

Hardee County Schools

North Wauchula Elementary School



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| School Demographics | 3 |
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
| School Information | 7 |
| Needs Assessment | 12 |
| Planning for Improvement | 17 |
| Positive Culture & Environment | 22 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 23 |

North Wauchula Elementary School

1120 N FLORIDA AVE, Wauchula, FL 33873

www.hardee.k12.fl.us/north_wauchula

Demographics

Principal: Jessica Gray

Start Date for this Principal: 6/1/2017

| | |
|--|---|
| 2019-20 Status (per MSID File) | Active |
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | Elementary School PK-5 |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education |
| 2019-20 Title I School | Yes |
| 2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 100% |
| 2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students |
| School Grades History | 2018-19: B (59%) 2017-18: C (49%) 2016-17: B (58%) 2015-16: C (45%) |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information* | |
| SI Region | Southwest |
| Regional Executive Director | |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A |
| Year | |
| Support Tier | |
| ESSA Status | N/A |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here . | |

School Board Approval

This plan was approved by the Hardee County School Board on 10/8/2020.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

1. have a school grade of D or F
2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
| School Information | 7 |
| Needs Assessment | 12 |
| Planning for Improvement | 17 |
| Title I Requirements | 0 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 23 |

North Wauchula Elementary School

1120 N FLORIDA AVE, Wauchula, FL 33873

www.hardee.k12.fl.us/north_wauchula

School Demographics

| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | 2019-20 Title I School | 2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) |
|--|------------------------|--|
| Elementary School PK-5 | Yes | 100% |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | Charter School | 2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2) |
| K-12 General Education | No | 72% |

School Grades History

| Year | 2019-20 | 2018-19 | 2017-18 | 2016-17 |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Grade | B | B | C | B |

School Board Approval

This plan was approved by the Hardee County School Board on 10/8/2020.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <https://www.floridacims.org>.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Empower and inspire all students for success.

Provide the school's vision statement.

We provide all students a high-quality education in a nurturing and creative environment to develop responsible citizens.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name | Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|-------------------|---------------------|---|
| Gray, Jessica | Principal | Provides a common vision for the use of data-based decision-making; ensures that the school-based team is implementing the MTSS with fidelity by assessing the MTSS levels of the staff, providing the support necessary for on-going staff development, reviewing documentation of the effectiveness of interventions and teaching strategies being applied; and sees that appropriate communications between the parents and school are taking place. |
| Taylor, Tamara | Assistant Principal | Assists in providing the vision and direction for the use of the data collected that will drive decision-making; helps to ensure that the school-based team is implementing the MTSS with fidelity by assessing the MTSS levels of the staff, providing the support necessary for on-going staff development, reviewing documentation of and the effectiveness of interventions and teaching strategies being applied; and ensures that appropriate communications between the parents and school are taking place. |
| Christian, Megan | School Counselor | Gathers data from teachers, schedules and facilitates the Rtl Meetings, guides and monitors the Rtl process, supports data collection, investigates other factors such as behavior, attendance and health, assists with staff development, assists with data interpretation, provides additional testing information, and suggests strategies and modifications in instructional delivery. |
| Roberts, Yesenia | Instructional Coach | Develops, leads, and evaluates core content standards and programs; identifies and analyzes existing literature on research-based curriculum, assessments, assists in the design and implementation of progress monitoring, data collection, and data analysis; along with district support, designs and delivers professional development needed to enhance the effectiveness of interventions; supports the implementation of Tier II, and Tier III intervention plans; mentors beginning teachers. |
| Konitzer, Jessica | Teacher, ESE | Participates information about instruction for Students With Disabilities, participates in student data collection, delivers instruction as specified in Individualized Education Plans (IEP), and collaborates with other staff to provide for students needs based on their IEP. |
| Santana, Madgaly | Teacher, K-12 | Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier I instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier I material/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities. |
| Redding, Lois | Teacher, K-12 | Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier I instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier I material/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities. |

| Name | Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|-------------------|---------------------|---|
| Juarez, Louisa | Teacher, K-12 | Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier I instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier I material/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities. |
| Clark, Jacqueline | Teacher, K-12 | Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier I instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier I material/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities. |
| Hernandez, Linda | Instructional Media | Provides instruction in literacy and technology to students, assists teachers in finding and selecting resources to provide instruction for all Tier levels, and delivers professional development for teachers in instructional technology. |
| Heine, Jamie | Teacher, K-12 | Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier I instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier I material/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities. |
| Hartley, Melissa | Teacher, K-12 | Provides information about core instruction, participates in student data collection, delivers Tier I instruction/intervention, collaborates with other staff to implement Tier 2 interventions, and integrates Tier I material/instruction with Tier 2/3 activities. |

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Thursday 6/1/2017, Jessica Gray

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

3

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

6

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

37

Demographic Data

| | |
|--|--------|
| 2020-21 Status (per MSID File) | Active |
|--|--------|

| | |
|--|---|
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | Elementary School PK-5 |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education |
| 2019-20 Title I School | Yes |
| 2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 100% |
| 2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students |
| School Grades History | 2018-19: B (59%) 2017-18: C (49%) 2016-17: B (58%) 2015-16: C (45%) |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information* | |
| SI Region | Southwest |
| Regional Executive Director | |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A |
| Year | |
| Support Tier | |
| ESSA Status | N/A |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here . | |

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|---|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | |
| Number of students enrolled | 84 | 91 | 86 | 82 | 80 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 504 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 25 | 33 | 30 | 21 | 28 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Course failure in ELA | 6 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| Course failure in Math | 4 | 2 | 1 | 16 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|----|---|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | |
| Students with two or more indicators | 9 | 7 | 1 | 24 | 8 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 5 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 9/29/2020

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | |
| Number of students enrolled | 104 | 96 | 80 | 87 | 82 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 533 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 13 | 8 | 5 | 13 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 7 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 19 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | |
| Students with two or more indicators | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 5 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Prior Year - Updated**The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:**

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | |
| Number of students enrolled | 104 | 96 | 80 | 87 | 82 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 533 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 13 | 8 | 5 | 13 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 7 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 19 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | |
| Students with two or more indicators | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 5 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis**School Data**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| School Grade Component | 2019 | | | 2018 | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
| | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| ELA Achievement | 56% | 56% | 57% | 52% | 53% | 55% |
| ELA Learning Gains | 65% | 56% | 58% | 64% | 54% | 57% |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile | 62% | 52% | 53% | 76% | 56% | 52% |
| Math Achievement | 62% | 71% | 63% | 53% | 67% | 61% |
| Math Learning Gains | 63% | 70% | 62% | 58% | 66% | 61% |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | 56% | 61% | 51% | 62% | 56% | 51% |
| Science Achievement | 48% | 43% | 53% | 40% | 47% | 51% |

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey

| Indicator | Grade Level (prior year reported) | | | | | | Total |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
| | (0) | (0) | (0) | (0) | (0) | (0) | 0 (0) |

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

| ELA | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| 03 | 2019 | 58% | 59% | -1% | 58% | 0% |
| | 2018 | 54% | 57% | -3% | 57% | -3% |
| Same Grade Comparison | | 4% | | | | |
| Cohort Comparison | | | | | | |
| 04 | 2019 | 52% | 57% | -5% | 58% | -6% |
| | 2018 | 41% | 50% | -9% | 56% | -15% |
| Same Grade Comparison | | 11% | | | | |
| Cohort Comparison | | -2% | | | | |
| 05 | 2019 | 56% | 48% | 8% | 56% | 0% |
| | 2018 | 51% | 51% | 0% | 55% | -4% |
| Same Grade Comparison | | 5% | | | | |
| Cohort Comparison | | 15% | | | | |

| MATH | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| 03 | 2019 | 70% | 69% | 1% | 62% | 8% |
| | 2018 | 51% | 68% | -17% | 62% | -11% |
| Same Grade Comparison | | 19% | | | | |
| Cohort Comparison | | | | | | |
| 04 | 2019 | 57% | 73% | -16% | 64% | -7% |
| | 2018 | 54% | 64% | -10% | 62% | -8% |
| Same Grade Comparison | | 3% | | | | |
| Cohort Comparison | | 6% | | | | |
| 05 | 2019 | 54% | 62% | -8% | 60% | -6% |
| | 2018 | 52% | 65% | -13% | 61% | -9% |
| Same Grade Comparison | | 2% | | | | |
| Cohort Comparison | | 0% | | | | |

| SCIENCE | | | | | | |
|---------|------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| 05 | 2019 | 44% | 42% | 2% | 53% | -9% |

| SCIENCE | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------|--------|----------|----------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School-District Comparison | State | School-State Comparison |
| | 2018 | 40% | 45% | -5% | 55% | -15% |
| Same Grade Comparison | | 4% | | | | |
| Cohort Comparison | | | | | | |

Subgroup Data

| 2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2017-18 | C & C Accel 2017-18 |
| SWD | 47 | 55 | 50 | 56 | 60 | 64 | 50 | | | | |
| ELL | 48 | 59 | 61 | 46 | 55 | 61 | 38 | | | | |
| BLK | 47 | 63 | | 65 | 69 | | | | | | |
| HSP | 51 | 60 | 61 | 58 | 62 | 53 | 43 | | | | |
| WHT | 71 | 76 | | 69 | 61 | | 59 | | | | |
| FRL | 53 | 60 | 56 | 58 | 56 | 48 | 41 | | | | |
| 2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2016-17 | C & C Accel 2016-17 |
| SWD | 36 | 47 | 57 | 27 | 28 | 27 | 24 | | | | |
| ELL | 33 | 31 | 31 | 33 | 44 | 58 | | | | | |
| BLK | 53 | 62 | | 53 | 54 | | | | | | |
| HSP | 46 | 48 | 47 | 51 | 53 | 52 | 42 | | | | |
| WHT | 59 | 53 | | 63 | 50 | | 47 | | | | |
| FRL | 46 | 49 | 49 | 50 | 49 | 51 | 38 | | | | |
| 2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2015-16 | C & C Accel 2015-16 |
| SWD | 35 | 61 | 82 | 48 | 67 | | | | | | |
| ELL | 38 | 61 | 83 | 44 | 61 | 55 | | | | | |
| BLK | 75 | | | 58 | | | | | | | |
| HSP | 46 | 61 | 76 | 47 | 56 | 67 | 28 | | | | |
| WHT | 62 | 67 | 82 | 68 | 62 | 60 | 61 | | | | |
| FRL | 49 | 64 | 83 | 52 | 62 | 52 | 36 | | | | |

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

| ESSA Federal Index | |
|--|-----|
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I) | N/A |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students | 60 |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students | NO |

| ESSA Federal Index | |
|---|------|
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 0 |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency | 70 |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index | 482 |
| Total Components for the Federal Index | 8 |
| Percent Tested | 100% |
| Subgroup Data | |
| Students With Disabilities | |
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities | 55 |
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| English Language Learners | |
| Federal Index - English Language Learners | 55 |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Native American Students | |
| Federal Index - Native American Students | |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Asian Students | |
| Federal Index - Asian Students | |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Black/African American Students | |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students | 61 |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Hispanic Students | |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students | 57 |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |

| Multiracial Students | |
|--|-----|
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students | |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Pacific Islander Students | |
| Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students | |
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| White Students | |
| Federal Index - White Students | 67 |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | |
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | 56 |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 |

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Science Achievement was the lowest performing component (48%), which has been historically the lowest component for the school; however, it was an increase of 6% over the prior year of 42%. Students lack knowledge of the fair game standards that are assessed at the fifth grade level, as well as background knowledge, experience, and vocabulary.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

No School Grade component showed a decline from the prior year.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Science Achievement showed the greatest gap when compared to the state average, 48% of NWES students demonstrated proficiency in science compared to the state at 53%. Students lack knowledge of the fair game standards that are assessed at the fifth grade level, as well as background knowledge, experience, and vocabulary.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The greatest improvement was in ELA Learning Gains. The percent of students making a learning gain increased from 49% to 65%. Actions that contributed to the increase in student learning gains included changes in scheduling for ESE and lowest quartile students to provide the greatest continuity of instruction, previewing of vocabulary and reading skills by ESE and reading resource teachers, and small group instruction based on cold read assessment results.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

The greatest concerns based on the EWS are the percent of students scoring level 1 on FSA or failing ELA or Math.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

1. The need for students to be engaged, persevere, and productively struggle with challenging content
2. Data driven instruction with targeted interventions
3. Rigorous, aligned materials that reach the complexity needed to meet grade level standards
- 4.
- 5.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Student Engagement

Area of Focus Description and Rationale: The instructional staff will acquire an understanding of how to actively engage students in their learning, develop perseverance, and facilitate productive struggle with challenging content. NWES students demonstrate a need to be engaged, persevere, and productively struggle with challenging content in order to meet the depth and complexity required by grade level standards.

Measurable Outcome: The percent of students achieving a level three or higher on the FSA, FSAA, and Florida Statewide Science Assessment (FSSA) scores will increase. (ELA - 60%, Math 65%, Science 53%)

Person responsible for monitoring outcome: Jessica Gray (jgray@hardee.k12.fl.us)

Evidence-based Strategy: The instructional staff will acquire an understanding of how to actively engage students in their learning, develop perseverance and facilitate productive struggle with challenging content.

Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: To reach the high standards required for proficiency, students must be engaged in high quality instruction. In order to be able to demonstrate proficiency on grade level standards at the depth of knowledge required, students must be able to persevere, and know how to labor through productive struggle.

Action Steps to Implement

The AVID Site Team will provide professional development monthly, focused on engaging, rigorous standards based instruction, culturally relevant teaching practices, and utilizing Costa's levels of thinking as a framework for pushing student inquiry to higher levels of thinking.

Person Responsible Yesenia Roberts (ybrown@hardee.k12.fl.us)

The Literacy Coach will facilitate "AVID observations," an opportunity for teachers to observe one another implementing AVID strategies, and plan for use of strategies observed.

Person Responsible Yesenia Roberts (ybrown@hardee.k12.fl.us)

Teachers will provide rigorous and engaging instruction utilizing AVID strategies.

Person Responsible Jessica Gray (jgray@hardee.k12.fl.us)

Teachers will implement engaging classroom instruction through the use of technology by utilizing digital subscriptions such as Reflex Math, Freckle, Flocabulary, BrainPop, Study Island, Generation Genius, and IXL.

Person Responsible Jessica Gray (jgray@hardee.k12.fl.us)

The Classroom Walkthrough Tool, feedback cards, and peer reviews will be utilized to coach for engagement and challenging content.

Person Responsible Jessica Gray (jgray@hardee.k12.fl.us)

Instructional staff attend professional development opportunities such as FAME, and FETC to help broaden their knowledge of technology based instruction.

Person
Responsible Jessica Gray (jgray@hardee.k12.fl.us)

#2. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Small Group Instruction

| | |
|---|---|
| Area of Focus Description and Rationale: | Teachers will utilize assessment data to modify instruction to meet the needs of individual learners through small group instruction with targeted interventions. In order for students to make required learning gains, there is a demonstrated need for individualized data driven instruction with targeted interventions. |
| Measurable Outcome: | The percent of all students, as well as lowest quartile students, achieving learning gains on FSA and FSAA will increase. (ELA - 67%, ELA Lowest Quartile - 64%, Math - 65%, Math Lowest 25%, 60%) |
| Person responsible for monitoring outcome: | Jessica Gray (jgray@hardee.k12.fl.us) |
| Evidence-based Strategy: | Teachers will utilize assessment data to modify instruction to meet the needs of individual learners through small group instruction with targeted interventions. |
| Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: | By differentiating student instruction based on assessment data, teachers will be able to pinpoint student deficits and increase student mastery of standards by providing instruction on precisely the skills and concepts that they are deficient in. |

Action Steps to Implement

Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) will utilize the i-Ready Needs Analysis Report to form small groups and locate, identify, and use aligned resources from Ready LAFS/MAFS and the Teacher Toolbox, as well as from CPALMS.

Person Responsible Jessica Gray (jgray@hardee.k12.fl.us)

Assessment data from a variety of sources will be used by PLCs to drive instruction on an individualized level in the classroom (i.e. STAR, Accelerated Reader, ESGI, Reflex, and i-Ready).

Person Responsible Jessica Gray (jgray@hardee.k12.fl.us)

Lowest 25% students will be served for additional reading instruction by the Reading Remediation Teachers. Reading Remediation Teachers will utilize Florida Performance Coach, Support Coach, Measuring Up, and FOCUS on Reading materials, as well as by previewing LAFs/MAFs content and vocabulary.

Person Responsible Jessica Gray (jgray@hardee.k12.fl.us)

Students identified as at risk for not meeting grade level standards or annual learning gains requirements will be eligible for the after school tutoring program. Students that are English Language Learners or Migrant will be eligible for additional tutoring beyond the basic program provided by Title I.

Person Responsible Jessica Gray (jgray@hardee.k12.fl.us)

No description entered

Person Responsible [no one identified]

#3. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction

| | |
|--|--|
| Area of Focus Description and Rationale: | Teachers will utilize rigorous, aligned curriculum materials, as well as foundation building resources, that reach the complexity needed to meet grade level standards. In order to meet grade level proficiency, use of the appropriately rigorous, aligned curriculum materials, as well as foundation building resources, are necessary. |
| Measurable Outcome: | By utilizing rigorous, aligned curriculum materials, the percent of students achieving levels three and up on the FSA, FSAA, and FSSA will increase (ELA - 60%, Math 65%, Science 53%). |
| Person responsible for monitoring outcome: | Jessica Gray (jgray@hardee.k12.fl.us) |
| Evidence-based Strategy: | Teachers will utilize the following rigorous and aligned curriculum materials: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Text that is at or above the qualitative and quantitative complexity level expected for the grade level and time in the school year - Eight standards for mathematical practice - Aligned science materials (HMH Science or HEC Modules) - Top Score Writing for instruction in Text-Based Writing (Grades 2-5). - AVID Elementary Weekly |
| Rationale for Evidence-based Strategy: | Utilizing material that is both aligned to the standards and provides for practice at the cognitive complexity students are required to demonstrate will increase the percent of students reaching grade level proficiency. |
| Action Steps to Implement | |
| Grade 2-5 teachers will use text that is at or above the quantitative and qualitative complexity level expected for the grade and time in the school year (i.e. Achieve the Core Text Sets in grade 1 and Learnzillion in grades 3-5). | |
| Person Responsible | Jessica Gray (jgray@hardee.k12.fl.us) |
| Grades K-2 teachers will utilize Saxon Phonics to build a strong foundation for reading and preparing students to cross the bridge from learning to read to reading to learn. | |
| Person Responsible | Jessica Gray (jgray@hardee.k12.fl.us) |
| Ready LAFS materials will be utilized to provide standards based on grade level instruction, as well as the Ready Toolbox for remediation and enrichment. | |
| Person Responsible | Jessica Gray (jgray@hardee.k12.fl.us) |
| Teachers will use Top Score Writing to instruct students in Text-Based Writing, utilizing paired passages and a common framework for planning. | |
| Person Responsible | Jessica Gray (jgray@hardee.k12.fl.us) |
| AVID Elementary Weekly will be utilized to incorporate Costa's Levels of Thinking, WICOR, and Critical Reading Strategies. | |
| Person Responsible | Jessica Gray (jgray@hardee.k12.fl.us) |

Through Professional Development, teachers will learn to incorporate the eight standards for math practice in daily instruction, along with their use of Go Math and/or Ready MAFS and Ready Toolbox resources, while developing math fact fluency through daily fact practice or Reflex.

Person Responsible Jessica Gray (jgray@hardee.k12.fl.us)

Teachers will utilize Generation Genius, HMH Science and/or HEC Science modules that are aligned to the standards, and provide the rigor required to achieve science standards.

Person Responsible Jessica Gray (jgray@hardee.k12.fl.us)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

All areas addressed in the Areas of Focus.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

There are several ways that North Wauchula Elementary School (NWES) builds positive relationships with families, which increase parental and student involvement and keeps parents abreast of their children's progress. Each teacher is required to meet with all parents/guardians during the first quarter of school. During this meeting, student academic information and baseline data are discussed. Conversations also include past school history, any family concerns that need to be shared, as well as questions regarding classroom procedures and routines. The Title I Parent Contract is also signed during this meeting. This document formalizes the commitment between home and school.

Parent involvement events are hosted by the school throughout the school year. The Meet-Your-Teacher event, is the first event of the year. NWES hosts the Annual Title I Meeting in the first nine weeks, and content specific events are held throughout the year (i.e. Literacy night, STEM Night, etc.). Throughout the school year translators are provided during parent events or parent conferences. It is anticipated that all parent involvements will be held virtually due to COVID-19, in addition to select face to face events with social distancing guidelines adhered to.

Another form of communication is the Wildcat Pride (a bi-monthly school-wide newsletter), as well as weekly classroom newsletters. The school maintains an active Facebook page, utilizes the InTouch phone message system, Twitter, and the Remind app, to communicate school-wide information for parent involvement. The school website offers updates and shares upcoming events. Representation from all student demographic groups comprise the School Advisory Council (SAC). Parent volunteers and the Parent Teacher Organization (PTO) are active and include all parent groups.

The school ensures the social-emotional needs of students are being met by providing services through a school counselor, school social worker, and a school psychologist. Individual and group counseling sessions are scheduled as needed. The school counselor provides classroom lessons on topics as needed. The school guidance counselor consults with student's parents or guardians and make referrals as appropriate for counseling and other services, to promote social-emotional well being and student success.

NWES welcomes local Pre-K programs in the spring of each year to visit and become familiar with the school. Kindergarten teachers provide parent orientation at the East Coast Migrant and Redlands Christian Migrant Association (RCMA) campuses annually to review readiness skills, curriculum, and registration requirements. Kindergarten Round-Up is held in the spring of each school year. Kindergarten teachers are in attendance for the purpose of meeting the incoming kindergarten students and conducting activities with the incoming families.

NWES is an AVID Elementary certified school (Advancement Via Individual Determination). Teachers have incorporated college and career aware activities and displays schoolwide. Students participate in a College and Career Exploration Day, which include college/university and business presentations. Fifth grade students visit the South Florida State College Campus in addition to their HJH School Orientation trip. Students and staff participate in College Shirt Wednesdays. Our student led news show, Cool Cat TV (CCTV), features a weekly college spotlight focused on a Florida college or university.

Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

| 1 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Student Engagement | | | | \$11,890.00 |
|---|----------|--|--|-----------------|-----|-------------|
| | Function | Object | Budget Focus | Funding Source | FTE | 2020-21 |
| | 5100 | 369-Technology-Related Rentals | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | Title, I Part A | | \$2,550.00 |
| | | | <i>Notes: BrainPop is an online program for delivering engaging instruction in reading and mathematics.</i> | | | |
| | 5100 | 369-Technology-Related Rentals | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | Title, I Part A | | \$520.00 |
| | | | <i>Notes: Study Island is an online program for delivering engaging instruction and assessing progress in science.</i> | | | |
| | 5100 | 369-Technology-Related Rentals | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | Title, I Part A | | \$480.00 |
| | | | <i>Notes: Generation Genius is an online program for delivering engaging instruction in science.</i> | | | |
| | 5100 | 369-Technology-Related Rentals | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | Title, I Part A | | \$3,465.00 |

| | | | | | | |
|----------|---------------|--|---|-----------------|-----|--------------------|
| | | | <i>Notes: IXL is an online program that provides skill specific instruction in reading and math for all grades.</i> | | | |
| | 5100 | 730-Dues and Fees | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | Title, I Part C | | \$3,125.00 |
| | 5100 | 369-Technology-Related Rentals | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | Title, I Part A | | \$750.00 |
| | | | <i>Notes: Breakout EDU is an online platform that provides opportunities for problem solving and cooperative learning in all content areas.</i> | | | |
| | 6400 | 510-Supplies | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | Title, I Part A | | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | <i>Notes: Binders, paper, cardstock and other materials will be purchased to support staff professional book studies focused on student engagement.</i> | | | |
| 2 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Small Group Instruction | | | | \$66,803.90 |
| | Function | Object | Budget Focus | Funding Source | FTE | 2020-21 |
| | 5100 | 510-Supplies | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | Title, I Part A | | \$5,178.03 |
| | | | <i>Notes: Ready LAFS and MAFS are print materials used to provide individualized instruction based on student data from i-Ready.</i> | | | |
| | 5100 | 369-Technology-Related Rentals | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | Title, I Part A | | \$6,460.00 |
| | | | <i>Notes: The i-Ready Teacher Toolbox is an electronic resource used to provide individualized instruction based on student data from i-Ready.</i> | | | |
| | 5100 | 369-Technology-Related Rentals | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | Title, I Part A | | \$22,190.00 |
| | | | <i>Notes: i-Ready is the primary digital resource used for assessment and individualized instruction.</i> | | | |
| | 5100 | 369-Technology-Related Rentals | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | Title, I Part A | | \$995.00 |
| | | | <i>Notes: ESGI is utilized with kindergarten students for data analysis of learning letters, letter sounds, blends, digraphs, shapes, number recognition, etc..</i> | | | |
| | 5100 | 369-Technology-Related Rentals | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | Title, I Part A | | \$7,100.00 |
| | | | <i>Notes: Renaissance Accelerated Reader and STAR are online platforms used to assess reading, provide differentiated independent reading practice, and to motivate students to read.</i> | | | |
| | 5100 | 369-Technology-Related Rentals | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | Title, I Part A | | \$3,295.00 |
| | | | <i>Notes: Reflex is an online program utilized for mathematical fact fluency.</i> | | | |
| | 5100 | 120-Classroom Teachers | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | Title, I Part A | | \$3,510.00 |
| | | | <i>Notes: During summer data analysis and disaggregation teacher will utilized student data to formulate plans for small group interventions as well as make adjustments to focus calendars and pacing plans.</i> | | | |
| | 5100 | 520-Textbooks | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | General Fund | | \$18,075.87 |
| | | | <i>Notes: Go Math, Social Studies Weekly, and Reading Street Wordly Wise texts are utilized to provide standards aligned core instruction.</i> | | | |
| 3 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Standards-aligned Instruction | | | | \$42,332.45 |

| | Function | Object | Budget Focus | Funding Source | FTE | 2020-21 |
|--|----------|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------|---------------|---------------------|
| | 5100 | 120-Classroom Teachers | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | Title, I Part A | | \$24,000.00 |
| | | | <i>Notes: The NWES Afterschool Program will target priority subgroups, including migrant students, as well as Tier 2 and 3 students for additional instruction.</i> | | | |
| | 5100 | 150-Aides | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | Title, I Part A | | \$500.00 |
| | | | <i>Notes: The NWES Afterschool Program paras will assist teachers in providing additional instruction for priority subgroups, including migrant students, as well as Tier 2 and 3 students for additional instruction.</i> | | | |
| | 6400 | 330-Travel | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | Title, I Part A | | \$350.00 |
| | | | <i>Notes: The Florida Association for Media in Education (FAME) annual conference is attended by the media specialist, who provides engaging literacy activities school-wide for both print and technological literacy.</i> | | | |
| | 6400 | 330-Travel | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | Title, I Part A | | \$1,200.00 |
| | | | <i>Notes: Future of Education Technology Conference (FETC) attendees provide ongoing training for use of instructional technology in the classroom for all teachers.</i> | | | |
| | 5100 | 510-Supplies | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | Title, I Part A | | \$6,000.00 |
| | | | <i>Notes: Supplies will be provided for STEM activities, text sets, learning center materials, and project based/supplementary learning materials (\$150 per class).</i> | | | |
| | 5100 | 510-Supplies | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | Title, I Part A | | \$1,000.00 |
| | | | <i>Notes: Materials will be purchased to expand our Makerspace Area in the Media Center, which is used K-5) and to replenish Makerspace supplies.</i> | | | |
| | 5100 | 510-Supplies | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | Title, I Part A | | \$1,200.00 |
| | | | <i>Notes: Consumable instructional materials as well as paper will be provided for afterschool program instruction.</i> | | | |
| | 5100 | 644-Computer Hardware Non-Capitalized | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | Title, I Part A | | \$2,500.00 |
| | | | <i>Notes: Five iPads will be purchased for checkout for STEAM lessons K-5.</i> | | | |
| | 5100 | | 0111 - North Wauchula Elementary Schl | General Fund | | \$5,582.45 |
| | | | <i>Notes: Saxon Phonics is used in grades K-2 to provide explicit instruction in Phonics.</i> | | | |
| | | | | | Total: | \$121,026.35 |