

2020-21 Schoolwide Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

School Demographics	3
Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	14
Positive Culture & Environment	15
Budget to Support Goals	16

Hospital Homebound

1250 REED CANAL RD, Port Orange, FL 32129

http://myvolusiaschools.org/hospital-homebound/pages/default.aspx

Demographics

Principal: Cassie Chandler C

Start Date for this Principal: 7/27/2020

2019-20 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School PK-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Special Education
2019-20 Title I School	No
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	83%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
	2018-19: No Grade
	2017-18: No Grade
School Grades History	2016-17: No Grade
	2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information	⊥ *
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For more i	nformation, <u>click here</u> .

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Volusia County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a Schoolwide Improvement Plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F. This plan is also a requirement for Targeted Support and Improvement (TS&I) and Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CS&I) schools pursuant to 1008.33 F.S. and the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA).

To be designated as TS&I, a school must have one or more ESSA subgroup(s) with a Federal Index below 41%. This plan shall be approved by the district. There are three ways a school can be designated as CS&I:

- 1. have a school grade of D or F
- 2. have a graduation rate of 67% or lower
- 3. have an overall Federal Index below 41%.

For these schools, the SIP shall be approved by the district as well as the Bureau of School Improvement.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F, or a graduation rate 67% or less. Districts may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing for schools that do not fit the aforementioned conditions. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at <u>www.floridacims.org.</u>

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Table of Contents

Purpose and Outline of the SIP	4
School Information	6
Needs Assessment	9
Planning for Improvement	14
Title I Requirements	0
Budget to Support Goals	16

Volusia - 9850 - Hospital Homebound - 2020-21 SIP

Hospital Homebound

1250 REED CANAL RD, Port Orange, FL 32129

http://myvolusiaschools.org/hospital-homebound/pages/default.aspx

School Demographics

School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	2019-20 Title I School	2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)
Combination School PK-12	No	%
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Charter School	2018-19 Minority Rate (Reported as Non-white on Survey 2)
Special Education	No	%
School Grades History		
	Year	
	Grade	

School Board Approval

This plan is pending approval by the Volusia County School Board.

SIP Authority

Section 1001.42(18), Florida Statutes, requires district school boards to annually approve and require implementation of a school improvement plan (SIP) for each school in the district that has a school grade of D or F.

The Florida Department of Education (FDOE) SIP template meets all statutory and rule requirements for traditional public schools and incorporates all components required for schools receiving Title I funds. This template is required by State Board of Education Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code, for all non-charter schools with a current grade of D or F (see page 4). For schools receiving a grade of A, B, or C, the district may opt to require a SIP using a template of its choosing. This document was prepared by school and district leadership using the FDOE's school improvement planning web application located at https://www.floridaCIMS.org.

Purpose and Outline of the SIP

The SIP is intended to be the primary artifact used by every school with stakeholders to review data, set goals, create an action plan and monitor progress. The Florida Department of Education encourages schools to use the SIP as a "living document" by continually updating, refining and using the plan to guide their work throughout the year. This printed version represents the SIP as of the "Date Modified" listed in the footer.

Part I: School Information

School Mission and Vision

Provide the school's mission statement.

The mission of the Hospital/Homebound Program is to provide eligible students with the technology, materials and specialized instruction necessary to support progress toward graduation requirements.

Provide the school's vision statement.

Hospital/Homebound will enable students with catastrophic and acute illness to achieve academic success through specialized instruction.

School Leadership Team

Membership

Identify the name, email address, position title, and job duties/responsibilities for each member of the school leadership team.:

Name	Title	Job Duties and Responsibilities
Chandler, Cassie	Other	The School Leader will identify program needs and resources (both material and personnel) that will best support students and teachers. The School Leader communicates a vision for student achievement and guides the team's instructional growth.

Demographic Information

Principal start date

Monday 7/27/2020, Cassie Chandler C

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Highly Effective. *Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.*

Number of teachers with a 2019 3-year aggregate or a 1-year Algebra state VAM rating of Effective. Note: For UniSIG Supplemental Teacher Allocation, teachers must have at least 10 student assessments.

Total number of teacher positions allocated to the school

Demographic Data

2020-21 Status (per MSID File)	Active
School Type and Grades Served (per MSID File)	Combination School PK-12
Primary Service Type (per MSID File)	Special Education

2019-20 Title I School	No
2019-20 Economically Disadvantaged (FRL) Rate (as reported on Survey 3)	83%
2019-20 ESSA Subgroups Represented (subgroups with 10 or more students) (subgroups below the federal threshold are identified with an asterisk)	
	2018-19: No Grade
	2017-18: No Grade
School Grades History	2016-17: No Grade
	2015-16: No Grade
2019-20 School Improvement (SI) Information	n*
SI Region	Southeast
Regional Executive Director	LaShawn Russ-Porterfield
Turnaround Option/Cycle	N/A
Year	
Support Tier	
ESSA Status	CS&I
* As defined under Rule 6A-1.099811, Florida Administrative Code. For m	ore information, <u>click here</u> .

Early Warning Systems

Current Year

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator listed:

Indicator	Grade Level													
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Number of students enrolled	0	1	0	0	0	3	1	2	2	1	0	4	3	17
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide ELA assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on 2019 statewide Math assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
mucator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indicator	Grade Level														
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		

Date this data was collected or last updated

Monday 7/27/2020

Prior Year - As Reported

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level														
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total	
Number of students enrolled	0	1	2	0	3	0	4	1	1	0	6	7	4	29	
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	5	
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	4	

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indicator	Grade Level													
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiactor						Gr	ade	e Le	ve	I				Total
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Prior Year - Updated

The number of students by grade level that exhibit each early warning indicator:

Indicator	Grade Level											Total		
indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	TOLAT
Number of students enrolled	0	1	2	0	3	0	4	1	1	0	6	7	4	29
Attendance below 90 percent	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	5
One or more suspensions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Course failure in ELA or Math	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Level 1 on statewide assessment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	4

The number of students with two or more early warning indicators:

Indiaatar	Grade Level											Total		
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Students with two or more indicators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

The number of students identified as retainees:

Indiantar	Grade Level										Total			
Indicator	κ	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Retained Students: Current Year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Students retained two or more times	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Part II: Needs Assessment/Analysis

School Data

Please note that the district and state averages shown here represent the averages for similar school types (elementary, middle, high school, or combination schools).

School Grade Component		2019		2018				
School Grade Component	School	District	State	School	District	State		
ELA Achievement	0%	54%	61%	0%	55%	57%		
ELA Learning Gains	0%	53%	59%	0%	56%	57%		
ELA Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	44%	54%	0%	43%	51%		
Math Achievement	0%	55%	62%	0%	54%	58%		
Math Learning Gains	0%	52%	59%	0%	52%	56%		
Math Lowest 25th Percentile	0%	45%	52%	0%	47%	50%		
Science Achievement	0%	61%	56%	0%	56%	53%		
Social Studies Achievement	0%	72%	78%	0%	75%	75%		

	EWS Indicators as Input Earlier in the Survey													
Indicator				Gr	ade L	evel (prior y	year r	eporte	ed)				Total
maicator	Indicator K 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12												Total	
	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	0 (0)

Grade Level Data

NOTE: This data is raw data and includes ALL students who tested at the school. This is not school grade data.

			ELA			
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison					
04	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
05	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
06	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
07	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
08	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
09	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
10	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				

			MATH	1		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
03	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison					
04	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
05	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
06	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%				
07	2019					

			MATH	1		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
	2018					
Cohort Corr	nparison	0%				
08	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Corr	nparison	0%				

			SCIEN	CE		
Grade	Year	School	District	School- District Comparison	State	School- State Comparison
05	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	parison					
08	2019					
	2018					
Cohort Con	nparison	0%			· · ·	

	BIOLO	GY EOC		
School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
	CIVIC	S EOC	•	
School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
	HISTO	RY EOC	•	
School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
	ALGEE	BRA EOC	• •	
School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
	GEOME	TRY EOC	•	
School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State
	School School School	School District CIVIC School District School District HISTO School District ALGEE School District GEOME	SchoolDistrictMinus DistrictImage: Civic SectorSchoolDistrictSchoolDistrictSchoolDistrictSchoolDistrictSchoolDistrictImage: SchoolSchoolSchoolDistrictSchoolDistrictSchoolDistrictSchoolDistrictSchoolDistrictSchoolDistrictSchoolDistrictSchoolDistrictSchoolDistrictSchoolDistrictSchoolDistrictSchoolSchoolSchoolDistrictSchoolSchoolSchoolDistrictSchoolSchoolSchoolDistrictSchoolSchoolSchoolDistrictSchoolSchoolSchoolDistrictSchoolSchoolSchoolMinus	SchoolDistrictSchoolStateDistrictDistrictMinus DistrictStateCIVICS EOCCIVICS EOCSchoolDistrictMinus DistrictSchoolDistrictMinus DistrictHISTORY EOCHISTORY EOCSchoolDistrictSchool Minus DistrictSchoolDistrictSchool Minus DistrictSchoolDistrictSchool Minus DistrictSchoolDistrictSchool Minus DistrictSchoolDistrictSchool Minus DistrictSchoolDistrictSchool Minus DistrictGEOMETRY EOCSchool MinusState

	GEOMETRY EOC											
Year	School	District	School Minus District	State	School Minus State							
2018												

Subgroup Data

2019 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2017-18	C & C Accel 2017-18
SWD	50			45							
2018 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2016-17	C & C Accel 2016-17
2017 SCHOOL GRADE COMPONENTS BY SUBGROUPS											
Subgroups	ELA Ach.	ELA LG	ELA LG L25%	Math Ach.	Math LG	Math LG L25%	Sci Ach.	SS Ach.	MS Accel.	Grad Rate 2015-16	C & C Accel 2015-16

ESSA Data

This data has been updated for the 2018-19 school year as of 7/16/2019.

ESSA Federal Index				
ESSA Category (TS&I or CS&I)	CS&I			
OVERALL Federal Index – All Students				
OVERALL Federal Index Below 41% All Students	YES			
Total Number of Subgroups Missing the Target	0			
Progress of English Language Learners in Achieving English Language Proficiency				
Total Points Earned for the Federal Index	72			
Total Components for the Federal Index				
Percent Tested	75%			
Subgroup Data				
Students With Disabilities				
Federal Index - Students With Disabilities	48			
Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?				
Number of Consecutive Years Students With Disabilities Subgroup Below 32%	0			
English Language Learners				
Federal Index - English Language Learners				

English Language Learners	
English Language Learners Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years English Language Learners Subgroup Below 32%	0
Native American Students	
Federal Index - Native American Students	
Native American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Native American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Asian Students	
Federal Index - Asian Students	
Asian Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Asian Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Black/African American Students	
Federal Index - Black/African American Students	
Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Black/African American Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Hispanic Students	
Federal Index - Hispanic Students	
Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Hispanic Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Multiracial Students	
Federal Index - Multiracial Students	
Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Multiracial Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
Pacific Islander Students	
Federal Index - Pacific Islander Students	
Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A
Number of Consecutive Years Pacific Islander Students Subgroup Below 32%	0
White Students	
White Students Federal Index - White Students	
	N/A

Economically Disadvantaged Students				
Federal Index - Economically Disadvantaged Students				
Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 41% in the Current Year?	N/A			
Number of Consecutive Years Economically Disadvantaged Students Subgroup Below 32%	0			

Analysis

Data Reflection

Answer the following reflection prompts after examining any/all relevant school data sources (see guide for examples for relevant data sources).

Which data component showed the lowest performance? Explain the contributing factor(s) to last year's low performance and discuss any trends.

Percent tested during the 2019 school year was 75%. There are many barriers to students with acute and chronic health conditions participating in standardized testing. Participation in testing is dependent on the student having adequate health status and parents working collaboratively with staff to schedule and keep testing dates.

Which data component showed the greatest decline from the prior year? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this decline.

There is not a previous year School Improvement Plan for Hospital Homebound.

Which data component had the greatest gap when compared to the state average? Explain the factor(s) that contributed to this gap and any trends.

Due to limited school data for Hospital Homebound students, comparison to state average is not able to be calculated.

Which data component showed the most improvement? What new actions did your school take in this area?

Due to limited school data for Hospital Homebound students, comparison to state average is not able to be calculated.

Reflecting on the EWS data from Part I (D), identify one or two potential areas of concern?

The area of concern for Hospital Homebound students remains working collaboratively with physicians, parents, VCS staff and outside service providers to coordinate and deliver the most effective instruction to students diagnosed with catastrophic and chronic health conditions.

Rank your highest priorities (maximum of 5) for schoolwide improvement in the upcoming school year.

- 1. Delivering effective instruction to students using live instruction
- 2. Monitoring student participation in virtual instruction
- 3. Effective and comprehensive orientation procedures for Hospital Homebound
- 4. Student participation in standardized testing as health allows

Part III: Planning for Improvement

Areas of Focus:

#1. Instructional Practice specifically relating to Student Engagement						
Area of Focus Description and Rationale:	The criteria for parents obtaining medical waivers for standardized testing is very rigorous, however, there are many health-based reasons students are unable to participate in standardized testing on a daily basis. Based upon data, 75% of students enrolled in Hospital Homebound participated in testing. Participation in standardized testing is a requirement or a contributor for many actions including grade level promotions, course completions and graduation.					
Measurable Outcome:	The goal for the 2021 school year is for 90% of Hospital Homebound students to participate in standardized testing.					
Person responsible for monitoring outcome:	Cassie Chandler (cchandle@volusia.k12.fl.us)					
Evidence- based Strategy:	100% of students will receive information related to participating in state-required testing, including the FSA math, FSA-ELA, End of Course Exams, and FSAA. 90% of students in Hospital Homebound will participate in the referenced testing.					
Rationale for Evidence- based Strategy:	Participation in state testing is a requirements of all Florida public school students and Hospital Homebound students are not exempt. An improvement is needed in this area to increase the percentage of Hospital Homebound students who participate in testing.					
Action Steps to Implement						
Participate in district training related to standardized testing						
Person Responsible Cassie Chandler (cchandle@volusia.k12.fl.us)						
Share information with parents on testing date, requirements for testing, accommodations that are available to individual students, and location of testing						

Person

Cassie Chandler (cchandle@volusia.k12.fl.us) Responsible

Communicate with zone schools and individual teachers responsible as test proctors in advance of testing

Person

Cassie Chandler (cchandle@volusia.k12.fl.us) Responsible

Additional Schoolwide Improvement Priorities

After choosing your Area(s) of Focus, explain how you will address the remaining schoolwide improvement priorities.

N/A

Part IV: Positive Culture & Environment

A positive school culture and environment reflects: a supportive and fulfilling environment, learning conditions that meet the needs of all students, people who are sure of their roles and relationships in student learning, and a culture that values trust, respect and high expectations. Consulting with various stakeholder groups to employ school improvement strategies that impact the positive school culture and environment are critical. Stakeholder groups more proximal to the school include teachers, students, and families of students, volunteers, and school board members. Broad stakeholder groups include early childhood providers, community colleges and universities, social services, and business partners.

Stakeholders play a key role in school performance and addressing equity. Consulting various stakeholder groups is critical in formulating a statement of vision, mission, values, goals, and employing school improvement strategies.

Describe how the school addresses building a positive school culture and environment ensuring all stakeholders are involved.

The Hospital/Homebound program is divided into different instructional categories with specific job roles assigned to staff members based upon student's IEP. Consultation teachers meet parents at IEP eligibility meetings, however, research into the student's medical and educational background occur prior to the IEP meeting. This allows the staff to establish a commonality with the parents and student from the initial meeting. In home teachers work collaboratively with consultation teachers when scheduling instruction. Consultation teachers work collaboratively with virtual education teachers and make sure all student and parent contact information is shared. Parents have access to all teacher contact information via phone and computer. Parents are encouraged to communicate with all Hospital/Homebound staff.

Parent Family and Engagement Plan (PFEP) Link

The school completes a Parental Involvement Plan (PFEP), which is available at the school site.

Part V: Budget

The approved budget does not reflect any amendments submitted for this project.

1	III.A.	Areas of Focus: Instructional Practice: Student Engagement	\$0.00
		Total:	\$0.00