Hillsborough County Public Schools

Adams Middle School



2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

| School Demographics | 3 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
| School Information | 7 |
| Needs Assessment | 13 |
| Planning for Improvement | 19 |
| Positive Culture & Environment | 21 |
| Budget to Support Goals | 21 |

Adams Middle School

10201 N BOULEVARD, Tampa, FL 33612

[no web address on file]

Demographics

Principal: Nish IR A Mitchell

Start Date for this Principal: 7/17/2019

| 2019-20 Status (per MSID File) | Active | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | Middle School 6-8 | | | | | | | |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education | | | | | | | |
| 2019-20 Title I School | Yes | | | | | | | |
| 2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 100% | | | | | | | |
| 2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* Multiracial Students* White Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students* | | | | | | | |
| School Grades History | 2018-19: D (37%) 2017-18: C (45%) 2016-17: C (50%) 2015-16: C (48%) | | | | | | | |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information* | | | | | | | | |
| SI Region | Central | | | | | | | |
| Regional Executive Director | Lucinda Thompson | | | | | | | |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A | | | | | | | |
| Year | YEAR 1 | | | | | | | |
| Support Tier | IMPLEMENTING | | | | | | | |
| ESSA Status | CS&I | | | | | | | |

* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more information, click here.

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at www.floridacims.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

| Purpose and Outline of the SIP | 4 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| School Information | 7 |
| Needs Assessment | 13 |
| Planning for Improvement | 19 |
| Title I Requirements | C |
| Budget to Support Goals | 21 |

Adams Middle School

10201 N BOULEVARD, Tampa, FL 33612

[no web address on file]

2040 20 Economically

School Demographics

| chool Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | 2019-20 Title I School | Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Middle School 6-8 | Yes | 91% | | | | | | |
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | Charter School | 2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2) | | | | | | |
| K-12 General Education | No | 88% | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

School Grades History

| Year | 2019-20 | 2018-19 | 2017-18 | 2016-17 |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Grade | D | D | С | С |

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Hillsborough County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

Act with respect Make responsible choices Stay safe

Provide the school's vision statement.

Adams will have a culturally conscious climate that champions advocacy for all by promoting an emphasis upon social emotional learning to promote achievement for students, faculty/staff, families, and the community.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

| Name Ti | tle | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|----------------------------------|-------|---|
| Mitchell, Nishira | cipal | Nishira Mitchell, Principal Crystal Gardiner, Assistant Principal Troy Hart, Assistant Principal Dr. Linda Perdue, Literacy Coach Lawrence Smith, Math Coach Sandra Galpin, Science Coach Kristina Sabina, Success Coach Sherry Barry, School Counselor Tonya Poole, School Counselor Gilbrette Berthier, Social Worker Deirdre Johnson, English Rode Pratts, Science Teacher Kevyn Jackman, Science Teacher The Leadership team meets weekly to biweekly. The purpose of the core leadership team to uphold and environment that is safe for learning. We collaborate and problem solve to ensure planning and implementation for high quality instructional practices utilizing the RTI/MTSS process. We are ensuring Tier I is in place for everyone and interventions/enrichment support students (Tiers 2/3) levels. |
| Maathis, Assis Reginald Princ | | Assistant Principal (Curriculum): Ms. Crystal Gardiner 6th grade, 7th grade N-Z, Instructional Leadership: Achievement Focus and Results Orientation School Leader Goals Aligned to Priorities- Mitchell, Harris, Gardiner Annual School Data Report: Mitchell, Gardiner, Harris Academic Field Trips/ Plays- Gardiner Extended Learning Program- Gardiner Master Schedule- Gardiner FTE(Full time equivalency)- Gardiner Programming/Innovative- Gardiner School Grade- Mitchell, Gardiner, Harris High School Readiness- Harris DNE(Did Not Enter)- Gardiner Field Trips: Harris Instructional Leadership- Instructional Expertise RADAR High Quality Professional Development Plan and Implementation-Mitchell Adams Curriculum- Gardiner High Quality EET Observations- Mitchell, Harris, Gardiner PLCs- Gardiner, Mitchell Informal Walkthroughs/ Pop ins- Mitchell, Harris, and Gardiner Pupil Progression Plan- Mitchell, Harris, Gardiner Testing Coordinator- Gardiner Interns/Pre Interns Contact- Harris |

| Name | Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|------|-------|---|
| | | Registrations(Behavior)- Harris Registrations (Academic)- Harris Adams New Teacher Induction Program- Gardiner Adams Buddy Pairing- Gardiner |
| | | Human Capital Management: Managing and Developing People Hiring and Retention of Highly Qualified- Harris, Gardiner, Mitchell Bookkeeper and Adams Budget- Mitchell Hiring and Retention of ESP: Clerical,- Harris Custodians, Nurses Success Coach Liaison- Gardiner School Counselor Liaison- Gardiner Academic Coaches, Specialists, S.A.L Gardiner Maintenance Requests and Follow Up- Harris ESE Liaison- Harris Substitutes/ Class Coverage- Gardiner Psychologist Liaison- Gardiner Student Nutrition Services- Harris Social Worker Liaison- Harris Onboarding- Mitchell, Harris, Gardiner Unit Allocation- Gardiner Data Processor- Gardiner School wide Inventory- Harris Adams Crime Watch- Harris Safety: Key Inventory- Harris Assemblies/ Pep Rallies: Harris, Gardiner Textbook Inventory- Gardiner Tech Support Liaison- Mitchell |
| | | Organizational/System Leadership: Culture Faculty Attendance- Harris Title IX- Mitchell, Gardiner, Harris HCTA Awareness- Mitchell, Harris, Gardiner Threat Assessment Lead: Mitchell, Harris, Gardiner ESP Contract Awareness- Mitchell, Harris, Gardiner Sunshine Committee/ Hospitality- Mitchell School Security Liaison- Mitchell, Harris Student Incentives- Harris School Resource Liaison- Mitchell, Harris Faculty Incentives- Gardiner Facilities Management and Use of Rentals- Harris Safety Coordinator- Harris Adams Climate/ Culture: Mitchell, Harris, Gardiner |
| | | Organizational/System Leadership: Problem Solving and Strategic Change Management School Improvement Plan- Mitchell, Harris, Gardiner Supervision and Safety: Mitchell, Harris, Gardiner Attendance KPI- Harris CRISIS Plan- Harris Behavior KPI- Harris, Gardiner Elopement Plan- Harris Course Performance KPI- Gardiner, Harris ILT- Mitchell, Harris, Gardiner Middle School Acceleration Liaison- Harris School Advisory Council- Mitchell, Harris, Gardiner MTSS Coordinator- Harris Restorative Justice Coordinator- Harris Internal Accounts/Title I: Mitchell, Harris, Gardiner UniSig Budget- Mitchell, Harris, Gardiner Community Outreach: Harris Equity: Mitchell, Harris, Gardiner PTSA: Mitchell, Harris, Gardiner PBIS- Harris Clubs/ Sports: Harris Recruiting- Mitchell, Harris, Gardiner |

| Name | Title | Job Duties and Responsibilities |
|------|-------|--|
| | | District Accountability Schools Lead- Mitchell |
| | | Critical Tasks: Teacher of the Year- Harris Teacher Beginning of the School Packet: Gardiner Support Employee of the Year- Harris Share out w/ agenda from all Admin Meetings: Mitchell, Harris, Gardiner Lockers- Harris Emergency Cards: Harris Uniforms/ Parent Participation Survey- Harris Transportation/ Buses/ Car line: Harris School Calendar- Harris, Mitchell Website: Harris, Gardiner Discipline(Referrals/Reports): Harris, Gardiner Immunizations: Harris Open House- Harris Faculty Duty Roster: Harris, Mitchell Academic Reports: Mitchell, Gardiner Bullying Prevention Coordinator: Harris Student Beginning of the School Packet: Harris Golden Eagle Weekly(Parentlink): Mitchell, Harris, Gardiner Golden Eagle PRIDE Committee: Harris Federal Connected Students:Cards-Harris United Way: UNICEF- Gardiner Work Detail Coordinator: Gardiner, Harris Ida S. Baker- Gardiner FBA Team- Gardiner |
| | | Pre Planning Agenda: Mitchell, Gardiner, Harris Lunch Duty Supervision- Harris, Gardiner Bell Schedules: Harris Faculty Access Parking: Harris Marque- Harris Faculty(College) Room Signs: Gardiners |
| | | Safety Drills- Harris Payroll- Mitchell Millionaires Club- Harris Conference Night- Mitchell |

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Wednesday 7/17/2019, Nish IR A Mitchell

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

1

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

7

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

Demographic Data

| (per MSID File) Active |
|------------------------|
|------------------------|

| School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File) | Middle School 6-8 | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Primary Service Type (per MSID File) | K-12 General Education | | | | | |
| 2019-20 Title I School | Yes | | | | | |
| 2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3) | 100% | | | | | |
| 2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk) | Students With Disabilities* English Language Learners* Black/African American Students* Hispanic Students* Multiracial Students* White Students* Economically Disadvantaged Students* | | | | | |
| School Grades History | 2018-19: D (37%) 2017-18: C (45%) 2016-17: C (50%) 2015-16: C (48%) | | | | | |
| 2019-20 School Improvement (SI) In | formation* | | | | | |
| SI Region | Central | | | | | |
| Regional Executive Director | Lucinda Thompson | | | | | |
| Turnaround Option/Cycle | N/A | | | | | |
| Year | YEAR 1 | | | | | |
| Support Tier | IMPLEMENTING | | | | | |
| ESSA Status | CS&I | | | | | |
| * As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Cod | le. For more information, click here. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|---|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOtal |
| Number of students enrolled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 259 | 188 | 233 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 680 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 59 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 163 |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Course failure in ELA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Course failure in Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 71 | 69 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 217 |
| Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 82 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 265 |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|---|----|----|----|-------|--|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOLAT | |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 26 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | | | | | | Gr | ade | e Le | vel | | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Date this data was collected or last updated

Thursday 10/29/2020

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | | | | | | | Grad | de Lev | /el | | | | | Total |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|------|--------|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOLAI |
| Number of students enrolled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 272 | 243 | 305 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 820 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 24 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | | | | | Gr | ade | e Le | vel | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOtal |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | Total | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|-------|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

| Indicator | Grade Level | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Number of students enrolled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 272 | 243 | 305 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 820 |
| Attendance below 90 percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| One or more suspensions | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 24 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| Course failure in ELA or Math | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Level 1 on statewide assessment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

| Indicator | | | | | | Gr | ade | e Le | vel | | | | | Total |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Students with two or more indicators | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

The number of students identified as retainees:

| Indicator | | | | | | Gr | ade | e Le | eve | | | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|------|-----|---|----|----|----|-------|
| Indicator | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| Retained Students: Current Year | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Students retained two or more times | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

| Sahaal Crada Companant | | 2019 | | | 2018 | |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-------|--------|----------|-------|
| School Grade Component | School | District | State | School | District | State |
| ELA Achievement | 28% | 51% | 54% | 35% | 50% | 52% |
| ELA Learning Gains | 34% | 52% | 54% | 46% | 53% | 54% |
| ELA Lowest 25th Percentile | 33% | 47% | 47% | 43% | 45% | 44% |
| Math Achievement | 33% | 55% | 58% | 45% | 54% | 56% |
| Math Learning Gains | 44% | 57% | 57% | 57% | 59% | 57% |
| Math Lowest 25th Percentile | 40% | 52% | 51% | 48% | 51% | 50% |
| Science Achievement | 26% | 47% | 51% | 34% | 47% | 50% |
| Social Studies Achievement | 31% | 67% | 72% | 54% | 66% | 70% |

| EW | /S Indicators as Ir | nput Earlier in th | e Survey | |
|-----------|---------------------|--------------------|----------|-------|
| Indicator | Grade L | evel (prior year r | eported) | Total |
| indicator | 6 | 7 | 8 | iolai |
| | (0) | (0) | (0) | 0 (0) |

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

| | | | ELA | | | |
|--------------|-----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 06 | 2019 | 28% | 53% | -25% | 54% | -26% |
| | 2018 | 26% | 52% | -26% | 52% | -26% |
| Same Grade C | omparison | 2% | | | | |
| Cohort Com | parison | | | | | |
| 07 | 2019 | 21% | 54% | -33% | 52% | -31% |
| | 2018 | 27% | 52% | -25% | 51% | -24% |
| Same Grade C | omparison | -6% | | | | |
| Cohort Com | parison | -5% | | | | |
| 08 | 2019 | 26% | 53% | -27% | 56% | -30% |
| | 2018 | 32% | 54% | -22% | 58% | -26% |
| Same Grade C | omparison | -6% | | | • | |
| Cohort Com | parison | -1% | | | | |

| | | | MATH | | | |
|--------------|-----------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 06 | 2019 | 24% | 49% | -25% | 55% | -31% |
| | 2018 | 30% | 48% | -18% | 52% | -22% |
| Same Grade C | omparison | -6% | | | | |
| Cohort Com | parison | | | | | |
| 07 | 2019 | 34% | 62% | -28% | 54% | -20% |
| | 2018 | 43% | 61% | -18% | 54% | -11% |
| Same Grade C | omparison | -9% | | | | |
| Cohort Com | parison | 4% | | | | |
| 08 | 2019 | 12% | 31% | -19% | 46% | -34% |
| | 2018 | 22% | 29% | -7% | 45% | -23% |
| Same Grade C | omparison | -10% | | | | |
| Cohort Com | parison | -31% | | | | |

| | | | SCIENCE | | | |
|-------|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison |
| 08 | 2019 | 23% | 47% | -24% | 48% | -25% |

| | SCIENCE | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|---------|--------|----------|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Grade | Year | School | District | School- District Comparison | State | School- State Comparison | | | |
| | 2018 | 25% | 48% | -23% | 50% | -25% | | | |
| Same Grade Comparison | | -2% | | | | | | | |
| Cohort Comparison | | | | | | | | | |

| | | BIOLO | GY EOC | | |
|------|--------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|--------------------------|
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | | | | | |
| 2018 | | | | | |
| | | CIVIC | S EOC | | |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | 29% | 67% | -38% | 71% | -42% |
| 2018 | 41% | 65% | -24% | 71% | -30% |
| Co | ompare | -12% | | | |
| | | HISTO | RY EOC | | |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | | | | | |
| 2018 | | | | | |
| | | ALGEB | RA EOC | • | |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | 67% | 63% | 4% | 61% | 6% |
| 2018 | 81% | 63% | 18% | 62% | 19% |
| Co | ompare | -14% | | <u> </u> | |
| | | GEOME | TRY EOC | | |
| Year | School | District | School Minus District | State | School Minus State |
| 2019 | | | | | |
| 2018 | | | | | |
| 2018 | | | | | |

Subgroup Data

| | 2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2017-18 | C & C Accel 2017-18 |
| SWD | 12 | 27 | 26 | 15 | 39 | 41 | 16 | 16 | | | |
| ELL | 13 | 31 | 37 | 23 | 38 | 37 | 6 | 16 | | | |
| ASN | 60 | 69 | | 80 | 77 | | | | | | |

| | | 2019 | SCHO | DL GRAD | E COMF | PONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|--------------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2017-18 | C & C Accel 2017-18 |
| BLK | 16 | 25 | 31 | 15 | 32 | 34 | 9 | 21 | 59 | | |
| HSP | 30 | 37 | 35 | 38 | 47 | 41 | 29 | 33 | 64 | | |
| MUL | 30 | 35 | | 48 | 52 | | 27 | | | | |
| WHT | 37 | 38 | 22 | 42 | 51 | 47 | 46 | 42 | 60 | | |
| FRL | 27 | 33 | 32 | 32 | 43 | 40 | 25 | 31 | 66 | | |
| | | 2018 | SCHO | OL GRAD | E COMP | ONENT | S BY SI | JBGRO | UPS | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2016-17 | C & C Accel 2016-17 |
| SWD | 20 | 31 | 22 | 17 | 41 | 30 | 28 | 20 | | | |
| ELL | 18 | 40 | 41 | 30 | 49 | 52 | 9 | 30 | 79 | | |
| ASN | 64 | 55 | | 64 | 64 | | | | | | |
| BLK | 23 | 36 | 33 | 32 | 52 | 50 | 15 | 33 | 80 | | |
| HSP | 34 | 45 | 42 | 44 | 55 | 51 | 27 | 53 | 78 | | |
| MUL | 33 | 38 | | 41 | 27 | | | | | | |
| WHT | 38 | 39 | 30 | 52 | 53 | 30 | 42 | 39 | 86 | | |
| FRL | 33 | 42 | 36 | 42 | 53 | 47 | 28 | 45 | 81 | | |
| | | 2017 | SCHO | OL GRAD | E COMP | PONENT | S BY SU | JBGRO | UPS | | |
| Subgroups | ELA Ach. | ELA LG | ELA LG L25% | Math Ach. | Math LG | Math LG L25% | Sci Ach. | SS Ach. | MS Accel. | Grad Rate 2015-16 | C & C Accel 2015-16 |
| SWD | 7 | 31 | 32 | 8 | 41 | 39 | 6 | 25 | | | |
| ELL | 16 | 43 | 48 | 30 | 58 | 47 | 10 | 43 | | | |
| BLK | 20 | 35 | 47 | 25 | 43 | 37 | 11 | 47 | 71 | | |
| HSP | 37 | 49 | 42 | 49 | 61 | 53 | 35 | 54 | 96 | | |
| MUL | 30 | 52 | | 52 | 56 | | | | | | |
| WHT | 45 | 49 | 39 | 57 | 63 | 56 | 49 | 56 | 81 | | |
| FRL | 33 | 46 | 44 | 43 | 55 | 45 | 31 | 53 | 90 | | |

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

| ESSA Federal Index | | | | | |
|---|------|--|--|--|--|
| ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I) | CS&I | | | | |
| OVERALL Federal Index – All Students | | | | | |
| OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students | YES | | | | |
| Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target | 5 | | | | |
| Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency | 48 | | | | |
| Total Points Earned for the Federal Index | 383 | | | | |
| Total Components for the Federal Index | 10 | | | | |
| Percent Tested | 99% | | | | |

| Subgroup Data | | | | | | |
|--|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
| Students With Disabilities | | | | | | |
| Federal Index - Students With Disabilities | 24 | | | | | |
| Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | YES | | | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32% | 2 | | | | | |
| English Language Learners | | | | | | |
| Federal Index - English Language Learners | 28 | | | | | |
| English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | YES | | | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32% | 1 | | | | | |
| Native American Students | | | | | | |
| Federal Index - Native American Students | | | | | | |
| Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A | | | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 | | | | | |
| Asian Students | | | | | | |
| Federal Index - Asian Students | 72 | | | | | |
| Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO | | | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American Students | | | | | | |
| Federal Index - Black/African American Students | 27 | | | | | |
| Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | YES | | | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32% | 1 | | | | | |
| Hispanic Students | | | | | | |
| Federal Index - Hispanic Students | 41 | | | | | |
| Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | NO | | | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 | | | | | |
| Multiracial Students | | | | | | |
| Federal Index - Multiracial Students | 38 | | | | | |
| Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | YES | | | | | |
| | 0 | | | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% | | | | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32% Pacific Islander Students | | | | | | |

| Pacific Islander Students | | | | | |
|--|-----|--|--|--|--|
| Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | N/A | | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32% | | | | | |
| White Students | | | | | |
| Federal Index - White Students | 43 | | | | |
| White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | | | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32% | | | | | |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students | | | | | |
| Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students | 38 | | | | |
| Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year? | YES | | | | |
| Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32% | 0 | | | | |

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Science achievement was at 26% percent proficiency. This can be attributed to the teacher skill in the classroom and lack of standard based instruction along side the continuous improvement model for achievement.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

School acceleration showed the greatest decline decreasing from 88 to 66 points. There were no school certifications and there would need to be a minimum of ten to positively contribute to our school data. Algebra I scores experienced a decline so the classroom instruction did not meet the needs of the students. In addition, there was a lack of reflective practice involving the continuous improvement model (plan, do, act, and check).

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Social studies achievement has the greatest gap. The teacher skill did not meet the needs of the students. In addition, there was a lack of reflective practice involving the continuous improvement model (plan, do, act, and check).

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

6th grade ELA scores went from 26% proficiency to 28 % proficiency. New actions cannot be accounted for as most of the staff is new to Adams.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

The under serving of five of the six ESSA subgroups are of a major concern. Our focus is to support each subgroup with a priority for supporting students with disabilities and english language learners. Students with disabilities and english language learners have been served below the federal index for one or more years.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Ensure that all students and faculty are safe.
- 2. Provide high quality, standards based instruction.
- 3. Enhance school culture and morale.
- 4. Increase student promotion rates.
- 5. Increase positive behavior.

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Standards-aligned Instruction

Area of

Focus
Description

Adams Middle School will increase teacher capacity for implementation and understanding of Florida State Standards through purposeful common planning sessions as well as implementation of standards-based instructional strategies.

and implementation of standards-based instructional strate
Rationale:

Measurable Outcome: Professional learning community reflection data alongside walkthrough data will reveal specific data regarding teacher capacity to provide on grade level instruction aligned to the content standards. Instructional planning and assessments will align to on grade level content standards specific to each content. Increase in teacher observation ratings for domain 1: planning and domain 3: instruction will support evidence for teacher capacity.

Person responsible

for [no one identified]

monitoring outcome:

Professional Learning Communities

Evidence- Learning Walks

based Professional Development

Strategy: Coaching Cycles

Peer learning labs for reflective practice

Professional learning communities focus upon peer exchange of ideas and fosters teachers to push their learning each time they attend their professional learning communities. This will allow teachers the forum to plan for implementation of standard based instruction as

Rationale for Evidence-based

Strategy:

well. Learning walks will be the leadership team's way of inspecting the expectation and providing continuous feedback upon what is going well and specifically where teachers need more support to inform meaningful professional development. Teacher participation in learning labs on their campus allows them to see their peers in action for the purpose of

reflecting upon standard target task alignment to support their own reflection and implementation. Coaching cycles allow the academic coaches to specifically support the needs of teachers and participate in the "I do", "we do", "you do" modeling approach.

Action Steps to Implement

Weekly learning walks
Teacher training for CPALMS
Coaching Cycles by science, literacy, and math
data chats
professional learning
common planning

Person

Responsible [no one identified]

Reflective practice learning walks with a laser focus upon standard-target task alignment

Person Responsible

Reginald Maathis (reginald.mathis@hcps.net)

Train teachers upon utilizing CPALMS

Person Responsible

Nishira Mitchell (nishira.mitchell@hcps.net)

Data Chats with PLCs and individual teachers regarding common assessment data

Person Responsible

Reginald Maathis (reginald.mathis@hcps.net)

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

The focus will be upon strengthening core instruction for all teachers. Strengthening core instruction will be facilitated by our instructional look fors and professional learning opportunities to support. Our ESSA subgroups (Hispanic, Black, Multiracial, Economically Disadvantaged, ELL, SWD) will be supported via core instruction aligned to standards. In addition, ESSA subgroups will be monitored and supported by academic coaches and student support services. They will be monitored for early warning indicators such as attendance, course performance, and behavior. They will also be celebrated via PBIS for achievements.

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

N/A

Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

| 1 | III.A. | Areas of Focus: Instructiona | \$346,974.39 | | | | |
|---|---|--|----------------------------|----------------|-----|-------------|--|
| | Function | Object | Budget Focus | Funding Source | FTE | 2020-21 | |
| | 6400 | 130-Other Certified Instructional Personnel | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | 1.0 | \$48,571.32 | |
| | Notes: Reading Coach Salary Less Indirect Cost Support instruction during vacancies. Support student, new teachers, and the entire faculty with school wide writing and reading strategies that promote achievement. Provide trainings for Adams faculty as well student- | | | | | | |

| | 6400 | 210-Retirement | bottom quartile, and gains, teacher ret | UniSIG | | \$4,113.99 |
|---|------|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | | | Notes: Reading Coach Retirement 8.4 | l 17% Less Indirect Cost | | . , |
| | 6400 | 220-Social Security | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | | \$3,011.42 |
| | | , | Notes: Reading Coach FICA 6.20% Lo | ess Indirect Cost | | <u> </u> |
| | 6400 | 220-Social Security | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | | \$704.28 |
| | | , | Notes: Reading Coach Medicare 1.45 | 1 % Less Indirect Cost | | |
| | 6400 | 240-Workers Compensation | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | | \$247.71 |
| | | | Notes: Reading Coach Workers Com | .51% Less Indirect Co | st | |
| | 6400 | 231-Health and Hospitalization | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | | \$9,228.55 |
| | | | Notes: Reading Coach Health Ins 19% | 6 Less Indirect Cost | | |
| | 5100 | 120-Classroom Teachers | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | 1.0 | \$51,328.88 |
| | | | Notes: Math Resource Salary Less Incacademy through specific coaching cy instruction during vacancies. Provide the engagement support sessions around FSA increase in achievement level, but semester exam data. Utilize small institute spring semester. | rcles from classroom o trainings for Adams fac l literacy in math. Supp ottom quartile, and gair | bservation of culty as well ort students as, teacher l | data. Support student-family and teachers for retention in math, |
| | 5100 | 210-Retirement | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | | \$4,347.56 |
| ' | | | Notes: Math Resource Retirement 8.4 | 7% Less Indirect Cost | • | |
| | 5100 | 220-Social Security | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | | \$3,182.39 |
| | | | Notes: Math Resource FICA 6.2% Les | ss Indirect Cost | | |
| | 5100 | 220-Social Security | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | | \$744.27 |
| | | | Notes: Math Resource Medicare 1.45 | % Less Indirect Cost | | |
| | 5100 | 240-Workers Compensation | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | | \$261.78 |
| | | | Notes: Math Resource Workers Comp | .51% Less Indirect Co | ost | |
| | 5100 | 231-Health and Hospitalization | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | | \$9,752.49 |
| · | | | Notes: Math Resource Health Ins 19% | Less Indirect Cost | | |
| | 5100 | 120-Classroom Teachers | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | 1.0 | \$51,328.88 |
| | | | Notes: Science Resource Salary Less teacher science academy. Support ins faculty as well student-family engager increase in achievement level, reading science, semester exam data. Utilize in the spring semester. | struction during vacano ment support sessions g increase across grad | cies. Provide around liter e level, tead | e training for Adams acy in science. SSA cher retention in |
| | 5100 | 210-Retirement | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | | \$4,347.56 |
| | | | Notes: Science Resource Retirement | 8.47%Less Indirect Co | ost | |
| | 5100 | 220-Social Security | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | | \$3,182.39 |
| | | | Notes: Science Resource FICA 6.2%L | ess Indirect Cost | | |
| | 5100 | 220-Social Security | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | | \$744.27 |
| | | | | | | |

| | | Notes: Science Resource Medicare 1. | 45% Less Indirect Cos | t | |
|----------|--|--|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 5100 | 240-Workers Compensation | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | | \$261.78 |
| | • | Notes: Science Resource Workers Co | mp .51% Less Indirect | Cost | |
| 5100 | 231-Health and Hospitalization | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | | \$9,752.49 |
| <u> </u> | 1 | Notes: Science Resource Health Ins 1 | 9% Less Indirect Cost | | |
| 6120 | 130-Other Certified Instructional Personnel | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | 1.0 | \$61,710.00 |
| | | Notes: Student Success Coach Salary vacancies. Support overage students emotional groups and academic plans for ssa increase in achievement level, data | and students with reter . Increase promotions | ntions throug by supporting | h small socio g student success |
| 6120 | 210-Retirement | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | 1.0 | \$5,226.84 |
| 1 | 1 | Notes: Student Success Coach Retire. | ment 8.47% Less Indir | ect Cost | |
| 6120 | 220-Social Security | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | 1.0 | \$3,826.02 |
| • | • | Notes: Student Success Coach FICA | 6.2% Less Indirect Cos | st | |
| 6120 | 220-Social Security | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | 1.0 | \$894.80 |
| | | Notes: Student Success Coach Medic | are 1.45% Less Indire | ct Cost | |
| 6120 | 240-Workers Compensation | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | 1.0 | \$314.72 |
| | | Notes: Student Success Coach Worke | er Comp .51% Less Inc | lirect Cost | |
| 6120 | 231-Health and Hospitalization | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | 1.0 | \$11,724.90 |
| | | Notes: Student Success Coach Health | n Ins 19% Less Indirec | t Cost | |
| 5100 | 120-Classroom Teachers | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | | \$26,325.00 |
| | | Notes: Tutorial - Extended Learning Profession of the Foundation o | e learning slide that oc | curred from N | |
| 5100 | 210-Retirement | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | | \$2,229.73 |
| - | • | Notes: Tutorial- Retirement @ 8.47% | | ' | |
| 5100 | 220-Social Security | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | | \$1,632.15 |
| 1 | | Notes: Tutorial- Social Security (FICA | @ 6.20%) | | |
| 5100 | 220-Social Security | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | | \$381.71 |
| | | Notes: Tutorial- Social Security (Medic | care @ 1.45%) | | |
| 5100 | 240-Workers Compensation | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | | \$134.26 |
| | | Notes: Tutorial- Workers Comp (Work | ers Comp @ .51%) | | |
| 5100 | 510-Supplies | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | | \$10,095.96 |
| | | Notes: Supplies- per 5% cap- for instrupencils and the like. \$10,095.96 share approximately \$180. | | | |
| 5100 | 648-Technology-Related Capitalized Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | | \$8,824.93 |

Hillsborough - 0041 - Adams Middle School - 2020-21 SIP

| | | Notes: The school will purchase 8 laptops at 995=7960 and 2 document cameras at 299 = 598. The technology will be provided to teachers as they conduct their data chats and monitor students progress. The document cameras will be used by teachers to support their lessons so students are able to view the text being shared as well as other academic areas. | | | | |
|------|---|---|--------|--------------|--|--|
| 5100 | 648-Technology-Related Capitalized Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment | 0041 - Adams Middle School | UniSIG | \$8,541.36 | | |
| · | Notes: The school will purchase 12 laptops at the cost of 701 =8,412.00. The laptops will provided to support small groups. Many of the laptops purchased with our UniSIG funds during COVID were returned damaged. | | | | | |
| | | | Total: | \$357,390.00 | | |