

2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

# **Table of Contents**

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	14
Positive Culture & Environment	15
Budget to Support Goals	0

St. Johns - 0421 - Hastings Juvenile Correctional - 2020-21 SIP

# **Hastings Juvenile Correctional**

765 E SAINT JOHNS AVE, Hastings, FL 32145

[ no web address on file ]

Demographics

## Principal: Michelle Montgomery

Start Date for this Principal: 8/2/2016

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Closed: 2021-06-30
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 6-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2019-20 Title I School	No
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	0%
<b>2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
	2018-19: No Grade
	2017-18: No Grade
School Grades History	2016-17: No Grade
	2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	Cassandra Brusca
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more int	formation, <u>click here</u> .

### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the St. Johns County School Board.

### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

## Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	14
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	0

St. Johns - 0421 - Hastings Juvenile Correctional - 2020-21 SIP

Hastings Juvenile Correctional
765 E SAINT JOHNS AVE, Hastings, FL 32145
[ no web address on file ]

### **School Demographics**

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2019-20 Title I School	2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
High School 6-12	No	%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	<b>2018-19 Minority Rate</b> (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
Alternative Education	No	%
School Grades History		
	Year	
	Grade	
School Board Approval		

#### **School Board Approval**

This plan is pending approval by the St. Johns County School Board.

### **SIP Authority**

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <a href="https://www.floridaCIMS.org">https://www.floridaCIMS.org</a>.

### Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

### **Part I: School Information**

#### School Mission and Vision

#### Provide the school's mission statement.

The mission of Hastings Youth Academy is to provide rigorous, relevant learning experiences that result in increased achievement for all students. Students will excel in all areas of academic learning by utilizing cooperative teaching strategies, and a project-based learning approach, while focusing on high expectations and critical thinking skills. Hastings Youth Academy will provide an atmosphere conducive to maximize each student's individual academic potential and positive self-esteem with support from parents, community, and business partners to help ensure a positive and safe culture.

#### Provide the school's vision statement.

Our vision at Hastings Youth Academy is to develop each student to his fullest potential through both academic and personal achievement. Because we serve juvenile offenders, we emphasize individual responsibility, citizenship, and compassion for others. We stress the total development of each student, not just academically but also morally, intellectually, and socially in an effort to provide them the solid foundation they will need upon re-entering back into the public school setting.

#### School Leadership Team

#### Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Montgomery, Michelle	Principal	Direct and manage instructional program and supervise operations and personnel at campus level. Provide leadership to ensure high standards of instructional service. Oversee compliance with district policies, success of instructional programs, and operation of all campus activities.
Church, Richard	SAC Member	Represents the Saint Johns County School District, shares information as it relates to resources, trends, etc. Supports the leadership team's effort to meet improvement plan goals.

#### **Demographic Information**

#### **Principal start date**

Tuesday 8/2/2016, Michelle Montgomery

**Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective.** *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.* 

0

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

0

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school 7

**Demographic Data** 

<b>2020-21 Status</b> (per MSID File)	Closed: 2021-06-30
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	High School 6-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Alternative Education
2019-20 Title I School	No
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	0%
<b>2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented</b> (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
	2018-19: No Grade
	2017-18: No Grade
School Grades History	2016-17: No Grade
	2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information*	
SI Region	Northeast
Regional Executive Director	Cassandra Brusca
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more	information, <u>click here</u> .

### Early Warning Systems

### **Current Year**

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator		Grade Level													
mulcator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	12	3	3	0	30	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	9	1	1	0	21	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	9	1	1	0	21	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
Indicator	К	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	9	1	1	0	21

### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiantar	Grade Level														
Indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	2	5	6	0	0	19	

### Date this data was collected or last updated

Wednesday 8/19/2020

### **Prior Year - As Reported**

### The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	10	16	6	2	2	43	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	9	16	6	2	2	42	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	9	16	0	0	0	32	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

#### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	9	16	6	2	2	42	

#### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiantar						G	rad	e L	Grade Level										
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total					
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	9	11	3	0	0	30					
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	7					

### **Prior Year - Updated**

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level													Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	10	16	6	2	2	43
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	9	16	6	2	2	42
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	9	16	0	0	0	32
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

### The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level												Total	
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	9	16	6	2	2	42

### The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiantar	Grade Level												Total	
Indicator	Κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	9	11	3	0	0	30
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	7

# Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

### School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

Sebeel Crede Component		2019		2018				
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State		
ELA Achievement	0%	74%	56%	0%	73%	53%		
ELA Learning Gains	0%	60%	51%	0%	59%	49%		
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	50%	42%	0%	50%	41%		
Math Achievement	0%	73%	51%	0%	69%	49%		
Math Learning Gains	0%	58%	48%	0%	52%	44%		
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	55%	45%	0%	45%	39%		
Science Achievement	0%	86%	68%	0%	84%	65%		
Social Studies Achievement	0%	88%	73%	0%	86%	70%		

EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey													
Indicator		Gra	ade Level	l (prior ye	ear repor	ted)		Total					
indicator	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total					
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)					

### Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison					
07	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%			•	
08	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%				
09	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%			•	
10	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Cor	nparison	0%			•	

			MATH	1		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
06	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Corr	nparison					
07	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Corr	parison	0%				
08	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Corr	nparison	0%				

	SCIENCE													
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison								
08	2019													

			SCIEN	CE		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
	2018					
Cohort Corr	nparison					

		BIOLO	GY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		CIVIC	S EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		HISTO	RY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		ALGEE	RA EOC	-	
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					
		GEOME	TRY EOC		
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
2019					
2018					

# Subgroup Data

	2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18		
	2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS												
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17		

2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16

### ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index	
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students	0
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency	
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	
Total Components for the Federal Index	
Percent Tested	30%

## Subgroup Data

Students With Disabilities		
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities		
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?		
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%		
English Language Learners		
Federal Index - English Language Learners		
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A	
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0	
Native American Students		
Federal Index - Native American Students		
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?		
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0	
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32% Asian Students	0	
	0	
Asian Students	0 	

St. Johns - 0421 - Hastings Juvenile Correctional - 2020-21 SIP

Black/African American Students			
Federal Index - Black/African American Students			
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?			
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0		
Hispanic Students			
Federal Index - Hispanic Students			
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?			
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0		
Multiracial Students	·		
Federal Index - Multiracial Students			
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?			
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0		
Pacific Islander Students			
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students			
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?			
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%			
White Students			
Federal Index - White Students			
White Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?			
Number of Consecutive Years White Students Subgroup Below 32%	0		
Economically Disadvantaged Students			
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students			
	N.1./A		
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A		

### Analysis

### **Data Reflection**

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Low performance in previous school years, and in some cases, low expectations from guardians.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

N/A

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

N/A

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

N/A

### Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

Areas of concern include the retention rate.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

1. Provide credit recovery to students who are not on track to graduate with cohorts and have a high risk of dropping out of school.

2. Improve the passing rate of all state assessments.

3. Utilize and provide multiple learning platforms to instructors and students.

4.

5.

## Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

### #1. Other specifically relating to Retention Rate

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	Areas of focus include rate of retention and credit recovery toward graduation. The majority of students have a history of retention.		
Measurable Outcome:	Twenty percent of students who were previously retained will earn an additional semester of courses while enrolled at Hastings Youth Academy.		
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Michelle Montgomery (michelle.montgomery@truecorebehavioral.com)		
Evidence- based Strategy:	Students will be provided supplementary credit recovery opportunities in core courses required for graduation. Student learning and progress will be facilitated by the classroom teacher with the support of paraprofessionals and tutors.		
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy:	The strategy not only provides students with the opportunity to recover credits, but also the opportunity to claim ownership in their specific learning experience. As with typical teens, at-risk youth tend to prefer a variety of learning resources and platforms hence, using credit recovery, in addition to traditional teaching methods, students have the means to control their pace of learning and preferred type of instruction.		
Action Steps to Implement			

No action steps were entered for this area of focus

#### Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

### Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

Stakeholders from various walks of life and interest areas will be invited to assist Hastings Youth Academy's education staff with developing young men with active and creative minds, a sense of understanding and compassion for others, and the courage to act proactively. We stress the total development of each child, not just academically but also morally, intellectually, and socially to enable them to have a solid foundation for their entry back into the public school setting. The school will encourage clear, open communication between parents, students, and education personnel. Celebrate student achievements and encourage dialogue in regard to setting future goals. Create school norms that focus on building positive values in school, while stressing the importance of personal responsibilities and obligations toward fellow students. Stakeholders will be encouraged to provide feedback, and when possible, support with initiating school improvement initiatives and achieving the school's improvement goals.

### Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.