Miami-Dade County Public Schools

South Florida Autism Charter School Inc



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	17
Positive Culture & Environment	19
Budget to Support Goals	20

South Florida Autism Charter School Inc

18305 NW 75TH PL, Hialeah, FL 33015

www.sfacs.org

Demographics

Principal: Tamara Moodie Ramdeen

Start Date for this Principal: 5/1/2009

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School KG-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Special Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	68%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* White Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2018-19: No Grade 2017-18: No Grade 2016-17: C (47%) 2015-16: C (46%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Inf	ormation*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F	for more information, click here.

School Board Approval

N/A

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
·	
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	12
Planning for Improvement	17
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	20

South Florida Autism Charter School Inc

18305 NW 75TH PL, Hialeah, FL 33015

www.sfacs.org

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	71119-711 LITIO I SCHOOL							
Combination School KG-12	No	%						
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)						
Special Education	Yes	%						
School Grades History								
Year	2016-17	2015-16						

C

С

School Board Approval

Grade

N/A

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

South Florida Autism Charter School provides education and therapeutic services to individuals diagnosed with autism spectrum disorders (ASD) residing in Miami-Dade/Broward Counties, targeting students with communication deficits and/or behavioral challenges, and who may require training in self-help skills. The methodologies of B.F. Skinner's Theory of Applied Behavioral Analysis (ABA) and Verbal Behavior (VB) are applied in conjunction with State Standards for students on a modified curriculum in order to provide the most effective individualized educational programs possible.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Our vision is to create an effective model for teaching individuals with ASD. Future plans include expanding our program in order to serve the entire spectrum of ASD, from newly diagnosed children to adults. This will be accomplished on a state of the art campus with a K-12 Charter School, and early intervention clinic, out of school services, and adult services. Our objectives are to provide free, appropriate, and science based educational opportunities to students on the more involved portion of the autism spectrum; to find each students strengths and to provide them with the tools and hands on learning opportunities that will enable them to function independently in society and have a purpose in life; and to remove the sigma associated with ASD and prove that even the most profoundly affected individuals can contribute to society in a meaningful way.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Alvite, Alex	Teacher, ESE	The school leadership team is comprised of 3 teachers and an administrator, who teach grades K through 12, and who serve as mentors and instructional and behavioral leaders to the rest of the staff. They meet several times a month to discuss the students, their achievement, and their behaviors. At least once a week the team will meet with their respective staff to discuss any changes or updates that arise. They also meet to discuss the needs of the teachers and their students. The aforementioned team are lead mentors of the instructional personnel. The teachers are able to come to the team with any instructional or behavioral issues.
Ammon, Amanda	Teacher, ESE	The school leadership team is comprised of 3 teachers and an administrator, who teach grades K through 12, and who serve as mentors and instructional and behavioral leaders to the rest of the staff. They meet several times a month to discuss the students, their achievement, and their behaviors. At least once a week the team will meet with their respective staff to discuss any changes or updates that arise. They also meet to discuss the needs of the teachers and their students. The aforementioned team are lead mentors of the instructional personnel. The teachers are able to come to the team with any instructional or behavioral issues. As an ESE instructor, provides direct instructional to student to improve and support students' academic success. In addition she is an integral part of the MTSS team that uses databased problem-solving to integrate academic and behavioral instruction and intervention.
Moodie, Tamara	Principal	The Principal and Governing Board are responsible for coordinating and supplementing federal, state and local funds, services, and programs. Board meetings are held on a quarterly basis. Additional meetings are scheduled as needed. The Principal creates an operating budget, which the Governing Board reviews, makes recommendations if necessary, and votes to approve. The Governing Board must approve the budget and any revisions. The Governing Board meets to discuss the ways to align all the available

Demographic Information

resources and meet the needs of all the students.

Principal start date

Friday 5/1/2009, Tamara Moodie Ramdeen

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

17

Demographic Data

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School KG-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Special Education
2019-20 Title I School	Yes
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	68%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* White Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2018-19: No Grade 2017-18: No Grade 2016-17: C (47%) 2015-16: C (46%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) In	formation*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A

Year									
Support Tier									
ESSA Status	CS&I								
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.									

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator		Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	3	8	6	5	23	12	12	14	20	17	17	24	74	235	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	6	5	5	14	4	6	10	16	66	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	8	7	5	15	5	6	9	0	55	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level													
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	lotai	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator		Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Date this data was collected or last updated

Thursday 9/17/2020

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														
mulcator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	5	4	6	21	12	8	12	17	14	17	23	22	59	220	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5	15	21	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	37	52	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	Le	Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total									
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	36	41									

The number of students identified as retainees:

la diseta a	Grade Level											Total		
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	5	4	6	21	12	8	12	17	14	17	23	22	59	220
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	5	15	21
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	37	52

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level											Total		
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	36	41

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level											Total		
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019			2018	
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	0%	63%	61%	34%	59%	57%
ELA Learning Gains	0%	61%	59%	43%	59%	57%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	57%	54%	41%	55%	51%
Math Achievement	0%	67%	62%	31%	62%	58%
Math Learning Gains	0%	63%	59%	46%	60%	56%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	56%	52%	43%	52%	50%
Science Achievement	0%	56%	56%	40%	53%	53%
Social Studies Achievement	0%	80%	78%	62%	75%	75%

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey														
Indicator				Gr	ade L	evel (prior y	year r	eport	ed)				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAI
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison					
04	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				
05	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				
06	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				
07	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%			<u> </u>	
08	2019					
	2018					

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
09	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
10	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				

			MATH	<u> </u>		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Co	mparison					
04	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
05	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%				
06	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%			•	
07	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%			· '	
08	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Co	mparison	0%			'	

			SCIENC	Œ		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Com	nparison					
08	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Com	nparison	0%				

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		ALGEE	RA EOC	<u>'</u>	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					

Subgroup Data

		2019	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	20	50	48	15	47	67	19	19			
ELL	11	35		6	27						
BLK	10	44		5	25						
HSP	21	51	53	16	52		20	20			
WHT	30			29							
FRL	14	44	50	11	45		10	14			
		2018	SCHO	DL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17

	2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	10	25	30	6	30	29		43			
ELL	17	37	39	14	39	39	20	49			
BLK	20	36	45	28	50	55	24	52			
HSP	36	44	40	32	46	40	44	64	78		
FRL	33	42	40	30	46	43	39	63	81		

FSSA Data

ESSA Data				
This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.				
ESSA Federal Index				
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CS&I			
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	31			
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES			
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	6			
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency				
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	283			
Total Components for the Federal Index	9			
Percent Tested	91%			
Subgroup Data				
Students With Disabilities				
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	32			
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES			
	_			

Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	32
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0

English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	20
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	2

Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

A ciem Cánade más				
Asian Students				
Federal Index - Asian Students	N/A			
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0			
Black/African American Students				
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	21			
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES			
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	2			
Hispanic Students				
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	29			
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES			
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	2			
Multiracial Students				
Federal Index - Multiracial Students				
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0			
Pacific Islander Students				
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students				
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0			
White Students				
Federal Index - White Students	30			
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES			
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	2			
Economically Disadvantaged Students				
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	27			
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES			
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	1			

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

This is an alternative school specialized for those on the more involved end of the Autismspectrum. The students take Access courses because of the severity of their disability. The school's data metrics are below state average.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

This is an alternative school specialized for those on the more involved end of the Autism spectrum. The students take Access courses because of the severity of their disability. The schools data metrics are below state average.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

This is an alternative school specialized for those on the more involved end of the Autism spectrum. The students take Access courses because of the severity of their disability. The schools data metrics are below state average.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

This is an alternative school specialized for those on the more involved end of the Autism spectrum. The students take Access courses because of the severity of their disability. The schools data metrics are below state average.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

Attendance - 41 students were absent for 18 or more days during the 2018-2019 school year.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- Improve School Attendance
- 2. Progress Monitoring
- 3. Learning gains in all academic areas

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Other specifically relating to Attendance

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

School attendance plays a critical role in the learning and development of our students. Lack of attendance has shown to cause academic and behavioral regression.

Measurable Outcome:

Decrease the number of students with 18 or more absences

Person responsible

for monitoring outcome:

Tamara Moodie (drmoodie@dadeschools.net)

Evidence-based

Strategy:

Parental involvement in attendance has proven to improve academic outcome

and prevent regression in ESE students.

Rationale for

Evidence-based

School attendance records audited by review committee.

Strategy:

Action Steps to Implement

convene attendance review committee as needed

Person Responsible Tamara Moodie (drmoodie@dadeschools.net)

#2. Other specifically relating to Progress Monitoring

Area of Focus
Description and
Rationale:

Given the nature of our population, ongoing data demonstrates that effective teaching strategies increase learning gains for students with disabilities.

Measurable Outcome:

Explicit instruction paired with learning and behavioral interventions along with continuous progress monitoring will increase proficiency in all academic areas.

Person responsible

for monitoring outcome:

Tamara Moodie (drmoodie@dadeschools.net)

Evidence-based

Rationale for Evidence-based

Strategy:

Strategy:

Action Steps to Implement

Monthly Progress Monitoring and Data Collection

Person Responsible Tamara Moodie (drmoodie@dadeschools.net)

Professional development

Person Responsible Tamara Moodie (drmoodie@dadeschools.net)

#3. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Student Engagement

Area of Focus Rationale:

ESE students at South Florida Autism Charter School have varying needs in regards to Description and attending skills, social interactions, communication and behavior which directly affect their academic progress.

Measurable Outcome:

To increase student achievement by improving core instruction in all content areas.

Person

responsible for monitoring outcome:

Tamara Moodie (drmoodie@dadeschools.net)

Evidence-based Strategy:

When students are presented with tasks or activities that require a significant amount of assistance and redirection, these assignments will be broken down into smaller

sequential steps. Accommodations will be provided as needed.

Rationale for Strategy:

Due to our student's lack of adequate attending skills and ability to complete complex Evidence-based tasks. Strategies of Applied Behavior Analysis are implemented throughout daily

instruction to cater to our student's needs.

Action Steps to Implement

Administration will host trainings in order to help teachers break down assignments as well as learn strategies to improving attending skills.

Person Responsible

Tamara Moodie (drmoodie@dadeschools.net)

Administration will conduct classroom observations to ensure the strategies are being implemented and the students attendance skills have increased.

Person Responsible

Tamara Moodie (drmoodie@dadeschools.net)

Administration and lead mentors will monitor and support the staff to ensure effective implementation

Person Responsible

Tamara Moodie (drmoodie@dadeschools.net)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

School leadership team will address areas of focus through weekly team meetings and planning, professional development opportunities and strategic supervision of data/progress.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

SFACS works to build positive relationships with families in order to increase their involvement through trainings, workshops, school activities, parent conferences, and ABA progress reports. The school offers free Autism Community Workshops one Saturday per month. These sessions are open to the community. SFACS parents also have the opportunity to schedule private training sessions with the Principal to discuss issues that their child is facing at home. The entire family is welcome to attend, as well as private therapists or caregivers. The school also hosts a variety of activities throughout the school year, which include the entire family. These are opportunities for parents and families to show support for their child and also see the progress their child has made. Parent-Teacher Conferences are offered two times a year, where parents can meet with their teacher to

talk about the student and how they are performing in the classroom. Parents may also request additional conferences throughout the year. SFACS builds and sustains partnerships with local community and universities throughout South Florida. The school works closely with NOVA Southeastern University and collaborates with the School of Dentistry. NSU Dentistry students visit SFACS and teach our students about dental hygiene. The school also works closely with UM-CARD for professional development, workshops, and Augmentative and Alternative Communication Devices. SFACS and CARD collaborate to help the community to raise awareness about different topics dealing with ASD.

Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Other: Attendance	\$0.00
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Other: Progress Monitoring	\$0.00
3	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Student Engagement	\$0.00
		Total:	\$0.00