**Miami-Dade County Public Schools** 

# **North Gardens High School**



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	11
Planning for Improvement	15
Positive Culture & Environment	16
Budget to Support Goals	16

# **North Gardens High School**

4692F NW 183RD ST, Miami Gardens, FL 33055

[ no web address on file ]

# **Demographics**

Principal: Laura De Ferre IR A

Start Date for this Principal: 9/19/2020

<b>2019-20 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Active								
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 9-12								
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education								
2019-20 Title I School	No								
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	27%								
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students*								
School Grades History	2018-19: No Grade 2017-18: No Grade 2016-17: No Grade 2015-16: No Grade								
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Infe	ormation*								
SI Region	Southeast								
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield								
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A								
Year									
Support Tier									
ESSA Status	CS&I								
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. F	or more information, <u>click here</u> .								

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

## **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridacims.org">www.floridacims.org</a>.

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

# **Table of Contents**

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	7
Needs Assessment	11
Planning for Improvement	15
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	16

# **North Gardens High School**

4692F NW 183RD ST, Miami Gardens, FL 33055

[ no web address on file ]

## **School Demographics**

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2019-20 Title I School	2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
High School 9-12	No	%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
Alternative Education	Yes	%
School Grades History		
Year Grade	2012-13	2011-12

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the Dade County School Board.

#### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

#### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## **Part I: School Information**

#### **School Mission and Vision**

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

The mission of North Gardens High School is to help at risk students earn a standard high school diploma and prepare for post secondary success.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

The vision of North Gardens High School is to provide quality education to all students regardless of their life circumstances, recognizing that at risk students have different needs, learn at different rates, and have diverse learning styles which cause many of these at risk students to drop out of school.

## School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Fernandez, Daniel		<ol> <li>Providing instructional leadership, contractual accountability, and day-to-day leadership of educational and operational activities of the school</li> <li>Recruiting, hiring, and retaining highly qualified school staff</li> <li>Leading all initiatives to ensure school meets defined instructional goals</li> <li>Collecting and analyzing school data as the basis for monitoring and improving the school's measurable outcomes and contractual obligations with a focus on a cycle of continuous improvement.</li> <li>Monitoring and evaluating staff performance systematically and regularly provide staff feedback and develop professional growth plans when necessary. Follow through with progressive discipline when expectations are not met.</li> <li>Leading staff to accomplish the defined accountability measures to include contractual obligations and federal, state, and district requirements.</li> </ol>

## **Demographic Information**

## Principal start date

Saturday 9/19/2020, Laura De Ferre IR A

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

## **Demographic Data**

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 9-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2019-20 Title I School	No
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	27%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students*
School Grades History	2018-19: No Grade 2017-18: No Grade 2016-17: No Grade 2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) In	formation*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	

ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Cod	e. For more information, click here.

# **Early Warning Systems**

## **Current Year**

## The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator			Grade Level												
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	109	100	104	322	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	6	16	79	112	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	9	15	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	5	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	25	153	179	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	74	177	257	

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	13	105	121

## The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level													
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

## Date this data was collected or last updated

Friday 9/25/2020

## **Prior Year - As Reported**

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													
	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	20	56	161	243
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	14	36	122	176
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5	16	27
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	18	47	139	210

# The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator						G	rad	e L	eve	el				Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	40	111	160

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

In diastan	Grade Level													Total
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# **Prior Year - Updated**

# The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	20	56	161	243
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	14	36	122	176
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5	16	27
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	18	47	139	210

## The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	40	111	160

## The number of students identified as retainees:

lu dia sta u	Grade Level												Total	
Indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

# Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

## **School Data**

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019		2018				
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State		
ELA Achievement	0%	59%	56%	0%	56%	53%		
ELA Learning Gains	0%	54%	51%	0%	51%	49%		
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	48%	42%	0%	45%	41%		
Math Achievement	0%	54%	51%	0%	47%	49%		
Math Learning Gains	0%	52%	48%	0%	47%	44%		
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	51%	45%	0%	45%	39%		
Science Achievement	0%	68%	68%	0%	63%	65%		
Social Studies Achievement	0%	76%	73%	0%	71%	70%		

E	EWS Indicators	as Input Ear	lier in the Su	ırvey	
Indicator	Gr	ade Level (pri	or year report	ed)	Total
indicator	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)

## **Grade Level Data**

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
09	2019	0%	55%	-55%	55%	-55%
	2018	0%	54%	-54%	53%	-53%
Same Grade C	omparison	0%				
Cohort Com	parison					
10	2019	20%	53%	-33%	53%	-33%
	2018	10%	54%	-44%	53%	-43%
Same Grade C	omparison	10%				
Cohort Com	parison	20%				

				MATH		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison

	SCIENCE												
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison							

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus	State	School Minus
Tear	3011001	DISTRICT	District	State	State
2019	12%	68%	-56%	67%	-55%
2018	8%	65%	-57%	65%	-57%
	ompare	4%	-51 /0	0370	-51 /0
	лпрагс		S EOC		
		CIVIO	School	1	School
Year	School	District	Minus	State	Minus
I cai	3011001	District	District	State	State
2019			District		State
2018					
2010		ШСТО	RY EOC		
		111310	School	1	School
Year	School	District	Minus	State	Minus
I Gai	3011001	District	District	State	State
2019	17%	71%	-54%	70%	-53%
2018	25%	67%	-42%	68%	-43%
	ompare	-8%	1270	0070	1070
	mparo		RA EOC		
		7(1011	School		School
Year	School	District	Minus	State	Minus
			District		State
2019	0%	63%	-63%	61%	-61%
2018	0%	59%	-59%	62%	-62%
Co	ompare	0%		•	
	•	GEOME	TRY EOC		
			School		School
Year	School	District	Minus	State	Minus
			District		State
2019	5%	54%	-49%	57%	-52%
2018	0%	54%	-54%	56%	-56%
Cc	ompare	5%		•	

# Subgroup Data

		2019	SCHO	OL GRAD	E COMF	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD											
ELL										3	
BLK	·			5				17		8	
HSP								13		6	

		2019	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMP	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
FRL										5	
		2018	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMP	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
		2017	SCHOO	DL GRAD	E COMP	ONENT	S BY SU	JBGRO	UPS		
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16

**ESSA Federal Index** 

# **ESSA** Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

Federal Index - Native American Students

Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?

ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CS&I
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	9
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	5
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	52
Total Components for the Federal Index	6
Percent Tested	89%
Subgroup Data	
Students With Disabilities	
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	0
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	2
English Language Learners	
Federal Index - English Language Learners	2
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	2

**Native American Students** 

N/A

Native American Students	
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	T
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	10
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	2
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	6
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	2
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
Federal Index - White Students	
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Economically Disadvantaged Students	
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students	5
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	YES
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	2

# Analysis

#### **Data Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Reading has been lowest performance data component. The student population at North Gardens is behind at least two grade levels and have still not passed the state assessment.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

Reading was the data component that showed the greatest decline. A factor contributing to this decline is student attendance.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

The attendance showed the greatest gap when compared to the state average. many of the students at North Gardens have jobs and other issues that impedes their attendance.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Mathematics showed the greatest improvement. Differentiated instruction and test prep helped address deficiencies.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

North Gardens will focus on increasing student gains in reading by 3 percentage points as reflected on the School Improvement Rating (SIR).

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Improve reading gains.
- 2. Improve attendance rates.
- 3. Maintain math gains.
- 4.
- 5.

# Part III: Planning for Improvement

#### Areas of Focus:

No activities were entered for this section.

#### **Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities**

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

Students with truancy issues will be placed on attendance contracts and monitored for improvement.

## **Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment**

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

Parents are invited to attend orientation meetings twice per year. Parents of new students enrolling in the school are invited to attend an orientation meeting prior to the student's start date. These meetings occur before every new student orientation. They receive weekly Progress Reports via email regarding their students' work and attendance during the week.

Every parent is contacted by phone at least once per month with a positive comment about their child. Parents are called when their child is absent from school. This occurs on a daily basis. Our administration and teachers are available by phone and in person during school hours. We encourage all contact with parents. The Principal, Family Support Specialist, and Career Coach provide services to students and families with the goal of encouraging community-based service providers to partner with the school to provide necessary services to students and their families.

## Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

# Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

Total:	\$0.00