Pace Center For Girls Clay

2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

Pace Center For Girls Clay

1241 BLANDING BLVD, Orange Park, FL 32065

www.pacecenter.org

Demographics

Principal: Cristina Helbing

Start Date for this Principal: 3/16/2015

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 6-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2019-20 Title I School	No
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	75%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	White Students*
School Grades History	2018-19: No Grade 2017-18: No Grade 2016-17: No Grade 2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	[Cassandra Brusca](#)
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, [click here](#).	

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Clay County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

1. have a school grade of D or F
2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Pace Center For Girls Clay

1241 BLANDING BLVD, Orange Park, FL 32065

www.pacecenter.org

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	**2019-20 Title I School**	**2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate** (as reported on Survey 3)
High School 6-12	No	%

Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	**Charter School**	**2018-19 Minority Rate** (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
Alternative Education	No	%

School Grades History

Year

Grade

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Clay County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Pace provides girls and young women an opportunity for a better future through education, counseling, training and advocacy.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Values and Guiding Principles
1. Honor the Female Spirit
2. Invest in the Future
3. Value the Wisdom of Time
4. Act With Integrity and Positive Intent
5. Embrace Growth and Change
6. Focus on Strengths
7. Exhibit Courage
8. Seek Excellence
9. Create Partnerships

PACE values all girls and young women, believing each one deserves an opportunity to find her voice, achieve her potential and celebrate a life defined by responsibility, dignity, serenity and grace.

It is the policy of PACE to serve girls ages 11-17 years old who are at risk of school failure and/or dropout and/or involvement in the Juvenile Justice system. To determine if PACE is the most appropriate placement, based on the girl's assessed needs; a designated staff member will administer a needs assessment which includes a suicide risk screening component to the girl during the Intake interview. PACE utilizes the Prevention Assessment Tool (PAT) as the needs assessment at intake. The PAT is an instrument designated and approved by the Department of Juvenile Justice.
PACE will make every attempt to provide services to at-risk girls. However, PACE may not be able to effectively meet the needs of all girls referred. In the event that PACE is not the most appropriate placement, a referral to a more suitable placement for the girl will be offered by designated PACE staff. Girls are accepted into the program regardless of race, color, religion, creed or sexual orientation. The decision to attend PACE is a voluntarily decision made by each individual girl and her parent/guardian. In some instances, PACE accepts court ordered placements in accordance with local contracts and girls' needs.
There is no charge for girls to attend PACE. When applicable, PACE may assist with necessary student expenses including bus fare, school supplies, and lunch if needed.

School Leadership Team

Membership
Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.**:**

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Shadrick, Destani	Other	Executive Director Destani.shadrick@pacecenter.org Works with CCDS for the development of our district contract. She is also responsible for the areas of financial resources development for the entire program.
Reynolds, Carla	Principal	Carla.reynolds@pacecenter.org Serves as PACE Clay's primary instructional leader at PACE Center for Girls. She supports class instruction and teachers, developing schedules for students, and cohorts for effective placement of the girls in the classroom setting. She coordinates testing and proctors test. She serves as a liaison for ESE, ESOL, and all educational services provided by CCSD. She collaborates with the Program Director and Social Service Team on projects pertaining to the girls that need additional support. We share in decision making for behavioral concerns and classroom management.
Woodberry, Sylvia	Other	Social Services Manager Manages the social service staff and provide administrative control of day-to-day crisis and case management. Updates and submits Juvenile Justice Information Systems data and reporting.
Wagner, Tiffany	Other	Business Manager Tiffany Wagner is the Business Manager for PACE Center for Girls Clay. She is responsible for financial management, contract management, facility and vehicle management, staff training, and overseeing most administrative tasks.

Demographic Information

Principal start date
Monday 3/16/2015, Cristina Helbing

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school
6

Demographic Data

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 6-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2019-20 Title I School	No
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	75%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	White Students*
School Grades History	2018-19: No Grade 2017-18: No Grade 2016-17: No Grade 2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	Cassandra Brusca
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.	

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	10	10	9	8	4	51
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Date this data was collected or last updated

Thursday 8/27/2020

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	8	15	6	7	1	42
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	6	4	3	1	19
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	1	1	0	8

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	8	15	6	7	1	42
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	6	4	3	1	19
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	1	1	0	8

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data
Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component	2019			2018		
	School	District	State	School	District	State
ELA Achievement	0%	60%	56%	0%	54%	53%
ELA Learning Gains	0%	52%	51%	0%	50%	49%
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	39%	42%	0%	40%	41%
Math Achievement	0%	55%	51%	0%	60%	49%
Math Learning Gains	0%	46%	48%	0%	51%	44%
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	38%	45%	0%	37%	39%
Science Achievement	0%	73%	68%	0%	63%	65%
Social Studies Achievement	0%	81%	73%	0%	78%	70%

Indicator	Grade Level (prior year reported)							Total
	6	**7**	**8**	**9**	**10**	**11**	**12**	
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

Grade Level Data
NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

ELA

Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
06	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Comparison						
07	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Comparison		0%				
08	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Comparison		0%				
09	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Comparison		0%				
10	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Comparison		0%				

MATH

Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
06	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Comparison						
07	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Comparison		0%				
08	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Comparison		0%				

SCIENCE

Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
08	2019					

SCIENCE						
Grade	Year	School	District	School-District Comparison	State	School-State Comparison
	2018					
Cohort Comparison						

BIOLOGY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
CIVICS EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
HISTORY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
ALGEBRA EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
GEOMETRY EOC					
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					

Subgroup Data

2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17

	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups											

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CS&I
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	26
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	157
Total Components for the Federal Index	6
Percent Tested	73%

Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0

Analysis

Data Reflection
Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Looking at our historical data, improvements need to be made in Literacy, Math and Social-Emotional Learning.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

N/A

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

N/A

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

N/A

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

N/A

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

1. Literacy
2. Math
3. Social-Emotional Learning
4.
5.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to ELA

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	Area of Focus is Literacy, specifically reading comprehension. Reading comprehension is necessary for improvement across all subjects. Our school data is not showing. We are using the STAR assessment for data.
Measurable Outcome:	Girls will improve reading comprehension skills by at least 20% by May 28, 2021 as measured by the STAR assessment.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Carla Reynolds (carla.reynolds@pacecenter.org)
Evidence-based Strategy:	Girls will use Renaissance Learning.
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:	Renaissance Learning was selected because we administer the STAR test for entry, progress monitoring, and exit assessments. This platform provides reliable data and the area of focus progress by skill.

Action Steps to Implement

Girls will increase vocabulary in order to improve reading comprehension.

Person Responsible	Carla Reynolds (carla.reynolds@pacecenter.org)

Girls will improve decoding skills in order to improve reading comprehension.

Person Responsible	Carla Reynolds (carla.reynolds@pacecenter.org)

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Math

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	Area of Focus is Math. Students need fundamental skills in order to be successful with multi-step problems.
Measurable Outcome:	Girls will improve their capability of solving multi-step expressions and equations by at least 15% by May 28, 2021 as measured by the STAR assessment.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Carla Reynolds (carla.reynolds@pacecenter.org)
Evidence-based Strategy:	Renaissance Learning
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:	Renaissance Learning is being used because we use the STAR assessment for entry, progress, and exit assessments. This provides reliable data to show girl improvement and areas of intervention needed.

Action Steps to Implement

Girls will increase their understanding of number facts to be able to solve multi-step expressions and equations.

Person Responsible	Carla Reynolds (carla.reynolds@pacecenter.org)

Girls will understand and be able to use order of operations to solve multi-step expressions and equations.

Person Responsible	Carla Reynolds (carla.reynolds@pacecenter.org)

#3. Other specifically relating to Social Emotional Learning

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	Social-Emotional Learning, specifically student accountability. Truancy is always an issue. If students aren't present, they cannot progress and succeed.
Measurable Outcome:	Girls will increase their level of accountability by at least 10% by May 28, 2021 as measured by attendance rates.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Carla Reynolds (carla.reynolds@pacecenter.org)
Evidence-based Strategy:	Daily attendance will be tracked using Pace Impacts and Focus.
Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy:	Teachers and the center maintain daily attendance through Impacts and Focus.

Action Steps to Implement

Girls will attend class regularly in order to increase their level of accountability.

Person Responsible	Carla Reynolds (carla.reynolds@pacecenter.org)

Girls will manage their materials in order to increase their level of accountability.

Person Responsible	Carla Reynolds (carla.reynolds@pacecenter.org)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

Not Applicable

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

Pace Center for Girls is an inclusive environment. We build a positive school culture and environment by actively working on our culture. Every year, we have a culture goal that we work toward to promote a culture where people feel valued, supported, safe, capable, and connected. Our action steps include implementing a quarterly Center-Wide forum where the Culture Plan is reviewed and action steps are created for any areas of deficiency. We also implement a 15 minute monthly team building activity during our all staff

meetings. We work toward meeting all of our girls needs, not just academics. We work with many stakeholders beyond staff, students and board members. As a non-profit, we rely on volunteers and building community partnerships. We are a trauma-informed, gender responsive and strength-based program. We focus on our nine pillars daily to continue to strengthen our culture.

Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: ELA	$0.00
2	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Math	$0.00
3	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Other: Social Emotional Learning	$0.00
		Total:	**$0.00**