring and interventions in order to address areas of academic deficiencies. Additionally, the students will use the Reading Plus and Edgenuity programs in order to build academic knowledge.			
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy:	The use of the Reading Plus and Edgenuity programs in conjunction with intensive tutorings have proven to be successful as evidenced by student assessment results throughout the years in the sister schools: Lincoln Marti Charter Schools International Campus and Charter High School of the Americas.			

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

1. The school will purchase the following educational programs for students: Reading Plus and Edgenuity

2. Teachers will receive training on the usage of the Reading Plus and

Edgenuity programs

3. Intervention groups will be created by benchmarks according to the

different areas of student needs in ELA.

4. Students will be assessed periodically in order to keep track of their

progress.- These assessments include the FAIR and supplemental program assessments purchased through Triumph Learning.

5. Teachers will utilize Reading Plus, Edgenuity, and class assessment results in order to adjust and determine the effectiveness of instruction.

Person Responsible Barbara Sanchez (bsanchez@dadeschools.net)

#### Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

Monitoring school-wide data and conducting student data chats to determine action plans based on their academic progress and data results.

## Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

The mission of Charter High School of the Americas (FI City) is to provide a challenging curriculum in which academic excellence, character development and individual growth are nurtured in a safe and positive environment that includes the active participation of students, teachers, parents and community stakeholders. The school strongly believes on the importance of maintaining academic success is by consistently having ongoing communication with all stakeholders when it comes to school-wide data and school improvement strategies. Our utmost goal is to ensure all students are provided with a challenging education which will prepare them to be successful in the real world.

#### Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

## Part V: Budget

#### The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA	\$0.00
		Total:	\$0.00