Miami-Dade County Public Schools

Pinecrest North Preparatory (Fontainebleau Campus)



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	14
Positive Culture & Environment	14
Budget to Support Goals	0

Pinecrest North Preparatory (Fontainebleau Campus)

8925 FONTAINEBLEAU BLVD, Miami, FL 33172

www.pinecrestnorthprep.com

Demographics

Principal: Victoria Larrauri

Start Date for this Principal: 8/25/2020

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School KG-8
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education
2019-20 Title I School	No
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	68%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Asian Students Hispanic Students Economically Disadvantaged Students
School Grades History	2018-19: No Grade 2017-18: No Grade 2016-17: No Grade 2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info	ormation*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. Fo	or more information, click here.

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	10
Planning for Improvement	14
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

Pinecrest North Preparatory (Fontainebleau Campus)

8925 FONTAINEBLEAU BLVD, Miami, FL 33172

www.pinecrestnorthprep.com

2019 20 Economically

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2019-20 Title I School	Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Combination School KG-8	No	71%

Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
K-12 General Education	Yes	98%

School Grades History

Year

Grade

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

The mission of Pinecrest North Preparatory is to provide individualized instruction, in a nurturing environment, ensuring college and career success, using rigor, relevance, and relationships to empower students to become tomorrow's global leaders.

Provide the school's vision statement.

The vision of Pinecrest North Preparatory is to empower lifelong learners with knowledge and values required for productive global leadership.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Larrauri, Victoria	Principal	
Marrero, Ailin	Assistant Principal	
Sardina, Janet	Other	
Vasquez, Illeana	Teacher, ESE	
Rubio, Melissa	School Counselor	

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Tuesday 8/25/2020, Victoria Larrauri

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

33

Demographic Data

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served	Combination School
(per MSID File)	KG-8

Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	K-12 General Education				
2019-20 Title I School	No				
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	68%				
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities English Language Learners Asian Students Hispanic Students Economically Disadvantaged Students				
	2018-19: No Grade				
	2017-18: No Grade				
School Grades History	2016-17: No Grade				
	2015-16: No Grade				
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) In	I formation*				
SI Region	Southeast				
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield				
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A				
Year					
Support Tier					
ESSA Status					
As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Cod	e. For more information, click here				

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	53	82	61	83	75	161	107	23	0	0	0	0	645
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	8

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	3	3	1	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2

Date this data was collected or last updated

Tuesday 9/29/2020

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	46	36	20	72	69	113	25	26	0	0	0	0	407
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level											Total		
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

ladicator	Grade Level											Total		
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Number of students enrolled	0	46	36	20	72	69	113	25	26	0	0	0	0	407
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						Gr	ade	e Le	evel					Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOtal
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level										Total			
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sahaal Crada Companant		2019		2018				
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State		
ELA Achievement	0%	63%	61%	0%	59%	57%		
ELA Learning Gains	0%	61%	59%	0%	59%	57%		
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	57%	54%	0%	55%	51%		
Math Achievement	0%	67%	62%	0%	62%	58%		
Math Learning Gains	0%	63%	59%	0%	60%	56%		
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	56%	52%	0%	52%	50%		
Science Achievement	0%	56%	56%	0%	53%	53%		
Social Studies Achievement	0%	80%	78%	0%	75%	75%		

	EW	S Indic	ators a	ıs Inpu	t Earlie	er in the	e Surve	e y		
Indicator			Grade	e Level	(prior y	ear rep	orted)			Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	างเลา
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	mparison					
04	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	mparison	0%				
05	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	mparison	0%				
06	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	mparison	0%				
07	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	mparison	0%				
08	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	mparison	0%			•	

			MATH	ł		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison					
04	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
05	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
06	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				

			MATH	1		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
07	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Com	nparison	0%				
08	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Com	nparison	0%				

			SCIENC	Œ		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison					
08	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Com	nparison	0%				

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		CIVIC	S EOC	•	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
•		HISTO	RY EOC	•	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		ALGEB	RA EOC	•	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					

GEOMETRY EOC										
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State					
2019										
2018										

Subgroup Data

2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Pinecrest North Preparatory is a new school as such there is no comparison data from the prior academic school year and due to Covid-19 there was no testing during the 2019-2020 school year.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Pinecrest North Preparatory is a new school as such there is no comparison data from the prior academic school year and due to Covid-19 there was no testing during the 2019-2020 school year.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Pinecrest North Preparatory is a new school as such there is no comparison data from the prior academic school year and due to Covid-19 there was no testing during the 2019-2020 school year.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Pinecrest North Preparatory is a new school as such there is no comparison data from the prior academic school year and due to Covid-19 there was no testing during the 2019-2020 school year.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

Pinecrest North Preparatory is a new school as such there is no comparison data from the prior academic school year and due to Covid-19 there was no testing during the 2019-2020 school year.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. New school, with no data there are no concerns at this time.
- 3.
- 4.
- 5.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Other specifically relating to Reading

Area of Focus

Description and Reading is used throughout the curriculum and across all subject areas.

Rationale:

Measurable Outcome:

The school will perform at or above the district average on the ELA FSA.

Person

responsible for

monitoring outcome:

Victoria Larrauri (vlarrauri@dadeschools.net)

The following resources are used to help students achieve grade-level learning gains

and measure school-wide achievement goals:

Evidence-based

Strategy:

i-Readv

Interventionist

Support Coach Books

Rationale for

Pinecrest Inc. has utilized these strategies with students of similar demographics and **Evidence-based** have had success. These are time-tested strategies that have been used with this

Strategy: area of focus and students have made learning gains.

Action Steps to Implement

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

Pinecrest North Preparatory is a new school as such there is no comparison data from the prior academic school year and due to Covid-19 there was no testing during the 2019-2020 school year.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

Stakeholders play a key role in our student life, school performance, addressing equity, and assist in the promotion of a healthy school culture. We hold quarterly PALS and EESAC meetings where our teachers, students, families of students, volunteers and business partners participate in to employ school improvement strategies that impact an overall positive school culture. We also host on-campus activities that provide opportunities for our all of our stakeholders to participate.

Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.