**Miami-Dade County Public Schools** 

# Somerset Preparatory Academy Middle School



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

| School Demographics            | 3  |
|--------------------------------|----|
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4  |
| School Information             | 7  |
| Needs Assessment               | 10 |
| Planning for Improvement       | 14 |
| Positive Culture & Environment | 16 |
| Budget to Support Goals        | 17 |

# **Somerset Preparatory Academy Middle School (Homestead)**

3000 SE 9TH ST, Homestead, FL 33035

[ no web address on file ]

# **Demographics**

Principal: Jessica Mesa

Start Date for this Principal: 7/1/2019

| 2019-20 Status<br>(per MSID File)   | Active  |
|---|---|
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)   | Middle School<br>6-8  |
| Primary Service Type<br>(per MSID File)   | K-12 General Education  |
| 2019-20 Title I School  | Yes   |
| 2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)   | 72%   |
| 2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students Hispanic Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students |
| School Grades History   | 2018-19: No Grade<br>2017-18: No Grade<br>2016-17: No Grade<br>2015-16: No Grade  |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Info  | ormation*   |
| SI Region   | Southeast   |
| Regional Executive Director   | <u>LaShawn Russ-Porterfield</u>   |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle   | N/A   |
| Year  |   |
| Support Tier  |   |
| ESSA Status   |   |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For   | or more information, click here.  |

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Table of Contents**

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4  |
|--------------------------------|----|
|                                |    |
| School Information             | 7  |
|                                |    |
| Needs Assessment               | 10 |
| Needs Assessment               | 10 |
|                                |    |
| Planning for Improvement       | 14 |
|                                | _  |
| Title I Requirements           | 0  |
|                                |    |
| Budget to Support Goals        | 17 |

# **Somerset Preparatory Academy Middle School (Homestead)**

3000 SE 9TH ST, Homestead, FL 33035

[ no web address on file ]

#### **School Demographics**

| School Type and Grades Served           |                        | 2019-20 Economically      |
|---|------------------------|---------------------------|
| - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 2019-20 Title I School | Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate  |
| (per MSID File)                         |                        | (as reported on Survey 3) |

Middle School 6-8

No

(ac repented on ea

Primary Service Type (per MSID File)

**Charter School** 

2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)

84%

K-12 General Education

Yes

92%

#### **School Grades History**

Year

Grade

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

#### **Part I: School Information**

#### **School Mission and Vision**

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

Somerset Academy, Inc. promotes a transformational culture that maximizes student achievement and the development of accountable, global learners in a safe and enriching environment that fosters high-quality education.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

Empowering students to explore global learning opportunities to promote and enrich their communities and the communities we serve.

#### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name             | Title                  | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Mesa, Jessica    | Assistant Principal    |                                 |
| Andrade, Carolyn | Administrative Support |                                 |
| Sanders, Joshua  | Dean                   |                                 |
| Lopez, Alina     | Principal              |                                 |

#### Demographic Information

#### Principal start date

Monday 7/1/2019, Jessica Mesa

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

C

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

10

#### **Demographic Data**

| 2020-21 Status<br>(per MSID File) | Active |
|-----------------------------------|--------|
|-----------------------------------|--------|

| School Type and Grades Served   | Middle Calcal   |
|---|---|
| (per MSID File)   | Middle School<br>6-8  |
| Primary Service Type<br>(per MSID File)   | K-12 General Education  |
| 2019-20 Title I School  | Yes   |
| 2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)   | 72%   |
| 2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students Hispanic Students White Students Economically Disadvantaged Students |
| School Grades History   | 2018-19: No Grade<br>2017-18: No Grade<br>2016-17: No Grade<br>2015-16: No Grade  |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) I   | <br>nformation*   |
| SI Region   | Southeast   |
| Regional Executive Director   | LaShawn Russ-Porterfield  |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle   | N/A   |
| Year  |   |
| Support Tier  |   |
| ESSA Status   |   |
| 20071 Otatao  |   |

# **Early Warning Systems**

#### **Current Year**

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator                                 |   |   | Grade Level |   |   |   |    |    |    |   |    |    | Total |       |
|---|---|---|-------------|---|---|---|----|----|----|---|----|----|-------|-------|
| mulcator                                  | K | 1 | 2           | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12    | TOLAT |
| Number of students enrolled               | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 75 | 75 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0     | 207   |
| Attendance below 90 percent               | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0     |       |
| One or more suspensions                   | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0     |       |
| Course failure in ELA                     | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0     |       |
| Course failure in Math                    | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0     |       |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment  | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6  | 11 | 12 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0     | 29    |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8  | 16 | 15 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0     | 39    |

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            |   |   | Grade Level |   |   |   |    |    |    |   |    |    |    |       |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|-------------|---|---|---|----|----|----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| mulcator                             | K | 1 | 2           | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 19 | 23 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 55    |

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator                           |   | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator                           | K | 1           | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |       |

#### Date this data was collected or last updated

Friday 9/18/2020

#### Prior Year - As Reported

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator                     | Grade Level | Total |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Number of students enrolled   |             |       |
| Attendance below 90 percent   |             |       |
| One or more suspensions       |             |       |
| Course failure in ELA or Math |             |       |

Level 1 on statewide assessment

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicate | or Grade Level | Total |
|----------|----------------|-------|
|          |                |       |

Students with two or more indicators

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator                           | Grade Level | Total |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------|
| Retained Students: Current Year     |             |       |
| Students retained two or more times |             |       |

#### **Prior Year - Updated**

#### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator                       | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |   |    | Total |    |       |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|---|----|-------|----|-------|
| indicator                       | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9 | 10 | 11    | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 69 | 72 | 0 | 0  | 0     | 0  | 208   |
| Attendance below 90 percent     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4  | 1  | 5  | 0 | 0  | 0     | 0  | 10    |
| One or more suspensions         | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0  | 0     | 0  |       |
| Course failure in ELA or Math   | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0  | 0     | 0  |       |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0 | 0  | 0     | 0  |       |

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator                            | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    | Total |    |       |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|-------|----|-------|
| indicator                            | K           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11    | 12 | TOtal |
| Students with two or more indicators |             | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0     | 0  |       |

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator                           | Grade Level |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    | Total |    |       |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|-------|----|-------|
| Indicator                           |             | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11    | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year     | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0     | 0  |       |
| Students retained two or more times | 0           | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0     | 0  |       |

# Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

#### **School Data**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| Sahaal Grada Companant      |        | 2019     |       | 2018   |          |       |  |  |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|--|--|
| School Grade Component      | School | District | State | School | District | State |  |  |
| ELA Achievement             | 0%     | 58%      | 54%   | 0%     | 53%      | 52%   |  |  |
| ELA Learning Gains          | 0%     | 58%      | 54%   | 0%     | 55%      | 54%   |  |  |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile  | 0%     | 52%      | 47%   | 0%     | 48%      | 44%   |  |  |
| Math Achievement            | 0%     | 58%      | 58%   | 0%     | 54%      | 56%   |  |  |
| Math Learning Gains         | 0%     | 56%      | 57%   | 0%     | 56%      | 57%   |  |  |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | 0%     | 54%      | 51%   | 0%     | 51%      | 50%   |  |  |
| Science Achievement         | 0%     | 52%      | 51%   | 0%     | 50%      | 50%   |  |  |
| Social Studies Achievement  | 0%     | 74%      | 72%   | 0%     | 70%      | 70%   |  |  |

| EW        | /S Indicators as In               | put Earlier in th | e Survey |         |  |  |  |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|----------|---------|--|--|--|
| Indicator | Grade Level (prior year reported) |                   |          |         |  |  |  |
| Indicator | 6                                 | 7                 | 8        | - Total |  |  |  |
|           | (0)                               | (0)               | (0)      | 0 (0)   |  |  |  |

# **Grade Level Data**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

|            |          |        | ELA      |                                   |       |                                |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade      | Year     | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |
| 06         | 2019     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2018     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Com | nparison |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 07         | 2019     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2018     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Con | nparison | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 80         | 2019     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2018     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Com | nparison | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |

|            |          |        | MATH     | 1                                 |       |                                |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade      | Year     | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |
| 06         | 2019     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2018     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Con | nparison |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 07         | 2019     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2018     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Con | nparison | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |
| 08         | 2019     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
|            | 2018     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |
| Cohort Con | nparison | 0%     |          |                                   |       |                                |

|            | SCIENCE  |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------|----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Grade      | Year     | School | District | School-<br>District<br>Comparison | State | School-<br>State<br>Comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08         | 2019     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|            | 2018     |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort Com | nparison |        |          |                                   |       |                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|      | BIOLOGY EOC |          |                             |       |                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------|-------------|----------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year | School      | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2019 |             |          |                             |       |                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2018 |             |          |                             |       |                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

|      |        | CIVIC    | CS EOC                      |       |                          |
|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2019 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
| 2018 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
|      |        | HISTO    | RY EOC                      |       |                          |
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2019 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
| 2018 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
|      |        | ALGEI    | BRA EOC                     | •     |                          |
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2019 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
| 2018 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
| ·    |        | GEOME    | TRY EOC                     |       |                          |
| Year | School | District | School<br>Minus<br>District | State | School<br>Minus<br>State |
| 2019 |        |          |                             |       |                          |
| 2018 |        |          |                             |       |                          |

# Subgroup Data

|           |   | 2019      | SCHO              | DL GRAD      | E COMF     | ONENT              | S BY SU     | JBGRO      | UPS          |                         |                           |
|-----------|---|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA<br>Ach.                               | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2017-18 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2017-18 |
|           | 2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS |           |                   |              |            |                    |             |            |              |                         |                           |
| Subgroups | ELA<br>Ach.                               | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2016-17 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2016-17 |
|           |   | 2017      | SCHO              | DL GRAD      | E COMP     | ONENT              | S BY SI     | JBGRO      | UPS          |                         |                           |
| Subgroups | ELA<br>Ach.                               | ELA<br>LG | ELA<br>LG<br>L25% | Math<br>Ach. | Math<br>LG | Math<br>LG<br>L25% | Sci<br>Ach. | SS<br>Ach. | MS<br>Accel. | Grad<br>Rate<br>2015-16 | C & C<br>Accel<br>2015-16 |

# **ESSA** Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

| ESSA Federal Index                           |     |
|--|-----|
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)                 |     |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students         |     |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students | N/A |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target |     |

| ESSA Federal Index  |  |
|---|--|
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency |  |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index                                       |  |
| Total Components for the Federal Index  |  |
| Percent Tested  |  |

#### **Subgroup Data**

#### **Analysis**

#### **Data Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Currently our school does not have data for the 2019-2020 school year given Covid-19 and suspension of statewide assessments. We analyzed the school's iReady data and determined that students would benefit from additional support in Math. Lack of data from the previous year and inexperienced staff were factors in the low mathematics student performance scores.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Since our school's first year of operation was 2019-2020, we do not have data to compare or project trends since they did not assess in our initial year. In looking at results of the district's science baseline assessments, we felt that students would benefit from additional support in 8th grade science. Lack of data and inexperienced staff were factors in the low science student performance scores in school-based assessments.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

The school could not determine he components with the greatest gaps due to the lack of data due to Covid-19 and the suspension of State Assessments in 2020. The school did use iReady and other assessments at the end of the year to analyze gaps by grade level and subject area.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

The school could not determine areas of improvement due to lack of data due to Covid-19 and the suspension of State Assessments in 2020. The school did use iReady and other assessments at the end of the year to analyze growth by grade level and subject area.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

8th grade is now showing the highest percentage in EWS indicators. After reflecting on the data, 8th grade would be the grade level in which we would focus on. Initial baseline assessments will help identify the subgroups that will be targeted.

# Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Math Performance
- 2. Science Achievement
- 3. ELA Achievement

# Part III: Planning for Improvement

#### Areas of Focus:

#### #1. Other specifically relating to MATH Performance

Area of Focus

Description

When reviewing EWS data it is evident that our students need assistance in Math.

and

Rationale:

Measurable Outcome:

We plan to bridge the academic gap by achieving gains with our students, including our lowest 25% (once they are identified) . We are expecting more than 50% of our lowest 25%

to make annual gains.

Person responsible

for

Carolyn Andrade (candrade@somersetprephomestead.com)

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased Frequent progress monitoring using consistent iReady assessment tools across all teachers teaching the subject area to gauge; provide tutoring opportunities and online

resources to assist in remote learning.

Strategy: Rationale

for Evidence-

based

Frequently assessing student progress will ensure students are on-track to master standards. Tutoring will supplement their classroom instruction and help fill gaps in their mathematical abilities. Providing each student with online resources will help with the facilitation of learning and knowledge acquisition.

Strategy:

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

- 1. Create topic assessments to be used to progress monitor, implement a schedule for administering the assessments.
- 2. Tutoring
- 3. Online purchase of textbooks to facilitate remote learning instruction
- 4. iReady usage with fidelity

Person Responsible

Carolyn Andrade (candrade@somersetprephomestead.com)

#### #2. Other specifically relating to Science Achievement

Area of

Focus Description and

Last year we did not have any formal assessments, however, when comparing data from our baseline to our midyear there was little to no growth across all benchmarks on the 8th grade science assessments.

Rationale:

Measurable Outcome:

The percent of students earning a 3 or higher on the 8th Grade Science FCAT will be at or

higher than our neighboring schools.

Person responsible

for Carolyn Andrade (candrade@somersetprephomestead.com)

monitoring outcome:

Evidence-

Frequent progress monitoring using consistent assessment tools across all teachers teaching the subject area to gauge; provide tutoring opportunities and online resources to

Strategy: assist in remote learning. Edgenuity will be used as supplemental resource.

Rationale

based

for Evidencebased Strategy:

Frequently assessing student progress will ensure students are on-track to master standards. Tutoring will supplement their classroom instruction and help fill gaps in their science knowledge. Providing each student with online resources will help with the

facilitation of learning and knowledge acquisition.

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

- 1. Create topic assessments to be used to progress monitor, implement a schedule for administering the assessments.
- 2. Tutoring
- 3. Online purchase of textbooks to facilitate remote learning instruction

Person Responsible

Carolyn Andrade (candrade@somersetprephomestead.com)

#### #3. Other specifically relating to ELA Achievement

Area of

Focus
Description

An area of focus will be proficiency on the 2021 ELA FSA. In 2020, students in grades 6-8

showed marginal gains on the iReady Mid-Year Assessment.

Rationale:

and

Measurable Percentage of student scoring a 3 or higher on the 2021 FSA ELA will be at or above the District's neighboring schools. More than 50% of the Lowest 25% in ELA will show annual

learning gains.

Person

Outcome:

responsible

for Carolyn Andrade (candrade@somersetprephomestead.com)

monitoring outcome:

Evidencebased

Strategy:

Tutoring, Push in, Pull out and afterschool tutoring coupled with the use of our i-Ready

program and Reading Plus.

Rationale for

Individualized targeted tutoring will support students in filling gaps in reading

Evidencebased Strategy: comprehension skills. The i-Ready program is a differentiated research-based online remediation program aimed at filling gaps. Purchase on-line resources to facilitate remote

learning instruction.

#### **Action Steps to Implement**

- 1. i-Ready program usage with fidelity
- 2. Provide ELA tutoring opportunities
- 3. Implement Reading Plus with our intensive reading students
- 4. Additional online purchase of texts to facilitate remote learning instruction

Person

Responsible

Carolyn Andrade (candrade@somersetprephomestead.com)

#### Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

School leadership will ensure that teachers have proper support and implement our curriculum and online components with fidelity. We will also ensure that tutoring is aligned with science benchmarks and that our paraprofessionals help with push in and pull out tutoring as well as with Saturday tutoring.

#### Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

Last year we began a new initiative, the school created a Parent Academy, which aims to involve parents and the community in the school and develop the capacity of families to support their child's education. The Academy will meet virtually 6 times during the year and engage participants in topics such as parenting skills, navigating the path to college, how to best support children in school, etc.

The school also hosts a variety of events open to families and the community, for example, the Hispanic Heritage Expo and Black History Showcase. Furthermore extracurricular clubs, such as the National Junior Honor Society and Key Club, prepare students to be leaders for the public and engage in a variety of community service projects. These events will continue to take place per CDC guidelines.

Furthermore, the counseling team has partnered with community organizations to provide resources to families, such as counseling and information and services during the school's Wellness Fair. The school has also teamed with local restaurants and businesses (e.g., Texas Roadhouse to raise funds for the school's various organizations). These events will continue to take place per CDC guidelines.

#### Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

#### Part V: Budget

#### The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

| 1   | III.A.                   | Areas of Focus: Other: MATI  | \$21,655.00                                      |                 |             |             |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------------|--|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
|   | Function                 | Object                       | Budget Focus                                     | Funding Source  | FTE         | 2020-21     |
|   | 6000                     | 100-Salaries                 | 6046 - Somerset PREP<br>Academy Middle Homestead | Title, I Part A |             | \$21,655.00 |
|   | Notes: Paraprofessionals |                              |  |                 |             |             |
| 2   | III.A.                   | Areas of Focus: Other: Scier | \$12,800.00                                      |                 |             |             |
|   | Function                 | Object                       | Budget Focus                                     | Funding Source  | FTE         | 2020-21     |
|   | 3374                     |                              | 6046 - Somerset PREP<br>Academy Middle Homestead | Title, I Part A |             | \$12,800.00 |
| Notes: Edgenuity                                |                          |                              |  |                 |             |             |
| 3 III.A. Areas of Focus: Other: ELA Achievement |                          |                              |  |                 | \$21,400.00 |             |

# Dade - 6046 - Somerset PREP Academy Middle Homestead - 2020-21 SIP

| Fund | tion | Object | Budget Focus                                     | Funding Source  | FTE    | 2020-21     |
|------|------|--------|--|-----------------|--------|-------------|
| 33   | 74   |        | 6046 - Somerset PREP<br>Academy Middle Homestead | Title, I Part A |        | \$16,400.00 |
|      |      |        | Notes: iReady                                    |                 |        |             |
| 59   | 00   |        | 6046 - Somerset PREP<br>Academy Middle Homestead | Title, I Part A |        | \$5,000.00  |
|      |      |        | Notes: Reading Plus                              |                 |        |             |
|      |      |        |  |                 | Total: | \$55,855.00 |