

2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	14
Planning for Improvement	19
Positive Culture & Environment	24
Budget to Support Goals	24

Broward - 1241 - Northeast High School - 2020-21 SIP

# **Northeast High School**

700 NE 56TH ST, Oakland Park, FL 33334

[ no web address on file ]

Demographics

# Principal: Anthony Valachovic

Start Date for this Principal: 9/1/2020

<b>2019-20 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 9-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	No
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
<b>2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students* White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: C (42%) 2017-18: C (43%) 2016-17: C (41%) 2015-16: D (40%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) In	formation*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	TS&I

\* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Broward County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	14
Planning for Improvement	19
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	24

Broward - 1241 - Northeast High School - 2020-21 SIP

Northeast High School														
	700 NE 56TH ST, Oakland Park, FL 33334													
[ no web address on file ]														
School Demographics														
School Type and Grades S (per MSID File)	Served	2019-20 Title I \$	School	2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)										
High School 9-12		No		68%										
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	e	Charter Sch	ool	<b>2018-19 Minority Rate</b> (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)										
K-12 General Education	n	No			82%									
School Grades History														
Year Grade	2019-20 I	<b>2018-19</b> C		<b>2017-18</b> C	<b>2016-17</b> C									
School Board Approval														

This plan is pending approval by the Broward County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Part I: School Information**

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

We will foster a safe environment for individual students to acquire life skills, both intellectual and personal, which will enable them to become self-directed learners both now and in the future. The expectation is for students to gain academic knowledge while cultivating the abilities necessary to evolve into a responsible citizen.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

We will have a peaceful, productive, and orderly learning environment that meets the academic, social, and developmental needs of all of our students. Our goal is to provide the highest quality programs that prepare students for a successful high school experience without the need for remediation.

#### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Valachovic, Anthony	Principal	Provides the leadership and management necessary to administer and supervise all programs, policies, and activities of the school to ensure high- quality educational experiences and services for the students in a safe and enriching environment.
Boltz, Lora	Assistant Principal	<ol> <li>Assists the principal in providing vision and leadership to develop, administer and monitor educational programs that optimize the human and material resources.</li> <li>Oversees the master schedule.</li> <li>Leads the Math and World Language departments.</li> <li>Oversees twelfth-grade discipline.</li> <li>Oversees the Guidance department (including ESOL Coordinator).</li> <li>Oversees the Magnet department.</li> <li>Community school liaison.</li> <li>Oversees acceleration through advanced level courses.</li> </ol>
Fisher, Keith	Assistant Principal	<ol> <li>Assists the principal in providing vision and leadership to develop, administer and monitor educational programs that optimize the human and material resources.</li> <li>Leads the social studies, fine arts, and physical education departments.</li> <li>Oversees all physical plant operations, including facility maintenance.</li> <li>Oversees transportation at the school level.</li> <li>Oversees tenth-grade discipline.</li> <li>Oversees acceleration through industry certification.</li> <li>Leads the safety team and oversees the creation of the school safety plan.</li> </ol>
Pacella, Casey	Assistant Principal	<ol> <li>Assists the principal in providing vision and leadership to develop, administer and monitor educational programs that optimize the human and material resources.</li> <li>Leads the English and ESE departments.</li> <li>Oversees all clericals and para-professionals.</li> <li>District PASL leadership member.</li> <li>Oversees all school-related activities except for athletics.</li> <li>Oversees ninth-grade discipline.</li> <li>Oversees graduation preparations and ceremonies.</li> </ol>
Thompson, Allan	Assistant Principal	<ol> <li>Assists the principal in providing vision and leadership to develop, administer and monitor educational programs that optimize the human and material resources.</li> <li>Leads the Science, Business, and AFJROTC departments.</li> <li>Oversees eleventh-grade discipline.</li> <li>Oversees athletics.</li> <li>Oversees food services.</li> <li>Oversees the security team.</li> <li>Oversees technology including property and inventory.</li> <li>Oversees state-mandated testing.</li> </ol>

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Erie, Brenda	School Counselor	<ol> <li>Manages the guidance department, including BRACE and ESOL coordinators.</li> <li>Member of the curriculum council.</li> <li>Manages and maintains the school FACE plan.</li> <li>Oversees the development of the school counseling plan.</li> <li>Oversees Rtl/MTSS development and implementation.</li> <li>As a SAC member, participates in the development of the district and state SIP.</li> </ol>
Flanagan, Carolyn	Instructional Coach	<ol> <li>Works with students, parents, school staff, guidance counselors, and District Core Curriculum administrators to ensure that site reading literacy initiatives align with District and State initiatives for high-quality instruction and student achievement.</li> <li>Assist with improving and sustaining student achievement through literacy.</li> <li>Enhance and refine literacy instruction and interventions.</li> <li>Provide targeted instructional coaching and build capacity for literacy across the curriculum.</li> <li>Develops the 9-12 Comprehensive reading plan.</li> <li>Member of the curriculum council.</li> <li>Oversees the implementation of the FAIR tool.</li> <li>Co-department chair for the English department.</li> </ol>
Hinkson, Ruth	Teacher, ESE	<ol> <li>Manages the ESE department.</li> <li>Oversees ESE scheduling.</li> <li>Conducts IEP meetings.</li> <li>Serves as a liaison between parents and district support services.</li> <li>Member of the curriculum council.</li> <li>As a SAC member, participates in the development of the district and state SIP.</li> </ol>
Bacigalupi, Ashley	Teacher, K-12	<ol> <li>Teaches Biology, Biotech, and AICE Environmental Management.</li> <li>Science department head.</li> <li>As a SAC member, participates in the development of the district and state SIP.</li> <li>Assists with testing schedules and preparations.</li> <li>Sponsors the FFEA club.</li> </ol>
Beavers, Lecorey	Teacher, K-12	<ol> <li>Teaches Personal Financial Literacy and US Government and Economics.</li> <li>Social Studies department head.</li> <li>As a SAC member, participates in the development of the district and state SIP.</li> <li>Organizes underclassmen awards ceremony.</li> <li>Oversees the "Start With Hello" campaign.</li> <li>Member of the curriculum council.</li> <li>Mentors teachers as a Master Teacher.</li> </ol>

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Manchester, Randolph	Teacher, K-12	<ol> <li>Co-department head for Mathematics.</li> <li>Teaches computer programming and Algebra 2.</li> <li>As a SAC member, participates in the development of the district and state SIP.</li> <li>BTU representative.</li> <li>Member of curriculum council.</li> </ol>
Flinn, Randa	Teacher, K-12	<ol> <li>Coordinates all magnet themes and programs.</li> <li>Manages all magnet related scheduling and discipline.</li> <li>Promotes magnet programs within feeder schools.</li> <li>As a SAC member, participates in the development of the district and state SIP.</li> <li>Member of curriculum council.</li> </ol>
Mora, Fernando	Teacher, K-12	<ol> <li>Teaches Spanish (regular, honors, and AICE level).</li> <li>World Language department head.</li> <li>Member of curriculum council.</li> <li>Oversees the Spanish club.</li> </ol>
Pickett, Leslie	SAC Member	<ol> <li>Teaches English Language Arts.</li> <li>PASL coordinator</li> <li>Assists the ESOL coordinator to conduct WIDA assessments.</li> <li>SAC Chair: prepares and controls SAC meetings and participates in the development of the district and state SIP.</li> <li>Member of curriculum council.</li> </ol>
Rivera, Rafael	Teacher, K-12	<ol> <li>AP Designee for the ninth-grade, English and ESE departments.</li> <li>As a SAC member, participates in the development of the district and state SIP.</li> <li>Member of curriculum council.</li> <li>Oversees local aspiring leaders.</li> <li>Manages exam ordering for advanced level courses.</li> <li>Schedules, prepares, and manages all state-mandated assessments.</li> </ol>
Berkley, Patrick	Teacher, K-12	<ol> <li>Teaches English Language Arts.</li> <li>Co-department chair for the English department.</li> <li>As a SAC member, participates in the development of the district and state SIP.</li> <li>Member of curriculum council.</li> <li>Mentors teachers as a Master Teacher.</li> </ol>

## Demographic Information

## Principal start date

Tuesday 9/1/2020, Anthony Valachovic

**Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective.** *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.* 

0

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

### Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

83

#### **Demographic Data**

<b>2020-21 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 9-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	No
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	100%
<b>2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students Hispanic Students Multiracial Students* White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: C (42%) 2017-18: C (43%) 2016-17: C (41%) 2015-16: D (40%)
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Inf	ormation*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	

Support Tier	
ESSA Status	TS&I

\* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.

#### Early Warning Systems

#### **Current Year**

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator		Grade Level												Total
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	419	418	387	364	1588
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	66	66	83	83	298
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	144	106	111	121	482
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	103	121	101	53	378
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	106	120	120	122	468
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	114	118	84	94	410

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator		Grade Level												
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	114	118	84	94	410

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiantar		Grade Level												Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	2	14

### Date this data was collected or last updated

Thursday 10/1/2020

#### **Prior Year - As Reported**

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Broward - 1241 - Northeast High School - 2020-21 SIP
--

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	421	439	447	413	1720
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	60	80	77	267
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	34	29	71	179
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	105	121	249	278	753
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	184	227	249	278	938

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						(	Gra	de	Lev	vel				Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	98	111	100	71	380

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator			Grade Level											
Indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	5	23	32
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	9	16	22	62

### **Prior Year - Updated**

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	421	439	447	413	1720
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	50	60	80	77	267
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	34	29	71	179
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	105	121	249	278	753
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	184	227	249	278	938

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						(	Gra	de	Le	/el				Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	98	111	100	71	380

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator						G	rad	e L	eve	Grade Level												
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total								
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	5	23	32								
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	9	16	22	62								

## Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

#### School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sebeel Grade Component		2019		2018				
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State		
ELA Achievement	37%	57%	56%	38%	56%	53%		
ELA Learning Gains	39%	52%	51%	43%	51%	49%		
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	28%	45%	42%	33%	43%	41%		
Math Achievement	28%	51%	51%	30%	50%	49%		
Math Learning Gains	31%	44%	48%	28%	43%	44%		
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	28%	43%	45%	25%	38%	39%		
Science Achievement	54%	66%	68%	57%	62%	65%		
Social Studies Achievement	52%	71%	73%	46%	68%	70%		

E	EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey Grade Level (prior year reported)										
Indicator	Gr	ade Level (pri	or year report	ed)	Total						
indicator	9	10	11	12	TOLAT						
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)						

#### Grade Level Data

# NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
09	2019	37%	57%	-20%	55%	-18%
	2018	37%	55%	-18%	53%	-16%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Corr	parison					
10	2019	34%	53%	-19%	53%	-19%
	2018	39%	53%	-14%	53%	-14%
Same Grade C	omparison	-5%			•	
Cohort Corr	parison	-3%				

	MATH											
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison						

	SCIENCE											
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison						

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
			School		School
Year	School	District	Minus	State	Minus
			District		State
2019	53%	67%	-14%	67%	-14%
2018	44%	62%	-18%	65%	-21%
Co	ompare	9%		· · ·	
		CIVIC	S EOC		
			School		School
Year	School	District	Minus	State	Minus
			District		State
2019					
2018					
		HISTO	RY EOC		
			School		School
Year	School	District	Minus	State	Minus
			District		State
2019	51%	67%	-16%	70%	-19%
2018	45%	66%	-21%	68%	-23%
Co	ompare	6%			
		ALGEB	RA EOC		
			School		School
Year	School	District	Minus	State	Minus
			District		State
2019	28%	61%	-33%	61%	-33%
2018	33%	63%	-30%	62%	-29%
Co	ompare	-5%			
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
T			School		School
Year	School	District	Minus	State	Minus
			District		State
2019	27%	56%	-29%	57%	-30%
2018	20%	51%	-31%	56%	-36%
Co	ompare	7%			

# Subgroup Data

	2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS										
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	22	34	20	18	27	27	37	38		78	19
ELL	14	34	24	28	38	35	24	20		68	54
BLK	33	38	29	23	30	22	47	45		87	36
HSP	35	37	26	28	33	44	53	53		86	48

2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
MUL	47	57		38	9		55				
WHT	49	44	35	35	31	24	70	62		78	40
FRL	36	38	29	28	33	31	52	48		84	37
2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17		
SWD	19	32	18	16	36	50	23	30		56	40
ELL	7	33	35	11	32			20		70	36
ASN	54	55		42							
BLK	35	37	29	22	29	39	38	40		88	39
HSP	40	48	40	25	35	47	44	46		84	46
MUL	54	45		9							
WHT	49	50	21	34	37	38	69	69		72	37
FRL	38	43	33	24	31	41	44	46		84	42
		2017	SCHOO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SI	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16
SWD	12	32	32	20	29	40	34	26		60	4
ELL	9	28	22	29	37		40	27		69	38
BLK	32	41	35	25	21	22	50	37		82	22
HSP	37	41	27	30	33	35	63	51		85	45
MUL	38	60		47	40		64			80	
WHT	47	47	45	33	27	17	59	61		78	31
FRL	36	41	31	28	27	26	57	42		81	30

# ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	TS&I
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	41
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	NO
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	3
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	36
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	450
Total Components for the Federal Index	11
Percent Tested	91%
Subgroup Data	

Broward - 1241 - Northeast High School - 2020-21 SIP

Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	32
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	34
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	39
Federal Index - Black/African American Students Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	39 YES
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	YES
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students	YES 0
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students	YES 0 44
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES 0 44 NO
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	YES 0 44 NO
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students	YES 0 44 NO 0
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students	YES 0 44 NO 0 41
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES 0 44 NO 0 41 NO
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	YES 0 44 NO 0 41 NO
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% Hispanic Students Federal Index - Hispanic Students Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Federal Index - Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES 0 44 NO 0 41 NO

White Students				
Federal Index - White Students	47			
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO			
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0			
Economically Disadvantaged Students				
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	41			
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	NO			
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0			

#### Analysis

#### **Data Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

# Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

In math achievement, the data components that showed the lowest performance were math achievement and the gains of the lowest 25% of students. The overall low scores in both components may be due to a variety of factors. For instance, the data includes a percentage of students who tested in 8th grade but did not test again until the end of their 10th-grade year. The lack of data may make it difficult to assess where students' overall weaknesses are since the data doesn't reflect those students who do not test. Other contributing factors could be that the rigor needs to continue to increase while teachers provide more individualized instruction. Furthermore, the need for data chats with students to allow ownership of their performance in the classroom.

In ELA, the lowest data component was the learning gains for the bottom 25%. The writing scores went down throughout grade levels. The integration of knowledge and ideas is still a low area for the school. We also noticed that the SWD students and the ELL students were a significant portion of our lowest quartile. Also, the previous cohort (currently seniors) were a higher achieving cohort, and we lost their scores last year (since they were juniors in the '18-'19 school year).

# Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

The "Math low 25% gains" showed the most significant decline from the prior year. The percentage decreased by 13 percentage points. In the past four years, the data shows that it has had a pattern of increase, followed by a decrease in the subsequent year. The previous difference was -14. While this may partly be due to chance and natural variations in the group, other factors may be contributing to the low scores. Identifying the students in this category early on is critical. These students may need more support through immediate intervention for those not mastering the math standards. In addition, parental involvement is necessary and can be acquired by ensuring that parents are informed about their student's performance in their math classes. Also, teachers should continue to collaborate and use the data from their common formative assessments to guide instruction. Additionally, the need for more effective instruction for our ELL and SWD students may be a factor.

# Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

The Math achievement percentage is the most substantial difference between our scores and the state/district averages. The difference was -23 percentage points. Multiple factors may have contributed to this gap. There is a lag of data when students enter high school but are not formally assessed in math until the following year. In addition, some students may not have prior 8th grade data, therefore for some students, a two year lag of data may exist. This leads to difficulties in analyzing individualized student data, their weaknesses, and strengths. Addressing student deficiencies early on, interventions, and disaggregating data is also needed. One noticeable trend is the increase of ELL students achieving a level 1 proficiency over the past three years.

# Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Both our science and social studies achievement percentages are on an upward trend from 2018 to 2019. Incorporating USA Test prep into the curriculum in coherence with common assessments throughout these subject areas has provided teachers with productive and valuable data to use within their PLCs.

#### Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

The potential area of concern is the number of students who failed a course in ELA or Math (753) as it relates to the number of students achieving a level 1 of statewide assessments (938).

# Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Achieve more than 95% of students tested overall.
- 2. Increase overall learning gains in at least ELA and Math.
- 3. Increase acceleration points through advanced level courses or industry certification.
- 4. Increase learning gains for ELL students
- 5. Increasing the effectiveness of Professional Learning Communities

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Other spe	ecifically relating to Math
Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	After desegregation of the Math data, we determined that our EOC Algebra data is one of the lowest components in need of remediation.
Measurable Outcome:	By June 2021, all ninth-grade students will score at or above 41% in Algebra as measured by the EOC
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Lora Boltz (lora.boltz@browardschools.com)
Evidence- based Strategy:	Through the gradual release of responsibility model (GRRM), teachers will effectively model how to plan, revise, and edit essays or responses. Once strategies are modeled and implemented, differentiation of instruction can occur within small groups.
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy:	Implementing GRRM allows teachers to model the use of the targeted strategy and then provides students with as much support (differentiate instruction and small group) as they need to progress toward independent use of the strategy. The need for the GRRM strategy is evident through FSA data, which shows that students are not able to demonstrate acquisition of the skills and strategies taught in the classroom. The use of the GRRM strategy strategy is necessary to enable students as capable thinkers and learners when handling the tasks with which they have not yet developed expertise.

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

In October/ November of this year, and ongoing, the school's math team will promote best practices throughout the school by ensuring that each best practice is used with fidelity. The team members are our curriculum leaders, as well as our math coach. They will ensure that the utilization of best practices occurs within all our monthly departmental PLC's. Through professional development (PD), teachers will attain the necessary skills and best practices that will enable them to remediate, enrich, and add rigor through effective instructional practice. Practices such as data chats, GRRM, heterogeneous grouping, and differentiated instruction will help the enrichment of ESE, ELL, and Black students. Also, teachers will utilize standard-based progress monitoring assessments (PMA) to gauge student knowledge and drive instruction. The data collected will be used as a means for professional growth within the PLC setting. It will also assist in the development of future common assessments and pull-out/push-in groups. To accomplish the goal, teachers will need the following resources: plc planning, peer tutoring, flexible grouping, in-class support, culturally relative lesson plans, and supplemental technology. The math team and administration will monitor implementation and fidelity through classroom observations (informal/ formal), PLC minutes/agendas, lesson plans, and PMA data.

Person Responsible Linda Bailey (linda.bailey@browardschools.com)

## **#2. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to African-American**

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	Through our PASL program and SEL initiatives, we will foster an inclusive environment where meaningful learning can occur. Also, expose students to a variety of College, Career & Life Readiness (CCLR) programs through their personalization period. This opportunity will enrich students and diversify their high school experience in alignment with our mission and vision. The master schedule will provide Algebra 1 and Geometry teachers with a common planning period to develop best practices for using manipulatives within the newly established math lab. The SLT will provide teachers with additional PD on differentiated instruction across all subject areas. In addition, all subject areas will utilize standard-based progress monitoring assessments (PMA) to gauge student knowledge and drive instruction. The data collected will be shared and analyzed in their content-specific PLCs to devise teaching practice(s) to remediate and enrich students effectively.
Measurable Outcome:	By June 2021, our Black subgroup will score at or above 41% in FPPI as measured by the FSA and EOC.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Leslie Pickett (leslierivera@browardschools.com)
Evidence- based Strategy:	Through the use of social-emotional learning (SEL) strategies, teachers will use culturally relevant texts to increase engagement effectively. Once teachers infuse SEL strategies, the GRRM will allow them to expose the Black subgroup to differentiated instruction tailored to their needs.
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy:	In hopes of making instruction deliberate and meaningful, culturally relevant literature pieces will increase engagement and allow our Black subgroup to make a meaningful connection with the content. The Black subset of students can better acquire the skill sets being taught through the use of SEL practices coupled with the GRRM.
Action Steps	s to Implement

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

#### #3. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to English Language Learners

Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	After analyzing school/district/state data, ELL students severely underperform all other subgroups in all reporting categories. ELLs historically struggle due to language acquisition; therefore, they perform lower on state assessments.
Measurable Outcome:	By June 2021, ELL students will score at or above 41% FPPI as measured by the FSA.
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Eva Romero (eva.romero@browardschools.com)
Evidence- based Strategy:	Teachers will use differentiated instruction through the utilization of various best practices, such as targeted small group instruction and scaffolding.
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy:	The differentiated instruction (DI) strategy enables teachers to meet ELL students at their level, and foster efficient and timely remediation. With the use of DI, teachers can utilize question stems and scaffolded lessons to accommodate the various learning styles (visual, auditory, kinesthetic, etc.) within small group instruction. The need for DI is evident through the diversity students bring to the classroom. ELL students differ as learners in terms of experiences, culture, language, gender, interests, readiness to learn, modes of learning, speed of learning, support systems for learning, and self-awareness as a learner.

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

In October of 2020 and ongoing, teachers will participate in monthly PD to build capacity for differentiated instruction. Within their monthly PLCs, teachers will create targeted developmental practices for ELLs within a differentiated classroom. A district-based PD will be utilized to strengthen the necessary skills and ensure teachers cater to all types of learners. Teachers will engage students in authentic, real-world experiences while providing explicit instruction. Resources are a crucial component to the success of this goal. Therefore, to be effective, teachers will need further PD in instructional methods and grouping of ELL students, research-based and authentic ELL instructional materials, and supplemental technology. Also, teachers will utilize standard-based progress monitoring assessments (PMA) to gauge ELL student knowledge and adjust as needed. The data collected will be used as a means for professional growth within the PLC setting. It will also assist in the development of future common assessments and pull-out/ push-in for the ELL subgroup. The School Leadership Team (SLT) will monitor implementation and fidelity through classroom observations (informal/formal), PLC minutes/agendas, lesson plans, and PMA data.

#### Person Responsible Eva Romero (eva.romero@browardschools.com)

#4. LOOA Jui	#4. LOSA Subgroup specifically relating to Students with Disabilities								
Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	After analyzing school/district/state data, the SWD subgroup severely underperformed all other subgroups in all reporting categories. Specialized instruction for SWD is needed to expose them to the kind of skill sets that would allow them to pass standardized assessments.								
Measurable Outcome:	By June 2021, all ninth and tenth-grade students will score at or above 41% FPPI as measured by the FSA.								
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Ruth Hinkson (ruth.hinkson@browardschools.com)								
Evidence- based Strategy:	An effective approach to improving reading comprehension for the SWD subgroup is a combination of direct and strategy instruction. Strategy instruction requires teaching students a plan (or strategy) to search for patterns in words and to identify key passages and the main idea in each. Direct instruction supplemented via SWD Facilitators and Paraprofessionals will use the following instructional components: directed response/ questioning, modeling of steps by the teacher, small group instruction, and strategy cues.								
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy:	Once students learn specific strategies, they can generalize them to other reading comprehension tasks. SWD Facilitators and Para-professionals can are an asset when using the push-in model. Doing so will provide the student with an inclusive environment while targeting individualized student deficiencies through direct instruction. This strategy can improve word recognition skills by utilizing drills and repetition practices delivered one to one or in a small group.								

#### #4. ESSA Subgroup specifically relating to Students with Disabilities

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

In October of 2020 and ongoing, teachers will participate in PD to acquire strategies for the effective inclusion of SWD. Within their monthly PLCs, teachers will develop curricular accommodations and modifications in their classes, embedding IEP goals into instructional activities and natural contexts. General and special education teachers will use the Florida Standards as the foundation for the instruction of all SWDs. Teachers will modify learning goals and instruction for SWDs using the same, or similar, ageappropriate materials as those used by students without disabilities. The ESE Specialist and the facilitators will play a vital role in ensuring the implementation of this strategy and provide additional support where necessary. Therefore, to be effective, teachers will need further PD in inclusive methods and grouping of SWD students, research-based and age-appropriate instructional materials, and supplemental technology. Also, teachers will utilize standard-based progress monitoring assessments (PMA) to gauge student knowledge and adjust instruction as needed. The data collected will be used as a means for professional growth within the PLC setting. It will also assist in the development of future common assessments and push-in for the SWD subgroup. The SLT will monitor fidelity of the implementation through classroom observations (informal/formal), PLC minutes/agendas, lesson plans, and PMA data. IEP meetings will further ensure each SWD need is met and provide teachers with the necessary support.

#### Person

Responsible Ruth Hinkson (ruth.hinkson@browardschools.com)

#### Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

Through our PASL program and SEL initiatives, we will foster an inclusive environment where meaningful learning can occur. Also, expose students to a variety of College, Career & Life Readiness (CCLR) programs through their personalization period. This opportunity will enrich students and diversify their high school experience in alignment with our mission and vision. The master schedule will provide Algebra 1 and Geometry teachers with a common planning period to develop best practices for using manipulatives within the newly established math lab. The math team will provide teachers with additional PD on differentiated instruction across all subject areas. In addition, all subject areas will utilize standard-based progress monitoring assessments (PMA) to gauge student knowledge and drive instruction. The data collected will be shared and analyzed in their content-specific PLCs to devise teaching practice(s) to remediate and enrich students effectively.

### Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

Through our PASL program and SEL initiatives, we will foster an inclusive environment where meaningful learning can occur. Also, expose students to a variety of College, Career & Life Readiness (CCLR) programs through their personalization period. This opportunity will enrich students and diversify their high school experience in alignment with our mission and vision. The master schedule will provide Algebra 1 and Geometry teachers with a common planning period to develop best practices for using manipulatives within the newly established math lab. The SLT will provide teachers with additional PD on differentiated instruction across all subject areas. In addition, all subject areas will utilize standard-based progress monitoring assessments (PMA) to gauge student knowledge and drive instruction. The data collected will be shared and analyzed in their content-specific PLCs to devise teaching practice(s) to remediate and enrich students effectively. Community members, parents, teachers, and staff will be involved in our decision-making processes continuously so that we are working towards the same goals.

#### Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

## Part V: Budget

# The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Other: Math	\$8,000.00								
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2020-21					
	6300	239-Other	1241 - Northeast High School	General Fund		\$8,000.00					
	Notes: Achieve3000, and Imagine Learning provide supplemental learnin individualized student level. This software provide equity to every studen access to the same grade-appropriate content in an environment that ha feel regardless of their reading level.										
2	III.A. Areas of Focus: ESSA Subgroup: African-American										
	Function	Object	Budget Focus	Funding Source	FTE	2020-21					
			1241 - Northeast High School	General Fund		\$8,000.00					
	Notes: Reading Plus provides supplemental learning at an individualized student lev software provides equity to every student by giving them access to the same grade- appropriate content in an environment that has the same look and feel regardless of reading level.										
3	III.A.	Areas of Focus: ESSA Subg		\$0.00							
4	4 III.A. Areas of Focus: ESSA Subgroup: Students with Disabilities										
	Total:										